

# THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

COLUMBUS FALCO'S

Translation of 200 Years Together



Aleksandr Solzhenitsy  
Volume 1 - The Jews Before  
The Revolution

- Ch. 1. Before the 19th Century
- Ch. 2. During the Reign of Alexander, I
- Ch. 3. During the Reign of Nicholas, I
- Ch. 4. During the Period of Reform
- Ch. 5. After the Murder of Alexander II
- Ch. 6. In the Russian revolutionary movement
- Ch. 7. The Birth of Zionism
- Ch. 8. At the Turn of 20<sup>th</sup> Century
- Ch. 9. During the Revolution of 1905
- Ch. 10. During the Period of the Duma
- Ch. 11. The Jewish And Russian National Consciousness  
Prior to World War I
- Ch. 12. During World War I

# THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

## Volume 2 - The Jews in the Soviet Union

- Ch. 13. The February Revolution
- Ch. 14. During 1917
- Ch. 15. Among Bolsheviks
- Ch. 16. During the Civil War
- Ch. 17. Emigration Between the Two World Wars
- Ch. 18. In the 1920s
- Ch. 19. In the 1930s
- Ch. 20. In the Camps of GULAG
- Ch. 21. During the Soviet-German War
- Ch. 22. From the End of the War to Stalin's Death
- Ch. 23. Before the Six-Day War
- Ch. 24. Breaking Away from Bolshevism
- Ch. 25. Accusing Russia Ch. 26.  
The Beginning of Exodus Ch.  
27. About the Assimilation.

*“This book was very difficult to translate – a great deal of effort was made to keep this great man’s work from the English-speaking people. As we deciphered the words we realized the why and who wanted his work to be silenced.”*  
Columbus Falco

“If you want to know who rules you, simply find out who you are not allowed to criticize” Voltaire

# THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

## Solzhenitsy's Afterword

In 1990, while finishing April 1917 and sorting out the enormous amount of material not included in *The Red Wheel*, I decided to present some of that material in the form of a historical essay about Jews in the Russian revolution.

Yet it became clear almost immediately that in order to understand those events the essay must step back in time. Thus, it stepped back to the very first incorporation of the Jews into the Russian Empire in 1772. On the other hand, the revolution of 1917 provided a powerful impetus to Russian Jewry, so the essay naturally stretched into the post-revolutionary period. Thus, the title *Two Hundred Years Together* was born.

However, it took time for me to realize the importance of that distinct historical boundary drawn by mass emigration of the Jews from the Soviet Union that had begun in the 1970s (exactly 200 years after the Jews appeared in Russia) and which had become unrestricted by 1987. This boundary had been abolished, so that for the first time, the non-voluntary status of the Russian Jews no longer a fact: they ought not to live here anymore; Israel waits for them; all countries of the world are open to them. This clear boundary changed my intention to keep the narrative up to the mid-1990s, because the message of the book was already played out: the uniqueness of Russian-Jewish entwinement disappeared at the moment of the new Exodus.

Now, a totally new period in the history of the by-now-free Russian Jewry and its relations with the new Russia began. This period started with swift and essential changes, but it is still too early to predict its long-term outcomes and judge

# THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

whether its peculiar Russian-Jewish character will persevere or it will be supplanted with the universal laws of the Jewish Diaspora.

To follow the evolution of this new development is beyond the lifespan of this author.

## **Volume One: The Jews Before the Revolution**

### Chapter I: Before the 19th Century

#### From the Beginnings in Khazaria

In this book, the presence of the Jews in Russia prior to 1772 will not be discussed in detail. However, for a few pages, we will remember the older epochs.

One could begin by saying that the paths of Russians and Jews first crossed in the wars between the Kiev Rus and the Khazars, but that isn't completely right, since only the upper class of the Khazars were of Hebraic descent. The tribe itself was a branch of the Turks that had accepted the Jewish faith. If one follows the presentation of J. D. Bruzкус, respected Jewish author of the mid-20th century, a certain part of the Jews from Persia moved across the Derbent Pass to the lower Volga where Atil on the west coast of Caspian on the Volga delta, the capital city of the Khazarian Khanate, rose up starting 724 AD. The tribal princes of the Turkish Khazars, at the time still idol-worshippers, did not want to accept either the Muslim faith, lest they should be subordinated to the caliph of Baghdad, nor Christianity lest they come under vassalage to the Byzantine emperor; and so the clan went over to the Jewish faith in 732. But there was also a Jewish colony in the Bosporan Kingdom on the

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

Taman Peninsula at east end of the Crimea, separating the Black Sea from the Sea of Azov, to which Hadrian had Jewish captives brought in 137, after the victory over Bar-Kokhba. Later a Jewish settlement sustained itself without break under the Goths and Huns in the Crimea. Kaffa (Feodosia) especially remained Jewish. In 933 Prince Igor (Grand Prince of Kiev 912-945) temporarily possessed Kerch, and his son Sviatoslav (Grand Prince 960-972) wrested the Don region from the Khazars.

The Kiev Rus already ruled the entire Volga region including Atil in 909, and Russian ships appeared at Samander, south of Atil on the west coast of the Caspian. The Kumyks in the Caucasus were descendants of the Khazars. In the Crimea, on the other hand, they combined with the Polovtsy, a nomadic Turkish people from central Asia who had lived in the northern Black Sea area and the Caucasus since the 10th century, called Cuman by western historians. This admixture formed the Crimean Tatars. But unlike the Tatars the Karaim, a Jewish sect that does not follow the Talmud, and Jewish residents of the Crimea did not go over to the Muslim faith. The Khazars were finally overrun much later by Tamerlane or Timur, the 14th century conqueror.

A few researchers, however hypothesize (exact proof is absent) that the Hebrews had wandered to some extent through the south Russian region in a westward and northwesterly direction. Thus, the Orientalist and Semitist Abraham Harkavy, for example writes that the Jewish congregation in the future Russia “emerged from Jews that came from the Black Sea coast and from the Caucasus, where their ancestors had lived since the Assyrian and Babylonian captivity.” J. D. Bruzкус also leans to this perspective. Another opinion suggests these were the remnant of the Ten Lost Tribes of Israel.

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

This migration presumably ended after the conquest in 1097 of Timutarakans on the eastern shore of the Kerch straits, overlooking the eastern end of the Crimean Peninsula; the eastern flank of the old Bosporan Kingdom, by the Polovtsy. According to Harkavy's opinion the vernacular of these Jews at least since the ninth century was Slavic, and only in the 17th century, when the Ukrainian Jews fled from the pogroms of the Ukrainian Cossack warlord Bogdan Chmelnitzki, who led a successful Cossack rebellion against Poland with help from the Crimean Tatars, did Yiddish become the language of Jews in Poland.

In various manners, the Jews also came to Kiev and settled there. Already under Igor, the lower part of the city was called Kosary; in 933 Igor brought in Jews that had been taken captive in Kerch. Then in 965 Jews taken captive in the Crimea were brought there; in 969 *Kosaren* from Atil and Samander, in 989 from Cherson and in 1017 from Timutarakan. In Kiev, western or Ashkenazi Jews also emerged in connection with the caravan traffic from west to east, and starting at the end of the eleventh century, perhaps on account of the persecution in Europe during the first Crusade.

Later researchers confirm likewise that in the 11th century, the Jewish element in Kiev was derived from the Khazars. Still earlier, at the turn of the 10th century the presence of a Khazar force and a Khazar garrison was chronicled in Kiev. And already in the first half of the 11th century the Jewish-Khazar element in Kiev played a significant role. In the 9th and 10th century, Kiev was multinational and tolerant.

At the end of the 10th century, in the time when Prince Vladimir I. Svyatoslavich was choosing a new faith for the Russians, there were not a few Jews in Kiev, and

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

among them were found educated men who suggested taking on the Jewish faith. The choice fell out otherwise than it had 250 years earlier in the Khazar Kingdom. The Russian historian Karamsin relates it like this:

“After he (Vladimir) had listened to the Jews, he asked where their homeland was. ‘In Jerusalem,’ answered the delegates, ‘but God has chastised us in his anger and sent us into a foreign land.’ ‘And you, whom God has punished, dare to teach others?’ said Vladimir. ‘We do not want to lose our fatherland like you have.’”

After the Christianization of the Rus, according to Bruzhus, a portion of the Khazar Jews in Kiev also went over to Christianity and afterwards in Novgorod perhaps one of them, Luka Zhidyata, was even one of the first bishops and spiritual writers. Christianity and Judaism being side-by-side in Kiev inevitably led to the learned zealously contrasting them. From that emerged the work significant to Russian literature, *Sermon on Law and Grace* by Hilarion, first Russian Metropolitan in the middle 11th century, which contributed to the settling of a Christian consciousness for the Russians that lasted for centuries. The polemic here is as fresh and lively as in the letters of the apostles. In any case, it was the first century of Christianity in Russia. For the Russian neophytes of that time, the Jews were interesting, especially in connection to their religious presentation, and even in Kiev there were opportunities for contact with them. The interest was greater than later in the 18th century, when they again were physically close.

Then, for more than a century, the Jews took part in the expanded commerce of Kiev. In the new city wall completed in 1037 there was the Jews' Gate, which closed in the Jewish quarter. The Kiev Jews were not subjected to any limitations, and the princes did not handle themselves with

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

hostility, but rather indeed vouchsafed to them protection, especially Sviatopluk Iziaslavich, Prince of Novgorod (r. 1078-1087) and Grand Prince of Kiev from 1093 until 1113, since the trade and enterprising spirit of the Jews brought the princes financial advantage.

In 1113 A.D., Vladimir Monomakh, out of qualms of conscience, even after the death of Sviatopluk, hesitated to ascend the Kiev throne prior to one of the Svyatoslaviches, and exploiting the anarchy, rioters plundered the house of the regimental commander Putiata and all Jews that had stood under the special protection of the greedy Sviatopluk in the capital city. One reason for the Kiev revolt was apparently the usury of the Jews. Exploiting the shortage of money of the time, they enslaved the debtors with exorbitant interest. (For example, there are indications in the statute of Vladimir Monomakh that Kiev money-lenders received interest up to 50 percent per annum.) Karamsin therein appeals to the Chronicles and an extrapolation by Basil Tatistcheff (1686-1750), student of Peter the Great, and the first Russian historian. In Tatistcheff we find moreover:

“Afterwards they clubbed down many Jews and plundered their houses, because they had brought about many sicknesses to Christians and commerce with them had brought about great damage. Many of them, who had gathered in their synagogue seeking protection, defended themselves as well as they could, and gained time until Vladimir could arrive.” But when he came, “the Kievites pleaded with him for retribution toward the Jews, because they had taken all the trades from Christians and under Sviatopluk had had much freedom and power.... They had also brought many over to their faith.”

According to M. N. Pokrovski, the Kiev Pogrom of 1113 was of a social and not national character. However, the



## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

leaning of this class-conscious historian toward social interpretations is well-known. After he ascended to the Kiev throne, Vladimir answered the complainants, "Since many Jews everywhere have received access to the various princely courts and have migrated there, it is not appropriate for me, without the advice of the princes, and moreover contrary to right, to permit killing and plundering them. Hence, I will without delay call the princes to assemble, to give counsel." In the Council, a law limiting interest was established, which Vladimir attached to Yaroslav's statute. Karamsin reports, appealing to Tatistcheff, that Vladimir "banned all Jews" upon the conclusion of the Council, "and from that time forth there were none left in our fatherland." But at the same time, he qualifies: "In the chronicles in contrast it says that in 1124 the Jews in Kiev died in a great fire; consequently, they had not been banned." Bruzkus explains, that it "was a whole quarter in the best part of the city... at the Jew's Gate next to the Golden Gate."

At least one Jew enjoyed the trust of Andrei Bogoliubsky in Vladimir. Among the confidants of Andrei was a certain Ephraim Moisich, whose patronymic Moisich or Moisievich indicates his Jewish derivation, and who according to the words of the Chronicle was among the instigators of the treason by which Andrei was murdered. However, there is also a notation that says that under Andrei Bogoliubsky "many Bulgarians and Jews from the Volga territory came and had themselves baptized" and that after the murder of Andrei his son Georgi fled to a Jewish prince in Dagestan.

In any case the information on the Jews in the time of the Suzdal Rus is scanty, as their numbers were obviously small.

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

The *Jewish Encyclopedia* notes that in the Russian heroic songs (Bylinen) the “Jewish Czar” – e.g. the warrior Shidowin in the old Bylina about Ilya and Dobrin’a – is “a favorite general moniker for an enemy of the Christian faith.” At the same time, it could also be a trace of memories of the struggle against the Khazars. Here, the *religious* basis of this hostility and exclusion is made clear. On this basis, the Jews were not permitted to settle in the Muscovy Rus.

The invasion of the Tatars portended the end of the lively commerce of the Kiev Rus, and many Jews apparently went to Poland. (Also, the Jewish colonization into Volhynia and Galicia continued, where they had scarcely suffered from the Tatar invasion.) The *Encyclopedia* explains: “During the invasion of the Tatars (1239) which destroyed Kiev, the Jews also suffered, but in the second half of the 13th century they were invited by the Grand Princes to resettle in Kiev, which found itself under the domination of the Tatars. On account of the special rights, which were also granted the Jews in other possessions of the Tatars, envy was stirred up in the town residents against the Kiev Jews.”

Something similar happened not only in Kiev, but also in the cities of North Russia, which “under the Tatar rule, were accessible for many merchants from Khoresm or Khiva, who were long since experienced in trade and the tricks of profit-seeking. These people bought from the Tatars the principality’s right to levy tribute, they demanded excessive interest from poor people and, in case of their failure to pay, declared the debtors to be their slaves, and took away their freedom. The residents of Vladimir, Suzdal, and Rostov finally lost their patience and rose up together at the pealing of the bells against these usurers; a few were killed and the rest chased off.” A punitive expedition of the Khan against the mutineers was threatened, which however was hindered

# THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

via the mediation of Alexander Nevsky. In the documents of the 15th century, Kievite Jewish tax-leasers are mentioned, who possessed a significant fortune.

## **The Judaizing Heresy**

A migration of Jews from Poland to the East, including White Russia [Belarus], should also be noted in the 15th century: there were leasers of tolls and other assessments in Minsk, Polotsk, and in Smolensk, although no settled congregations were formed there. After the shortlived banishment of Jews from Lithuania (1496) the eastward movement went forth with particular energy at the beginning of the 16th century.

The number of Jews that migrated into the Muscovy Rus was insignificant although influential Jews at that time had no difficulties going to Moscow. Toward the end of the 15th century in the very center of the spiritual and administrative power of the Rus, a change took place that, though barely noticed, could have drawn an ominous unrest in its wake, and had farreaching consequences in the spiritual domain. It had to do with the “Judaizing Heresy.” Saint Joseph of Volokolamsk (1439-1515) who resisted it, observed: “Since the time of Olga and Vladimir, the God-fearing Russian world has never experienced such a seduction.”

According to Kramsin it began thus: the Jew Zechariah, who in 1470 had arrived in Novgorod from Kiev, figured out how to lead astray two spirituals, Dionis and Aleksei; he assured them that only the Law of Moses was divine; the history of the Redeemer was invented; the Messiah was not yet born; one should not pray to icons, etc. Thus, began the Judaizing heresy. The renowned Russian

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

historian Sergey Solovyov (1820–79) expands on this, that Zechariah accomplished it “with the aid of five accomplices, who also were Jewish,” and that this heresy “obviously was a mixture of Judaism and Christian rationalism that denied the mystery of the holy Trinity and the divinity of Jesus Christ. The Orthodox Priest Aleksei called himself Abraham, his wife he called Sarah and along with Dionis corrupted many spirituals and laymen. But it is hard to understand how Zechariah was able so easily to increase the number of his Novgorod pupils, since his wisdom consisted entirely and only in the rejection of Christianity and the glorification of Judaism. Probably, Zechariah seduced the Russians with the Jewish cabbala, a teaching that captured curious ignoramuses and in the 15th century was well-known, when many educated men sought in it the solution to all important riddles of the human spirit. The cabbalists extolled themselves ..., they were able... to discern all secrets of nature, explain dreams, prophecy the future, and conjure spirits.”

J. Gessen, a Jewish historian of the 20th century, presents in contrast the opinion: “It is certain that Jews participated neither in the introduction of the heresy... nor its spread.” (But with no indication of his sources). The encyclopedia of Brockhaus and Efron [1890-1906, Czarist Russian equivalent to the Encyclopedia Britannica] explains: “Apparently the genuinely Jewish element played no outstanding role, limiting its contribution to a few rituals.” The *Jewish Encyclopedia*, which appeared about the same time, writes on the other hand: “today, since the publication of the ‘Psalter of the Judaizers’ and other memorials, the contested question of the Jewish influence on the sects must... be seen as settled in a positive sense.”

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

The Novgorod heretics presented an orderly exterior, appeared to fast humbly and zealously fulfilled all the duties of piety. They made themselves noticed by the people and contributed to the rapid spreading of the heresy. When after the fall of Novgorod Ivan Vasilievich III (1440-1505) Grand Prince of Moscow, united the greater Russian territory under Moscow's rule visited the city, he was impressed by their piety and took both of the first heretics, Aleksei and Dionis, to Moscow in 1480 and promoted them as high priests of the Assumption of Mary and the Archangel cathedrals of the Kremlin. With them also the schism was brought over, the roots of which remained in Novgorod. Aleksei found special favor with the ruler and had free access to him, and with his secret teaching enticed not only several high spirituals and officials, but moved the Grand Prince to appoint the archimandrite (head abbot in Eastern Orthodoxy) Zossima as Metropolitan, that is, the head of the entire Russian church – a man from the very circle of the those he had enticed with the heresy. In addition, he enticed Helena to the heresy — daughter-in-law of the Grand Prince, widow of Ivan the Younger and mother of the heir to the throne, the “blessed nephew Dimitri.”

The rapid success of this movement and the ease with which it spread is astonishing. This is obviously to be explained through mutual interests. When the ‘Psalter of the Judaizing’ and other works — which could mislead the inexperienced Russian reader, and were sometimes unambiguously anti-Christian – were translated from Hebrew into Russian, one could have assumed that only Jews and Judaism would have been interested in them. But also the Russian reader was interested in the translations of Jewish religious texts. This explains the success which the

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

propaganda of the 'Judaizing' had in various classes of society. The sharpness and liveliness of this contact is reminiscent of that which had emerged in Kiev in the 11th century.

The Novgorod Archbishop Gennadi uncovered the heresy in 1487, sent irrefutable proofs of it to Moscow, hunted the heresy out and unmasked it, until in 1490 a church Council assembled to discuss the matter under leadership of the just-promoted Metropolitan Sossima. "With horror, they heard the complaint of Gennadi, ... that these apostates insult Christ and the mother of God, spit on the cross, call the icons idolatrous images, bite on them with their teeth and throw them into impure places, believe in neither the kingdom of Heaven nor the resurrection of the dead, and entice the weak, while remaining quiet in the presence of zealous Christians." From the judgment of the Council it is apparent, that the Judaizers did not recognize Jesus Christ as the Son of God, that they taught the Messiah had not yet appeared, that they observed the Old Testament Sabbath day rather than the Christian Sunday. It was suggested to the Council to execute the heretics but, in accordance with the will of Ivan III, they were sentenced instead to imprisonment and the heresy was anathematized. In view of the coarseness of the century and the seriousness of the moral corruption, such a punishment was extraordinarily mild.

The historians unanimously explain this hesitation of Ivan in that the heresy had already spread widely under his own roof and was practiced by well-known, influential people, among whom was Feodor Kuritsyn, Ivan's plenipotentiary Secretary, famous on account of his education and his capabilities. The noteworthy liberalism of Moscow flowed from the temporary 'Dictator of the Heart' F. Kuritsyn. The magic of his secret salon was enjoyed even

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

by the Grand Prince and his daughter-in-law. The heresy was by no means in abatement, but rather prospered magnificently and spread itself out. At the Moscow court astrology and magic along with the attractions of a pseudo-scientific revision of the entire medieval worldview were solidly propagated, which was free-thinking and carried the appeal of enlightenment, and the power of fashion.

The *Jewish Encyclopedia* sets forth moreover that Ivan III “out of political motivations did not stand against the heresy. With Zechariah’s help, he hoped to strengthen his influence in Lithuania,” and besides that he wanted to secure the favor of influential Jews from the Crimea: “of the princes and rulers of Taman Peninsula, Zacharias de Ghisolfi,” and of the Jew Chozi Kokos, a confidant of the Khan Mengli Giray or Girai.

After the Council of 1490 Sossima continued to sponsor a secret society for several years, but then was himself discovered, and in 1494 the Grand Prince commanded him to depose himself without process and to withdraw into a cloister, without throwing up dust and to all appearances willingly. The heresy however did not abate. For a time (1498) its votaries in Moscow seized almost all the power, and their charge Dmitri, the son of the Princess Helena, was coronated as Czar. Soon Ivan III reconciled himself with his wife Sophia Paleologos, and in 1502 his son Vassili inherited the throne. (Kurizyn by this time was dead.) Of the heretics, after the Council of 1504, one part was burned, a second part thrown in prison, and a third fled to Lithuania, where they formally adopted the Mosaic faith.

It must be added that the overcoming of the Judaizing heresy gave the spiritual life of the Muscovy Rus at turn of the 16th century a new impetus, and contributed to recognizing the need for spiritual education, for schools for

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

the spiritual; and the name of Archbishop Gennadi is associated with the collecting and publication of the first church-Slavic Bible, of which there had not to that point been a consolidated text corpus in the Christian East. The printing press was invented, and after 80 years this Gennadi Bible was printed in Ostrog (1580-82); with its appearance, it took over the entire orthodox East. Even academy member S. F. Platonov gives a generalizing judgment about the phenomenon: “The movement of Judaizing no doubt contained elements of the West European rationalism... The heresy was condemned; its advocates had to suffer, but the attitude of critique and skepticism produced by them over against dogma and church order remained.”

Today’s *Jewish Encyclopedia* remembers “the thesis that an extremely negative posture toward Judaism and the Jews was unknown in the Muskovy Rus up to the beginning of the 16th century,” and derives it from this struggle against the Judaizers. Judging by the spiritual and civil measures of the circumstances, that is thoroughly probable. J. Gessen however contends: “it is significant, that such a specific coloring of the heresy as *Judaizing* did not lessen the success of the sects and in no way led to the development of a hostile stance toward the Jews.”

Judging by its stable manner of life, it was in neighboring Poland that the biggest Jewish community emerged, expanded and became strong from the 13th to the 18th century. It formed the basis of the future Russian Jewry, which became the most important part of world Jewry until the 20th century. Starting in the 16th century a significant number of Polish and Czech Jews emigrated into the Ukraine, White Russia and Lithuania. In the 15th century Jewish merchants traveled still unhindered from the Polish-



## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

Lithuanian Kingdom to Moscow. But that changed under Ivan IV the Terrible: Jewish merchants were forbidden entry.

When in 1550 the Polish King Sigismund August desired to permit them free entry into Russia, this was denied by Ivan with these words: “We absolutely do not permit the entry of the Jew into my lands, because we do not wish to see evil in our lands, but rather may God grant that the people in my land may have rest from that irritation. And you, our brother, should not write us on account of the Jews again,” for they had “alienated the Russians from Christianity, brought poisonous plants into our lands and done much evil to our lands.”

According to a legend Ivan the Terrible, upon the annexation of Polotsk in 1563, ordered all Jews to be baptized in response to complaints of Russian residents “against evil things and bullying” by Jews, leasers and others empowered by Polish magnates. Those that refused, apparently about 300 persons, are supposed to have been drowned in his presence in the Dvina. But careful historians, as e.g. J. I. Gessen, do not confirm this version even in moderated form and do not mention it once.

Instead of that, Gessen writes that under the False Dimitri I (1605-06) both Jews and other foreigners “in relatively large number” were baptized in Moscow. The story goes according to *In the Time of Troubles* by Sergey Ivanov, regarding the 15-year period of confusion following the failed Rurik Dynasty in 1598-1613 that the False Dimitri II, aka the “Thief of Tushino” was “born a Jew.” The sources give contradictory information regarding the ancestry of the Thief of Tushino. After the Time of Troubles Jews like Polish-Lithuanian folk in general had restricted rights in Russia. There was a prohibition against peddling in Moscow, and against traveling beyond Moscow at all. But the

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

ordinances were contradictory. Mikhail Feodorovich (Michael son of Theodore; 1613 became first Romanov chosen as czar) did not pursue a comprehensive policy against Jews. In the reign of his son Alexis Michaelovitch there was no sign of discrimination against Jews in the law books; free access was granted to all cities, including Moscow. During the seizure of Lithuania, as well as during later wars, the treatment of Jews in captivity was not worse than other foreigners.

After the Treaty of Andrusovo (1667) in which Smolensk, Kiev and the whole eastern bank of the Dnieper River remained Russian, Jews were invited to stay, and many did. Some converted to Christianity and some of these became heads of noble families. A small number of baptized Jews migrated to a Cossack village on the Don, and a dozen Cossack families are descended from them. Samuel Collins, an Englishman residing in Moscow at the time, related that “in a short time, the Jews have in a remarkable way spread through the city and court, helped by the mediation of a Jewish surgeon.” Under Czar Feodor III Jews were not to be assessed toll on entry to Moscow because they were not allowed in, whether with or without wares. But the practice did not correspond to the theory. In the first year of Peter the Great, doors were opened to talented foreigners, but not Jews on account of their being “rogues and deceivers.” Yet there is no evidence of limitations imposed on them, nor special laws. Indeed, Jews were found close to the Emperor:

- \*Vice-chancellor Baron Peter Shafirov
- \* close confidant Abram Veselovsky, later accused of thieving
- \* his brother, Isaac Veselovsky

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

\* Anton de Vieira, general police master of Petersburg

\* Vivière, head of secret police

and others. To A. Veselovsky, Peter wrote that what matters is competence and decency, not baptism or circumcision. Jewish mercantile houses in Germany inquired whether Russia would guarantee their commerce with Persia, but never received an answer.

At start of the 18th century there was increased Jewish trade activity in Little Russia and Ukraine, a year before Russian merchants got the right to engage in such commerce. The Ukrainian Hetman Skoropadski gave order several times for their expulsion, but this was not obeyed and Jewish presence actually increased. Catherine, I decreed removal of Jews from Ukraine and Russian cities, but this only lasted one year. Peter II permitted Jews into Little Russia, first as “temporary visitors” on the ground of their usefulness for trade, then more and more reasons were found to make it permanent. Under Anna this right was extended to Smolensk and Slobodsky. In 1734 permission was given to Jews to distil brandy, and in 1736 it had permitted them to import vodka from Poland into Russia.

Baltic financier Levy Lipman probably bailed out the future czarina Anna financially while she was living in Courland. Later, he achieved a high rank in her court in financial administration, and received various monopoly rights. Elisabeth, however, issued a *ukase* (imperial Russian decree) one year after taking the throne in December 1742: “Jews are forbidden to live anywhere in our realm; now it has been made known to us, that these Jews still find themselves in our realm and, under various pretexts, especially in Little Russia. They prolong their stay, which is in no way

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

beneficial; but as we must expect only great injuries to our loyal subjects from such haters of the name of our Savior Jesus Christ, we order all Jews, male and female, along with their entire possession, to be sent without delay from our realm, over the border, and in the future not allowed back in, unless it should be that one of them should confess our Christian religion.”

This was the same religious intolerance that shook Europe for centuries. The way of thinking of that time was not unique in any special Russian way, nor was it an exclusively Jewhostile attitude. Among Christians the religious intolerance was not practiced with any less cruelty. Thus, the Old Believers, i.e. men of the same orthodox faith, were persecuted with fire and sword.

This *ukase* of Elisabeth was made known throughout the realm, but immediately attempts were made to move the ruler to relent. The military chancellor reported to the Senate from the

Ukraine that already 140 people were evicted, but that “the prohibition against Jews to bring goods in would lead to a reduction in state income.” The Senate reported to the Czarina that “trade had suffered great damage in Little Russia as well as the Baltic provinces by the *ukase* of the previous year to not allow Jews into the realm, and also the state purse would suffer by the reduction of income from tolls.” The Czarina answered with the resolution: “I desire no profit from the enemies of Christ.”

Sources are contradictory as to the number of Jews that were actually evicted, ranging from almost none to 35,000, the latter figure having questionable origins; strong resistance to the edict by Jews, land proprietors and the state apparati meant it was enforced almost as little as previous attempts had been. Catherine II, who became Czarina 1762

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

in consequence of a coup, also being a neophyte to Eastern Orthodoxy herself, was unwilling to start her reign opening things up for Jews, though the Senate advised it. Jews pressed for it and had spokesmen in Petersburg, Riga, and Ukraine. She found a way around her own law in permitting their entry for colonization into “New Russia,” the area between Crimea and Moldavia, which was still a wasteland. This was organized secretly from Riga, and the nationality of the Jews was kept more or less secret. Jews went there from Poland and Lithuania. In the first Partition of Poland, 1772, Russia reacquired White Russia (Belarus) along with her 100,000 Jews.

After the 11th century more and more Jews came into Poland because princes and later kings encouraged “all active, industrious people” from western Europe to settle there. Jews actually received special rights, e.g. in the 13th century from Boleslav the Pious; in the 14th century, from Kasimir the Great; in the 16th century from Sigismund I and Stephan Bathory; though this sometimes alternated with repression, e.g. in the 15th century by Vladislav Yagiello and Alexander, son of Kasimir. There were two pogroms in Krakow. In the 16th century several ghettos were constructed partly to protect the Jews. The Roman Catholic spirituals were the most continuous source of hostility to the Jewish presence. Nevertheless, on balance it must have been a favorable environment, since in first half of the 16th century the Jewish population increased substantially. There was a big role for Jews in the business activity of landlords, in that they became leasers of brandy-distilling operations.

After the Tatar devastation, Kiev in the 14th century came under Lithuania and/or Poland, and with this arrangement more and more Jews wandered from Podolia and Volhynia into the Ukraine, in the regions of Kiev,

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

Poltava, and Chernigov. This process accelerated when a large part of Ukraine came directly under Poland in the Union of Lublin, 1569. The main population consisted of Orthodox peasants, who for a long time had had special rights and were free of tolls. Now began an intensive colonization of the Ukraine by the Szlachta (Polish nobility) with conjoint action by the Jews. The Cossacks were forced into immobility, and obligated to perform drudgery and pay taxes. The Catholic lords burdened the Orthodox peasants with various taxes and service duties, and in this exploitation the Jews also partly played a sad role. They leased from the lords the “propination,” i.e. the right to distil vodka and sell it, as well as other trades. The Jewish leaser, who represented the Polish lord, received – of course only to a certain degree – the power that the landholder had over the peasants; and since the Jewish leasers strove to wring from the peasants a maximum profit, the rage of the peasants rose not only against the Catholic landlords but also against the Jewish leasers. When from this situation a bloody uprising of the Cossacks arose in 1648 under leadership of Chmelnitsky, Jews as well as Poles were the victims. An estimated 10,000 Jews died.

The Jews were lured in by the natural riches of the Ukraine and by Polish magnates that were colonizing the land, and thus assumed an important economic role. Since they served the interests of the landlords and the régime the Jews brought on themselves the hatred of the residents. N. I. Kostomarov adds that the Jews leased not only various branches of the privileged industries but even the Orthodox churches, gaining the right to levy a fee for baptisms.

After the uprising, the Jews, on the basis of the Treaty of Belaia Tserkov (1651) were again given the right to resettle in the Ukraine. As before, the Jews were residents

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

and leasers of the royal industries and the industries of the Szlachta, and so it was to remain. Going into the 18th century, brandy distilling was practically the main profession of Jews. This trade often led to conflicts with the peasants, who sometimes were drawn into the taverns not so much because they were well-to-do, but on account of their poverty and misery.

Included among the restrictions placed on the Polish Jews in response to demands of the Catholic Church was the prohibition against Jews having Christian house-servants. Because of the recruitment coupled with the state tax increases in neighboring Russia, not a few refugees came to Poland, where they had no rights. In the debates of Catherine's commission for reworking a new Law code (1767/68), one could hear that in Poland "already a number of Russian refugees are servants to Jews."

### **The Kahal And Civil Rights**

The Jews of Poland maintained a vigorous economic relation to the surrounding population, yet in the five centuries that they lived there, did not permit any influence from outside themselves. One century after another rolled by in post-medieval European development, while the Polish Jews remained confined to themselves and became increasingly anachronistic in appearance. They had a fixed order within themselves. Here it is granted, that these conditions, which later remained intact also in Russia until the middle of the 19th century, were favorable for the religious and national preservation of the Jews from the very beginning of their Diaspora. The whole of Jewish life was guided by the Kahal, which had developed from the communal life of the Jews. The Kahal, pl. *Kehilot* was the

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

autonomous organization of the leadership of the Jewish congregations in Poland.

The Kahal was a buffer between Polish authorities and the Jewish people; it collected taxes, for example. It took care of the needy and also regulated Jewish commerce, approved resales, purchases, and leases. It adjudicated disputes between Jews, which could not be appealed to the secular legal system without incurring the ban (*herem*). What may have started as a democratic institution took on the qualities of an oligarchy bent on maintaining its own power. In turn, the rabbis and Kahal had a mutually exploitative relationship, in that the rabbis were the executive enforcement arm of the Kahal, and owed their position to appointment by the Kahal. Likewise, the Kahal owed the maintenance of its power more to the secular régime than to its own people.

Toward end of 17th century and through 18th century, the country was torn by strife; the magnates' arbitrariness increased further. Jews became poor and demoralized, and hardened in early medieval forms of life. They became child-like, or better childish oldsters. 16th century Jewish spiritual rulers were concentrated in German and Polish Jewry. They put barriers up against contact with outsiders. The rabbinate held the Jews in firm bondage to the past.

The fact that the Jewish people have held themselves together in their diaspora for 2,000 years inspires wonder and admiration. But when one examines certain periods more closely, as e.g. the Polish/Russian one in the 16th and into the middle of the 17th century, and how this unity was only won by means of methods of suppression exercised by the Kehilot, then one no longer knows if it can be evaluated merely as an aspect of religious tradition. If the slightest trace



## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

of such isolationism were detected amongst us Russians, we would be severely faulted.

When Jewry came under the rule of the Russian state, this indigenous system remained, in which the hierarchy of the Kahal had a self-interest. According to J. I. Gessen, all the anger that enlightened Jews felt against the ossifying Talmudic tradition became stronger in the middle of the 19th century: “The representatives of the ruling class of Jewry staked everything on persuading the [Russian] administration of the necessity to maintain this centuries-old institution, which reflected the interests both of the Russian power and of the ruling Jewish class; the Kahal in connection with the rabbis held all the power and not seldom abused it: it misappropriated public funds, trampled the rights of the poor, arbitrarily increased taxes and wreaked vengeance on personal enemies.” At the end of the 18th century the governor of one of the administrative regions attached to Russia wrote in his report: “The rabbis, the spiritual Council and the Kahal, which are knitted closely together, hold all things in their hand and lord it over the conscience of the Jews, and in complete isolation rule over them, without any relation to the civil order.”

In 18th century Eastern European Jewry two movements developed: the religious one of the Hassidim [or Hasidim, or Chasidim] and the enlightening one favoring secular culture, spearheaded by Moses Mendelsohn; but the Kehiloth suppressed both with all its might. In 1781 the Rabbinate of [Lithuanian] Vilna placed the ban over the Hasidim and in 1784 the Assembly of Rabbis in [White Russian] Mogilev declared them as “*outlaws* and their property as *without owner*.” hereafter mobs laid waste to the houses of Hasidim in several cities, .e. it was an intraJewish pogrom. The Hasidim were persecuted in the most cruel and

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

unfair manner; their rivals did not even feel embarrassed to denounce them before the Russian authorities with false political charges. In turn, in 1799 the officials arrested members of the Kehilot of Vilna for embezzlement of tax money, based on the complaints of Hasidics. The Hasidim movement expanded, being especially successful in certain provinces. The rabbis had Hasidic books publicly burned and the Hasidim emerged as defenders of the people against abuses of the Kehilot. It is apparent that in those times the religious war between Jews overshadowed other questions of religious life.

The part of White Russia that fell to Russia in 1772 consisted of the Provinces of Polotsk (later Vitebsk) and Mogilev. In a communiqué to those governments in the name of Catherine it was explained that their residents “of whichever sex and standing they might be” would from now on have the right to public exercise of faith and to own property in addition to “all rights, freedoms and privileges which their subjects previously enjoyed.” The Jews were thus legally set as equals to Christians, which had not been the case in Poland. As to the Jews, it was added that their businesses “stay and remain intact with all those rights that they today...enjoy” – i.e. nothing would be taken away from Polish rights either. Through this, the previous power of the Kehilot survived: the Jews with their Kahal system remained isolated from the rest of the population and were not immediately taken into the class of traders and businessmen that corresponded to their predominant occupations.

In the beginning, Catherine was on her guard not only against any hostile reaction of the Polish nobility, from whom power threatened to slip away, but also against giving an unfavorable impression to her Orthodox subjects. But she did extend wider rights to the

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

Jews, whom she wished well and promised herself of their economic utility to the nation. Already in 1778, the most recent general Russian regulation was extended to White Russia: those holding up to 500 rubles belonged to the class of trade-plying townsmen; those with more capital, to the class of merchant, endowed into one of three guilds according to possession: both classes were free of the poll tax and paid 1% of their capital which was “declared according to conscience.”

This regulation was of particularly great significance: it set aside the national isolation of Jews up to that time – Catherine wanted to end that. Further, she subverted the traditional Polish perspective on Jews as an element standing outside the state. Moreover, she weakened the Kahal system, the capability of the Kahal to compel. The process began of pressing Jews into the civil organism. The Jews availed themselves to a great extent of the right to be registered as merchants – so that e.g. 10% of the Jewish population in the Mogilev Province declared themselves as merchants (but only 5.5% of the Christians.) The Jewish merchants were now freed from the tax obligation to the Kahal and did not have to apply to the Kahal any more for permission to be temporarily absent – they had only to deal with the cognizant magistrate. In 1780 the Jews in Mogilev and Shklov greeted Catherine upon her arrival with odes.

With this advance of Jewish merchants the civil category “Jew” ceased to exist. All other Jews had now likewise to be assigned to a status, and obviously the only one left for them was

“townsmen.” But at first, few wanted to be reclassified as such, since the annual poll tax for townsmen at that time was 60 kopecks but only 50 kopecks for “Jews.” However, there was no other option. From 1783, neither the Jewish

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

townsmen nor merchants needed to pay their taxes to the Kahal, but instead, to the magistrate, each according to his class, and from him they also received their travel passes.

The new order had consequences for the cities, which only took status into consideration, not nationality. According to this arrangement, all townsmen and thus also all Jews had the right to participate in the local class governance and occupy official posts. Corresponding to the conditions of that time this meant that the Jews became citizens with equal rights.

The entry of Jews as citizens with equal right into the merchant guilds and townsmen class was an event of great social significance. It was supposed to transform the Jews into an economic power that would have to be reckoned with, and raise their morale. It also made the practical protection of their life-interests easier. At that time the classes of traders and tradesmen just like the municipal commonwealth had a broad self-determination. Thus, a certain administrative and judicial power was placed into the hands of Jews just like Christians, through which the Jewish population held a commercial and civil influence and significance. Jews could now not only become mayors but also advisory delegates and judges.

At first limitations were enacted in the larger cities to ensure that no more Jews occupied electable positions than Christians. In 1786 however Catherine sent to the Governor General of White Russia a command written by her own hand: to actualize the equality of Jews “in the municipal-class self-governance unconditionally and without any hesitation” and to “impose an appropriate penalty upon anyone that should hinder this equality.” It should be pointed out that the Jews thus were given equal rights not only in contrast to Poland, but also earlier than in France or the

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

German states. (Under Frederick the Great the Jews suffered great limitations.) Indeed: the Jews in Russia had from the beginning the *personal* freedom that the Russian peasants were only granted 80 years later. Paradoxically, the Jews gained greater freedom than even the Russian merchants and tradesmen. The latter had to live exclusively in the cities, while in contrast the Jewish population could live in colonies in the country and distill liquor.

Although the Jews dwelled in clusters not only in the city but also in the villages, they were accounted as part of the city contingent inclusive of merchant and townsmen classes. According to the manner of their activity and surrounded by unfree peasantry they played an important economic roll. Rural trade was concentrated in their hands, and they leased various posts belonging to the landowners' privilege – specifically, the sale of vodka in taverns – and therewith fostered the expansion of drunkenness. The White-Russian powers reported: “The presence of Jews in the villages acts with harm upon the economic and moral condition of the rural population, because the Jews encourage drunkenness among the local population.” In the stance taken by the powersthat-be, it was indicated among other things that the Jews led the peasants astray with drunkenness, idleness and poverty, that they had given them vodka on credit, received pledges in pawn for vodka, etc. But the brandy operations were an attractive source of income for both the Polish landowners and the Jewish commissioners.

Granted, the gift of citizenship that the Jews received brought a danger with it: obviously the Jews were also supposed to acquiesce to the general rule to cease the brandy business in the villages and move out. In 1783 the following decree was published: “The general rule requires every citizen to apply himself in a respectable trade and business,

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

but not the distilling of schnapps as that is not a fitting business,” and whenever the proprietor “permits the merchant, townsman or Jew to distill vodka, he will be held as a law-breaker.” And thus, it happened: they began to transfer the Jews from the villages to the cities to deflect them from their centuries-old occupation, the leasing of distilleries and taverns.”

To the Jews the threat of a complete removal from the villages naturally appeared not as a uniform civil measure, but rather as one that was set up specially to oppose their national religion. The Jewish townsmen that were supposed to be resettled into the city and unambiguously were to be robbed of a very lucrative business in the country, fell into an innercity and inner-Jewish competition. Indignation grew among the Jews, and in 1784 a commission of the Kehilot traveled to St Petersburg to seek the cancellation of these measures. (At the same time, the Kehilot reasoned that they should, with the help of the administration, regain their lost power in its full extent over the Jewish population.) But the answer of the Czarina read: “As soon as the people yoked to the Jewish law have arrived at the condition of equality, the Order must be upheld in every case, so that each according to his rank and status enjoys the benefits and rights, without distinction of belief or national origin.”

But the clenched power of the Polish proprietors also had to be reckoned with. Although the administration of White Russia forbade them in 1783 to lease the schnapps distilling to unauthorized person, especially Jews, the landlords continued to lease this industry to Jews. That was their right, an inheritance of centuries-old Polish custom. The Senate did not venture to apply force against the landholders and in 1786 removed their jurisdiction to relocate Jews into cities. For this a compromise was found: The Jews would be

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

regarded as people that had relocated to the cities, but would retain the right to *temporary* visits to the villages. That meant that those that were living in the villages continued to live there. The Senate permission of 1786 permitted the Jews to live in villages and Jews were allowed to lease from the landholders the right to produce and sell alcoholic beverages, while Christian merchants and townsmen did not obtain these rights.

Even the efforts of the delegation of Kehilot in St Petersburg was not wholly without success. They did not get what they came for – the establishment of a separate Jewish court for all contentions between Jews – but in 1786 a significant part of their supervisory right was given back: the supervision of Jewish townsmen i.e. the majority of the Jewish population. This included not only the division of public benefits but also the levying of poll tax and adjudicating the right to separate from the congregation. Thus, the administration recognized its interest in not weakening the power of the Kahal.

In all Russia, the status of traders and businessmen (merchants and townsmen) did not have the right to choose their residences. Their members were bound to that locality in which they were registered, in order that the financial position of their localities would not be weakened. However, the Senate made an exception in 1782 for White Russia: the merchants could move “as the case might be, as it was propitious for commerce” from one city to another. The ruling favored especially the Jewish merchants.

However, they began to exploit this right in a greater extent than had been foreseen: Jewish merchants began to be registered in Moscow and Smolensk. Jews began soon after the annexation of White Russia in 1782 to settle in Moscow. By the end of the 18th century the number of Jews in

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

Moscow was considerable. Some Jews that had entered the ranks of the Moscow merchant class began to practice wholesaling. Other Jews in contrast sold foreign goods from their apartments or in the courts, or began peddling, though this was at the time forbidden. In 1790 the Moscow merchants submitted a complaint to the government: "In Moscow has emerged a not insignificant number of Jews from foreign countries and from White Russia who as opportunity afforded joined the Moscow merchant guilds and then utilized forbidden methods of business, which brought about very hurtful damage, and the cheapness of their goods indicates that it involves smuggling, but moreover as is well-known they cut coins: it is possible, that they will also do this in Moscow." As a response to their thoroughly cagey findings, the Moscow merchants demanded their removal from Moscow. The Jewish merchants appealed with a counter-complaint that they were not accepted into the Smolensk and Moscow merchant guilds.

The Council of Her Majesty heard the complaints. In accordance with the Unified Russian Order, she firmly established that the Jews did not have the right to be registered in the Russian trading towns and harbors, but only in White Russia. "By no means is usefulness to be expected" from the migration of Jews into Moscow. In December 1791, she promulgated a highest-order *ukase*, which prohibited Jews from joining the merchant guilds of the inner provinces, but permitted them for a limited time for trade reasons to enter Moscow. Jews were allowed to utilize the rights of the merchant guild and townsman class only in White Russia. The right to permanent residency and membership in the townsman class, Catherine continued, was granted in New Russia, now accessible in the viceregencies of Yekaterinoslav ("Glory of Catherine the Great", later



## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

changed to Dnepropetrovsk) and Taurida; that is, Catherine allowed Jews to migrate into the new, expansive territories, into which Christian merchants and townsmen from the provinces of interior Russia generally were not permitted to emigrate.

When in 1796 it was made known that groups of Jews had already immigrated into the Kiev, Chernigov and Novgorod-Syeverusk Provinces, it was likewise granted there to utilize the right of the merchant guild and the townsman class. The pre-Revolution *Jewish Encyclopedia* writes: “The *ukase* of 1791 laid the groundwork for setting up the Pale of Settlement,” even if it wasn’t so intended. Under the conditions of the then-obtaining social and civic order in general, and of Jewish life in particular, the administration could not consider bringing about a particularly onerous situation and conclude for them exceptional laws, which among other things would restrict the right of residency. In the context of its time, this *ukase* did not contain that which in this respect would have brought the Jews into a less favorable condition than the Christians. The *ukase* of 1791 in no way limited the rights of Jews in the choice of residency, created no special borders, and for Jews the way was opened into new regions, into which in general people could not emigrate. The main point of the decree was not concerned with their Jewishness, but that they were traders; the question was not considered from the national or religious point of view, but only from the viewpoint of usefulness.

This *ukase* of 1791, which actually granted privileges to Jewish merchants in comparison to Christian ones, was in the course of time the basis for the future Pale of Settlement, which almost until the Revolution cast as it were a dark shadow over Russia. By itself, however, the *ukase* of 1791 was not so oppressive as to prevent a small Jewish colony

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

from emerging in St Petersburg by the end of the reign of Catherine II. Here lived the famous tax-leaser Abram Peretz and some of the merchants close to him, and also, while the religious struggle was in full swing, the rabbi Avigdor Chaimovitch and his opponent, the famous hassidic Tzadik Zalman Boruchovitch.

In 1793 and 1795 the second and third Partition of Poland took place, and the Jewish population from Lithuania, Poldolia, and Volhynia, numbering almost a million, came under Russia's jurisdiction. This increase in population was a very significant event, though for a long time not recognized as such. It later influenced the fate of both Russia and the Jewry of East Europe. After centuries-long wandering Jewry came under one roof, in a single great congregation.

\*\*\*\*

In the now vastly-expanded region of Jewish settlement, the same questions came up as before. The Jews obtained rights of merchant guilds and townsmen, which they had not possessed in Poland, and they got the right to equal participation in the class-municipal selfgovernment, then had to accept the restrictions of this status: they could not migrate into the cities of the inner-Russian provinces, and were liable to be moved out of the villages.

With the now huge extent of the Jewish population, the Russian regime no longer had a way to veil the fact that the Jews continued to live in the villages simply by modeling it as a "temporary visit." A burning question was whether the economic condition could tolerate so many tradesmen and traders living amongst the peasants. In order to defuse the problem, many *shtetl* were made equal to cities. Thus, the

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

legal possibility came about for Jews to continue living there. But with the large number of Jews in the country and the high population density in the cities, that was no solution.

It seemed to be a natural way out that the Jews would take advantage of the possibility offered by Catherine to settle in the huge, scarcely-occupied New Russia. The new settlers were offered inducements, but this did not succeed in setting a colonization movement into motion. Even the freedom of the new settlers from taxes appeared not to be attractive enough to induce such a migration. Thus, Catherine decided in 1794 to induce the Jews to emigrate with contrary measures: the Jews were relocated out of the villages. At the same time, she decided to assess the entire Jewish population with a tax that was double that paid by the Christians. Such a tax had already been paid for a long time by the Old Believers, but applied to the Jews, this law proved to be neither effective nor of long duration.

Those were the last regulations of Catherine. From the end of 1796 Paul I reigned. The *Jewish Encyclopedia* evaluates him in this way: “The time of the angry rule of Paul I passed well for the Jews... All edicts of Paul I concerning the Jews indicate that the monarch was tolerant and benevolent toward the Jewish population.” When the interest of Jews conflicted with Christians, Paul I by no means automatically sided with the Christian. Even when in 1797 he ordered measures to reduce the power of the Jews and the spirituals over the peasants, that was actually directed against the Jews: the point was the protection of the peasants. Paul recognized also the right of the Hasidim not to have to live in secrecy. He extended the right of Jews to belong to the merchant and townsmen-class even to the Courland Province which was no Polish inheritance, and later, it also did not belong to the Pale of Settlement. Consistent with that policy,

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

he denied the respective petitions of the parishes of Kovno, Kamenez-Podolsk, Kiev and Vilna, to be permitted to move the Jews out of their cities.

Paul had inherited the stubborn resistance of the Polish landholders against any changing of their rights; among these was the right over the Jews and the right to hold court over them. They misused these rights often. Thus, the Complaint of the Jews of Berdychiv [Ukraine] against the princes of Radziwill stated: “in order to hold our religious services, we must first pay gold to those to whom the prince has leased our faith,” and against Catherine’s former favorite Simon Zorich: “one ought not to have to pay him for the air one breathes.” In Poland, many *shtetl* and cities were the possession of nobles, and the landowners assessed arbitrary and opportunistic levies that the residents had to pay.

### **Derzhavin And the Belarus Famine**

Since the start of the reign of Paul I there was a great famine in White Russia, especially in the province of Minsk. The poet Gavrila Romanovich Derzhavin, then serving as Senator, was commissioned to go there and determine its cause and seek a solution — for which task he received no money to buy grain, but instead had the right to confiscate possessions of negligent landowners, sell their stockpile and distribute them.

Derzhavin was not just a great poet, but also an outstanding statesman who left behind unique proofs of his effectiveness which merits examination. The famine, as Derzhavin confirmed, was unimaginable. He writes “when I arrived in White Russia, I personally convinced myself of the great scarcity of grain among the villagers. Due to the very serious hunger — virtually all nourished themselves from

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

fermented grass, mixed with a tiny portion of meal or pearl barley. The peasants were malnourished and sallow like dead people. In order to remedy this, I found out which of the rich landowners had grain in their storehouses, took it to the town center and distributed it to the poor; and I commanded the goods of a Polish Count in view of such pitiless greed to be yielded to a trustee. After the nobleman was made aware of the dire situation he awoke from his slumber or better, from his shocking indifference toward humanity: he used every means to feed the peasants by acquiring grain from neighboring provinces and when after two months the harvest time arrived and the famine ended.” When Derzhavin visited the provincial government, he so pursued the noble rulers and district police captains that the nobility banded together and sent the Czar a scurrilous complaint against him.

Derzhavin discovered that the Jewish schnapps distillers exploited the alcoholism of the peasants: “After I had discovered that the Jews from profit-seeking use the lure of drink to beguile grain from the peasants, convert it into brandy and therewith cause a famine. I commanded that they should close their distilleries in the village Liosno. I informed myself from sensible inhabitants as well as nobles, merchants, and villagers about the manner of life of the Jews, their occupations, their deceptions and all their pettifogging with which they afflict the poor dumb villages with hunger; and on the other hand, by what means one could protect them from the common pack and how to facilitate for them an honorable and respectable way out to enable them to become useful citizens.”

Afterwards, in the autumn months, Derzhavin described many evil practices of the Polish landlords and Jewish leasers in his “Memorandum on the mitigation of famine in White Russia and on the lifestyles of the Jews,

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

which he also made known to the czar and the highest officials of state. This *Memorandum* is a very comprehensive document that evaluates the conditions inherited from the Poles as well as the possibilities for overcoming the poverty of the peasants, describing the peculiarities of the Jewish way of life of that time and includes a proposal for reform in comparison to Prussia and Austria.

The very explicit practical presentation of the recommended measures makes this the first work of an enlightened Russian citizen concerning Jewish life in Russia, in those first years in which Russia acquired Jews in a large mass. That makes it a work of special interest. The *Memorandum* consists of two parts: (1) on the residence of White Russian in general (in reviews of the Memorandum we usually find no mention of this important part) and (2) on the Jews.

In part one, Derzhavin begins by establishing that the agricultural economy was in shambles. The peasants there were “lazy on the job, not clever, they procrastinate every small task and are sluggish in field work.” Year in, year out “they eat unwinnowed corn: in the spring, Kolotucha or Bolotucha from eggs and rye meal. In summer, they content themselves with a mixture of a small amount of some grain or other with chopped and cooked grass. They are so weakened, that they stagger around.”

The local Polish landlords “are not good proprietors. They do not manage the property themselves, but lease it out, a Polish custom. But for the lease there are no universal rules protecting the peasants from overbearing or to keep the business aspect from falling apart. Many greedy leasers, by imposing hard work and oppressive taxes bring the people into a bad way and transform the into poor, homeless peasants.’ This lease is all the worst for being short-term,

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

made for 1-3 years at a time so that the leaser hastens to get his advantage from it without regard to the exhausting of the estate.”

The emaciation of the peasants was sometimes even worse: “several landlords that lease the traffic in spirits in their villages to the Jews, sign stipulations that the peasants may only buy their necessities from these leasers [at triple price]; likewise, the peasants may not sell their product to anyone except the Jewish lease holder, cheaper than the market price.” Thus “they plunge the villagers into misery, and especially when they distribute again their hoarded grain they must finally give a double portion; whoever does not do it is punished. The villagers are robbed of every possibility to prosper and be full.”

Then he develops in more detail the problem of the liquor distilling. Schnapps was distilled by the landlords, the landed nobility [Szlachta] of the region, the priests, monks, and Jews. Of the almost million Jews, two to three thousand lived in the villages and lived mainly from the liquor traffic. The peasants, “after bringing in the harvest, are sweaty and careless in what they spend; they drink, eat, enjoy themselves, pay the Jews for their old debts and then, whatever they ask for drinks. For this reason, the shortage is already manifest by winter... In every settlement there is at least one, and in several settlements quite a few taverns built by the landlords, where for their advantage and that of the Jewish lease-holders, liquor is sold day and night... There the Jews trick them out of not only the life-sustaining grain, but that which is sown in the field, field implements, household items, health and even their life.” And all that is sharpened by the mores of the koleda “... Jews travel especially during the harvest in autumn through the villages, and after they have made the farmer along with his whole

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

family drunk, drive them into debt and take from them every last thing needed to survive.... In that they box the drunkard's ears and plunder him, the villager is plunged into the deepest misery." He lists also other reasons for the impoverishing of the peasants.

Doubtless behind these fateful distilleries stand the Polish landlords. Proprietor and leaser acted in behalf of the owner and attend to making a profit: "To this class" Gessen asserts "belonged not just Jews but also Christians" especially priests. But the Jews were an irreplaceable, active and very inventive link in the chain of exploitation of these illiterate emaciated peasants that had no rights of their own. If the White Russian settlement had not been injected with Jewish tavern managers and leasers, then the wide-spread system of exploitation would not have functioned, and removing the Jewish links in the chain would have ended it.

After this Derzhavin recommended energetic measures, as for example for the expurgation of these burdens of peasant life. The landlords would need to attend to this problem. Only they alone who are responsible for the peasants should be allowed to distill liquor "under their own... supervision and not from far-removed places," and to see to it, that "every year a supply of grain for themselves and the peasants" would be on hand, and indeed as much as would be needed for good nutrition. "If the danger arises that this is not done, then the property is to be confiscated for the state coffers. The schnapps distilling is to begin no sooner than the middle of September and end middle of April, i.e. the whole time of land cultivation is to be free of liquor consumption. In addition, liquor is not to be sold during worship services or at night. Liquor stores should only be permitted in the main streets, near the markets, mills and establishments where foreigners gather."



## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

But all the superfluous and newly-built liquor stores, “whose number has greatly increased since the annexation of White Russia are immediately to cease use for that purpose: the sale of liquor in them to be forbidden. In villages and out-of-the-way places there should not be any, that the peasant not sink into drunkenness.” Jews however should “not be permitted to sell liquor either by the glass or the keg... nor should they be the brew masters in the distilleries,” and “they should not be allowed to lease the liquor stores.” Koledas are also to be forbidden; as well as the shortterm leasing of operations. By means of exacting stipulations “the leaser is to be prevented from working an operation into the ground.” Market abuse to be forbidden under threat of punishment, by which the landlords do not permit their peasants to buy what they need somewhere else, or to sell their surplus somewhere other than to their proprietor. There were still other economic proposals: “in this manner the scarcity of food can in the future be prevented in the White Russian Province.”

In the second part of the *Memorandum*, Derzhavin, going out from the task given by the Senate, submitted a suggestion for the transformation of the life of the Jews in the Russian

Kingdom— not in isolation, but rather in the context of the misery of White Russia and with the goal to improve the situation. But here he set himself the assignment to give a brief overview of Jewish history, especially the Polish period in order to explain the current customs of the Jews. Among others, he used his conversations with the Berlin-educated enlightened Jew, physician Ilya Frank, who put his thoughts down in writing.

“The Jewish popular teachers mingle mystic-Talmudic pseudo-exegesis of the Bible with the true spirit of

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

the teachings... They expound strict laws with the goal of isolating the Jews from other peoples and to instill a deep hatred against every other religion... Instead of cultivating a universal virtue, they contrive... an empty ceremony of honoring God... The moral character of the Jews has changed in the last century to their disadvantage, and in consequence they have become pernicious subjects... In order to renew the Jews morally and politically, they have to be brought to the point of returning to the original purity of their religion... The Jewish reform in Russia must begin with the foundation of public schools, in which the Russian, German and Jewish languages would be taught.”

What kind of prejudice is it to believe that the assimilation of secular knowledge is tantamount to a betrayal of religion and folk and that working the land is not suitable for a Jew? Derzhavin declined in his *Memorandum* a suggestion by Nota Chaimovitsh Notkin, a major merchant from Shklov, whom he had also met. Although Notkin demurred from the most important conclusions and suggestions of Derzhavin that had to do with Jews, he was at the same time in favor, if possible, of excluding the Jews from the production of liquor; and saw it as needful for them to get an education and pursue a productive career, preferably working with their hands, whereby he also held out the possibility of emigration “into the fruitful steppe for the purpose of raising sheep and crops.”

Following the explanation of Frank who rejected the power of the Kehilot, Derzhavin proceeded from the same general consequences: “The original principles of pure worship and ethics” of the Jews had been transformed into “false concepts,” by which the simple Jewish people “is misled, and constantly *is* so led, so much so that between them and those of other faiths a wall has been built that

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

cannot be broken through, which has been made firm, a wall that firmly binds the Jews together and, surrounded by darkness, separates them from their fellow citizens.” Thus in raising their children “they pay plenty for Talmud instruction – and that without time limit ... As long as the students continue in their current conditions, there is no prospect for a change in their ways.... They believe themselves to be the true worshippers of God, and despise everyone of a different faith... There the people are brought to a constant expectation of the Messiah... They believe their Messiah, by overthrowing all earthly things will rule over them in flesh and blood and restore to them their former kingdom, fame and glory.”

Of the youths he wrote: “they marry all too young, sometimes before they reach ten years old, and though nubile, they are not strong enough.” Regarding the Kahal system: the inner Jewish collection of levies provides “to the Kehilot every year an enviable sum of income that is incomparably higher than the state taxes that are raised from individuals in the census lists. The Kahal elders do not excuse anyone from the accounting. As a result, their poor masses find themselves in the condition of severe emaciation and great poverty, and there are many of them... In contrast, the members of the kahal are rich, and live in superfluity; by ruling over both levers of power, the spiritual and secular,... they have a great power over the people. In this way they hold them ... in great poverty and fear.” The Kehilot “issues to the people every possible command... which must be performed with such exactitude and speed, that one can only wonder.” Derzhavin identified the nub of the problem thusly: “the Jews’ great number in White Russia ... is itself a heavy burden for the land on account of the disproportion to that of the crop farmers... This disproportion is the

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

outstanding one of several important reasons that produces here a shortage of grain and other edible stores... Not one of them was a crop farmer at that time, yet each possessed and gobbled up more grain than the peasant with his large family, who had harvested it by the sweat of his brow... Above all, in the villages they ... are occupied in giving the peasant all their necessities on credit, at an extraordinary rate of interest; and thus the peasant, who at some time or other became a debtor to them, can no longer get free of it." Arching over this are the "frivolous landlords that put their villages into Jewish hands, not just temporarily but permanently." The landowners however are happy to be able to shift everything on to the Jews:

"according to their own words, they regard the Jews as the sole reason for the wasting of the peasants" and the landlord only rarely acknowledges "that he, if they were removed from his holdings, would suffer no small loss, since he receives from them no small income from the lease." Thus Derzhavin did not neglect to examine the matter from a variety of angles: "In fairness to the Jews we must point out also that during this grain shortage they have taken care to feed not a few hungry villagers—though everyone also knows that that came with a bill: upon the harvest being brought in, they will get it back 100-fold." In a private report to the Attorney General, Derzhavin wrote, "It is hard not to err by putting all the blame on one side. The peasants booze away their grain with the Jews and suffer under its shortage. The landholders cannot forbid drunkenness, for they owe almost all their income to the distilling of liquor. And all the blame cannot be placed even on the Jews, that they take the last morsel of bread away from the peasant to earn their own life sustenance."

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

To Ilya Frank, Derzhavin once said, “since the providence of this tiny scattered people has preserved them until the present, we too must take care for their protection.” And in his report he wrote with the uprightness of that time, “if the Most High Providence, to the end of some unknown purpose, leaves on account of His purposes this dangerous people to live on the earth, then governments under whose scepter they have sought protection must bear it... They are thus obligated extend their protection to the Jews, so that they may be useful both to themselves and to the society in which they dwell.”

Because of all his observations in White Russia, and of his conclusion, and of all he wrote in the *Memorandum*, and especially because of all these lines, and probably also because he “praised the keen vision of the great Russian monarchs which forbade the immigration and travel of these clever robbers into their realm,” Derzhavin is spoken of as a fanatical enemy of Jews, a great Anti-Semite. He is accused – though unjustly, as we have seen – of imputing the drunkenness and poverty of the White Russian peasant exclusively to the Jews, and his positive measures were characterized as given without evidence, to serve his personal ambition. But that he was in no wise prejudiced against the Jews, is indicated in that (1) his whole *Memorandum* emerged in 1800 in response to the actual misery and hunger of the peasants, (2) the goal was to do well by both the White Russian peasant and the Jews, (3) he distinguished them *economically* and (4) his desire was to orient the Jews toward a real productive activity, of whom, as Catherine planned, a part first and foremost was supposed to have been relocated in territories that were not closed.

As a critical difficulty Derzhavin saw the instability and transientness of the Jewish population, of which scarcely

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

1/6 was included in the census. “Without a special, extraordinary effort it is difficult to count them accurately, because, being in cities, shtetl, manor courts, villages, and taverns, they constantly move back and forth, they do not identify themselves as local residents, but as guests that are here from another district or colony.” Moreover, “they all look alike... and have the same name,” and have no surname; and “not only that, all wear the same black garments: one cannot distinguish them and misidentifies them when they are registered or identified, especially in connection with judicial complaints and investigations.” Therein the Kehilot takes care not “to disclose the real number, in order not unduly to burden their wealthy with taxes for the number registered.”

Derzhavin sought however a comprehensive solution “to reduce the number of Jews in the White Russian villages... without causing damage to anyone and thus to ease the feeding of the original residents; yet at the same time, for those that should remain, to provide better and less degrading possibilities for earning their sustenance.” In addition, he probed how to “reduce their fanaticism and, without retreating in the slightest from the rule of toleration toward different religions, to lead them by a barely-noticed way to enlightenment; and after expunging their hatred of people of other faiths, above all to bring them to give up their besetting intention of stealing foreign goods.” The goal was to find a way to separate the *freedom of religious conscience* from *freedom from punishment of evil deeds*.

Thereafter he laid out by layers and explicitly the measures to be recommended, and in doing so gave proof of his economic and statesmanlike competence. First, “that the Jews should have no occasion for any kind of irritation, to send them into flight or even to murmur quietly,” they are to

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

be reassured of protection and favor by a manifest of the Czar, in which should be strengthened the principle of tolerance toward their faith and the maintenance of the privileges granted by Catherine, “only with one small change to the previous principles.” (But those “that will not submit to these principles shall be given the freedom to emigrate” — a demand that far exceeded in point of freedom the 20th century Soviet Union).

Immediately thereafter it states: after a specific time interval, after which all new credit is temporarily forbidden, all claims of debt between Jews and Christians to be ordered, documented, and cleared “in order to restore the earlier relation of trust so that in the future not the slightest obstruction should be found for the transformation of the Jews to a different way of life... for the relocation into other districts” or in the old places, “for the assignment of a new life conditions.” Free of debt, the Jews are thus to be made as soon as possible into freemen. All reforms “for the equalization of debt of poor people” is to be applied to poor Jews, to deflect the payment of Kahal debts or for the furnishings for migrants. From the one group, no tax is to be levied for three years — from the other, for six years. Instead, that money is to be dedicated to the setting up of factories and work places for these Jews. Landowners must abandon obligating Jews in their *shtetls* to set up various factories, and instead begin on their estates to cultivate grain, “in order that they may earn their bread with their own hands,” but “under no circumstance is liquor to be sold anywhere, secretly or openly,” or these landholders would themselves lose their rights to the production of liquor.

It was also non-negotiable to carry out a universal, exact census of the population under responsibility of the Kahal elders. For those that had no property to declare as

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

merchant or townsman, two new classes were to be created with smaller income Jews: village burgher and “colonist” (where the denotation “krestyanin” or farmer would not be used because of its similarity to the word ‘Christian’.) The Jewish settlers would have to be regarded as free and not as serfs, but

“under no condition or pretext may they dare to take Christian man-or maidservants, they may not own a single Christian peasant, nor to expand themselves into the domain of magistrates and town fathers, so that they not gain any special rights over Christians. After they have declared their wish to be enrolled in a particular status, then must “the necessary number of young men” be sent to Petersburg, Moscow, or Riga – one group “to learn the keeping of merchant books,” second to learn a trade, the third to attend schools for agriculture and land management.

Meanwhile “some energetic and precise Jews should be selected as deputies... for all these areas where land is designated for colonization.” (There follows minutiae on the arrangements of plans, surveying the land, housing construction, the order to release different groups of settlers, their rights in transit, the grace-period in which they would remain tax-free – all these details that Derzhavin laid out so carefully we pass by.) On the inner ordering of the Jewish congregation: “in order to place the Jews ...under the secular authorities ... just the same as everyone else, the Kehilot may not continue in any form.” Together with the abolishment of the Kehilot is “likewise abolished all previous profiteering assessments, which the Kehilot raised from the Jewish people... and at the same time, the secular taxes are to be assessed... as with the other subjects” (i.e. not doubled), and the schools and synagogues must be protected by law.



## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

“The males may not marry younger than 17 nor the females than 15 years.”

Then there is a section on education and enlightenment of the Jews. The Jewish schools to the 12th year, and thereafter the general schools, are to become more like those of other religions; “those however that have achieved distinction in the high sciences are to be received in the academies and universities as honorary associates, doctors, professors” – but “they are not... to be taken into the rank of officers and staff officers,” because “although they may also be taken into the military service, they will not take up arms against the enemy on Saturday, which in fact often does happen.” Presses for Jewish books are to be constructed. Along with synagogues are to be constructed Jewish hospitals, poor houses, and orphanages.

Thus Derzhavin concluded quite self-consciously: “thus, this cross-grained [scattered] people known as Jews... in this its sad condition will observe an example of order.” Especially regarding enlightenment: “This first point will bear fruit — if not today and immediately, definitely in the coming times, or at worst after several generations, in unnoticed way,” and then the Jews would become “genuine subjects of the Russian throne.” While Derzhavin was composing his *Memorandum*, he also made it known what the Kehilot thought about it, and made it clear that he was by no means making himself their friend.

In the official answers their rejection was formulated cautiously. It stated, “the Jews are not competent for cultivating grain nor accustomed to it, and their faith is an obstacle... They see no other possibilities than their current occupations, which serve their sustenance, and they do not need such, but would like to remain in their current condition.” The Kehilot saw moreover, that the report

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

entailed their own obsolescence, the end of their source of income, and so began, quietly, but stubbornly and tenaciously, to work against Derzhavin's whole proposal.

This opposition expressed itself, according to Derzhavin, by means of a complaint filed by a Jewess from Liosno to the Czar, in which she alleged that, in a liquor distillery, Derzhavin "horrifically beat her with a club, until she, being pregnant, gave birth to a dead infant." The Senate launched an investigation. Derzhavin answered: "As I was a quarter hour long in this factory, I not only did not strike any Jewess, but indeed did not even see one." He sought a personal reception by the Czar. "Let me be imprisoned, but I will reveal the idiocy of the man that has made such claims... How can your Highness... believe such a foolish and untrue complaint?" (The Jew that had taken the lying complaint was condemned to one year in the penitentiary, but after 2 or 3 months Derzhavin "accomplished" his being set free, this being now under the reign of Alexander I.)

The Czar Paul I was murdered in May 1801 and was unable to come to any resolution in connection with Derzhavin's *Memorandum*. It led at the time to small practical results, as one could have expected, since Derzhavin lost his position in the change of court.

Not until the end of 1802 was the "Committee for the Assimilation of the Jews" established to examine Derzhavin's detailed *Memorandum* and prepare corresponding recommendations. The committee consisted of two Polish magnates close to Alexander I: Prince Adam [Jerzy] Czartoryski and Count (Graf) Severin Potocki as well as Count Valerian Subov. Derzhavin observed regarding all three, that they too had great holdings in Poland, and would notice a significant loss of income if the Jews were to be

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

removed, and that “the private interests of the above-mentioned Worthies would outweigh those of the state.”)

Also on the committee were Interior Minister Count Kotshubey and the alreadymentioned Justice Minister, the first in Russian history—Derzhavin himself. Michael Speransky also worked with the committee. The committee was charged to invite Jewish delegates from the Kehiloth of every province and these – mostly merchants of the First Guild – did come. Besides that the committee members had the right to call enlightened and well-meaning Jews of their acquaintance. The already-known Nota Notkin, who had moved from White Russia to Moscow and then St Petersburg; the Petersburg tax-leaser Abram Perets, who was a close friend of Speransky; Yehuda Leib Nevachovich and Mendel Satanaver, — both friends of Perets – and others. Not all took part in the hearings, but they exercised a significant influence on the committee members. Worthy of mention: Abram Perets’ son Gregory was condemned in the Decembrist trial and exiled – probably only because he had discussed the Jewish Question with Pavel Pestel, but without suspecting anything of the Decembrist conspiracy – and because his grandson was the Russian Secretary of State, a very high position. Nevachovich, a humanist (but no cosmopolitan) who was deeply tied to Russian cultural life – then a rarity among Jews – published in Russian *The Crying Voice of the Daughter of Judah* (1803) in which he urged Russian society to reflect on the restrictions of Jewish rights, and admonished the Russians to regard Jews as their countrymen, and take the Jews among them into Russian society.

The committee came to an overwhelmingly-supported resolution: “The Jews are to be guided into the general civil life and education... To steer them toward

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

productive work” it should be made easier for them to become employed in trades and commerce, the constriction of the right of free mobility should be lessened; they must become accustomed to wearing ordinary apparel, for “the custom of wearing clothes that are despised strengthens the custom to be despised.” But the most acute problem was the fact that Jews, on account of the liquor trade, dwelled in the villages at all. Notkin strove to win the committee to the view of letting the Jews continue to live there, and only to take measures against possible abuses on their part.

“The charter of the committee led to tumult in the Kehiloth,” Gessen wrote. A special convocation of their deputies in 1803 in Minsk resolved “to petition our Czar, may his fame become still greater, that they (the Worthies) assume no innovations for us.” They decided to send certain delegates to Petersburg, explained, that an assembly had been held for that purpose, and even called for a three-day Jewish fast. Unrest gripped the whole Pale of Settlement. Quite apart from the threatened expulsion of Jews from the villages, the Kehiloth took a negative stance toward the cultural question out of concern to preserve their own way of life. As answer to the main points of the Recommendation the Kehiloth explained that the Reform must in any case be postponed 1520 years.

Derzhavin wrote “there were from their side various rebuttals aimed to leave everything as it was.” In addition, Gurko, a White Russian landowner sent Derzhavin a letter he had received: a Jew in White Russia had written him regarding one of his plenipotentiaries in Petersburg. It said that they had, in the name of all Kehilot of the world, put the *cherem* or *herem*, (i.e. the ban) on Derzhavin as a Persecutor, and had gathered a million to be used as gifts (bribes) for this situation and had forwarded it to St Petersburg. They

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

appealed for all efforts to be applied to the removal of Derzhavin as Attorney General, and if that were not possible to seek his life. However the thing they wanted to achieve was not to be forbidden to sell liquor in the village tavern, and in order to make it easier to advance this business, they would put together opinions from foreign regions, from different places and peoples, on how the situation of the Jews could be improved. In fact such opinions, sometimes in French, sometimes, in German, began to be sent to the Committee.

Besides this, Nota Notkin became the central figure that organized the little Jewish congregation of Petersburg. In 1803 he submitted a brief to the Committee in which he sought to paralyze the effect of the proposal submitted by Derzhavin. Derzhavin writes that Notkin came to him one day and asked, with “feigned well-wishing,” that he, Derzhavin, should not take a stand all alone against his colleagues on the Committee, who all are on the side of the Jews; whether he would not accept 100,000 or, if that was too little, 200,000 rubles, “only so that he could be of one mind with all his colleagues on the committee.” Derzhavin decided to disclose this attempt at bribery to the Czar and prove it to him with Gurko’s letter. He thought such strong proofs prove effective and the Czar would start to be wary of the people that surrounded him and protected the Jews. Speransky also informed the Czar of it, but Speransky was fully committed to the Jews, and from the first meeting of the Jewish Committee it became apparent that all members represented the view that the liquor distilling should continue in the hands of Jews as before.

Derzhavin opposed it. Alexander bore himself ever more coldly toward him and dismissed his Justice Minister shortly thereafter (1803). Beside this, Derzhavin’s papers

# THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

indicate that whether in military or civil service he had come into disfavor. He retired from public life in 1805.

Derzhavin foresaw much that developed in the problematic Russo-Judaic relationship throughout the entire 19th century, even if not in the exact and unexpected form that it took in the event. He expressed himself coarsely, as was customary then, but he did not intend to oppress the Jews; on the contrary, he wanted to open to the Jews paths to a more free and productive life.

## **Chapter II. - Under the Reign of Alexander I**

By the end of 1804, the government committee on the Jews completed its work. The promulgation of the Regulations of 9 December 1804 were Russia's first comprehensive legal attempt to deal with the Jewish question. The Committee explained that the concept of population transfer was in the best interest of the Jews themselves and would allow them to prosper "opening the way to only their own benefit ... and removing anything from the road can still seduce them." The Regulations established the principle of civil equality of Jews in Article 42: "All Jews living in Russia are free and are made equal under the auspices of the precise laws along with other Russian subjects." According to the commentary of Prof. Gradovsky in this article, "one cannot ignore the desire to merge ... the people of the entire population of Russia." The Regulations opened more opportunities for the Jews than the original proposals of Derzhavin. There was the institution of textile and leather factories as well as the transition to agriculture in undeveloped land, and offers of direct state aid. The Jews were given the right to acquire land without serfs on it, but with the right to use hired workers including

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

Christians. Jewish factory owners, merchants and craftsmen were now entitled to travel outside the Pale of Settlement “for a while.” The Regulations confirmed all the rights of Jews to the inviolability of their property, personal liberty, to maintain their faith and freedom to form community groups, i.e. the Kahal, which was left in place without significant changes, even though this already undermined the idea of All-Russian Jewry citizenship, with the same right of collecting taxes, but without the right to increase its fees. A comprehensive plan for the establishment of Jewish schools was not adopted, but “all the children of the Jews may be admitted and trained, without any discrimination from other children, in all Russian schools, high schools and universities.” Jewish children attending those schools were not to be proselytized or discriminated against on religious grounds. The Regulations considered it necessary for Jews to master the local language, change their appearance and dress, and cooperate in the assignment of new family names for the purpose of a full and accurate census.

The Committee concluded that in other countries, “never had been used to this end means more moderate, more forgiving and more considerate of their [the Jews] concerns.” And Yu. Hesse agrees that Russian Regulations of 1804 impose fewer restrictions on Jews, for example, than the Prussian Regulations of 1797, more particularly in the fact that Jews acquire and maintain liberty, which at the time did not apply to many millions of serfs Russia. The 1804 law is imbued with tolerance.

The then widespread magazine *Herald of Europe* wrote: “Alexander knows what evils are attributed to the Jewish nation, and that the consequences of this deep-rooted oppression have crushed them in the course of many centuries.” The purpose of the new law was to give the State

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

of useful citizens, and Jews a proper the fatherland However, the most pressing question of all was on the *kagalom*, and Jewish employees of the Kahal. The Regulations asserted “No Jew ... in any village may maintain any tavern or inn, under his or someone else’s identity, nor may any Jew sell brandy or wine or live in any village.” The law set a date for the removal of Jews from villages outside the Pale of Settlement beginning in 1808. (We may remember that such a measure was planned under Paul I in 1797, and before Derzhavin, involving the removal of Jews from the villages and replacing them with a more productive class of people.) In theory the Jews were supposed to give up their taverns and distilleries and engage in agricultural work on vacant lands in the Pale and also in New Russia and Astrakhan provinces, (see below) and even the Caucasus, with a 10-year exemption from taxes and with the right to receive special treasury loans. During the ten favorable years, Jewish land ownership in the Pale expanded significantly.

On the prohibition of the Jewish trade in alcohol, the Committee argued that as long as the monopoly existed the Jews would continue to be held in hatred and contempt by their fellow citizens. Eviction from the villages outside the Pale and compulsion to engage in other more productive forms of labor were to the long-term of advantage of the Jewish people. Why would anyone seek to maintain only one single monopoly when now land ownership and many other ways of earning a living were open to them, albeit only in the legally designated areas?

The arguments seemed to be weighty. However, Hesse of the Committee stated that “It is naïve to believe that economic effects on the life of a people can be modified by purely mechanical means, by orders.” On the Jewish side there were protests against the planned expulsion from the



## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

villages and the compulsory “secular occupation” of the Jews as horrible and cruel, and the 1804 law was still being condemned a century and a half later as such by Jewish historians.

Almost immediately after the Regulations of 1804 the European situation encroached on Russia and war began to loom with Napoleon. The Jews of Russia were fascinated with Bonaparte and the complete liberation of Jews which he had decreed in France, giving them full civil rights without compelling them to do any physical labor and allowing them to work at nonstrenuous, purely administrative and economic occupations. Napoleon established a Jewish Sanhedrin in Paris to act as a kind of early European-wide council for Jewish affairs, under French tutelage of course, and Russian Jewry participated in this.

In 1806, Alexander I created a new committee to consider the advisability or otherwise of delaying the relocation of Russian’s Jews within the Pale of Settlement. The expulsion of the Jews from the villages laid down by the 1804 law was originally to be completed by 1808, but there were practical difficulties, and in 1807 Alexander submitted a memorandum on the need to postpone the eviction. At the same time the Czar issued a royal decree that allowed all of Jewish society to elect a body of deputies to assist in the successful execution of the 1804 Regulations. These elections of deputies of the Jewish western provinces were held, and their responses were presented to St Petersburg in various attempts to delay the eviction indefinitely. One major consideration was that Jewish tavern keepers were currently receiving free living accommodation from the landlords from whom they leased their premises, while in towns and cities they would have had to pay rent. The Interior Minister reported that the resettlement of Jews from their present

# THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

villages of residence would need several decades due to their large numbers. By 1808 the political situation and military threat to Russia from events in Europe was such that Alexander temporarily suspended the key articles commanding the Jews to relocate and forbidding them to engage in the alcohol trade until further notice.

As a stopgap, in 1809 the Czar established yet another committee under Senator Popov for studying the whole range of Jewish issues in conjunction with the elected Jewish deputies. Unsurprisingly, after three years, in 1812 this body presented a report to the throne recommending that the expulsion of the Jews to the Pale of Settlement be suspended and that Jews be allowed to continue to lease taverns and trade in alcohol. Alexander I did not approve the report since he did not want arbitrarily to throw out the previous laws of 1804, and he remained steadfast in his desire to protect the Russian peasant from Jewish predation. He declared himself ready to soften the Regulations somewhat but not to abandon them entirely. But then events intervened in the form of Napoleon's invasion of Russia. The massive and total eviction of all Russian Jews and their resettlement in outlying areas as envisioned by the 1804 law never took place, although the process was briefly attempted and did proceed slowly and sporadically throughout the remainder of the 19th century. (see below)

## **The Jews and the Napoleonic Invasion**

During the 1812 invasion, in some locations the Jews were the only residents who did not flee the French army into the woods or elsewhere. These Jews refused to join Napoleon's army as soldiers but supplied the French

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

troops with forage and provisions unquestioningly. In other areas

Jewish merchants assisted the Russian military through allowing them to use “Jewish mail,” a private network of couriers and postal stations in taverns that transmitted information with unprecedented speed. Individual Jews were sometimes used as couriers for communication between the units of the Russian army since they could pass through French-held territory more easily than military riders. When the Russian army returned after beating back the French, most of the Jews made a great show of enthusiastically welcoming the Russian troops, giving them bread and wine. Even the future Nicholas I wrote in his diary: “It is surprising that in 1812 they [the Jews] were perfectly true, and even helped where they could, with danger to their own life.” With the cession to Russia after 1814 of central Poland, the empire acquired more than 400,000 more Jews, and the Jewish problem for the Russian government worsened accordingly. In 1816 the

Council of State of the Kingdom of Poland, which still existed, decided to begin the expulsion of Jews from the villages, allowing Jews to remain only for direct agricultural work without the help of Christians. But the Warsaw kahal appealed immediately the Russian Emperor, and Alexander released Jews who had been put to manual labor and confirmed the right of the Jews to engage in commerce and to trade in vodka.

However, in the Senate Rules of 1818 Jewish leasers and liquor sellers were again excoriated. The Jews were accused of forcing Russian peasants into lifelong debt, keeping the peasants drunk and poor, taking their cattle and tools in exchange for liquor, etc. During those years the future Decembrist Pestel served in the Russian army in the

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

western provinces, i.e. Poland. Certainly no defender of autocracy and an ardent Republican, Pestel wrote some of his observations about the Jews he studied:

“Waiting for the Messiah, the Jews consider themselves temporary townfolk where they are, and therefore do not want to engage in agriculture or artisanship and are for the most part engaged in selling merchandise ... Jewish clerics called rabbis contain their people in isolation by forbidding in the name of faith ever reading any books but the Talmud ... People who will not seek enlightenment will always remain under the power of prejudice ... The dependence on Jewish rabbis is so ingrained that every order is executed faithfully and unquestioningly. The close relationship between Jews gives them the means to accumulate large sums of money ... for their common needs, particularly for the corruption of various rulers to covetousness and to all kinds of abuse. For them, the Jews are useful. They easily become sovereign in those provinces where they have their residence. All trade is in their hands and there is little that peasants who have no means of paying their debts can do. The former government [that of Catherine] gave them many different rights and benefits, reinforcing the evil that they do, such as the right not to give recruits [to the army], the right not to declare the dead, the right to sue each other in their own rabbinical courts, and moreover, they enjoy all of the same rights as Christian nations...they constitute a special and completely separate state and the fact is that in Russia today, they have more rights than Christians themselves. This state of affairs cannot continue, as it condones the hostile attitude of the Jews to the Christians and put them into a position contrary to public order in the country.”

# THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

## The Last Years of the Reign

In the last years of the reign of Alexander I, there were general economic and other prohibitions against Jewish activities. Golitsyn reported to the Committee of Ministers that

“Christians are living in the homes of the Jews, not just forgetting and living without fulfilling the duties of the Christian faith, but taking the customs and rituals of Jewish worship.”

The decision was taken to prohibit Jews to take in servants of the Christian faith. It was considered that “it would be good for the poor Jews who could replace Christian servants”. However, this did not happen. (And no wonder: in the Jewish city, there was mass poverty and misery, urban Jews being mostly poor, barely earning their livelihood, but never was the opposite was observed: the Jews did not go into home service for Christians.) From 1823 Christians were allowed to rent property to Jews in the case of tax-farmers only. There were as always endless loopholes around the law, in many cases the law was simply ignored, and strict observance of the ban was almost never carried out in practice. .

In those same years, in response to the rapid development of the sect of Subbotniks, in Voronezh, Samara, Tula and other provinces of the Pale steps were taken to suppress the worst Jewish abuses. For example, in 1821 the Jews who were charged with “grievous bondage” of peasants and Cossacks, were expelled from the rural areas of Chernigov province, and in 1822 from the villages of Poltava.

In 1824, when riding in the Ural mountain range on a botanical expedition, Czar Alexander I noticed a significant

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

number of Jews who engaged in the secret purchase of precious metals, corrupting local inhabitants to the detriment of the treasury and private investors. They similarly undermined the treasury by engaging in widespread smuggling along the western border of Russia, transportation of goods and products in both capital and in trade. Governors denounced them, asserting that smuggling involved mostly Jews, especially in densely populated border strips. In 1816 there an order was issued in Volyn province completely to evict all Jews from a 50-vörst border strip. The eviction from this province lasted five years and was considered only partially successful; from 1821 on the new governor allowed the Jews to return. In 1825 a government resolution was held in common, but was much more cautious: only those Jews who had not been assigned to a local *kagalom* would be subject to expulsion, or who had property in the border that could be used as bases for smuggling. However, the measure was not carried out consistently.

### **The “New Russia” Experiment**

At the time of the Regulations of 1804, when the clear intention was to evict Jews from the villages of the sensitive and potentially dangerous western provinces, the governmental authorities asked the question: where to relocate them? Cities and towns were already densely populated, and this was exacerbated by the fierce competition in petty trade at a very weak point in the development of productive labor. Meanwhile, the vast south of Ukraine was sparsely populated, almost empty. It made obvious sense to evict from the villages the unproductive Jewish mass and turn them to agriculture in what was called New Russia. Ten years earlier,

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

Catherine tried to implement this idea, including a double tax to encourage Jewish emigration, but this measure failed because there was no accurate census or accounting of the numbers and whereabouts of the Jewish population. The Jews were known only by first names or nicknames and the Kahal hid almost half the Jewish population from the authorities.

Now 30,000 acres of land were specifically allocated solely for the use of Jews, as an initial land grant with the possibility of further grants based on need. The government offered generous benefits for immigrants: in New Russia Jews could receive hereditary possession (not ownership) for a family of 40 tithes (the Russian average peasant allotment was a few tithes, rarely as much as ten), cash loans for relocation and device management (the purchase of livestock, equipment and so on), loans repayable only after 10 years, and a preliminary construction of chopped-timber huts for immigrants (in this area not only all poor men but even some landowners lived adobe houses). In addition there was an exemption from taxes for 10 years, and this while preserving personal liberty in the time of serfdom and the legal protection of the authorities.

Enlightened Jewish figures, while still very few (Notkin, Levinsohn), also supported the government's initiative and were reasonably aware of the need for the Jewish people to move to productive work, although they emphasized that this should be achieved by measures of encouragement rather than coercion.

The epic of Jewish agriculture in Russia is presented in bulky and painstaking labor by the Jew V. N. Nikitin, who devoted many years to the study of the vast and unpublished archives of the official correspondence in Petersburg on the subject of Jewish settlement in New Russia. All this is

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

abundantly represented in his book, with layered sets of documents and statistics from multiple sources and sometimes contradictory reports from inspectors over a period of many years, overly rich for our very brief overview of the material here. Nikitin admits that the government's goal, besides the problem of development of vast uninhabited land, was to settle Jews and to bring them into productive physical labor and to remove them from the "bad trades" in which they had for so many years inflicted misery on the peasants and the serfs. "The government ... invites them to apply themselves to agriculture, with a view to improving their own life." The Jews were not lured by the promises of the government, and on the contrary evaded resettlement by a variety of means.

The resettlement idea was essentially benevolent in intention, but it was not in conformity with the desires of the Jews themselves and was frankly beyond the limited organizational capabilities of the Russian administration. It was reserved for the Jews in the New Russia Tithing, and then for decades kept inviolable just for them. Publicist I.G. Orsha later expressed the proposition that Jewish agriculture could only be successful through the transfer of stateowned land to the Jews right there nearby in Belarus, in the villages where they had lived before. However, there simply wasn't enough state land in Belarus for the purpose. For example, in Grodno Province there were only 200 tithes of state land, and this poor and infertile soil where the entire population suffered from crop failure.

However, the Jews were not in a hurry to become farmers. Only three dozen families applied to move to New Russia. The hope of the Jews was that their eviction from their villages in the western region, i.e. Poland, would be delayed or canceled or simply forgotten. They were given a



## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

three-year term to relocate under the Regulations of 1804, but still delayed, and migration did not start. As the fateful deadline of January 1, 1808 approached, a kind of rush developed especially since rumors of profitability had grown. Now a few Jews began to apply, although nowhere near the entire Jewish population of Belarus. Some even secretly went in groups without permission and even without the passport.

The Kherson office of trustees for Jewish settlers had not had time to build houses, dig wells, and steppe distance created a lack of master craftsman, doctors and veterinarians. The government did not stint any money or reasonable accommodation nor sympathy for the settlers, but the governor of Richelieu in 1807 asked St. Petersburg to limit the pace of introduction to 200,300 families per year, and only to receive those who were able to move at their own expense. In the case of crop failure, the state fed these people for several years in a row. Poor settlers received daily food. However, the governors of the western region began randomly expelling Jews from their territories and losing track of how many had been expelled, and many Jews who were allegedly bound for settlements in New Russia simply disappeared along the way into the cities or shtetls of the countryside.

The immense distances on the Ukrainian steppe, where there could be up to three hundred miles from the office to the colony, made it almost impossible for the authorities to exercise any control or even to make any accurate assessment over how many and who was arriving. There was a lack of housing, wells, and facilities. Lack of accurate administration, correct accounting and distribution led to the fact that some settlers received more than others. They complained about the non-receipt of feed and loans. The small colony caretakers were unable to function.

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

Rangers were paid a miserable wage, they often did not have horses, and had to try to operate on foot. In many cases, after two years at the new location the settlers had no economy, no crops, no food.

There were problems with the settlers' land titles. Records-keeping on deductions and loans was a shamble; loan money disappeared and so did many of the Jewish settlers, who appeared in the colonies, got whatever they could get by way of loans or goods from the government, and then fled to nearby cities where they loitered and resumed their former habits of money-lending, liquorselling, merchandising and other wonted trades minimal on physical labor. Many offices and inspection reports reflect how the new settlers were farming. The settlers claimed to be completely ignorant of the most basic principles of agriculture and the state ended up hiring Russian peasants to teach them how to farm. Jews were given special allocations of seed grain that were either wasted or sold; they were given agricultural implements that they broke or sold. They slaughtered their cattle for food, and then complained about the lack of cattle. Many Jews got their start as auctioneers and livestock brokers through selling off their own livestock given to them by the government. The homes provided for them by the government were not maintained and were illegally sold to Russian peasants.

Many complained that they did not expect that they themselves would certainly be forced to engage in agricultural work, but obviously they understood corn-hired workers, cattle markets and and trade fairs.

Settlers continued to beg for help from the treasury. They complained that they had no clothing, but government inspectors stated that this was because they would not keep sheep or sew hemp, and Jewish women either could not or

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

would not spin or weave. In his report one of the inspectors stated that “the Jews cannot cope with the economy of the worry-free life, due to small diligence and inexperience in rural work.” However, he considered it appropriate to add “one ought to prepare for agriculture from a young age and Jews 45 and 50 years old who have lived a pampered life cannot soon make farmers.”) The fiscal expenditures required to maintain the settlers doubled and tripled, and the local officials were all the time requesting supplements.

St. Petersburg determined that many of the problems came down to the fact that the Jews intentionally evaded tillage. The influx of Jewish settlers on the public expense in the New Russia, out of control and failing miserably, was temporarily suspended in 1810. In 1811 the Senate restored the right of Jews to sell wine in the state-owned villages repurchased in the Pale, and when it was learned in New Russia, the news caused many who had migrated to New Russia to leave and return to whence they came, and many others to open illegal taverns and establish illegal alcohol trades in New Russia itself. By 1812 it was revealed that already out of a settlement of 848 families left, there were 538 absences in 88 families where Jews had gone to Kherson, Nikolaev, Odessa, even Poland.

The government understood that the program was a *débauche*, and probably would have given up on the project sooner than it did, had there been some reliable way to recover the vast sums of money they had spent on trying to relocate the Jews and turn them into farmers. How to ensure the return of the treasury debt to those who would be allowed to switch occupations from being farmers; how to fix, without burdening the treasury, the shortcomings of those people who remain farmers, and how to achieve the central goal of changing the character of the Jewish people and

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

dealing with the problem they represented to Russian society? Neglect, absence, delay in delivery of grain or funds; Jews who sold property they had been given to start new lives with; as well as abuse such as bribery for permission for a long absence even for the main workers in the family, which caused the destruction of the economy immediately.

In the state of the Jewish colonies and after the 1810-1812 it is hard to see improvement. Oxen, livestock and implements were sold or abused or broken. Fields were sown late and thin, and as close as possible to their homes. Other fields were sown five or more years in a row, and no potatoes were planted to replace bread. Year after year local authorities reported crop failures or “seed not collected.” (Under the terms of the Regulations, a bad harvest meant settlers would be entitled to absence in order to work elsewhere.) Jews did not cherish their livestock. Oxen were used to pay rent, with the bulls were hired out for carting, cattle were starved and then slaughtered for food and claims for compensation put in to the government claiming the animals had died of disease. The Jewish settlers refused to take the most basic care of their property or animals. “They do not care to have a strong barn or pen to which to divert the cattle at night. It would be difficult; at night, they indulge in endless sleep; shepherds are children or lazy, and on holidays and Saturday they drive all without shepherds and will not even try to catch thieves. They murmur against their coreligionists who work hard and bring in excellent harvests, lest the authorities say this shows the ability of Jews to do agriculture, and compel them to engage in it.” They “do not fit with the agriculture ... they set out secretly to practice as little arable farming as they can, so as to give the appearance of failure that they might be allowed to return to the sale of

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

wine, again allowed to their co-religionists [back in Old Russia]. Cattle, tools and seed they buy several times, again and again to lend to feed. Quite many of them, getting a loan, and regardless of the masters, are in the village just in time for cash distributions, and then go with money to county towns and villages for fisheries.” Others endowed with land sold it and albeit in vagrancy, lived in Russian settlements for several months, sometimes with passports missing. That unsettled Izrailevka Kherson province, “its settlers considered themselves entitled to engage in fisheries and settled only to enjoy the benefits” of the 32 families who lived on the site of 13.

Numerous inspections noted the absence of female Jewish agricultural workers. When Jewish women married their parents entered into conditions with the prospective bridegroom that did not force them either to heavy field work, or even to carry water or daub huts; hired workers would do this. Jewish husbands were also contractually bound to procure them ornaments for the holidays fox and rabbit fur bracelets, hats, and even pearls, things of luxury and extravagance such as silk, silver and gold. These conditions forced the young people to meet the whims of their wives to the ruin of their farms, while other settlers did not have winter clothes. Marriage took place too early, significantly sooner among the Jews than among the other peasants. Large extended families created all living in the same house created untidiness of life and scurvy. But some women did marry commoners and leave the settlements.

In numerous denunciations from Jewish settlers from different colonies were heard repeated complaints that prairie land was so solid that it required four pairs of oxen to plow, the frequent crop failures, the lack of water, lack of fuel, poor pernicious climate leading to disease, to hail, locusts. There

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

was some truth to this but much exaggeration as well. Settlers with the smallest of grievances immediately complained and always increased their claims, but when they were right they were compensated.

However, says Nikitin, in the same wilderness, in the same years, the same virgin soil, and under the same locusts, German colonists and Mennonites and Bulgarians prospered, at least by comparison to the Jews. They suffered the same lean years, the same disease, but they always had bread and cattle, lived in clean and attractive houses with many outbuildings, ample gardens and greenhouses. (The difference was so striking that individual German colonists were invited to live in the Jewish colonies that they might pass on the experience and set an example.) The Russian peasants, says Nikitin by way of explanation “gravitated over them the yoke of serfdom ... they took everything stoically and demolished any adversity. Jewish colonists bailed out everywhere ... they attracted runaway serfs who were paid by the settled colonists. Farmer-Jews took in vagabonds with affection and greetings for the tramp who willingly helped them to plow, sow and reap; and some, to better hide, even joined the Jewish religion. These cases were detected and in 1820 the government forbade Jews to take Christians into their service.

Meanwhile, in 1817 the 10-year tax exemption for Jewish settlers ended, and now the time had come to equalize them in taxes with the state peasants. Immediately a movement started of settlers' collective petitions, but also among officials, requesting an extension of benefits for another 15 years. Golitsyn, a personal friend of Alexander I, the Minister of Education and Religious Affairs, who dealt with all matters relating to the Jews made a decision: to extend the Jews' tax exemption for 5 years, and the payment

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

of the debt for the loan to 30 years. Nikitin found these petitions by Jewish colonists “extremely characteristic in their content.”

In 1807 Ilier Menashe, a prominent Talmudic scholar, but also a champion of education, published and sent to rabbis his book (soon withdrawn from circulation by the rabbinate, and next subject to mass burning), in which he noted the dark side of Jewish life. There was poverty and unusually large families, but “could it be otherwise, when the mouths of the Jews were more than the hands? It is necessary to convince the mass [of Jews] that their own work should produce their own livelihood ... Young people do not have any earnings, yet they marry, hoping for the mercy of God and the purse-law, and when this support is crumbling, they are already burdened with families, they rush to the first available activity, even if not honest. Crowds take up trade, but it cannot feed all, and therefore it is necessary to resort to deception. That is why it is desirable that the Jews turn to farming. Bums under the mask of ‘scholars’ live at the expense of charity and at the expense of the community. There is nobody to take care of the people: the rich are busy thinking about profit, and the rabbis the strife between the Hasidim and *mitnagdim* (Orthodox Jews). And the only concern of the Jewish leaders is to prevent bad luck in the form of government regulations, even if they carry with them the benefit of the people.” And now, “the existence of a significant Jewish population serves as a small commercial and industrial and intermediary activity. Jews overly fill the cities with petty trade” And how could it be healthy, the economy of the Jewish people in such circumstances?

However, a later Jewish author, already in the middle of the 20th century wrote about that time: “It is true that Jewish masses lived in poverty and distress. But the Jewish

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

collective as a whole was not a beggar,” They saw the life of Jews of the western provinces, participants in Napoleon’s army in 1812, just pass through these places. Under Dokshyzy (?) Jews were “rich and prosperous, they conduct major trade with the whole of Russian Poland and even visit the Leipzig Trade Fair.” The Jews had the right to produce alcohol and vodka and honey, they were tenants or owners with taverns located on the main roads. The Jews of Mogilev were prosperous and conducted extensive trade (although “along with them were the terrifying poor.”). Almost all the local Jews had patents on the trade in alcohol. More from a third-party witness: “in Kiev ...

countless Jews.” The common feature of Jewish life was satisfaction, although not universally.

From psychological and domestic point of view, observers found Russian Jewry characterized by “constant vigilance to his fate and identity, to his struggle and self-defense.” The “domineering and authoritative social forms for the preservation of life” were prominent in them. Adaptation to the new conditions of life was largely a collective adaptation and not individual. And we need to appreciate the organic coalescence and unity, which in the first half of the 19th century gave Russian Jewry a definable character in the world. This world was too small, limited, and subject to harassment associated with suffering and hardship, and yet to them it was the whole world. Man there is not choked. It was possible in this world to feel the joy of life to be found in it, to find material and spiritual sustenance, and it was possible to build a life in it to taste and mood. The value here was the fact that the spiritual aspect of the team had been associated with traditional scholarship and the Jewish language.



# THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

Another author of the same collection of accounts of Russian Jewry noted that “injustice, material poverty and social humiliation hampers the growth of self-esteem among the people.” Like almost every question related to Judaism, it is difficult and the picture presented here is of those years. We should never lose sight of this complexity and always keep it in mind, undeterred by apparent contradictions between different authors.

Once, before the expulsion from Spain, Judaism marched in front of other people on the path of progress, Eastern European Judaism now came to the first half of the 18th century, to complete cultural impoverishment. Disenfranchised and isolated from the outside world, it withdrew into itself. The Renaissance passed without affecting it, as did the intellectual movements of the 18th century in Europe. But this Jew was strong within himself. Bound by countless religious prescriptions and prohibitions, a Jew was not only burdened by them but also saw them as a source of endless joy. His mind found satisfaction in the small dialectics of the Talmud, in the sense of mysticism of Kabbalah. Even Bible study receded into the background, and knowledge of grammar was considered almost a crime.

## **The Progress to Modern Education**

A strong movement of Jews toward modern education began in Prussia with the second half of the 18th century. This became known as the *Haskalah* (Enlightenment). It was a movement of intellectual awakening, the desire to ingest a European education and raise the prestige of the Jews, humiliated in the eyes of other nations. At the critical study of the historical past of the Jews, *Haskalah* figures. *Maskilim* (“enlightened ones”) wished to combine Jewish culture

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

harmoniously with the European knowledge. Initially, they intended to stay in traditional Judaism, but, fascinated, began to sacrifice the Jewish tradition and became inclined to assimilate, while showing contempt for the national language, i.e. Yiddish. In Prussia, the movement lasted only one generation, but quickly moved to the Slavic provinces of the Austrian Empire, Bohemia and Galicia. In Galicia the champions of the *Haskalah*, with even greater assimilation bias, were ready to enforce a lot of Jewish education, and even often resorted to the help of the authorities for this. The border of Galicia with the western provinces of Russia leaked people and influences. With a delay of almost a century this movement penetrated into Russia.

In Russia, since the beginning of the 19th century, the government strove for the Jews to overcome isolation outside of religion and worship. A Jewish author confirmed that the government in no way violated the religion of the Jews or their religious life. “We have already seen the position of 1804 swing open without restrictions and without reservation all the way for Jewish children in schools, high schools and universities. But the Jewish ruling class intended to destroy cultural and educational reform in the bud and bent to this effort. The Kahal exerted strenuous efforts to extinguish the slightest glimmer of enlightenment. In order to preserve the integrity of the established-from-time-immemorial religious and social life and rabbinism, Hasidism equally radically trampled the young shoots of secular education.

And now the Jewish masses looked with horror and suspicion on the Russian school, not wanting to hear about it. In 1817 and then in 1821 there were cases in different provinces when Kahals would not allow Jewish children to be taught the Russian language in any common schools. Jewish deputies in St. Petersburg insisted that they “do not

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

consider it necessary to the establishment of such Jewish schools,” where no languages would be taught except Hebrew. They recognized only cheder (elementary school in Hebrew) and yeshiva (to increase and deepen knowledge of the Talmud); there was a yeshiva in almost every major community. Jewish masses in Russia were in a state of suspended animation from which they could not escape, despite the effort of enlightened educators.

First there was Isaac Ber Levinsohn, a scientist who lived in Galicia, where he was in contact with the leaders of the *Haskalah*, and who worked with the rabbinate and also the perpetrators of many Hasidic troubles. Based on the Talmud and rabbinic literature, he argued in his book *Instructions to Israel* that the Jew must not be denied the knowledge of heretofore forbidden languages, especially the language of the state where they live, so necessary in his personal and public life; that familiarity with the secular sciences did not endanger religious and national sentiment. Levinsohn taught that the predominance of commercial activities is contrary to the Torah and mind, and it is necessary to develop productive work. But for the publication of the book, Levinson had to use a grant from the Ministry of Education. He was convinced that cultural reform in Judaism cannot be realized without the support of the highest authorities.

The Warsaw teacher Gezeanovsky on the contrary did not rely on the Talmud, and strongly opposed it, attributing to the kagalom rabbinate the “spiritual congestion in which people lived petrified,” and that only “the after depreciation of their [the rabbis’] power may be the secular school be introduced.” *Melamedov* (Orthodox teachers) check and prevent the teaching of pedagogically useful and moral knowledge; the Kahal had to be eliminated from financial

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

management of the community and the allowable age for marriage had to be raised.

Even earlier, both of them already mentioned Giller Markevitch in a memorandum to the Minister of Finance, who wrote that “for the salvation of the Jewish people from spiritual and economic decline, Kahals must be destroyed. Non-Jewish languages should be taught to organize their factory labor, and allow trade freely across the country and use the services of Christians.” And later, in the 30s, it is largely the same, repeated by Chernigov merchant Litman Feigin and repeated more forcefully, through Benkendorf and Nicholas I Feigin was supported in bureaucratic circles. He defended the Talmud, but attacked the Melamed, claiming that they were “past ignorant” ... [they] teach theology based on fanaticism” and “inspire children contempt for other sciences, as well as hatred of the infidels.” He, too, thought it necessary to abolish Kahals. (Hesse, serial enemy of the Kahal system expresses that Kahal despotism was the “dumb anger” in the Jewish people. )

However, longer in coming was any practical way to force through secular education in a Jewish environment. The only exception was Vilna, under the influence of relations with Germany, and a group of maskilim in Odessa, young capital of New Russia, with many Jewish immigrants from Galicia (porous borders), but inhabited by ethnic diversity and full trade movement. Here the Kahal felt strong and intellectuals, on the contrary, felt independent and culturally merged with the surrounding population, including in their clothing and appearance. Even though most Odessa Jews resisted the establishment of schools of general education, the efforts of the local administration in the 30s and in Odessa and Chisinau achieved some success in secularizing Jewish education in those areas.

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

Throughout the 19th century, the development of Russian Jewry had historic consequences for Russia and for all humanity in the 20th century. Through concentration of the will, Jewry was able to break out of still-dangerous conditions achieve a lively and varied life. By the middle of the 19th century the revival and flowering of Russian Jewry tood out visibly.

# THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

## Chapter III. – Under the Reign of Nicholas, I

Nicholas, I was energetically opposed to Russian Jewry. Sources say that half of all official acts taken against Jews between the time of Alexei Mikhailovich to the death of Alexander II were initiated by Czar Nikolai. In Jewish historiography, the cruel and firm character of the monarch is consistently confirmed.

However, the personal intervention of Nicholas I was not always negative for the Jews. One instance is a case which was held over from the reign of Alexander I, the Velizh Affair.

Local Jews were accused of the ritual murder of a Christian boy. Says the *Jewish Encyclopedia*,

“There is no doubt that the acquittal of the accused Jews was largely due to the Sovereign’s determination to seek the truth, in spite of opposition from people whom he trusted.”

In another well-known case involving the prosecution of Jews, the Mstislavl riot, “The Emperor willingly sought out truth; although in a moment of anger he imposed punishment on the local Jewish population, he did not refuse to recognize his mistakes.” After the acquittal on Velizh case, Nicholas wrote to the local police and judiciary, making it clear that “other solutions cannot follow,” essentially ordering that there was to be no violence directed or allowed against the Jewish population. He added his inner conviction that ritual murder did take place, but this were the work of “Jews of some fanatical sect; unfortunately, among us Christians, there are sometimes sects no less terrible and incomprehensible.” Nicholas, I and many of his entourage continued to believe that some groups of Jews practiced ritual murder. This case and others confirmed the existing

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

prejudice that the Jewish faith is a danger to the Christian population.

Nicholas saw a danger that the Jews would convert Christians to Judaism. In 1823, the Interior Minister reported on the widely disseminated heresy of Judaizers in Russia. (See Chapter I) Legal measures were taken against quasi-Jewish sects and many of these formally returned to the Orthodox Church, although there was heavy suspicion that they continued secretly to observe Jewish rituals. All this led to a great deal of legislation regarding Jews in the era of Nicholas I, much of it with a distinctly religious tinge. His own religious belief left its mark on the decisions and actions of Nicholas I with respect to the Jews, such as his insistence on banning Jews use of Christian servants, in particular nurses, because “the service of the Jews insults and weakens the Christian faith in women.” In spite of repeated bans these orders were difficult to enforce and never carried out entirely.

### **Conscription of Jews**

The first measure was to equate Jews with the Russian population in bearing the burdens of state, namely to apply the conscription laws to young Jewish men, something which had never before been attempted. Prior to this, Jews had instead been taxed for the privilege of not serving their country. At this point in history draftees in Imperial Russia were inducted between the ages of 12 and 25, and for no less than 25 years. The underlying purpose of the new conscription law was to reduce the number of Jews who were not engaged in productive labor, but it was also believed that isolating a recruit from a wholly Jewish environment and breaking the iron spiritual hand of the rabbis would facilitate adaptation to the nationwide order of life. Gradually greater numbers of Jews were called to the colors and at earlier ages.

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

The Imperial decree on Jewish conscription met with massive resistance and evasion. There was much internal opposition from within the government itself, and various departments were slow to implement the order. The Council of Ministers debated as to whether it was ethical to take such a measure “to limit the multitude of the Jews” and “recognized the impropriety of taking people for money,” as Finance Minister E.F. Kankrin wrote. The *kagalom* (kahals) made every possible effort to protect the Jewish population from impending conscription or somehow to delay it. Exasperated by the foot-dragging, Nicholas ordered the relevant authorities to submit a final report as soon as possible, but the kahals seem to have exerted every possible influence to slow the process down or tie it up with bureaucratic red tape. Some military officers were also opposed to the move, and somehow the report was never filed. Yu. Hesse concludes “That mysterious episode hardly occurred without the participation of the Kahal.” Nicholas, exasperated, introduced conscription for Jews on his own in 1827.

There were loopholes in the new law. It completely exempted merchants of all guilds, residents of agricultural colonies, guild masters, mechanics in factories, rabbis, and all Jews who had secondary or higher education. This had the effect of Jews rushing frantically to enter themselves or their sons into the exempted occupations, or to obtain fraudulent documentation that they had done so. One common trick was to hire a Christian substitute to report for induction; at one stage the going rate for a Gentile recruit to replace a Jewish one was 500 rubles. In any event, records show that on average in most years only 10 conscripts per 1000 Jewish males were served with call-up notices.



## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

The Jews protested that the bulk of conscription came down on the back of the Jewish poor. In 1829, Nicholas I attempted to take in an excess of Jewish recruits to cover unpaid back taxes from the Jewish community. This measure was soon halted due to abuse on the part of both excessively zealous local authorities and Jews who moved heaven and earth to evade the draft. Hesse wrote of this period that it was an “abnormality” in Russian legislation, and in Russia in general there was a tendency to impose greater obligations on the Jews than on another subject’s due to their alien nature.

Nicholas, I remained determined to convert Jews into ordinary Russian citizens. One such project was the creation of “cantonments” similar to those created by Peter the Great in the 18th century for the sons of soldiers serving those long 25-year hitches, a kind of school for training military children and orphans for later service with the army. The revival of this traditional institution was thought by bureaucrats of the time to be quite suitable for Jewish boys, desirable because it would create early and long separation from their Jewish environment. With this in view, the 1827 decree granted the Jewish community at its discretion the right to provide a minor male child not younger than 12 years of age in place of a single adult recruit.

The *New Jewish Encyclopedia* refers to this measure as “a most heavy blow.” This was not exactly conscription as such, since the Russian army did not accept 12-year-old soldiers, but it gave the government a chance to remove at least a few young Jews from the *shtetl*, get them out of the yeshivas, place them in a secular environment in the cantonments and give them a proper education in some kind of physical and beneficial trade. At the age of 18 they would enter the regular army and exercise these trades. Local Kahals appear to have on at least some occasions used this

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

provision to fob the army off with the 12-year-old son of a poor family instead of a more economically or socially desirable young man, recompensing the new recruit's family monetarily for the loss of their son.

According to statistics from the military archives of the General Staff accounts, in 1847-1854, the year's most young Jews were sent to cantonments, they accounted for an average of 2.4% from all the cantonments in Russia, that is, their share does not exceed the proportionate share of the Jewish population the country, even according to the low *kagalom* data for the then Census.

It should be said that conditions in the Imperial army were not quite as grim as they may sound today. Soldiers were allowed to marry and live with their families in their place of garrison, and at the end of their 25 years of service could be given land in agricultural colonies or legal residence in towns and cities to practice a trade. Jews, however, were conditioned to a sedentary life in the more desirable cities and provinces of the Empire. Jewish soldiers found it difficult to maintain their faith, keep the Sabbath, adhere to the kosher dietary laws, and so on.

Jewish youngsters in the cantonment schools found it still more difficult to remain "good Jews" in the face of deliberate state pressure to secularize and adapt themselves to the modern world. One of the first things they were taught was to read and write in Cyrillic Russian, thus giving them intellectual access to the world outside the *shtetl*. It is difficult to determine how effective the cantonments were in secularizing or converting Jewish pupils. Jewish literature and oral tradition from this period are full of horror stories of abuse and coerced conversion, Jewish children drowned in rivers if they refused to become Christians, and so on. (800

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

of them at one go, according to legend, in a botched attempt at mass baptism.) At this distance in time it is hard to ascertain the degree of truth in these allegations, especially given the historical propensity of the Jewish people to embellish the undoubted reality of their suffering down through the centuries. It is certain that Nicholas I and his government proceeded with a deliberate policy of separating the Jewish students in the military cantonment schools from their heritage and dragging them into the modern world willy-nilly. However, stories of hundreds of Jewish children drowned in rivers by Czarist bureaucrats may probably be disregarded.

Obviously, some of the students in the cantonments must have converted in order to obtain the benefits of full participation in Russian society, and it was later to their advantage to exaggerate in the eyes of the Tribe the degree of force and coercion to which they were subjected. Also, as took place in Spain and elsewhere down through the centuries, many of the conversions were false conversions of convenience, and those involved continued to practice Judaism in secret.

After a Belarusian famine in 1822 Alexander I had sent inspectors to the Pale, and they essentially returned with the same conclusions that Derzhavin made a quarter of a century before. In 1823 the Czar established a Jewish Committee consisting of four ministers to address yet again the issue on what to do with the Jews and how to transform them into useful and productive citizens of the Russian state living in at least some semblance of peace and harmony with their Christian neighbors. In 1825 this Jewish Committee of ministers was replaced by a Director's Committee (the fifth in a row) consisting of directors of departments, which

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

studied and largely evaded the problem for another eight years.

Nicholas, I was too impatient to await this committee's final report and so he unilaterally introduced Jewish conscription, as described above. Once again, the Czar decreed a three-year period for the expulsion of the Jews from the villages of the western provinces, to at least try and get them away from the border areas, as well as a ban on their selling wine and liquor. Later he prohibited actual ownership or leasing of taverns and inns by Jews, but as was the case with all such measures, enforcement was spotty at best. In 1827 Nicholas introduced what amounted to a national liquor licensing system throughout the Empire, along with an attempt to turn many taverns throughout the Empire into government postal stations and lease them out to Christians, but without the Jews there were not enough bidders. What inevitably occurred was that official licenses for the sale of alcohol and tavern and inn leases fell into the hands of Jews, through various acts of chicanery or simple outright bribery of local officials. State efforts to compel Jews to perform productive physical labor failed time and again.

Another prominent Jewish economic activity in Imperial Russian history was the hated practice of tax farming. In addition to the high level of income though both legitimate and corrupt taxation, tax farmers under the Czars enjoyed full rights of residence and freedom of movement, and lived freely in the capital and other cities outside the Pale. Some tax farmers became prominent Jewish public figures, such as Feigin and Litman Evzel Gunzburg who went on to found a St. Petersburg banking house, the largest in Russia, and later took part in the placement of Russian and foreign government loans.

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

In 1826, Nicholas I ordered the eviction back to the Pale of Settlement of Jewish distillers and tavern keepers who had infiltrated into Great Russia, and in an attempt to replace them the state-owned and state-operated liquor industry was born, but with little success as far as barring Jews went. Jews infiltrated the state distilleries such as those in Irkutsk.

Throughout his reign the autocratic power of Nicholas, I, never succeeded in the kind of complete transformation of Jewish life and forced assimilation of the Jewish people he envisioned. So, it was with Jewish farming. The charter of conscription and military service for Jews in 1827 specifically exempted Jews who were members of government agricultural colonies from military service for a period of 50 years. This understandably led to at least a brief return of Jewish men to those colonies from their authorized and unauthorized absences, until other ways were found around the law and they were able to return to the cities and their multifarious nonmanual labor trades. By 1829 more detailed rules had been developed for Jewish farmers, involving rental to burgers or Christian citizens, payment of all debts, and permitting absences of draft-age men from the farm of up to three months. V. N. Nikitin admits that when comparing the Jewish farmers' rights and benefits to those enjoyed by other taxpaying classes it cannot be denied that the government favored the Jews.

So, from 1829 to 1833 many Jews became zealous farmers, at least on paper. After the Turkish war of 1829 Jewish settlers, like all the colonists, begged the Czar to forgive all arrears in respect to taxes due to the burden they bore in feeding the troops. But according to reports of the Trusteeship Committee, a poor harvest in 1833 made it

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

impossible to hold Jews in the colonies. According to the Committee many Jews simply did not want to practice rural employment. Jews who were supposed to be farming refused to sow anything, or very little. They sold cattle in order to wander, to beg and not pay taxes. In 1834, they sold bread and cattle given to them by the government and the local authorities, owing to the difficulties in supervision, were unable to prevent “crafty twists” by settlers. Crop failures among Jews occurred more frequently than among other villagers because, in addition to sowing few crops, they worked the land randomly and out of season.

One would think that the 30-year-old experience of attempted Jewish arable farming would have been enough for the Russian government to give up the project and save the immense amount of money being wasted, but Czar Nicholas pressed on. He strongly believed in the beneficial effect of a secularized education for Jewish children to wean them away from the grip of the rabbis, and to overcome the Jewish alienation from the general population, which he saw as the main danger. In 1831, he memorandized the Director’s Committee that “among the measures that could improve the situation of the Jews, it is necessary to pay attention to the correction of their training institutions and to prohibition early marriage ... “Clearly the Czar intended to control and reduce their numbers. In 1840 yet another of these interminable committees stated as their primary objective “to act on the moral education of a new generation of Jews, and an establishment of Jewish schools in the spirit, not the current Talmudic doctrine.”

In 1835 His Majesty approved the Regulations of the Jews (the result of the work of Director’s Committee). Jewish agriculture was not only retained as the centerpiece of government Jewish policy but extended. Any Jew was now

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

permitted to go into farming and having done so, any arrears in taxes he might have would be erased. Jews were now allowed not only to receive state-owned land but to buy, sell, and rent land within the Pale of Settlement. Embracing the agricultural life earned a Jew exemption from poll tax. Jews turning into farmers were exempt from the poll tax for 25 years with 10 years' exemption county taxes and 50 years from conscription. Yet Jews remained an infinitesimal proportion of the nation's farmers. The majority of Jews continued to avoid agriculture or any manual labor like the plague. It is true that many Jews were often artisans—tailors, shoemakers, watchmakers, jewelers, anything involving remaining indoors all day. The Jewish national aversion to being outdoors whenever it can be avoided becomes even more pronounced.

The pre-revolutionary *Jewish Encyclopedia* says that the Jews began to contribute to the development of large-scale industry in the form of finance, and many of them followed Jewish development in Western countries and made money itself their stock in trade and profession. Jews were bankers and state tax-farmers, money-lenders and money-changers. Even a great taxfarmer and financier such as Shinkarev demanded and personally collected all payments from his tenants and debtors in cash.

By the 1840s there was a great development of the sugar industry in the southwest regions of Russia. Jewish capitalists and landlords first invested in and subsidized sugar mills, and then took over their management, and then built their own factories. In Ukraine and New Russia arose powerful sugar kings, for example Lazar and Lev Brodsky. Most Jewish sugar kings began their careers as tavern

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

owners and winesellers in the Pale. A similar pattern was visible in the textile milling industry.

Nicholas, I saw himself as an autocrat on the model of Peter the Great, authoritatively determined to mold the entirety of the Russian state and society to his liking, and like all autocrats he reduced the complexity of the human experience to simple, clearly understandable issues and obstacles to be overcome. The 1840 government committee on the perpetual Jewish problem floated a proposal on how to overcome the religious and national alienation of the Jews, with some input from prominent Tribesmen such as Levinson, Feigin, and Gezeanovsky. The government attempted to “explore the root of [the Jews’] persistent alienation from the common civil life and the absence among them of useful labor, and the proliferation of the harmful class of petty industry accompanied by all sorts of tricks and deceptions.” This “idleness” among many Jews was attributed to their “inveterate habits.” Nicholas believed that the Jewish mass could indeed find productive occupation but that they rejected some types of labor due to their traditions.

Minister Count Kiselyov suggested to the Emperor a measure of Jewish classification into two categories: in the first category, those who had settled and established wealth and property, the second to include those who did not. Jews in the second category were to be given a 5-year period in order to become guild craftsmen or farmers. After five years, those who do not comply and yet remained in the state would be considered “useless” and applied to special military and labor service: they would be conscripted at age 20 at three times the normal Jewish intake, not for the usual 25 years of military service, but only for 10 years and were to use that ten years to learn a productive craft or trade which they



## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

would practice on discharge—that is, to give them compulsory job training.

This project was approved by Nicholas I; the term “useless Jews” was replaced by “those with no productive work.”) Other measures also went forward, such as laws for the destruction and disbandment of the Kahal in all its forms; the establishment of government-sanctioned “provincial rabbis”; mandatory secular secondary education for Jews in an attempt to dismantle the yeshiva system; more settlement of Jews on state-owned land with plows thrust into their hands; and finally, a ban on the wearing of traditional Jewish dress. These laws of various kinds were in effect in Russia for almost a third of a century, and none of them worked. The 1840 regulations provided a five-year period of pre-employment selection, and through assorted bureaucratic misadventure which may or may not have arisen from massive bribes by the Jews to various Czarist functionaries, the measure itself was only officially promulgated in 1846, so that the analysis phase was to be completed in January 1852. In 1843, arguing against the “parsing” of the Jews, the GovernorGeneral of New Russia M. Vorontsov wrote that the occupation of the “numerous class of small traders and middlemen referred to the number of useless [80 percent] of the Jewish people”—that is, 80 percent of Jews were mainly involved in trade. But Vorontsov hoped that the spacious conditions and economic potential of the Novorossiysk Territory would attract enough Jews to make coercive measures unnecessary. He also warned about probable European indignation due to the “analysis,” and indeed this tendency on the part of European Jewry to meddle in Russia’s internal affairs did become of concern, notably with the Moses Montefiore intervention described below.

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

In order to avoid adverse European reaction provoked by previous attempts to evict Jews from the border zone, in 1846 the Russian government publicly announced a new policy: that the Jews in Poland had no nationality, no right to immovable property, and were forced to restrict their activities to petty trade and moneylending. while under the ongoing transition in Russia Jews received increased civil and economic rights, entry into the state of Russian commercial life, commercial, real property rights, the right to join an agricultural colony and the right to [secular] education, including universities and academies.’ Clearly the objective was to try to lure the large Jewish population out of Poland and herd them into the vast interior, where they would not have an already surly and volatile Gentile population to subject to their predations, thus creating even more instability in Poland.

And let it be made clear that the Jews did in fact receive all these rights during the first decades in the notorious “prison of peoples” as Czarist Russia came to be called, in many cases enjoying legal status and economic benefits far superior to Gentiles. However, a century later, Jewish authors would recall a time of mass expulsions from the villages (occasionally begun but almost never completed); double taxation (often imposed and just as often canceled every few years); the establishment of Pale (we have seen that, in the circumstances of the late 18th century the boundaries of Settlement were initially the Jews’ geographical heritage, the lands where they already lived.)

The 1846 decree stated “Always a stranger to merger with the civil society among which they [the Jews] live, they have remained in their previous mode of existence at the expense of the labor of others, giving rise to fair complaints ... For the benefit of all, there is a need to take them out of

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

dependence on the elders of the community.” The Jewish population needed education and practical knowledge, to acquire which the government proposed to establish a special Jewish secondary school, provide the means for then to transition to farming, an idea which successive Czarist governments seemed unable ever completely to let go of.

Also in 1846, the Jewish Sir Moses Montefiore arrived in Russia with a letter to Nicholas from Queen Victoria, his mission being to “improve the plight of the Jewish people” in Russia. He toured some cities, densely populated by Jews; then from England he sent the Emperor a long letter with a proposal to release the Jews from all restrictive legislation, to grant them “equality with all other subjects” (except, of course, the serfs), “and as soon as possible eliminate restrictions on the right of residence and movement within the Pale of Settlement.” Merchants and craftsmen were to be allowed to travel in the interior provinces, Jews were to be allowed to hire Christians as servants and (more vitally) laborers and employees, and the Kahal was to be restored. In his response to the Montefiore memorandum Nicholas objected that if the conversion of the Jews to productive work were successful, this would by itself lead to the gradual reduction of constraints.

There was now enhanced resistance to conscription among the Jews. Evasion became so widespread that by 1850 a new order was issued that for each called-up recruit who failed to report for duty, three would be physically seized and sent to the army. What then occurred was one of the more notorious examples in history of the Jews turning on their own kind. The three-for-one rule gave the Jewish community a vested interest to catch their own draft-dodging fugitives. They hired men called *lovchikoviki* or “snatchers” who captured the *poymannikovi*, those who really were draft

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

evaders or simply anyone with an expired passport, even if from another province, or a teenager without a family, anyone to turn over to the recruiting sergeants in fulfillment of the quota.

But all this still did not make up the shortage of recruits. In 1852 two new statutes were added. One was that for each extra man surrendered to the recruiters a reward of 300 rubles would be paid in the form of relief from tax arrears, since vitually all Jews owed unpaid taxes. The second was a law *On Suppression Of The Practice Of Hiding Jews From Military Service*, which prescribed a series of punishments for those who fled from conscription, penalized the communities in which they are hiding, and allowed the army instead of the missing recruits to take the service of their family or community leaders who were responsible for the timely supply of manpower. Trying by every means to avoid conscription, many Jews fled abroad or went to other provinces .

The *lovchikoviks* grew more active and brutal, but still even more Jews fled the draft, often hiding and living by night, and fines and arrears grew. There were innumerable protests and petitions from settled, productive part of the Jewish population. The main bone of contention was the “analysis,” the classification system which designated certain Jews as “useful” and others as useless and therefore subject to conscription. In the early years there were repeated attempts to get the analysis and categorization delayed, bog it down in petty bureaucratic nitpicking over terminology, and so on. Finally, the Czar lost patience with the suspicious footdragging on the part of his officials and he issued *Interim Rules On The Analysis Of The Jews* which made it clear what criteria of wealth, occupation, and economic utility to society were to be followed. In February 1855 Nicholas I died

# THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

suddenly, and the “analysis” was permanently stopped. So the sudden death of the Emperor rescued Jews at a dangerous point in their history—as occurred a century later with Stalin’s death.

## **Chapter IV: During the Period of Reforms**

On the ascension of Alexander II to the throne, the Peasant Question in Russia had been overripe for a century and demanded immediate resolution. Then suddenly, the Jewish Question surfaced and demanded a no less urgent solution as well. In Russia, the Jewish Question was not as ancient as the deep-rooted and barbaric institution of serfdom and up to this time it did not seem to loom so large in the country. Yet henceforth, for the rest of 19th century, and right to the very year of 1917 in the State Duma, the Jewish and the Peasant questions would cross over and over again; they would contend with each other and thus become intertwined in their competing destiny.

Alexander II took the throne during the difficult impasse of the Crimean War against a united Europe. This situation demanded a difficult decision, whether to hold out or to surrender. Upon his ascension, voices were immediately raised in defense of the Jewish population. After several weeks, His Majesty gave orders to make the Jews equal with the rest of population in respect to military duty, and to end acceptance of underage recruits. Soon after, the “skillcategory” draft of Jewish philistines was cancelled; this meant that all classes of the Jewish population were made equal with respect to compulsory military service. This decision was confirmed in the Coronation Manifesto of 1856: “Jewish recruits of the same age and qualities which are defined for recruits from other population groups are to be admitted while acceptance of underage Jewish recruits is to

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

be abolished.” The institution of military cantonment schools for Jewish children was abolished as well; Jewish cantonists who were younger than 20 years of age were returned to their parents, even if they already had been turned into soldiers. (Cantonists were the sons of Russian conscripts who, from 1721, were educated in special canton or garrison schools for future military service.)

The lower ranks who had served out their full term (and their descendents) received the right to live anywhere on the territory of the Russian Empire. They usually settled where they terminated their service. They could settle permanently and had often become the founders of new Jewish communities. In a twist of fate and as a historical punishment, Russia and the Romanov dynasty got Yakov Sverdlov from the descendents of one such cantonist settler.

By the same manifesto the Jewish population was forgiven considerable back taxes from previous years. Yet in the course of the next five years, new tax arrears accumulated amounting to 22 percent of the total expected tax sum.

More broadly, Alexander II expressed his intention to resolve the Jewish Question — and in the most favorable manner. For this, the approach to the question was changed drastically. If during the reign of Nicholas, I the government saw its task as first reforming the Jewish inner life, gradually changing its character through productive work and education with consequent removal of administrative restrictions, then during the reign of Alexander II the policy was the opposite: to begin “with the intention of integrating this population with the native inhabitants of the country” as stated in the Imperial Decree of 1856. So, the government had begun quick removal of external constraints and restrictions not looking for possible inner causes of Jewish

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

seclusion and morbidity; it thereby hoped that all the remaining problems would then solve themselves.

To this end, still another Committee for Arranging the Jewish Way of Life was established in 1856. (This was already the seventh committee on Jewish affairs, but by no means the last). Its chairman, the above-mentioned Count Kiselyov, reported to His Majesty that “the goal of integrating Jews with the general population is hindered by various temporary restrictions, which, when considered in the context of general laws, contain many contradictions and beget bewilderment.” In response, His Majesty ordered “a revision of all existing statutes on Jews to harmonize them with the general strategy directed toward integration of this people with the native inhabitants, to the extent afforded by the moral condition of Jews”; that is, “the fanaticism and economic harmfulness ascribed to them.”

No, not for nothing had Herzen struggled with his Kolokol, or Belinsky and Granovsky, or Gogol! (For although not having such goals, the latter acted in the same direction as the former three did.) Under the shell of the austere reign of Nicholas I, the demand for decisive reforms and the will for them and the people to implement them were building up, and, astonishingly, new projects were taken by the educated high governmental dignitaries more enthusiastically than by the educated public in general. And this immediately impacted the Jewish Question. Time after time, the ministers of Internal Affairs (first Lanskoï and then Valuev) and the Governors General of the Western and Southwestern Kraï [administrative divisions of Czarist Russia] shared their suggestions with His Majesty who was quite interested in them. Partial improvements in the legal situation of the Jews were enacted by the government on its own initiative, yet under direct supervision by His Majesty.

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

These changes went along with the general liberating reforms which affected Jews as well as the rest of population.

In 1858, Novorossiysk Governor General Stroganov suggested immediate, instant, and complete equalization of the Jews in all rights — but the Committee, now under the chairmanship of Bludov, stopped short, finding itself unprepared for such a measure. In 1859 it pointed out, for comparison, that “while the Western-European Jews began sending their children to public schools at the first invitation of the government, more or less turning themselves to useful occupations, the Russian government has to wrestle with Jewish prejudices and fanaticism.” Therefore, “making Jews equal in rights with the native inhabitants cannot happen in any other way than a gradual change, following the spread of true enlightenment among them, changes in their inner life, and turning their activity toward useful occupations.” The Committee also developed arguments against equal rights. It suggested that the question being considered was not so much a Jewish question, as it was a Russian one; that it would be precipitous to grant equal rights to Jews before raising the educational and cultural level of Russian population whose dark masses would not be able to defend themselves in the face of the economic pressure of Jewish solidarity; that the Jews hardly aspire toward integration with the rest of the citizens of the country; that they strive toward achieving all civil rights while retaining their isolation and cohesion which Russians do not possess among themselves.

However, these voices did not attain influence. One after another, restrictions had been removed. In 1859 the Prohibition of 1835 was removed: it had forbidden the Jews to take a lease or manage populated landowner’s lands. And thus, the right to rule over the peasants, though that prohibition was in some cases secretly violated. Although



# THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

after 1861 lands remaining in the property of landowners were not formally populated. The new changes were aimed to make it easier for landowners to turn for help to Jews if necessary in case of deterioration in the manorial economy, but also in order to somewhat widen the restricted field of economic activity of the Jews. Now the Jews could lease these lands and settle on them though they could not buy them. Meanwhile in the Southwestern Krai capital that could be turned to the purchase of land was concentrated in the hands of some Jews, yet the Jews refused to credit landowners against security of the estate because estates could not be purchased by Jews. Soon afterwards Jews were granted the right to buy land from landowners inside the Pale of Settlement.

## **The Jews and the Liquor Trade**

With development of railroads and steamships, Jewish businesses such as keeping of inns and postal stations had declined. In addition, because of new liberal customs tariffs introduced in 1857 and 1868, which lowered customs duties on goods imported into Russia, profits on contraband trade had immediately and sharply decreased.

In 1861 the prohibition on Jews acquiring exclusive rights to some sources of revenue from estates was abolished. In the same year the systems of tax farming and “wine farming” [concessions from the state to private entrepreneurs to sell vodka to the populace in particular regions] were abolished. This was a huge blow to a major Jewish enterprise. “Among Jews, *tax collector* and *contractor* were synonyms for wealth” Orshansky writes. They could just dream about the time of the Crimean War, when contractors made millions, thanks to the flexible conscience and peculiar view

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

of the Treasury in certain circles. Thousands of Jews lived and got rich under the beneficial wing of tax farming. Now the interests of the state had begun to be enforced and contracts had become much less profitable. And trading in spirits had become far less profitable than under the tax farming system.” However, as the excise was introduced in the wine industry in place of the wine farming system, no special restrictions were laid on Jews and so now they could sell and rent distillation factories on a common basis in the Pale of Settlement provinces.

They had so successfully exercised this right to rent and purchase over next two decades that by the 1880s between 32 percent and 76 percent of all distillation factories in the Jewish Pale of Settlement belonged to Jews, and almost all of them fell under category of a major enterprise. By 1872, 89 percent of distillation factories in the Southwestern Krai were rented by Jews. From 1863 Jews were permitted to run distillation in Western and Eastern Siberia (for the most remarkable specialists in the distillation industry almost exclusively came from among the Jews) and from 1865 Jewish distillers were permitted to reside everywhere.

Regarding the spirits trade in the villages, about one-third of the whole Jewish population of the Pale lived in villages at the start of 1880s, with two or three families in each village, as remnants of the *korchemstvo* (from “tavern” — the state-regulated business of retail spirits sale.) An official government report of 1870 stated that “the drinking business in the Western Krai is almost exclusively concentrated in the hands of Jews, and the abuses encountered in these institutions exceed any bounds of tolerance.” Thus, it was demanded of Jews to carry on the drinking business only from their own homes. The logic of

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

this demand was explained by G. B. Sliozberg: in the villages of Little Russia [Ukraine], that is, outside of the legal limits of the Polish autonomy, the landowners did not have the right to carry on trade in spirits — and this meant that the Jews could not buy spirits from landowners for resale. Yet at the same time the Jews might not buy even a small plot of peasant land; therefore, the Jews rented peasant homes and conducted the drinking business from them. When such trade was also prohibited — the prohibition was often evaded by using a “front” business: a dummy patent on a spirits business was issued to a Christian to which a Jew supposedly only served as an “attendant.”

Also, the “punitive clause” (as it is worded in the *Jewish Encyclopedia*), that is, a punishment accompanying the prohibition against Jews hiring a Christian as a personal servant, was repealed in 1865 as “incompatible with the general spirit of the official policy of tolerance.” And so from the end of the 1860s many Jewish families began to hire Christian servants.

Unfortunately, it is so typical for many scholars studying the history of Jewry in Russia to disregard hard-won victories: if yesterday all strength and attention were focused on the fight for some civil right and today that right is attained — then very quickly afterwards that victory is considered a trifle. There was so much said about the “double tax” on the Jews as though it existed for centuries and not for very few short years, and even then it was never really enforced in practice. The law of 1835, which was at the time greeted by Jews with a sense of relief, was, at the threshold of 20th century dubbed by S. Dubnov as a ‘Charter of Arbitrariness.’ To the future revolutionary Leo Deutsch, who in the 1860s was a young and still faithful subject, it looked like the administration “did not strictly enforce some

# THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

essential restrictions on the rights” of Jews. They turned a blind eye to violations. In general, the life of Jews in Russia in the sixties was not bad ... Among my Jewish peers I did not see anyone suffering from depression, despondency, or estrangement as a result of oppression” by their Christian mates. But then he suddenly recollects his revolutionary duty and calls everything given to the Jews during the reign of Alexander II as,

“in essence, insignificant alleviations” and, without losing a beat, mentions “the crimes of Alexander II”— although, in his opinion, the Czar shouldn’t have been killed. From the middle of the 20th century it already looks like for the whole of 19th century that various committees and commissions were being created for review of Jewish legal restrictions and they came to the conclusion that the existing legal restrictions did not achieve their aims and should be abolished. Yet not a single one of the projects worked out by the Committees was implemented.” It’s rid of, forgotten, and no toasts made.

## **The Escape from the Pale Begins**

After the first Jewish reforms by Alexander II, the existence of the Pale of Settlement had become the most painful issue. Once hope about the possibility of future state reforms had emerged, and first harbingers of expected renewal of public life had barely appeared, the Jewish intelligentsia began contemplating the daring step of raising the question of abolishing the Jewish Pale of Settlement altogether.

Yet still fresh in the Jewish memory was the idea of selectivity: to impose additional obligations on not-permanently-settled and unproductive Jews. And so in 1856 an idea to petition His Majesty appeared in the social strata

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

of Jewish merchants, citizens of St. Petersburg, and outoftowners, who by their social standing and by the nature of their activity, more closely interacted with the central authorities. The petition asked His Majesty “not to give privileges to the whole Jewish population, but only to certain categories,” to the young generation “raised in the spirit and under the supervision of the government to the upper merchant class,” and “to the good craftsmen, who earn their bread by sweat of their brow”; so that they would be “distinguished by the government with more rights than those who still exhibited nothing special about their good intentions, usefulness, and industriousness.... Our petition is so that the Merciful Monarch, distinguishing wheat from chaff, would be kindly disposed to grant several, however modest privileges to the worthy and cultivated among us, thus encouraging good and praiseworthy actions.” (Even in all their excited hopes they could not imagine how quickly the changes in the position of the Jews would be implemented in practice —already in 1862 some of the authors of this petition would ask about extending equal rights to all who graduate from secondary educational institutions, for the grammar school graduates “of course, must be considered people with a European education.”)

And yes, in principle, the Czar did not mind violations of the laws concerning the Jewish Pale of Settlement in favor of individual groups of the Jewish population. In 1859 Jewish merchants of the 1st Guild were granted the right of residency in all of Russia (and the 2nd Guild in Kiev from 1861; and also for all three guilds in Nikolayev, Sevastopol, and Yalta) with the right of arranging manufacturing businesses, contracts, and acquiring real estate. Earlier, doctors and holders of master’s degrees in science had already enjoyed the right of universal residency (including

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

the right to occupy posts in government service; here we should note a professor of medicine G.A. Zakharyin, who in the future would pronounce the fatal judgment about the illness of Alexander III.) From 1861 this right was granted to candidates of universities, that is, simply to university graduates, and also “persons of free professions.”

The Pale of Settlement restrictions were now lifted even from persons, *desiring* to obtain higher education, namely to Jews entering medical academies, universities, and technical institutes. Then, as a result of petitions from individual ministers, governors, and influential Jewish merchants (e.g. Evzel Ginzburg), from 1865 the whole territory of Russia including St. Petersburg was opened to Jewish artisans, though only for the period of actual professional activity. (The notion of artisans was then widened to include all kinds of technicians such as typesetters and typographic workers.)

Here it is worth keeping in mind that merchants relocated with their clerks, office workers, various assistants, and Jewish service personnel, craftsmen, and also with apprentices and pupils. Taken altogether, this already made up a notable stream. Thus, a Jew with a right of residency outside of the Pale was free to move from the Pale, and not only with his family.

Yet new relaxations were outpaced by new petitions. In 1861, immediately after granting privileges for the “candidates of universities,” the Governor General of the Southwestern Krai had asked to allow exit from the Pale to those who completed state professional schools for the Jews, that is, incomplete high school-level establishments. He had vividly described the condition of such graduates: “Young people graduating from such schools find themselves completely cut off from Jewish society. If they do not find

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

occupations according to their qualifications within their own circles, they get accustomed to idleness and thus, by being unworthy representatives of their profession, they often discredit the prestige of education in the eyes of people they live among.”

In that same year, the Ministers of Internal Affairs and Education declared in unison that “a paramount cause of the disastrous condition of Jews is hidden in the abnormal share of Jews occupied in commerce and industry versus the rest engaged in agriculture”; and because of this “the peasant is unavoidably preyed upon by Jews as if he is obligated to surrender a part of his income to their maintenance.” Yet the internal competition between the Jews creates a “nearly impossible situation of providing for themselves by legal means.” And therefore, it is necessary to “grant the right of universal residence to merchants” of the 2nd and 3rd Guilds, and also to graduates of high or equivalent schools.

In 1862 the Novorossiysk Governor General again called for “complete abolition of the Jewish Pale of Settlement” by asking “to grant the right of universal residency to the entire Jewish people.”

Targeted permissions for universal residency of certain Jewish groups were being issued at a slower but constant rate. From 1865 acceptance of Jews as military doctors was permitted, and right after that (1866-1867), Jewish doctors were allowed to work in the ministries of Education and Interior. From 1879 they were permitted to serve as pharmacists and veterinarians; permission was also granted to those preparing for the corresponding type of activity, and also to midwives and feldshers, and those desiring to study medical assistant arts. Finally, a decree by

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

the Minister of Internal Affairs Makov was issued allowing residence outside the Pale to all those Jews who had already illegally settled there. Here it is appropriate to add that in the 1860s Jewish lawyers, in the absence of the official Bar College during that period were able to get jobs in government service without any difficulties.

Relaxations had also affected the Jews living in border regions. In 1856, when, according to the Treaty of Paris, the Russian state boundary retreated close to Kishinev and Akkerman, the Jews were not forced out of this newly-formed frontier zone. And in 1858 the decrees of Nicholas I, which directed Jews to abandon the fifty versts [an obsolete Russian measure, a verst is slightly more than a kilometer] boundary zone, were conclusively repealed. And from 1868 movement of Jews between the western provinces of Russia and the Polish kingdom was allowed where previously it was formally prohibited.

Alongside official relaxations to the legal restrictions, there were also exceptions and loopholes in regulations. For example, in the capital city of St. Petersburg, despite prohibitions, Jews all the same settled in for extended times; and with the ascension of Alexander II the number of Jews in St. Petersburg began to grow quickly. Jewish capitalists emerged who began dedicating significant attention to the organization of the Jewish community there; Baron Goratsy Ginzburg for example, L. Rozental, A. Varshavsky, and others. Toward the end of

Alexander II's reign, E. A. Peretz (the son of the tax farmer Abram Peretz) became the Russian Secretary of State. In the 1860s St. Petersburg started to attract quite a few members of the commercial, industrial and intellectual circles of Jewry. According to the data of the Commission for Arranging the Jewish Way of Life, in 1880-81, 6,290 Jews



## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

were officially registered in St. Petersburg, while according to other official figures, 8,993; and according to a local census from 1881, there were 16,826 Jews in St. Petersburg, i.e., around 2 percent of the total city population.

In Moscow in 1856 the obligation of arriving Jewish merchants to reside exclusively in the Glebovsky Quarter was repealed; the Jews were allowed to stay in any part of the city. During the reign of Alexander II the Jewish population of Moscow grew quickly; by 1880 it was around 16,000. It was a similar situation in Kiev. After 1861 a quick growth of the Jewish population of Kiev had begun, from 1,500 in 1862, to 81,000 by 1913. From the 1880s there was an influx of

Jews to Kiev. Despite frequent police round-ups, which Kiev was famous for, the numbers of Jews there considerably exceeded the official figures. By the end of the 19th century, the Jews accounted for 44 percent of Kiev merchants.

Yu. I. Hessen calls the granting of the right of universal residency (1865) to artisans most important. Yet Jews apparently did not hurry to move out of the Pale. Well, if it was so overcrowded in there, so constraining, and so deprived with respect to markets and earnings, why then did they make almost no use of the right to leave the Pale of Settlement? By 1881, in thirtyone of the interior provinces, Jewish artisans numbered 28,000 altogether (and Jews in general numbered 34,000.) Hessen explains this paradox in the following way: prosperous artisans did not need to seek new places while the destitute did not have the means for the move, and the middle group, which somehow managed from day to day without enduring any particular poverty, feared that after their departure the elders of their community would refuse to extend an annual passport to them for tax

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

considerations, or even demand that the outgoing parties return home.

But one can strongly doubt all these statistics. We have just read that in St. Petersburg alone there were at least twice as many Jews than according to official data. Could the slow Russian state apparatus really account for the mercury-quick Jewish population within a definite time and in all places?

And the growth of Jewish population of Russia was rapid and confident. In 1864 it amounted to 1,500,000 without counting Jews in Poland. And together with Poland in 1850 it was 2,350,000; and in 1860 it was already 3,980,000. From the initial population of around 1,000,000 at the time of the first partitions of Poland, to 5,175,000 by the census of 1897 — that is, after a century, it grew more than five times. At the start of the 19th century Russian Jewry amounted to 30% of the world's Jewish population, while in 1880 it was already 51%. This was a major historical event. At the time, its significance was grasped neither by Russian society, nor by Russian administration.

This fast numerical growth alone, without all other peculiarities of the Jewish Question, had already posed a huge state problem for Russia. And here it is necessary, as always in any question, to try to understand both points of view. With such an enormous growth of Russian Jewry, two national needs were clashing ever more strongly. On one hand was the need of Jews (and a distinct feature of their dynamic 3,000-year existence) to spread and settle as wide as possible among non-Jews, so that a greater number of Jews would be able to engage in manufacturing, commerce, and serve as intermediaries (and to get involved into the culture of the surrounding population). On the other was the need of Russians, as the government understood it, to have control

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

over their economic and cultural life, and develop it themselves at their own pace. Let's not forget that simultaneously with all these relief measures for the Jews, the universal liberating reforms of Alexander II were implemented one after another, and so benefiting Jews as well as all other peoples of Russia. For example, in 1863 the capitation [i.e., poll or head] tax from the urban population was repealed, which meant the tax relief for the main part of Jewish masses; only land taxes remained after that, which were paid from the collected kosher tax.

Yet precisely the most important of these Alexandrian reforms, the most historically significant turning point in the Russian history—the liberation of peasants and the abolition of serfdom in 1861—turned out to be highly unprofitable for Russian Jews, and indeed ruinous for many. The general social and economic changes resulting from the abolition of peasant servitude had significantly worsened the material situation of broad Jewish masses during that transitional period. The social change was such that the multi-million disenfranchised and immobile peasant class ceased to exist, reducing the relative advantage of Jewish personal freedom. And the economic change was such that the peasant liberated from servitude was less in the need of services from the Jew, that is, the peasant was now at liberty from the strict prohibition against trading his products and purchasing goods himself through anyone other than a pre-assigned middleman, which in the western provinces was almost always a Jew. And now as the landowners were deprived of free serf labor, in order not to be ruined, they were compelled to get personally engaged in the economy of their estates, an occupation where earlier Jews played a conspicuous role as renters and middlemen in all kinds of commercial and manufacturing deals.

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

It's noteworthy that the land credit introduced in those years was displacing the Jew as the financial manager of the manorial economy. The development of consumer and credit associations led to the liberation of people from the tyranny of usury. Although access to government service and free professions was open to the Jews and although the industrial rights of the Jews were broadened, and there were more opportunities for education, and on every corner the rapprochement between the Jewish and Christian populations was visible, and although the remaining restrictions were far from being strictly enforced and the officials now treated the Jewish population with far more respect than before, yet the situation of Jews in Russia at the present time was very dismal. Not without reason, Jews expressed regret for the good old times. Everywhere in the Pale of Settlement one could hear the Jewish lamentations about the past.

For under serfdom an extraordinary development of mediation took place; the lazy landowner could not take a step without the Jewish trader or agent, and the browbeaten peasant also could not manage without him; he could only sell the harvest through him, and borrowed from him also. Before, the Jewish business class derived enormous benefit from the helplessness, wastefulness, and impracticality of landowners, but now the landowner had to do everything himself. Also, the peasant became less pliant and timid; now he often establishes contacts with wholesale traders himself, and he drinks less; and this naturally has a harmful effect on the trade in spirits, which an enormous number of Jews live on. The hope was that the Jews, as happened in Europe, would side with the productive classes and would not become redundant in the national economy.

Now Jews had begun renting and purchasing land. The Novorossiysk Governor General (1869) requested in a

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

staff report to forbid Jews in his region from buying land, as was already prohibited in nine western provinces. Then in 1872 there was a memorandum by the Governor General of the Southwestern Krai stating that “Jews rent land not for agricultural occupations but only for industrial aims; they hand over the rented land to peasants, not for money but for a certain amount of work, which exceeds the value of the usual rent on that land, and thereby they establish a sort of their own form of servitude. And though “they undoubtedly reinvigorate the countryside with their capital and commerce,” the Governor General considered concentration of manufacture and agriculture in the same hands un-conducive, since only under free competition can peasant farms and businesses avoid the “burdensome subordination of their work and land to Jewish capital, which is tantamount to their inevitable and impending material and moral perdition.” However, thinking to limit the renting of land to Jews in his Krai, he proposed to give the Jews an opportunity to settle in all of the Greater Russian provinces.

The memorandum was put forward to the just-created Commission for Arranging the Jewish Way of Life (the eighth of the Jewish Commissions, according to count), which was then highly sympathetic to the situation of the Jews. It received a negative review which was later confirmed by the government: to forbid the Jewish rent of land would be a complete violation of rights of landowners. Moreover, the interests of the major Jewish renter “merge completely with those of other landowners.” Well, it was true that the Jewish proletarians group around the major Jewish renters and live off the work and means of the rural population. But the same also happened the estates managed by the landowners themselves who up until this time cannot manage without the help of the Jews.

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

However, in the areas inhabited by the Don Cossacks, the energetic economic advancement of the Jews was restricted by the prohibition of 1880 against owning or renting real estate. The provincial government found that in view of the exclusive situation of the Don Province, the Cossack population of which was obligated to military service to a man, this was the only reliable way to save the Cossack economy from ruin and to secure the nascent manufacturing and commerce in the area. Too hasty exploitation of a region's wealth and quick development of industry are usually accompanied by an extremely uneven distribution of capital, and the swift enrichment of some accompanied by the impoverishment of others. Meanwhile, the Cossacks had to prosper, since they carried out their military service on their own horses and with their own equipment. Thus, they prevented a possible Cossack explosion.

### **Jews and Conscription Under Reform**

So what happened with the conscription of Jews into military service after all those Alexandrian relief measures of 1856? For the 1860s, this was the picture: when Jews managed to find out about the impending Imperial Manifest about recruit enrollment before it was officially published, all members of Jewish families fit for military service fled from their homes in all directions. Because of the peculiarities of their faith and lack of comradeship and the perpetual isolation of the Jewish soldier, military service for the Jews was the most threatening, the most ruinous, and the most burdensome of duties. Although from 1860 Jewish service in the Guards was permitted, and from 1861

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

promotions to petty officer ranks and service as clerks, there was still no access to officer ranks.

I. G. Orshansky, a witness to the 1860s, certifies: “It is true, there is much data supporting the opinion that in the recent years the Jews in fact have not fulfilled their conscription obligations number-wise. They purchase old recruit discharges and present them to the authorities; peasants sometimes keep them without knowing their value as far back as from 1812, so now Jewish resourcefulness puts them to use. Or, they hire volunteers in place of themselves and pay a certain sum to the treasury. Also they try to divide their families into smaller units, and by this each family claims the privilege of the only son.” (The only son was exempt from the military service). Yet, he notes all the tricks for avoiding recruitment are similarly encountered among the pure-blooded Russians and provides comparative figures for Ekaterinoslav Guberniya. I. G. Orshansky had even expressed surprise that Russian peasants prefer to return to the favorite occupation of the Russian people, farming, instead of wanting to remain in the highly-paid military service.

In 1874 a unified regulation about universal military service had replaced the old recruit conscription obligation giving the Jews a significant relief. The text of the regulation did not contain any articles that discriminated against Jews. However, now Jews were not permitted to remain in residence in the interior provinces after completion of military service. Also, special regulations aimed to specify the figure of male Jewish population were introduced, for to that day it largely remained undetermined and unaccounted. Information about abuses of law by Jews wishing to evade military service was circulated to governors. In 1876 the first measures for ensuring the proper fulfillment of military duty

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

by Jews were adopted. The *Jewish Encyclopedia* saw “a heavy net of repressive measures” in them. “Regulations were issued about the registration of Jews at conscription districts and about the replacement of Jews not fit for service by Jews who were fit” and about verification of the validity of exemptions for family conditions: for violation of these regulations conscription of only sons was permitted.

A contemporary and then influential St. Petersburg newspaper, *Golos* (The Voice) cites quite amazing figures from the official governmental Report on the Results of Conscription in 1880. For all of the Russian Empire the shortfall of recruits was 3,309; out of this, the shortfall of Jews was 3,054, which amounts to 92%.

Shmakov, a prominent attorney not well-disposed toward Jews, cites such statistics from the reference, *Pravitelstvenniy Vestnik* [the Government Bulletin]: for the period 1876-1883: out of 282,466 Jews subject to conscription, 89,105—that is, 31.6%—did not show up. The general shortfall for the whole Empire was 0.19%. The administration could not help but notice this, and a number of steps toward the elimination of such abuse were introduced. This had an effect, but only short-term. In 1889 46,190 Jews were subjected to call-up, and 4,255 did not appear, that is 9.2 percent. But in 1891 from a general number of 51,248 Jews recorded on the draft list, 7,658, or 14.94 percent, failed to report; at that time the percentage of Christians not reporting was barely 2.67%. In 1892, 16.38 percent of Jews failed to report as compared with 3.18 percent of Christians. In 1894 6,289 Jews did not report for the draft, that is, 13.6 percent. Compare this to the Russian average of 2.6 percent.

However, the same document on the 1894 draft states that “in total, 873,143 Christians, 45,801 Jews, 27,424



## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

Mohammedans, and 1,311 Pagans were to be drafted. These are striking figures. In Russia, there were 8.7% Muslims (according to the 1870 count) but their share in the draft was only 2.9%! The Jews were in an unfavorable position not only in comparison with the Mohammedans but with the general population too: their share of the draft was assigned 4.8 percent though they constituted only 3.2 percent of Russian population in 1870. (The Christian share in the draft was 92 percent or 87 percent of the Russian population.

From everything said here, one should not conclude that at the time of the Russo-Turkish War of 1877-1878, Jewish soldiers did not display courage and resourcefulness during combat. In the journal *Russkiy Evrei* [Russian Jew] we can find convincing examples of both virtues. Yet during that war much irritation against Jews arose in the army, mainly because of dishonest contractor-quartermasters—and such were almost exclusively Jews, starting with the main contractors of the Horovits, Greger, and Kagan Company. The quartermasters, undoubtedly under protection of higher circles, supplied overpriced and poor-quality equipment including the famous “cardboard soles”, due to which the feet of Russian soldiers fighting in the Shipka Pass were frostbitten.

### **The End of Jewish Agriculture**

In the age of Alexander II, the half-century-old official drive to accustom the Jews to agriculture was ending in failure. After the repeal of the disproportionate Jewish conscription imposed by Nicholas I, farming had immediately lost all its appeal for Jews, or, in words of one

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

government official, a false interpretation of the Manifest by them had occurred, according to which they now considered themselves free of the obligation to engage in farming and that they could now migrate freely. The petitions from the Jews about resettling with the intent to work in agriculture ended almost completely.

Conditions in the existing colonies remained the same if not worse: field were plowed and sowed pathetically, just for a laugh, or for appearance's sake only. For instance, in 1859 the grain yield in several colonies was even smaller than the amount sown. In the new 'paradigmatic' colonies, not only barns were lacking, there was even no overhangs or pens for livestock. The Jewish colonists leased most of their land to others, to local peasants or German colonists. Many asked permissions to hire Christians as workers, otherwise threatening to cut back on sowing even further—and they were granted such a right, regardless of the size of the actual crop.

Of course, there were affluent Jewish farmers among the colonists. The arrival of German colonists was very helpful too as their experience could now be adopted by Jews. And the young generation born there was already more accepting toward agriculture and German experience; they were more convinced of the advantageousness of farming in comparison to their previous life in the congestion and exasperating competition of *shtetls* and towns.

Yet the incomparably larger majority was trying to get away from agriculture. Gradually, inspectors' reports became invariably monotonic: "What strikes one most is the general Jewish dislike for farm work and their regrets about their former artisan occupations, trade, and business." They displayed "tireless zeal in any business opportunity." For example, at the very high point of field work they would

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

leave the fields if they discovered that they could profitably buy or sell a horse, an ox, or something else, in the vicinity. They had a predilection for pennywise trade, demanding according to their conviction less work and giving more means for living. Making money was easier for Jews in nearby German, Russian, or Greek villages, where the Jewish colonist would engage in tavern-keeping and small trade. Yet more damaging for the arable land were the long absences of the workers who left the area for distant places, leaving only one or two family members at home in the colonies, while the rest went to earn money in brokerages.

In the 1860s, a half-century after the founding of colonies, such departures were permitted for entire families or many family members simultaneously; in the colonies quite a few people were listed who had never lived there. After leaving the colonies, they often evaded registering with their trade guild in the new place, and many stayed there for several consecutive years, with family, unregistered to any guild, and thus not subject to any kind of tax or obligation, while in the colonies the houses built for them stood empty and fell into disrepair. In 1861, Jews were permitted to maintain drinking houses in the colonies.

Finally, the situation regarding Jewish agriculture had dawned on the St. Petersburg authorities in all its stark and dismal reality. Back taxes forgiven on numerous occasions such as an imperial marriage grew, and each amnesty had encouraged Jews not to pay taxes or repay loans from then on. In 1857, when the ten years granted to collect past due taxes had expired, five additional years were added. But even in 1863 the debt was still not collected. So what was all that resettling, privileges and loans for? On the one hand, the whole 60-year epic project had temporarily provided Jews with means of avoiding their duties to the state while at the

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

same time failing to instill love for agriculture among the colonists. The ends were not worthy of the means. On the other hand, simply a permission to live outside of the Pale, even without any privileges, attracted a huge number of Jewish farmers who stopped at nothing to get there.

If in 1858 there were officially 64,000 Jewish colonists, that is, eight to ten thousand families, then by 1880 the Ministry had found only 14,000, that is, less than two thousand families. For example, in the whole Southwestern Krai in 1872 the commission responsible for verifying whether or not the land is in use or lay unattended had found fewer than 800 families of Jewish colonists.

Russian authorities had clearly seen now that the entire affair of turning Jews into farmers had failed. They no longer believed that their cherished hope for the prosperity of colonies could be realized. It was particularly difficult for the Minister Kiselyov to part with this dream, but he retired in 1856. Official documents admitted failure, one after another: resettlement of the Jews for agricultural occupation “has not been accompanied by favorable results.” Meanwhile “enormous areas of rich productive black topsoil remain in the hands of the Jews unexploited.” After all, the best soil was selected and reserved for Jewish colonization. That portion, which was temporarily rented to those willing, gave a large income (Jewish colonies lived off it) as the population in the South grew and everyone asked for land. And now even the worst land from the reserve, beyond that allotted for Jewish colonization, had also quickly risen in value. The Novorossiysk Krai had already absorbed many active settlers and no longer needed any statepromoted colonization.

So, the Jewish colonization had become irrelevant for state purposes. In 1866 Alexander II ordered an end to the enforcement of several laws aimed at turning Jews into

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

farmers. Now the task was to equalize Jewish farmers with the rest of the farmers of the Empire. Everywhere, Jewish colonies turned out to be incapable of independent existence in the new free situation. So now it was necessary to provide legal means for Jews to abandon agriculture, even individually and not in whole families (1868), so they could become artisans and merchants. They had been permitted to redeem their parcels of land; and so they redeemed and resold their land at a profit.

However, in the dispute over various projects in the Ministry of State Property, the question about the reform of Jewish colonies dragged out and even stopped altogether by 1880. In the meantime, with a new recruit statute of 1874, Jews were stripped of their recruiting privileges, and with that any vestiges of their interest in farming were conclusively lost. By 1881 in the colonies there was a preponderance of farmsteads with only one house, around which there were no signs of settlement, that is, no fence, no housing for livestock, no farm buildings, no beds for vegetables, nor even a single tree or shrub; there were very few exceptions.

The state councilor Ivashintsev, an official with 40 years of experience in agriculture, was sent in 1880 to investigate the situation with the colonies. He had reported that in all of Russia “no other peasant community enjoyed such generous benefits as had been given to Jews” and “these benefits were not a secret from other peasants, and could not help but arouse hostile feelings in them.” Peasants adjacent to the Jewish colonies “were indignant because due to a shortage of land they had to rent the land from Jews for an expensive price, the land which was given cheaply to the Jews by the state in amounts in fact exceeding the actual Jewish needs.” It was namely this circumstance which in part

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

explained the hostility of peasants toward Jewish farmers, which manifested itself in the destruction of several Jewish settlements.

In those years, there were commissions allotting land to peasants from the excess land of the Jewish settlements. Unused or neglected sectors were taken back by the government. In Volynsk, Podolsk, and Kiev guberniyas, out of 39,000 desyatins [one desyatin = 2.7 acres] only 4,082 remained under Jewish cultivation.

Yet several quite extensive Jewish farming settlements remained: Yakshitsa in the Minsk Guberniya, not known for its rich land, had 740 desyatins for 46 Jewish families; that is, an average of 16 desyatins per family, something you will rarely find among peasants in Central Russia. In 1848 in Annengof of Mogilyov Guberniya, also not vast in land, twenty Jewish families received 20 desyatins of state land each, but by 1872 it was discovered that there were only ten families remaining, and a large part of the land was not cultivated and was choked with weeds. In Vishenki of Mogilyov Guberniya, they had 16 desyatins per family; and in Ordynovshchina of Grodno Guberniya 12 desyatins per Jewish family. In the more spacious southern guberniyas in the original settlements there remained: 17 desyatins per Jewish family in Bolshoi Nagartav; 16 desyatins per Jewish family in Seidemenukh; and 17 desyatins per family in Novo-Berislav. In the settlement of Roskoshnaya in Ekaterinoslav Guberniya they had 15 desyatins per family, but if total colony land is considered, then 42 desyatins per family. In Veselaya by 1897 there were 28 desyatins per family. In Sagaidak, there were 9 desyatins, which was considered a small allotment. And in Kiev Province's Elyuvka, there were 6 Jewish families with 400 desyatins

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

among them, or 67 desyatins per family! And land was rented to the Germans.

Yet from a Soviet author of the 1920s we read a categorical statement that “Czarism had almost completely forbidden the Jews to engage in agriculture.” On the pages which summarize his painstaking work, the researcher of Jewish agriculture V. N. Nikitin concludes: “The reproaches against the Jews for having poor diligence in farming, for leaving without official permission for the cities to engage in commercial and artisan occupations, are entirely justified. We by no means deny the Jewish responsibility for such a small number of them actually working in agriculture after the last 80 years.” Yet he puts forward several excuses for them: “The authorities had no faith in Jews; the rules of the colonization were changed repeatedly.” Sometimes “officials who knew nothing about agriculture or who were completely indifferent to Jews were sent to regulate their lives Jews who used to be independent city dwellers were transformed into villagers without any preparation for life in the country.”

At around the same time, in 1884, N. S. Leskov, in a memorandum intended for yet another governmental commission on Jewish affairs headed by Palen, had suggested that the Jewish “lack of habituation to agricultural living had developed over generations” and that it is “so strong, that it is equal to the loss of ability in farming,” and that the Jew would not become a plowman again unless the habit is revived gradually.

Lev Tolstoy had allegedly pondered: who are those “confining the entire [Jewish] nation to the squeeze of city life, and not giving it a chance to settle on the land and begin to do the only natural man’s occupation, farming. After all, it’s the same as not to give the people air to breathe. What’s

# THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

wrong with Jews settling in villages and starting to live a pure working life, which probably this ancient, intelligent, and wonderful people has already yearned for?” On what planet was he living? What did he know about the 80 years of practical experience with Jewish agricultural colonization?

And yet the experience of the development of Palestine where the Jewish settlers felt themselves at home had showed their excellent ability to work the land; moreover, they did it in conditions much more unfavorable than in Novorossiya. Still, all the attempts to persuade or compel the Jews toward arable farming in Russia and afterwards in the USSR failed, and from that came the degrading legend that the Jews in general are incapable of farming. And thus, after 80 years of effort by the Russian government it turned out that all that agricultural colonization was a grandiose but empty affair; all the effort, all the massive expenditures, the delay of the development of Novorossiya — all were for nothing. The resulting experience shows that it shouldn't have been undertaken at all.

## **Jews in Business and Finance Under Alexander II**

Generally examining Jewish commercial and industrial entrepreneurship, I. G. Orshansky justly wrote at the start of the 1870s that the question about Jewish business activity is “the essence of the Jewish Question on which fate of Jewish people in any country depends. An entrepreneur from the quick, mercantile, resourceful Jewish tribe turns over a ruble five times while a Russian turns it two times. There is stagnation, drowsiness, and monopoly among the Russian merchants. For example, after the expulsion of the



## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

Jews from Kiev, life there had become more expensive. The strong side of Jewish participation in commercial life lies in the acceleration of capital turnover, even of the most insignificant working capital.” Debunking the opinion that so-called Jewish corporate spirit gives them a crucial advantage in any competition, that Jewish merchants always support each other, having their bankers, contractors, and carriers, Orshansky attributed the Jewish corporate spirit only to social and religious matters, and not to commerce, where he claimed Jews fiercely compete against each other. This contradicts the *Halacha* prescribing separation of spheres of activity, which according to him had gradually disappeared following the change in legal standing of Jews. He had also contested the opinion that any Jewish trade does not enrich the country, that it exclusively consists of exploitation of the productive and working classes, and that the profit of the Jews is a pure loss for the nation. He disagreed, suggesting that Jews constantly look for and find new sales markets and thereby “open new sources of earnings for the poor Christian population as well.”

Jewish commercial and industrial entrepreneurship in Russia had quickly recovered from the two noticeable blows of 1861, the abolition of serfdom and the abolition of wine farming. The financial role of Jews had become particularly significant by the 1860s, when previous activities amassed capital in their hands, while liberation of peasants and the associated impoverishment of landowners created a huge demand for money on the part of landowners statewide.

Jewish capitalists played a prominent role in organization of land banks. The whole economic life of the country quickly changed in many directions and the invariable Jewish determination, inventiveness, and capital

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

were keeping pace with the changes and were even ahead of them. Jewish capital flowed, for example, to the sugar industry of the Southwest, so that in 1872 one fourth of all sugar factories had a Jewish owner, as well as one third of joint-stock sugar companies. and to the flour-milling and other factory industries both in the Pale of Settlement and outside. After the Crimean War an intensive construction of railroads was undertaken; all kinds of industrial and commercial enterprises, joint stock companies and banks arose and many Jews found wide application for their strengths and talents in those undertaking with a few of them getting very rich incredibly fast.

Jews were involved in the grain business for a long time but their role had become particularly significant after the peasant liberation and from the beginning of large-scale railroad construction. Already in 1878, 60% of grain export was in the hands of Jews and afterwards it was almost completely controlled by Jews. And thanks to Jewish industrialists, lumber had become the second most important article of Russian export after grain. Woodcutting contracts and the acquisition of forest estates by Jews were not prohibited since 1835. The lumber industry and timber trade were developed by Jews. Also, Jews had established timber export. The timber trade is a major aspect of Jewish commerce, and, at the same time, a major area of concentration of capital. Intensive growth of the Jewish timber trade began in the 1860-1870s, when as a result of the abolition of serfdom, landowners unloaded a great number of estates and forests on the market. The 1870s were the years of the first massive surge of Jews into industries such as manufacturing, flax, foodstuff, leather, cabinetry, and furniture industries, while tobacco industry had long since been concentrated in the hands of Jews.

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

In the words of Jewish authors: “In the epoch of Alexander II, the wealthy Jewish bourgeoisie was completely loyal to the monarchy. The great wealth of the Gintsburgs, the Polyakovs, the Brodskys, the Zaitsevs, the Balakhovskys, and the Ashkenazis was amassed exactly at that time.” As already mentioned, the tax-farmer Evzel Gintsburg had founded his own bank in St. Petersburg. Samuil Polyakov had built six railroad lines; the three Polyakov brothers were granted hereditary nobility titles. Thanks to railroad construction, which was guaranteed and to a large extent subsidized by the government, the prominent capital of the Polyakovs, I. Bliokh, A. Varshavsky and others were created. Needless to say, many more smaller fortunes were made as well, such as that of A. I. Zaks, the former assistant to E. Gintsburg in tax-farming, who had moved to St. Petersburg and created the Savings and Loan Bank there; he arranged jobs for his and his wife’s many relatives at the enterprises he was in charge of.

Not just the economy, the entire public life had been transformed in the course of Alexandrian reforms, opening new opportunities for mercurial Jewry. In the government resolutions permitting certain groups of Jews with higher education to enter government service, there was no restriction in regard to movement up the job ladder. With the attainment of the Full State Advisor rank, a Jew could be elevated to the status of hereditary nobility on common grounds.

In 1864 the land reform began. It affected all social classes and strata. Its statute did not in any way restrict the eligibility of Jews to vote in country administrative elections or occupy elected country offices. In the course of twenty-six years of the statute being in effect, Jews could be seen in

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

many places among town councilors and in the municipal executive councils.

Similarly, the judicial statutes of 1864 stipulated no restrictions for Jews. As a result of the judicial reform, an independent judicial authority was created, and in place of private mediators the legal bar guild was established as an independent class with a special corporate structure (and notably, even with the un-appealable right to refuse legal assistance to an applicant on the basis of “moral evaluation of his person,” including evaluation of his political views). There were no restrictions on Jews entering this class. Gessen wrote: “Apart from the legal profession, in which Jews had come to prominence, we begin noticing them in court registries among investigative officials and in the ranks of public prosecutors; in some places we already see Jews in the magistrate and district court offices.” They also served as jurors without any quota restrictions during the first decades after the reform. Remarkably, during civil trials the Jews were taking conventional juror’s oath without any provision made for the Jewish religion. At the same time, municipal reform was being implemented. Initially it was proposed to restrict Jewish representation among town councilors and in the municipal executive councils by fifty percent, but because of objections by the Minister of Internal Affairs, the City Statute of 1870 had reduced the maximal share to one third; further, Jews were forbidden from occupying the post of mayor.

It was feared that otherwise Jewish internal cohesion and self-segregation would allow them to obtain a leading role in town institutions and give them an advantage in resolution of public issues. On the other hand, Jews were equalized in electoral rights (earlier they could vote only as a faction), which led to the increased influence of Jews in all

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

city governing matters (though in the free city of Odessa these rules were in place from the very beginning; later, it was adopted in Kishinev too. Generally speaking, in the south of Russia the social atmosphere was not permeated by contempt toward Jews, unlike in Poland where it was diligently cultivated.

Thus perhaps the best period in Russian history for Jews went on. Access to the civil service was opened for Jews. The easing of legal restrictions and the general atmosphere of the Age of Great Reforms had affected the spirit of the Jewish people beneficially. It appeared that under the influence of the Age of Great Reforms the traditional daily life of the Jewish populace had turned toward the surrounding world and that Jewry had begun participating as far as possible in the struggle for rights and liberty. There was not a single area in the economic, public and spiritual life of Russia unaffected by the creative energies of Russian Jews. And remember that from the beginning of the century, the doors of Russian general education were opened wide for Jews, though it took a long time for the unwilling Jews to enter.

Later, a well-known lawyer and public figure, Ya. L. Teytel, thus recalled the Mozyr grammar school of the 1860s: “The director of the school often appealed to the Jews of Mozyr, telling them about the benefits of education and about the desire of government to see more Jews in grammar schools. Unfortunately, such pleas had fallen on deaf ears. So they were not enthusiastic to enroll during the first years after the reform, even when they were offered free education paid for by state and when school charters (1864) declared that schools are open to everyone regardless confession. The Ministry of National Education tried to make admission of

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

Jews into general education institutions easier; it exhibited “benevolence toward young Jewish students.”

Here L. Deutsch particularly distinguished the famous surgeon N. I. Pirogov, then a trustee of the Novorossiysk school district, suggesting that he had “strongly contributed to the alleviation of hostility among my tribesmen toward *goyish* schools and sciences.” Soon after the ascension of Czar Alexander II, the Minister of Education thus formulated the government plan: “It is necessary to spread, by any means, the teaching of subjects of general education, while avoiding interference with the religious education of children, allowing parents to take care of it without any restrictions or hindrances on the part of government.” Education in state public schools was made mandatory for children of Jewish merchants and honorary citizens.

Yet all these measures, privileges and invitations, did not lead to a drastic increase in Jewish admissions. By 1863 the share of Jewish students in Russian schools reached 3.2 percent, that is, equal to their percentage in the population of the empire. Apart from the rejection of Russian education by the Jewry, there was a certain influence from Jewish public leaders who now saw their task differently: With the advent of the Age of Great Reforms, the friends of enlightenment had merged the question of mass education with the question of the legal situation of Jews, that is, they began struggling for the immediate removal of all remaining restrictions. After the shock of the Crimean War, such a liberal possibility seemed quite realistic. But after 1874, following enactment of the new military statute which granted military service privileges to educated individuals, almost a magical change happened with Jewish education. Jews began entering public schools in mass. After the military reform of 1874, even

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

Orthodox Jewish families started sending their sons into high schools and institutions of higher learning to reduce their term of military service. Among these privileges were not only draft deferral and easement of service but also, according to the recollections of Mark Aldanov, the possibility of taking the officer's examination and receiving officer rank. Sometimes they attained titles of nobility.

In the 1870s an enormous increase in the number of Jewish students in public education institutions occurred, leading to creation of numerous degreed Jewish intelligentsia. In 1881 Jews composed around 9 percent of all university students; by 1887, their share increased to 13.5 percent, i.e., one out of every seven students. In some universities, Jewish representation was much higher: in the Department of Medicine of Kharkov University Jews comprised 42 percent of student body; in the Department of Medicine of Odessa University — 31 percent, and in the School of Law — 41 percent. In all schools of the country, the percentage of Jews doubled to 12 percent from 1870 to 1880 (and compared to 1865, it had quadrupled). In the Odessa school district, it reached 32 percent by 1886, and in some schools, it was 75 percent and even more. When D. A. Tolstoy, the Minister of Education from 1866, began school reforms in 1871 by introducing the Classical education standard with emphasis on antiquity, the ethnic Russian intelligentsia boiled over, while Jews did not mind.

However, for a while, these educational developments affected only the Jewish bourgeoisie and intelligentsia. The wide masses remained faithful to their cheders and yeshivas as the Russian elementary school offered nothing in the way of privileges. The Jewish masses remained in isolation as before due to specific conditions of their internal and outside life. Propagation of modern

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

universal culture was extremely slow and new things took root with great difficulty among the masses of people living in *shtetls* and towns of the Pale of Settlement in the atmosphere of very strict religious traditions and discipline. Concentrated within the Pale of Settlement, the Jewish masses felt no need for the Russian language in their daily lives. As before, the masses were still confined to the familiar hold of the primitive cheder education. And whoever had just learned how to read had to immediately proceed to reading the Bible in Hebrew.

From the government's point of view, opening up general education to Jews rendered state Jewish schools unnecessary. From 1862 Jews were permitted to take posts as senior supervisors in such schools and so the personnel were gradually replenished with committed Jewish pedagogues who, acting in the spirit of the time, worked to improve mastery of Russian language and reduce teaching of specifically Jewish subjects.

In 1873 these specialized schools were partially abolished and partially transformed, some into primary specialized Jewish schools of general standard, with three or six year study courses, and two specialized rabbinical schools in Vilna and Zhitomir were transformed into teacher training colleges. The government sought to overcome Jewish alienation through integrated education; however, the Commission for Arranging the Jewish Way of Life was receiving reports both from Jewish advocates, often high-ranked, and from the opponents of reform who insisted that Jews must never be treated in the same way as other ethnic groups of the Empire, that they should not be permitted unrestricted residence all over the country; it might be allowed only after all possible measures were tried to turn Jews into useful productive citizens in the places where they



# THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

live now and when these measures would prove their success beyond any doubt.

Meanwhile, through the shock of ongoing reforms, especially of the abolition of the burdensome recruiting obligation in 1856 (and through it the negation of the corresponding power of Jewish leaders over their communities), and then of the repeal of the associated special taxation in 1863, the administrative power of the community leaders was significantly weakened in comparison to their almost unrestricted authority in the past inherited from the Kahal (abolished in 1844), that omnipotent arbiter of the Jewish life.

## **The Book of Kahal**

It was at the end of 1850s and during the 1860s when the baptized Jew Yakov Brafman, appeared before the government and later came out publicly in an energetic attempt at radical reformation of the Jewish way of life. He had petitioned the Czar with a memorandum and was summoned to St. Petersburg for consultations in the Synod. He set about exposing and explaining the Kahal system (though a little bit late, since the Kahal had already been abolished.)

For that purpose, he had translated into Russian the resolutions of the Minsk Kahal issued in the period between the end of the 18th and the beginning of the 19th centuries. Initially he published the documents in parts and later in 1869 and 1875 as a compilation, *The Book of Kahal*, which revealed the all-encompassing absoluteness of the personal and material powerlessness of the community member. The book had acquired exceptional weight in the eyes of the authorities and was accepted as an official guidebook; it won

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

recognition (often by hearsay) in wide circles of Russian society; it was referred to as the “Brafman’s triumph” and lauded as an “extraordinary success.” Later the book was translated into French, German, and Polish. *The Book of Kahal* managed to instill in a great number of individuals a fanatical hatred toward Jews as the worldwide enemy of Christians; it had succeeded in spreading misconceptions about Jewish way of life.

The mission of Brafman, the collection and translation of the acts issued by the Kahal had alarmed the Jewish community. At their demand, a government commission which included the participation of Jewish community representatives was created to verify Brafman’s work. Some Jewish writers were quick to come forward with evidence that Brafman distorted some of the Kahal documents and wrongly interpreted others; one detractor had even had doubts about their authenticity. A century later in 1976, the *Short Jewish Encyclopedia* confirmed the authenticity of Brafman’s documents and the good quality of his translation but blamed him for false interpretation. The *Russian Jewish Encyclopedia* (1994) pointed out that “the documents published by Brafman are a valuable source for studying the history of Jews in Russia at the end of the 18th and the beginning of the 19th centuries.” (Apropos, the poet Khodasevich was the grand-nephew of Brafman).

Brafman claimed that governmental laws cannot destroy the malicious force lurking in the Jewish self-administration. According to him, Jewish self-rule is not limited to Kahals but allegedly involves the entire Jewish people all over the world and because of that the Christian peoples cannot get rid of Jewish exploitation until everything that enables Jewish self-segregation is eliminated. Further,

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

Brafman viewed the Talmud not as a national and religious code but as a civil and political code going against the political and moral development of Christian nations and creating a “Talmudic republic.” He insisted that Jews form a nation within a nation; that they do not consider themselves subject to national laws that one of the main goals of the Jewish community is to confuse the Christians to turn the latter into no more than fictitious owners of their property. On a larger scale, he accused the Society for the Advancement of Enlightenment among the Jews of Russia and the Alliance Israélite Universelle for their role in the Jewish world conspiracy. According to Yu. Gessen’s opinion, “the only demand of the *The Book of Kahal* was the radical extermination of Jewish self-governance,” regardless of all their civil powerlessness.

The State Council, having mitigated the uncompromised style of *The Book of Kahal*, declared that even if administrative measures would succeed in erasing the outward differences between Jews and the rest of population, “it will not in the least eliminate the attitudes of seclusion and nearly the outright hostility toward Christians which thrive in Jewish communities. This Jewish separation, harmful for the country, can be destroyed, on one hand, through the weakening of social connections between the Jews and reduction of the abusive power of Jewish elders to the extent possible, and, on the other hand, through spreading of education among Jews, which is actually more important.”

### **Culture and Enlightenment**

And precisely the latter process — education — was already underway in the Jewish community. A previous Jewish Enlightenment, the Haskalah Movement of the 1840s,

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

was predominantly based on German culture; they were completely ignorant of Russian culture (they were familiar with Goethe and Schiller but did not know Pushkin and Lermontov). Until the mid-19th century, even educated Jews, with rare exceptions, having mastered the German language, at the same time did not know the Russian language and literature.

However, as those Maskilim sought self-enlightenment and not the mass education of the Jewish people, the movement died out by the 1860s. In the 1860s, Russian influences burst into the Jewish society. Until then Jews were not living but rather residing in Russia, perceiving their problems as completely unconnected to the surrounding Russian life. Before the Crimean War the Jewish intelligentsia in Russia acknowledged German culture exclusively but after the reforms it began gravitating toward Russian culture. Mastery of the Russian language increases self-esteem. From now on the Jewish Enlightenment developed under the strong influence of the Russian culture. The best Russian Jewish intellectuals abandoned their people no longer; they did not depart into the area of exclusively personal interests, but cared about making their people's lot easier. Well, after all, Russian literature taught that the strong should devote themselves to the weak.

However, this new enlightenment of the Jewish masses was greatly complicated by the strong religiosity of said masses, which in the eyes of progressives was doubtlessly a regressive factor, whereas the emerging Jewish Enlightenment movement was quite secular for that time. Secularization of the Jewish public consciousness was particularly difficult because of the exceptional role religion played in the Diaspora as the foundation of Jewish national consciousness over the course of the many centuries. And so

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

the wide development of secular Jewish national consciousness began, in essence, only at the end of the century. It was not because of inertia but due to a completely deliberate stance as the Jew did not want risking separation from his God.

So, the Russian Jewish intelligentsia met the Russian culture at the moment of birth. Moreover, it happened at the time when the Russian intelligentsia was also developing expansively and at the time when Western culture gushed into Russian life (Buckle, Hegel, Heine, Hugo, Comte, and Spencer).

It was pointed out that several prominent figures of the first generation of Russian Jewish intelligentsia (S. Dubnov, M. Krol, G. Sliozberg, O. Gruzenberg, and Saul Ginzburg) were born in that period, 1860-1866, though their equally distinguished Jewish revolutionary peers — M. Gots, G. Gershuni, F. Dan, Azef, and L. Akselrod — were also born during those years and many other Jewish revolutionaries, such as P. Akselrod and L. Deych, were born still earlier, in the 1850s. In St. Petersburg in 1863, the authorities permitted establishment of the Society for the Spreading of Enlightenment among the Jews in Russia (SSE) supported by the wealthy Evzel Gintzburg and A. M. Brodsky. Initially, during the first decade of its existence, its membership and activities were limited; the Society was preoccupied with publishing activities and not with school education; yet still its activities caused a violent reaction on the part of Jewish conservatives (who also protested against publication of the Pentateuch in Russian as a blasphemous encroachment on the holiness of the Torah).

From the 1870s, the SSE provided financial support to Jewish schools. Their cultural work was conducted in Russian, with a concession for Hebrew, but not Yiddish,

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

which was then universally recognized as a “jargon.” In the opinion of Osip Rabinovich, a belletrist, the “spoiled jargon” used by Jews in Russia “cannot facilitate enlightenment, because it is not only impossible to express abstract notions in it, but one cannot even express a decent thought with it. Instead of mastering the wonderful Russian language, we Jews in Russia stick to our spoiled, cacophonous, erratic, and poor jargon.” (In their day, the German Maskilim ridiculed Yiddish even more sharply.)

And so, a new social force arose in Russian Jewry, which did not hesitate to enter the struggle against the union of capital and synagogue, as expressed by the liberal Yu. I. Gessen. That force, nascent and for the time being weak, was the Jewish periodical press in the Russian language. Its first-born was the Odessa magazine *Rassvet* [Dawn], published for two years from 1859 to 1861 by the above-mentioned O. Rabinovich. The magazine was positioned to serve as a medium for dissemination of “useful knowledge, true religiousness, rules of communal life and morality”; it was supposed to predispose Jews to learn the Russian language and to “become friends with the national scholarship.” *Rassvet* also reported on politics, expressing love for the Fatherland and the intention to promote the government’s views with the goal of “communal living with other peoples, participating in their education and sharing their successes, while at the same time preserving, developing, and perfecting our distinct national heritage.” The leading *Rassvet* publicist, L. Levanda, defined the goal of the magazine as twofold: “to act defensively and offensively: defensively against attacks from the outside, when our human rights and confessional (religious) interests must be defended, and offensively

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

against our internal enemy: obscurantism, everydayness, social life troubles, and our tribal vices and weaknesses.”

This last direction, to reveal the ill places of the inner Jewish life, aroused a fear in Jewish circles that it might lead to new legislative repressions. So, the existing Jewish newspapers (in Yiddish) saw the *Rassvet's* direction as extremely radical. Yet these same moderate newspapers by their mere appearance had already shaken the patriarchal structure of Jewish community life maintained by the silence of the people. Needless to say, the struggle between the rabbinate and Hasidic Judaism went on unabated during that period, and this new 1860s struggle of the leading publicists against the stagnant foundations of daily life had added to it. Gessen noted that “in the 1860s, the system of repressive measures against ideological opponents did not seem offensive even for the conscience of intelligent people.” For example, publicist A. Kovner, “the Jewish Pisarev”, a radical Russian writer and social critic, could not refrain from tipping off a Jewish newspaper to the Governor General of Novorossiysk. In the 1870s Pisarev was extremely popular among Jewish intellectuals.

M. Aldanov thinks that Jewish participation in Russian cultural and political life had effectively begun at the end of the 1870s and possibly a decade earlier in the revolutionary movement.

In the 1870s new Jewish publicists (L. Levanda, the critic S. Vengerov, the poet N. Minsky) began working with the general Russian press. (According to G. Aronson, Minsky expressed his desire to go to the Russo-Turkish War to fight for his brothers Slavs). The Minister of Education Count Ignatiev then expressed his faith in Jewish loyalty to Russia. After the Russo-Turkish War of 1877-1878, rumors about major auspicious reforms began circulating among the

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

Jews. In the meantime, the center of Jewish intellectual life shifted from Odessa to St. Petersburg, where new writers and attorneys gained prominence as leaders of public opinion. In that hopeful atmosphere, publication of *Rassvet* was resumed in St. Petersburg in 1879. In the opening editorial, M. I. Kulisher wrote: “Our mission is to be an organ of expression of the necessities of Russian Jews for promoting the awakening of the huge mass of Russian Jews from mental hibernation it is also in the interests of Russia in that goal the Russian Jewish

intelligentsia does not separate itself from the rest of Russian citizens.”

Alongside the development of the Jewish press, Jewish literature could not help but advance —first in Hebrew, then in Yiddish, and then in Russian, inspired by the best of Russian literature. Under Alexander II, there were quite a few Jewish authors who persuaded their coreligionists to study the Russian language and look at Russia as their homeland.

Naturally, in the conditions of the 1860s-1870s, the Jewish educators, still few in numbers and immersed in Russian culture, could not avoid moving toward assimilation, in the same direction which under analogous conditions led the intelligent Jews of Western Europe to unilateral assimilation with the dominant people. However, there was a difference: in Europe, the general cultural level of the native peoples was consistently higher and so in Russia these Jews could not assimilate with the Russian people, still weakly touched by culture, nor with the Russian ruling class (who rejected them); they could only assimilate with the Russian intelligentsia, which was then very small in number but already completely secular, rejecting, among other things, their God. Now Jewish educators also tore away from



## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

Jewish religiosity and, being unable to find an alternative bond with their people, they were becoming completely estranged from them and spiritually considered themselves solely as Russian citizens.

A worldly rapprochement between the Russian and Jewish intelligentsias was developing. It was facilitated by the general revitalization of Jewish life with several categories of Jews now allowed to live outside the Pale of Settlement. Development of railroad communications and possibilities of travel abroad all contributed to a closer contact of the Jewish ghetto with the surrounding world. Moreover, by the 1860s up to one-third of Odessa's Jews could speak Russian. The population there grew quickly, because of massive resettlement to Odessa of both Russian and foreign Jews, the latter primarily from Germany and Galicia. The blossoming of Odessa by the middle of the 19th century presaged the prosperity of all Russian Jewry toward the end of the 19th to the beginning of 20th century. Free Odessa developed according to its own special laws, differing from the All-Russian statutes since the beginning of the 19th century. It used to be a free port and was even open to Turkish ships during the war with Turkey.

The main occupation of Odessa's Jews in this period was the grain trade. Many Jews were small traders and middlemen (mainly between the landowners and the exporters), as well as agents of prominent foreign and local (mainly Greek) wheat trading companies. At the grain exchange, Jews worked as stockbrokers, appraisers, cashiers, scalers, and loaders; the Jews were in a dominant position in grain commerce: by 1870 most of grain export was in their hands. In 1910 89.2% of grain exports was under their control. In comparison with other cities in the Pale of Settlement, more Jews of the independent professions lived

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

in Odessa and they had better relations with educated Russian circles, and were favorably looked upon and protected by the high administration of the city N. Pirogov a prominent Russian scientist and surgeon, the Trustee of the Odessa School District from 1856-1858, particularly patronized the Jews. A contemporary observer had vividly described this Odessa's clutter with fierce competition between Jewish and Greek merchants, where in some years half the city, from the major bread bigwigs, to the thrift store owners, lived off the sale of grain products. In Odessa, with her non-stop business commotion bonded by the Russian language, it was impossible to draw a line, to separate clearly a wheat merchant or a banker from a man of an intellectual profession.

Thus, in general among the educated Jews the process of adopting all things Russian had accelerated. European education and knowledge of the Russian language had become necessities; everyone hurried to learn the Russian language and Russian literature; they thought only about hastening integration and complete blending with their social surroundings; they aspired not only for the mastery of the Russian language but for the complete Russification and adoption of "the Russian spirit," so that the Jew would not differ from the rest of citizens in anything but religion.

The contemporary observer M. G. Morgulis wrote: "Everybody had begun thinking of themselves as citizens of their homeland; everybody now had a new Fatherland."

Members of the Jewish intelligentsia believed that for the state and public good they had to get rid of their ethnic traits and to merge with the dominant nationality. A contemporary Jewish progressive wrote that "Jews, as a nation, do not exist", that they "consider themselves Russians

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

of the Mosaic faith. Jews recognize that their salvation lies in the merging with the Russian people.”

Here it is perhaps worth naming Veniamin Portugalov, a doctor and publicist. In his youth, he harbored revolutionary sentiments and because of that he even spent some time as a prisoner in the Peter and Paul Fortress. From 1871 he lived in Samara. He played a prominent role in development of rural health service and public health science. He was one of the pioneers of therapy for alcoholism and the struggle against alcohol abuse in Russia. He also organized public lectures. From a young age, he shared the ideas of Narodniks, a segment of the Russian intelligentsia, who left the cities and went to the people (“narod”) in the villages, preaching on the moral right to revolt against the established order about the pernicious role of Jews in the economic life of the Russian peasantry. These ideas laid the foundation for the dogmas of the Judeo-Christian movement of the 1880s (The Spiritual Biblical Brotherhood). Portugalov deemed it necessary to free Jewish life from ritualism, and believed that “Jewry can exist and develop a culture and civilization only after being dissolved in European peoples.” He meant the Russian people.

A substantial reduction in the number of Jewish conversions to Christianity was observed during the reign of Alexander II as it became unnecessary after the abolishment of the institution of military cantonism and the widening of Jewish rights. And from now on the sect of Skhariya the Jew began to be professed openly too. Such an attitude on the part of affluent Jews, especially those living outside the Pale of Settlement and those with Russian education, toward Russia as undeniably a homeland is noteworthy. It had to be noticed and was. In view of the great reforms, all responsible Russian

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

Jews were, without exaggeration, patriots and monarchists and adored Alexander II. M. N. Muravyov, then Governor General of the Northwest Krai famous for his ruthlessness toward the Poles [who rebelled in 1863], patronized Jews in the pursuit of the sound objective of winning the loyalty of a significant portion of the Jewish population to the Russian state. Though during the Polish uprising of 1863 Polish Jewry was mainly on the side of the Poles a healthy national instinct prompted the Jews of the Vilnius, Kaunas, and Grodno Guberniyas to side with Russia because they expected more justice and humane treatment from Russians than from the Poles, who, though historically tolerating the Jews, had always treated them as a lower race.

This is how Ya. Teitel described it: “The Polish Jews were always detached from the Russian Jews; they looked at Russian Jews from the Polish perspective.” On the other hand, the Poles in private shared their opinion on the Russian Jews in Poland: “The best of these Jews are our real enemy. Russian Jews, who had infested Warsaw, Lodz, and other major centers of Poland, brought with them Russian culture, which we do not like.”

In those years, the Russification of Jews on its territory was highly desirable for the Czarist government. Russian authorities recognized socialization with Russian youth as a sure method of re-education of the Jewish youth to eradicate their hostility toward Christians. Still, this newborn Russian patriotism among Jews had clear limits. The lawyer and publicist I. G. Orshansky specified that to accelerate the process “it was necessary to create conditions for the Jews such that they could consider themselves as free citizens of a free civilized country.” The above-mentioned Lev Levanda, a Jewish scholar living under the jurisdiction of the Governor of Vilnius, then wrote: “I will become a

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

Russian patriot only when the Jewish Question is resolved conclusively and satisfactorily.” A modern Jewish author who experienced the long and bitter 20th century and then had finally emigrated to Israel, replied to him looking back across the chasm of a century: “Levanda does not notice that one cannot lay down conditions to Motherland. She must be loved unconditionally, without conditions or pre-conditions; she is loved simply because she is the Mother. This stipulation — love under conditions — was consistently maintained by the Russian Jewish intelligentsia for one hundred years, though in all other respects they were ideal Russians.

“

And yet in the described period only small and isolated groups of Jewry became integrated into Russian civil society; moreover, it was happening in the larger commercial and industrial centers leading to the appearance of an exaggerated notion about victorious advance of the Russian language deep into Jewish life, all the while the wide Jewish masses were untouched by the new trends isolated not only from the Russian society but from the Jewish intelligentsia as well. In the 1860s and 1870s, the Jewish people en masse were still unaffected by assimilation, and the danger of the Jewish intelligentsia breaking away from the Jewish masses was real. In Germany, Jewish assimilation went smoother as there were no Jewish popular masses there — the Jews were better off socially and did not historically live in such crowded enclaves.

However, as early as the end of the 1860s, some members of the Jewish intelligentsia began voicing opposition to such a conversion of Jewish intellectuals into simple Russian patriots. Perets Smolensky was the first to speak of this in 1868: that assimilation with the Russian

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

character is fraught with national danger for the Jews; that although education should not be feared, it is necessary to hold on to the Jewish historical past; that acceptance of the surrounding national culture still requires preservation of the Jewish national character; and that the Jews are not a religious sect, but a nation. So if the Jewish intelligentsia withdraws from its people, the latter would never liberate itself from administrative oppression and spiritual stupor. The poet I. Gordon had put it this way: "Be a man on the street and a Jew at home."

The St. Petersburg journals *Rassvet* (1879-1882) and *Russkiy Evrei* [Russian Jew] had already followed this direction. They successfully promoted the study of Jewish history and contemporary life among Jewish youth. At the end of the 1870s and the beginning of the 1880s, cosmopolitan and national directions in Russian Jewry became distinct. In essence, the owners of *Rassvet* had already abandoned the belief in the truth of assimilation. *Rassvet* unconsciously went by the path of the awakening of ethnic identity it was clearly expressing a Jewish national bias. The illusions of Russification were disappearing.

The general European situation of the latter half of the 19th century facilitated development of national identity. There was a violent Polish uprising, the war for the unification of Italy, and then of Germany, and later of the Balkan Slavs. The national idea blazed and triumphed everywhere. Obviously, these developments would continue among the Jewish intelligentsia even without the events of 1881-1882. Meanwhile, in the 1870s, the generally favorable attitudes of Russians toward Jews, which had developed during the Alexandrian reforms, began to change.

Russian society was concerned with Brafman's publications, which were taken quite seriously. All this coincided with

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

the loud creation of the Alliance Israélite Universelle in Paris in 1860; its goal was to defend the interests of Jewry all over the world; its Central Committee was headed by Adolphe Cremieux. Insufficiently well-informed about the situation of Jews in Russia, the Alliance took interest in Russian Jewry and soon began consistently working on behalf of Russian Jews.

The Alliance did not have Russian branches and did not function within Russia. Apart from charitable and educational work, the Alliance, in defending Russian Jews, several times addressed the Russian government directly, though often inappropriately. For example, in 1866 the Alliance appealed to prevent the execution of Itska Borodai who was convicted of politically motivated arson. However, he was not sentenced to death at all, and other Jews implicated in the affair were acquitted even without the petition. In another case, Cremieux protested against the resettlement of Jews to the Caucasus and the Amur region, although there was no such Russian government plan whatsoever. In 1869 he again protested, this time against the nonexistent persecution of Jews in St. Petersburg. Cremieux had also complained to the President of the United States about similarly nonexistent persecutions against the Jewish religion by the Russian government.

Nevertheless, according to the report of the Russian ambassador in Paris, the newlyformed Alliance (with the Mosaic tablets over the earth on its emblem) had already enjoyed “extraordinary influence on Jewish societies in all countries. All this alarmed the Russian government as well as Russian public. Yakov Brafman actively campaigned against the Universal Jewish Alliance. He claimed that the Alliance, like all Jewish societies, is double-faced (its official documents proclaim one thing while the secret ones say

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

another) and that the task of the Alliance is to shield the Jewry from the perilous influence of Christian civilization. As a result, the Society for the Spreading of Enlightenment among the Jews in Russia was also accused of having a mission to achieve and foster universal Jewish solidarity and caste-like seclusion.

Fears of the Alliance were also nurtured by the very emotional opening proclamation of its founders to the Jews of all nations and by the dissemination of false Alliance documents. Regarding Jewish unity the proclamation contained the following wording: "Jews! If you believe that the

Alliance is good for you, that while being the parts of different nations you nevertheless can have common feelings, desires, and hopes if you think that your disparate efforts, good aspirations and individual ambitions could become a major force when united and moving in one direction and toward one goal then please support us with your sympathy and assistance."

Later in France a document surfaced containing an alleged proclamation *To Jews of the Universe* by Adolphe Cremieux himself. It was very likely a forgery. Perhaps it was one of the drafts of the opening proclamation not accepted by the Alliance founders. However, it had resonated well with Brafman's accusations of the Alliance having hidden goals: "We live in alien lands and we cannot take an interest in the variable concerns of those nations until our own moral and material interests are endangered the Jewish teachings must fill the entire world." Heated arguments were exchanged in this regard in Russian press. I. S. Aksakov concluded in his newspaper *Rus* that "the question of the document under discussion being a falsehood is rather



## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

irrelevant in this case because of veracity of the expressed herein Jewish views and aspirations.”

The pre-revolutionary *Jewish Encyclopedia* writes that from the 1870s fewer voices were heard in defense of Jews in the Russian press. The notion of Jews allegedly united under the aegis of a powerful political organization administered by the Alliance Israélite Universelle was taking root in Russian society. Thus, the foundation of the Alliance produced in Russia (and possibly not only in Russia) a reaction counterproductive to the goals that the Alliance had specified. If the founders of the Alliance could have foreseen the sheer scale of condemnations against the idea of worldwide Jewish solidarity and even the accusations of conspiracy which had erupted after the creation of the organization, they might have refrained from following that route, especially considering that the Alliance did not alter the course of Jewish history.

After 1874, when a new military charter introducing universal military service obligation in Russia came into force, numerous news articles on draft evasion by Jews began fueling resentment that would arise once again precisely a century later, in the 1970s. Cremieux replied that the mission of the Alliance was the struggle against religious persecution and that the Alliance had decided henceforth not to assist Jews trying to evade military obligation in Russia. Rather it would issue “an appeal to our co-religionists in Russia in order to motivate them to comply with all the requirements of the new law.”

Besides crossing the border, another way to evade military service was self-mutilation. General Denikin (who was quite a liberal before and even during the revolution) described hundreds of bitter cases of the self-mutilation he personally saw during several years of service at the military

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

medical examination board in Volyn Guberniya. Such numerous and desperate selfinjuries to evade military service on the part of the Jews are all the more striking considering that it was already the beginning of the 20th century.

As previously mentioned, the influx of Jews into public schools, professional schools and institutions of higher learning had sharply increased after 1874 when a new military charter stipulating educational privileges came into force. This increase was dramatic. While calls to restrict Jewish enrollment in public education institutions were heard from the Northwestern Krai even before, in 1875, the Ministry of Public Education informed the government that it was impossible to admit all Jews trying to enter public educational institutions without constraining the Christian population.

It is worth mentioning here the G. Aronson's regretful note that even D. Mendeleev of St. Petersburg University showed anti-Semitism. The *Jewish Encyclopedia* summarizes all of the 1870s period as "a turnaround in the attitudes of a part of Russian intelligentsia which rejected the ideals of the previous decade especially in regard to the Jewish Question."

An interesting feature of that time was that it was the press (the rightist one, of course) and not governmental circles that was highly skeptical and in no way hostile towards the project of full legal emancipation of the Jews. The following quotes are typical: "How can all the citizenship rights be granted to this stubbornly fanatical tribe, allowing them to occupy the highest administrative posts? Only education and social progress can truly bring together Jews and Christians. Introduce them into the universal family of civilization, and we will be the first to say words of love and reconciliation to them."

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

“Civilization will generally benefit from such a rapprochement as the intelligent and energetic tribe will contribute much to it. The Jews will realize that time is ripe to throw off the yoke of intolerance which originates in the overly strict interpretations of the Talmud. Until education brings the Jews to the thought that it is necessary to live not only at the expense of Russian society but also for the good of this society, no discussion could be held about granting them more rights than those they have now.”

“Even if it is possible to grant the Jews all civil rights, then in any case they cannot be allowed into any official positions where Christians would be subject to their authority and where they could have influence on the administration and legislation of a Christian country.”

The attitude of the Russian press of that time is well reflected in the words of the prominent St. Petersburg newspaper *Golos*: “Russian Jews have no right to complain that the Russian press is biased against their interests. Most Russian periodicals favor equal civil rights for Jews; it is understandable that Jews strive to expand their rights toward equality with the rest of Russian citizens; yet some dark forces drive Jewish youth into the craziness of political agitation. Why is that only a few political trials do not list Jews among defendants, and, importantly, among the most prominent defendants? That and the common Jewish practice of evading military service are counterproductive for the cause of expanding the civil rights of Jews; one aspiring to achieve rights must prove beforehand his ability to fulfill the duties which come with those rights” and “avoid putting himself into an extremely unfavorable and dismal position with respect to the interests of state and society.”

Yet, the *Encyclopedia* notes, “Despite all this propaganda, bureaucratic circles were dominated by the idea

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

that the Jewish Question could only be resolved through emancipation. For instance, in March 1881 a majority of the members of the Commission for Arranging the Jewish Way of Life tended to think that it was necessary to equalize the Jews in rights with the rest of the population.” Raised during the two decades of Alexandrian reforms, the bureaucrats of that period were in many respects taken by the reforms’ triumphant advances. And so proposals quite radical and favorable to Jews were put forward on several occasions by Governors General of the regions constituting the Pale of Settlement.

Let’s not overlook the new initiatives of the influential Sir Moses Montefiore, who paid another visit to Russia in 1872; and the pressure of both Benjamin Disraeli and Bismarck on Russian State Chancellor Gorchakov at the Berlin Congress of 1878. Gorchakov had uneasily to explain that Russia was not in the least against religious freedom and did grant it fully, but religious freedom should not be confused with Jews having equal political and civil rights.

Yet the situation in Russia developed toward emancipation.

And when in 1880 the Count Loris-Melikov was made the Minister of the Interior with exceptional powers, the hopes of Russian Jews for emancipation had become really great and well-founded. Emancipation seemed impending and inevitable.

And at this very moment the members of Narodnaya Volya assassinated Alexander II, thus destroying in the bud many liberal developments in Russia, among them the hopes for full Jewish civil equality.

Slizoberg noted that the Czar was killed on the eve of Purim. After a series of attempts, the Jews were not surprised at this coincidence, but they became restless about the future.

# THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

## Chapter V: After the Murder of Alexander II

The murder of the Czar-Liberator, Alexander II, shocked the people's consciousness— something the *Narodovol'tsi* intended, but that has been intentionally or unintentionally ignored by historians with the passing of decades. The deaths of heirs or czars of the previous century— Aleksei Petrovich, Ivan Antonovich, Peter III, and Paul—were violent, but that was unknown to the people. The murder of March 1st, 1881, caused a panic in minds nationwide. For the common people, and particularly for the peasant masses, it was as if the very foundations of their lives were shaken. Again, as the *Narodovol'tsi* calculated, this could not help but invite some explosion. And an explosion did occur, but an unpredictable one: Jewish pogroms in Novorossiia and Ukraine.

Six weeks after the regicide, the pogroms of Jewish shops, institutions, and homes suddenly engulfed a vast territory, with tremendous, epidemic force. Indeed, it was rather spontaneous. Local people who for the most different reasons desired to get even with the Jews posted incendiary posters and organized basic cadres of pogromists, which were quickly joined by hundreds of volunteers who joined without any exhortation, caught up in the generally wild atmosphere and promise of easy money. In this there was something spontaneous. However, even the crowds fueled by alcohol while committing theft and violence directed their blows in one direction only: in the direction of the Jews. The unruliness only stopping at the thresholds of Christian homes.

The first pogrom occurred in Elizavetgrad, on 15 April. Disorder intensified when peasants from the neighboring settlements arrived, in order to profit off the

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

goods of the Jews. At first the military did not act, because of uncertainty; finally, significant cavalry forces succeeded in ending the pogrom.

The arrival of fresh forces put an end to the pogrom. There was no rape and murder in this pogrom. According to other sources, one Jew was killed. The pogrom was put down on 17 April by troops who fired into the crowd of thugs. However, from Elizavetgrad the stirring spread to neighboring settlements. In the majority of cases, the disorders were confined to plundering of taverns. After a week, a pogrom occurred in the Anan'evskiy Uezd district of Odessa Guberniya province, then in Anan'ev itself, where it was caused by some petty bourgeois, who spread a rumor that the Czar was killed by Jews, and that there was an official order for the massacre of Jews but the authorities were hiding this. On 23 April, there was a brief pogrom in Kiev, but it was soon stopped with military force. However, on 26 April a new pogrom broke out, and by the following day it had spread to the Kiev suburbs. This was the largest pogrom in the whole chain of them; but it as well ended without human fatalities. (Another tome of the same *Encyclopedia* reports the opposite, that several Jews were killed.) After Kiev, pogroms took place again in approximately fifty settlements in the Kiev Guberniya, during which property of the Jews was subjected to plunder, and in isolated cases battery occurred. At the end of the same April a pogrom took place in Konotop, caused mainly by workers and railroad hands, accompanied by one human fatality; in Konotop there were instances of self-defense from the Jewish side. There was still an echo of the Kiev Pogrom in Zhmerinka, in several settlements of Chernigov Guberniya; at the start of May, in the small town of Smel, where it was suppressed with arriving troops the next day (an apparel store was plundered).

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

With echoes in the course of May, at the start of summer pogroms still broke out in separate areas in Ekaterinoslav and Poltava guberniyas of Aleksandrovsk, Romni, Nezhin, Pereyaslavl, and Borisov. Insignificant disorders took place somewhere in Melitopol Uezd. There were cases when peasants immediately compensated Jews for their losses.

The pogrom movement in Kishinev, which began on 20 April, was nipped in the bud. There were no pogroms in all of Byelorussia—not in that year, nor in the following years, although in Minsk a panic started among the Jews during rumors about pogroms in the Southwestern Krai, on account of a completely unexpected occurrence. And next in Odessa. Only Odessa already knew Jewish pogroms in the 19th century, in 1821, 1859, and 1871. Those were sporadic events, caused mainly by unfriendliness toward Jews on the part of the local Greek population, on account of the commercial competition of the Jews and Greeks. In 1871 there was a three-day pogrom of hundreds of Jewish taverns, shops, and homes, but without human fatalities.

I.G. Orshanskiy writes in more detail about this pogrom, and states, that Jewish property was intentionally destroyed: heaps of watches from the jewelers – they did not steal them, but carried them out to the roadway and smashed them. He agrees that the nerve center of the pogrom was hostility toward the Jews on the part of the Greek merchants, particularly owing to the fact, that after the Crimean War the Odessa Jews took the grocery trade and colonial commodities from the Greeks. But there was a general dislike toward the Jews on the part of the Christian population of Odessa. This hostility manifested far more consciously and prominently among the intelligent and affluent class than among the common working people. You see, however, that different peoples get along in Odessa; why then did only

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

Jews arouse general dislike toward themselves, which sometimes turns into severe hatred? One high school teacher explained to his class: “The Jews are engaged in incorrect economic relations with the rest of population.” Orshanskiy objects that such an explanation removes the heavy burden of moral responsibility. He sees the same reason in the psychological influence of Russian legislation, which singles out the Jews, namely and only to place restrictions on them. And in the attempt of Jews to break free from restrictions, people see impudence, insatiableness, and grabbing. As a result, in 1881 the Odessa administration, already having experience with pogroms – which other local authorities did not have – immediately put down disorders which were reignited several times, and the masses of thugs were placed in vessels and dragged away from the shore – a highly resourceful method. (In contradiction to the prerevolutionary, the modern *Encyclopedia* writes, that this time the pogrom in Odessa continued for three days.)

### **Pogroms Blamed On The Czarist Government**

The pre-revolutionary *Encyclopedia* recognizes, that “the government considered it necessary to decisively put down violent attempts against the Jews”; so it was the new Minister of Interior Affairs, Count N.P. Ignatiev, (who replaced Loris-Melikov in May, 1881) who firmly suppressed the pogroms; although it was not easy to cope with rising disturbances of epidemic strength – in view of the complete unexpectedness of events, the extremely small number of Russian police at that time (Russia’s police force was then incomparably smaller than the police forces in the West European states, much less than those in the Soviet



## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

Union) and the rare stationing of military garrisons in those areas. Firearms were used for defense of the Jews against pogromists. There was firing in the crowd, and people were shot dead. For example, in Borisov soldiers shot and killed several peasants. Also, in Nezhin troops stopped a pogrom, by opening fire at the crowd of peasant pogromists; several people were killed and wounded. In Kiev 1,400 people were arrested.

All this together indicates a highly energetic picture of enforcement. But the government acknowledged its insufficient preparedness. An official statement said that during the Kiev pogrom the measures to restrain the crowds were not taken with sufficient timeliness and energy. In a report to His Majesty in June 1881 the Director of the Police Department, V.K. Plehve, named the fact that courts martial “treated the accused extremely leniently and in general dealt with the matter quite superficially” as “one of the reasons for the development and insufficiently quick suppression of the disorders” Alexander III made a note in the report: “This is inexcusable.”

But forthwith and later it did not end without accusations that the pogroms were arranged by the government itself – a completely unsubstantiated accusation, much less absurd, since in April 1881 the same liberal reformer Loris Melikov headed the government, and all his people were in power in the upper administration. After 1917, a group of researchers – S. Dubnov, G. Krasniy-Admoni, and S. Lozinskiy – thoroughly searched for the proof in all the opened government archives and only found the opposite, beginning with the fact that Alexander III himself demanded an energetic investigation. But to utterly ruin Czar Alexander III’s reputation a nameless someone invented the malicious slander that the Czar – unknown to anyone, when, and under

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

what circumstances – said: “And I admit that I myself am happy when they beat Jews!” And this was accepted and printed in émigré liberation brochures, it went into liberal folklore, and even until now, after 100 years, it has turned up in publications as historically reliable.

And even in the *Short Jewish Encyclopedia*: “The authorities acted in close contact with the arrivals,” that is, with outsiders. And it was “clear” to Tolstoy in Yasnaya Polyana that it was “obvious”: all matters were in the hands of the authorities. If “they wanted one they could bring on a pogrom; if they didn’t want one there would be no pogrom.”

As a matter of fact, not only was there no incitement on the part of the government, but as Gessen points out: “the rise of numerous pogrom brigades in a short time in a vast area and the very character of their actions eliminates the thought of the presence of a single organizational center.”

And here is another contemporary, living testimony from a pretty much unexpected quarter

– from the Black Repartition’s Worker’s Leaflet; that is, a proclamation to the people, in June 1881. The revolutionary leaflet thus described the picture: “Not only all the governors, but all other officials, police, troops, priests, *zemstvo* [elected district councils], and journalists – stood up for the kulak-Jews...The government protects the person and property of the Jews.” Threats are announced by the governors “that the perpetrators of the riots will be dealt with according to the full extent of the law...The police looked for people who were in the crowd [of pogromists], arrested them, dragged them to the police station...Soldiers and Cossacks used the rifle butt and the whip...they beat the people with rifles and whips...some were prosecuted and locked up in jail or sent to do hard labor, and others were thrashed with birches on the spot by the police.”

# THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

## **Exaggeration of Pogrom Violence and Bloodshed**

Next year, in the spring of 1881, pogroms were renewed but already not in the same numbers and not in the same scale as in the previous year. The Jews of the city of Balta experienced a particularly heavy pogrom, riots also occurred in the Baltskiy Uezd and still in a few others. However, according to the number of incidents, and according to their character, the riots of 1882 were significantly inferior to the movement of 1881 – the destruction of the property of Jews was not so frequent a phenomenon. The pre-revolutionary *Jewish Encyclopedia* reports, that at the time of the pogrom in Balta, one Jew was killed.

A famous Jewish contemporary wrote: “In the pogroms of the 1880s, they robbed unlucky Jews, and they beat them, but they did not kill them.” (According to other sources, six or seven deaths were recorded.) At the time of the 1880–1890s, no one remembered mass killings and rapes. However, more than a half-century passed, and many publicists, not having the need to delve into the ancient [official] Russian facts, but then having an extensive and credulous audience, now began to write about massive and premeditated atrocities.

For example, we read in Max Raisin’s frequently re-published book that the pogroms of 1881 led to the rape of women, murder, and maiming of thousands of men, women, and children. It was later revealed, that these riots were inspired and thought out by the very government, which had incited the pogromists and hindered the Jews in their self-defense. A G.B. Sliozberg, so rationally familiar with the

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

workings of the Russian state apparatus, suddenly declared outofcountry in 1933 that the pogroms of 1881 originated not from below but from above, with Minister Ignatiev (who at that time was still not Minister – the old man’s memory failed him) and

“there was no doubt, that threads of the work of the pogrom could be found in the Department of Police.” Thus, the experienced jurist afforded himself dangerous and ugly groundlessness.

And yes, here in a serious present-day Jewish journal from a modern Jewish author we find that, contrary to all the facts and without bringing in new documents that in Odessa in 1881 a threeday pogrom took place; and that in the Balta pogrom there was “direct participation of soldiers and police”; “40 Jews were killed and seriously wounded, 170 lightly wounded.” We just read in the old *Jewish Encyclopedia*: in Balta one Jew was killed, and wounded – several. But in the *New Jewish Encyclopedia*, a century after the events, we read that in Balta “soldiers joined the pogromists...Several Jews were killed, hundreds wounded, many women were raped.” Pogroms are too savage and horrible a form of reprisal, for one to so lightly manipulate casualty figures. There – spattered, basted – is it necessary to begin excavations again?

### **Who Was Really Behind the Pogroms?**

The causes of those first pogroms were persistently examined and discussed by contemporaries. As early as 1872, after the Odessa pogrom, the General-Governor of the Southwestern Krai warned in a report that similar events could happen in his Krai also, for “here the hatred and hostility toward Jews has a historical basis, and only the

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

material dependence of the peasants upon Jews together with the measures of the administration currently holds back an indignant explosion of the Russian population against the Jewish tribe.” The General-Governor reduced the essence of the matter to economics, as he reckoned and evaluated the business and manufacturing property in Jewish hands in the Southwestern Krai, and pointed to the fact that “being increasingly engaged in the rent of landed estates, the Jews have re-rented and shifted this land to the peasants on very difficult terms.” And such a causation received wide recognition in 1881 which was full of pogroms.

In the spring of 1881, Loris-Melikov also reported to His Majesty: “The deep hatred of the local population toward the Jews who enslave it lies at the foundation of the present disorders, but ill-intentioned people have undoubtedly exploited this opportunity.”

And thus, explained the newspapers of the time: examining the causes which provoked the pogroms, only a few organs of the periodical press refer to the tribal and religious hatred; the rest think that the pogrom movement arose on economic grounds; in so doing, some see a protest in the unruly behaviors directed specially against the Jews, in light of their economic dominance over the Russian population. Yet others maintained that the mass of the people, in general squeezed economically, looked for someone to vent their anger on and the Jews fit this purpose because of their having little rights. A contemporary of these pogroms, the cited educator, V. Portugalov, also said “In the Jewish pogroms of the 1880s, I saw an expression of protest by the peasants and the urban poor against social injustice.” Ten years later, Yu. I. Gessen emphasized, that the Jewish population of the southern guberniyas in general was able to find sources of livelihood among the Jewish capitalists, while

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

the local peasantry went through extremely difficult times as it did not have enough land, to which the wealthy Jews contributed in part, by re-renting the landowner's lands and raising the rental fee beyond the ability of the peasants.

Let us not leave out still another witness, known for his impartiality and thoughtfulness, whom no one has accused of being reactionary or of anti-Semitism – Gleb Uspenskiy. At the beginning of the 1980s, he wrote: “The Jews were beaten up, namely because they amassed a fortune on other people's needs, other people's work, and did not make bread with their own hands. Under canes and lashes, you see, the people endured the rule of the Tatar and the German, but when the Yid began to harass the people for a ruble – they did not take it!”

But we should note that when soon after the pogroms a deputation of prominent Jews from the capital, headed by Baron G. Gintzburg, came to Alexander III at the beginning of May 1881,

His Majesty confidently estimated that “in the criminal disorders in the south of Russia, the Jews served only as a pretext, that this business was the hand of the anarchists.” And in those same days, the brother of the Czar, the Grand Prince Vladimir Alexandrovich, announced to the same Gintzburg, that “the disorders, as is now known by the government, have their sources not exclusively agitation against the Jews, but an aspiration to the work of sedition in general.” And the General-Governor of the Southwestern Krai also reported, that “the general excited condition of the population is the responsibility of propagandists.” In this the authorities turned out to be well-informed. Such quick statements from them reveal that the authorities did not waste time in investigation. But because of the usual misunderstanding of the Russian administration of that time,

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

and its incomprehension of the role of publicity, they did not report the results of the investigation to the public. Sliozberg blames that on the central authority in that it did not even make attempts to vindicate itself of accusations of permitting the pogroms. (True, but after all, it accused the government, as we saw, of deliberate instigation and guidance of the pogroms. It is absurd to start with proof that you are not a criminal.)

Yet not everyone wanted to believe that the incitements came from the revolutionaries. Here a Jewish memoirist from Minsk recalls: “for Jews, Alexander II was not a Liberator – he did not do away with the Jewish Pale of Settlement, and although the Jews sincerely mourned his death, they did not say a single bad word against the revolutionaries; they spoke with respect about them, that they were driven by heroism and purity of thought.” And during the spring and summer pogroms of 1881, they did not in any way believe that the socialists incited toward them: it was all because of the new Czar and his government. The government wished for the pogroms, it had to have a scapegoat. And now, when reliable witnesses from the South later indeed confirmed that the socialists engineered them, they continued to believe that it was the fault of the government.

However, toward the start of the 20th century, thorough authors admitted: “In the press there is information about the participation of separate members of the *Narodnaya Vol'ya* [People's Will] in the pogroms; but the extent of this participation is still not clear. . . . Judging by the party organ, members of the party considered the pogroms as a sort of revolutionary activity, suggesting that the pogroms were training the people for revolutionary action, that the action which was easiest of all to direct against the Jews now

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

could in its further development, come down on the nobles and officials. Accordingly, proclamations calling for an attack on the Jews were prepared." Today, it is only superficially talked about, like something generally known: both members of *Narodnaya Vol'ya* and the Black Repartition was prepared to stir rebellion to any fertile soil, including anti-Semitism.

From emigration, Tkachev, irrepressible predecessor of Lenin in conspiratorial tactics, welcomed the broadening pogrom movement.

Indeed, the *Narodovol'tsi* and the weaker *Chernoperedel'tsi* [members of Black Repartition] could not wait much longer after the murder of the Czar which did not cause the instantaneous mass revolution which had been predicted and expected by them. With such a state of general bewilderment of minds after the murder of the Czar-Liberator, only a slight push was needed for the reeling minds to re-incline into any direction.

In that generally unenlightened time, that re-inclination could probably have happened in different ways. For example, there was then such a popular conception that the Czar was killed by nobles, in revenge for the liberation of the peasants. In Ukraine, anti-Jewish motives existed. Still, it is possible the first movements of spring 1881 anticipated the plot of the *Narodovol'tsi* - but right then and there they suggested which way the wind would blow: it went against the Jews - never lose touch with the people! A movement from the heart of the masses - Of course! Why not use it? Beat the Jews, and later we will get to the landowners! And now the unsuccessful pogroms in Odessa and Ekaterinoslav were most likely exaggerated by the Narodniks. The movement of the pogromists along the railroads, and participation of the railroad workers in the pogroms—



## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

everything points to the instigation of pogroms by easily mobile agitators, especially with that particularly inciting rumor that “they are hiding the order of the Czar,” namely to beat the Jews for the murder of his father.

The public prosecutor of the Odessa Judicial Bureau thus emphasized that in perpetrating the Jewish pogroms, the people were completely convinced of the legality of their actions, firmly believing in the existence of a Czar’s decree allowing and even authorizing the destruction of Jewish property. And according to Gessen, “the realization that had taken root in the people that the Jews stood outside of the law, and that the authorities defending the Jews could not come out against the people – had now taken effect.” The *Narodovol'tsi* wanted to use this imaginary notion. A few such revolutionary leaflets are preserved for history. Such a leaflet from 30 August 1881 is signed by the Executive Committee of the *Narodnaya Vol'ya* and reads straight away in Ukrainian:

“Who seized the land, forests, and taverns? The Yid. From whom, *muzhik* peasant, do you have to ask for access to your land, at times hiding tears? From Yids. Wherever you look, wherever you ask, the Yids are everywhere. The Yid insults people and cheats them; drinks their blood” ...and it concludes with the appeal: “Honest working people! Free yourselves!” Later, in the newspaper *Narodnaya Vol'ya* No. 6: “All attention of the defending people is now concentrated, hastily and passionately, on the merchants, tavern keepers, and moneylenders; in a word, on the Jews, on this local bourgeoisie, who avariciously rob working people like nowhere else.” And after, in a forward to a leaflet of the *Narodnaya Vol'ya* (already in 1883), some

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

“corrections”: “the pogroms began as a nationwide movement, but not against the Jews as Jews, but against Yids; that is, exploiter peoples.”

In the said leaflet Zerno, the *Chernoperedel'tsi*: “The working people cannot withstand the Jewish robbery anymore. Wherever one goes, almost everywhere he runs into the Jew-Kulak. The Jew owns the taverns and pubs; the Jew rents land from the landowners, and then re-rents it at three times higher to the peasant; he buys the wholesale yields of crop and engages in usury, and in the process, charges such interest rates, that the people outright call them ‘Yiddish rates’...”

“This is our blood!” said the peasants to the police officials who came to seize the Jewish property back from them. But the same “correction” is in Zerno: “...and far from all among the Jews are wealthy...not all of them are kulaks...Discard hostility toward differing peoples and differing faiths and unite with them against the common enemy: The Czar, the police, the landowners, and the capitalists.”

However, these “corrections” came quite late in the day. Such leaflets were later reproduced in Elizavetgrad and other cities of the South; and in the South Russian Worker’s Soviet in Kiev, where the pogroms were already over, the Narodniks tried to stir them up again in 1883, hoping to renew, and through them to spread the Russian-wide revolution.

Of course, the pogrom wave in the South was extensively covered in the contemporary press in the capital. In the “reactionary” *Moskovskiye Vedomosti* the writer M. N. Katkov, who always defended the Jews, branded the pogroms as originating with “malicious intriguers who intentionally darkened the popular consciousness, forcing

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

people to solve the Jewish Question, albeit not by a path of thorough study, but with the help of raised fists.”

The articles by prominent writers stand out. I. S. Aksakov, a steadfast opponent of complete civil liberty for the Jews, attempted to warn the government against too daring steps on this path, as early as the end of the 1850s. When a law came out allowing Jews with higher degrees to be employed in the administration, he objected (1862) saying that the Jews are “a bunch of people who completely reject Christian teachings, the Christian ideal and code of morality and therefore, the entire foundation of Russian society, and practice a hostile and antagonistic faith.” He was against political emancipation of the Jews, though he did not reject their equalization in purely civil rights, in order that the Jewish people could be provided complete freedom in daily life, selfmanagement, development, enlightenment, commerce, and even allowing them to reside in all of Russia. In 1867, he wrote that economically speaking “we should talk not about emancipation for Jews, but rather about the emancipation of Russians from Jews.” He noted the blank indifference of the liberal press to the conditions of peasant’s life and their needs. And now Aksakov explained the wave of pogroms in 1881 as a manifestation of the popular anger against “the Jewish yoke over the Russian local people”; that’s why during the pogroms, there was an absence of theft, only the destruction of property and a kind of simplehearted conviction in the justness of their actions; and he repeated, that it was worth putting the question not about Jews enjoying equal rights with Christians, but about the equal rights of Christians with Jews, about abolishing factual inequality of the Russian population in the face of the Jews.

On the other hand, an article by M. E. Saltykov-Shchedrin was full of indignation: “History has never drawn

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

on its pages a question more difficult, more devoid of humanity, and more tortuous, than the Jewish Question...There is not a more inhumane and mad legend than that coming out from the dark ravines of the distant past...carrying the mark of disgrace, alienation, and hatred...Whatever the Jew undertakes, he always remains stigmatized.” Shchedrin did not deny that “a significant contingent of moneylenders and exploiters of various kinds are enlisted from the Jews,” but he asked, can we really place blame on the whole Jewish tribe, on account of one type?

Examining the whole discussion of that time, a present-day Jewish author writes: “the liberal, and conditionally speaking, progressive press was defending the thugs.” And the prerevolutionary *Jewish Encyclopedia* comes to a similar conclusion: “Yet in the progressive circles, sympathies toward the woes of the Jewish people were not displayed sufficiently ...they looked at this catastrophe from the viewpoint of the aggressor, presenting him as destitute peasant, and completely ignoring the moral sufferings and material situation of the mobbed Jewish people.” And even the radical *Patriotic Notes* evaluated it thus: “the people rose up against the Jews because they took upon themselves the role of pioneers of capitalism, because they live according to the new truth and confidently draw their own comfortable prosperity from that new source at the expense of the surrounding community,” and therefore, “it was necessary that the people be protected from the Jew, and the Jew from the people”, and for this the condition of the peasant needs to be improved.

### **Trying to Resolve the Jewish Question**

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

In *A Letter from a Christian on the Jewish Question*, published in the Jewish magazine *Rassvet*, D. Mordovtsev, a writer sympathetic to the Jews, pessimistically urged the Jews to emigrate to Palestine and America, seeing only in this a solution to the Jewish Question in Russia.

Jewish social-political journalism and the memoirs of this period expressed grievance because the printed publications against the Jews, both from the right and from the revolutionary left, followed immediately after the pogroms. Soon (and all the more energetically because of the pogroms) the government would strengthen restrictive measures against the Jews. It is necessary to take note of and understand this insult. It is necessary thoroughly to examine the position of the government. The general solutions to the problem were being sought in discussions in government and administrative spheres. In a report to His Majesty, N. P. Ignatiev, the new Minister of Internal Affairs, outlined the scope of the problem for the entire previous reign: "Recognizing the harm to the Christian population from the Jewish economic activity, their tribal exclusivity and religious fanaticism, in the last 20 years the government has tried to blend the Jews with the rest of the population using a whole row of initiatives, and has almost made the Jews equal in rights with the native inhabitants."

However, the present anti-Jewish movement "incontrovertibly proves, that despite all the efforts of the government, the relations between the Jews and the native population of these regions remain abnormal as in the past," because of the economic issues: after the easing of civil restrictions, the Jews have not only seized commerce and trade, but they have acquired significant landed property. Moreover, because of their cohesion and solidarity, they have, with few exceptions, directed all their efforts not

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

toward the increase of the productive strength of the state, but primarily toward the exploitation of the poorest classes of the surrounding population.” And now, after we have crushed the disorders and defended the Jews from violence, “it seems just and urgent to adopt no less energetic measures for the elimination of these abnormal conditions...between the native inhabitants and the Jews, and to protect the population from that harmful activity of the Jews.’

In accordance with that, in November 1881 governmental commissions comprised of representatives of all social strata and groups (including Jewish), were established in 15 guberniyas of the Jewish Pale of Settlement, and also in Kharkov Guberniya. The commissions sought to examine the Jewish Question and propose their ideas on its resolution. It was expected that the commissions would provide answers on many factual questions, such as:

\*In general, which aspects of Jewish economic activity are most harmful for the way of life of the native population in the region?

\*Which difficulties hinder the enforcement of laws regulating the purchase and rental of land, trade in spirits, and usury by Jews?

\*Which changes are necessary to eliminate evasion of these laws by Jews?

\*Which legislative and administrative measures in general are necessary to negate the harmful influence of the Jews in various kinds of economic activity?

The liberal Palenskaya inter-ministerial High Commission established two years later for the revision of laws on the Jews, noted that “the harm from the Jews, their bad qualities, and traits” were somewhat recognized *a priori* in the program that was given to the provincial commissions.

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

Yet many administrators in those commissions were pretty much liberal, as they were brought up in the stormy epoch of Czar Alexander II's reforms, and moreover, public delegates participated also. And Ignatiev's ministry received rather inconsistent answers. Several commissions were in favor of abolishing the Jewish Pale of Settlement. Individual members of the commissions – and they were not few – declared that the only just solution to the Jewish Question was the general repeal of all restrictions.

On the other hand, the Vilnius Commission stated that because of the mistakenly understood notion of universal human equality wrongly applied to Judaism to the detriment of the native people, the Jews managed to seize economic supremacy; that “the Jewish law permits them to profit from any weakness and gullibility of gentile. Let the Jews renounce their seclusion and isolation, let them reveal the secrets of their social organization allowing light where only darkness appeared to outsiders; and only then can one think about opening new spheres of activity to the Jews, without fear that Jews wish to use the benefits of the nation while not being members of the nation, and not taking upon themselves a share of the national burden.

Regarding residence in the villages and hamlets, the commissions found it necessary to restrict the rights of the Jews: to forbid them to live there altogether or to make it conditional upon the agreement of the village communities. Some commissions recommended completely depriving the Jews of the right to possess real estate outside of the cities and small towns, and others proposed establishing restrictions. The commissions showed the most unanimity in prohibiting any Jewish monopoly on alcohol sales in villages.

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

The Ministry gathered the opinions of the governors, and with rare exceptions comments from the regional authorities were not favorable to the Jews. "To protect the Christian population from so haughty a tribe as the Jews, one can never expect the Jewish tribe to dedicate its talents to the benefit of the homeland; Talmudic morals do not place any obstacles before the Jews if it is a question of making money at the expense of someone outside of the tribe." Yet the Kharkov General-Governor did not consider it possible to take restrictive measures against the whole Jewish population without distinguishing the lawful from the guilty; he proposed to expand the right of movement for Jews and spread enlightenment among them.

That same autumn, by Ignatiev's initiative, a special Committee on the Jews was established (the ninth by count already, with three permanent members, two of them professors) with the task of analyzing the materials of the provincial commissions and in order to draft a legislative bill. The previous "Commission for the Organization of the Life of the Jews" – that is, the eighth committee on Jews, which existed since 1872 – was soon abolished due to the gap between its original purpose and the present state of the Jewish Question.

The new Committee proceeded with the conviction that the goal of integrating the Jews with the rest of the population, toward which the government had striven for the last 25 years, had turned out to be unattainable. Therefore, "the difficulty of resolving the complicated Jewish Question compels us to turn for the instruction to the old times, when various novelties did not yet penetrate either our own or foreign legislation, and did not bring with them the regrettable consequences which usually appear upon adoption of new things that are contrary to the national spirit



## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

of the country.” From time immemorial the Jews were considered aliens, and should be considered as such. Gessen comments: “the reactionary could not go further.” And if you were so concerned about the national foundations then why you didn’t worry about genuine emancipation of the peasantry during the past 20 years?

And it was also true that Czar Alexander II’s emancipation of the peasants proceeded in a confused, unwholesome and corrupt environment.

However, in government circles there were still people who did not consider it possible, in general, to change the policy of the preceding reign – and they were in important posts and strong. And some ministers opposed Ignatiev’s proposals. Seeing resistance, he divided the proposed measures into fundamental (for which passing in the regular way required moving through the government and the State Council) and provisional, which could by law be adopted through an accelerated and simplified process.

To convince the rural population that the government would protect them from exploitation by Jews, the permanent residence of Jews outside of their towns and *shtetls* (since the government was powerless to protect them from pogroms in the scattered villages) and the buying and renting of real estate there, and also trading in spirits was prohibited. Regarding the Jews already living there, it granted to the rural communities the right to evict the Jews from the villages, based upon a verdict of the village meeting. But other ministers – particularly the Minister of Finance, N. Kh. Bunge, and the Minister of Justice, D.N. Nabokov, did not let Ignatiev implement these measures: they rejected the bill, claiming that it was impossible to adopt such extensive prohibitive measures without debating them within the usual legislative process.

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

So much for the boundless and malicious arbitrariness of the Russian autocracy under the Czars. Ignatiev's fundamental measures did not pass, and the provisional ones passed only in a greatly truncated form. Rejected were the provisions to evict the Jews already living in the villages, to forbid their trade in alcohol and their renting and buying land in villages. And only because of the fear that the pogroms might happen again around Easter of 1882, a temporary measure until passing of comprehensive legislation about the Jews was passed which again prohibited the Jews henceforth to take residence and enter into ownership, or make use of real estate property outside of their towns and *shtetls* (that is, in the villages), and also forbade them to trade on Sundays and Christian holidays. Concerning the Jewish ownership of local real estate, the government acted to suspend temporarily the completion of sales and purchase agreements and loans in the name of the Jews, the notarization of real estate rental agreements, and the proxy management and disposal of property by them.

This mere relic of Ignatiev's proposed measures was approved on 3 May 1882, under title of Temporary Regulations (known as the May Regulations). Ignatiev himself went into retirement after a month, his Committee on the Jews ceased its brief existence, and a new Minister of Internal Affairs, Count D. A. Tolstoy, issued a stern directive against possible new pogroms, placing full responsibility on the provincial authorities for the timely prevention of disorders.

Thus, according to the Temporary Regulations of 1882, the Jews who had settled in rural regions before the 3rd of May were not evicted and their economic activity there was essentially unrestricted. Moreover, these regulations only applied to the guberniyas of permanent Jewish

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

settlement, not to the guberniyas of the Russian interior. These restrictions did not extend to doctors, attorneys, and engineers - i.e., individuals with the right of universal residence according to educational requirement. These restrictions also did not affect any existing Jewish colonies still engaged in agriculture, and there was still a considerable (and later growing) list of rural settlements, according to which, in exception to the Temporary Regulations, Jews were permitted to settle.

After issuance of the Regulations, inquiries began flowing from the regions and Senate explanations were issued in response. For example: journeys through rural regions, temporary stops and even temporary stays of individuals without the right of permanent residence were not prohibited by the Law of 3 May 1882; that only the rent of real estates and agrarian lands was prohibited, while rent of all other types of real estate property, such as distillation plants, buildings for trade and industry, and living quarters was not prohibited. Also, “the Senate deems permissible the notarization of lumbering agreements with the Jews, even if the clearing of a forest was scheduled for a prolonged period, and even if the buyer of the forest was allowed use of the underbrush land”; and finally, that violations of the Law of 3rd May would not be subjected to criminal prosecution.

It is necessary to recognize these Senate clarifications as mitigating, and in many respects, good-natured. In the 1880s the Senate wrestled with the arbitrary interpretation of the laws. However, the regulations forbidding the Jews to settle outside the towns and *shtetls* and/or to own real estate, and the extremely restricted permission for alcohol distillation business by Jews was very significant.

It was exactly this measure to restrict the Jews in the rural wine trade (first proposed as early as 1804) that stirred

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

universal indignation at the “extraordinary severity of the May Regulations,” even though it was only implemented, and incompletely at that, in 1882. The government stood before a difficult choice: to expand the wine industry in the face of peasant proneness to drunkenness and thus to deepen the peasant poverty, or to restrict the free growth of this trade by allowing Jews already living in the villages to remain while stopping others from coming. And that choice – restriction – was deemed cruel.

Yet how many Jews lived in rural regions in 1882? We have already come across postrevolutionary estimates from the state archives: one third of the entire Jewish population of the Pale lived in villages, another third lived in *shtetls*, 29% lived in mid-size cities, and 5 percent in the major cities. So the Regulations now prevented the “village” third from further growth?

Today these May Regulations are portrayed as a decisive and irrevocably repressive boundary of Russian history. A Jewish author writes: “This was the first push toward emigration! – first internal migration, then massive overseas migration. – The first cause of Jewish emigration was the Ignatiev Temporary Regulations, which violently threw around one million Jews out of the hamlets and villages, and into the towns and *shtetls* of the Jewish Pale.”

Wait a second—how did they throw the Jews out, and an entire million at that? Didn’t they apparently only prevent new arrivals? No, no! It was already picked up and sent rolling: that from 1882 the Jews were not only forbidden to live in the villages everywhere, but in all the cities, too, except in the 13 guberniyas; that they were moved back to the *shtetls* of the Pale – that is why the mass emigration of Jews from Russia began!

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

Well, let us set the record straight. The first time the idea about Jewish emigration from Russia to America voiced was as early as in 1869 at the Conference of the Alliance of the World Jewish Union – with the thought that the first who settled there with the help of the Alliance and local Jews would become a magnet for their Russian co-religionists. Moreover, the beginning of the emigration of Jews from Russia dates back to the mid-19th century and gains significant momentum after the pogroms of 1881. But only since the mid-1890s does emigration become a major phenomenon of Jewish economic life, assuming a massive scale—note that it says economic life, not political life.

From a global viewpoint, Jewish immigration into the United States in the 19th century was part of an enormous century-long and worldwide historical process. There were three successive waves of Jewish emigration to America: first the Spanish-Portuguese (Sephardic) wave, then the German wave (from Germany and Austria-Hungary), and only then from Eastern Europe and Russia (Ashkenazik). For reasons not addressed here, a major historical movement of Jewish emigration to the U.S. took place in the 19th century, and not only from Russia. In light of the very lengthy Jewish history, it is difficult to overestimate the significance of this emigration.

From the Russian Empire, a river of Jewish emigration went from all the guberniyas that made up the Jewish Pale of Settlement, but Poland, Lithuania, and Byelorussia gave the greatest number of emigrants; meaning they did not come from Ukraine, which was just experiencing the pogroms. The reason for this was this emigration was the same throughout—overcrowding, which created inter-Jewish economic competition. Moreover, relying on Russian state statistics, V. Tel'nikov turns our attention to the last two

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

decades of the 19th century; just after the pogroms of 1881–1882, comparing the resettlement of Jews from the Western Krai, where there were no pogroms, to the Southwest, where they were.

The latter was numerically not less and was possibly more than the Jewish departure out of Russia. In addition, in 1880, according to official data, 34,000 Jews lived in the internal guberniyas, while seventeen years later (according to the census of 1897) there were already 315,000 – a ninefold increase. Of course, the pogroms of 1881–1882 caused a shock, but was it really a shock for the whole of Ukraine? For example, Sliozberg writes: “The 1881 pogroms did not alarm the Jews in Poltava, and soon they forgot about them.”

In the 1880s in Poltava “the Jewish youth did not know about the existence of the Jewish Question, and in general, did not feel isolated from the Russian youth.” The pogroms of 1881–82, in their complete suddenness, could have seemed unrepeatable, and the unchanging Jewish economic pull was prevailing: go settle hither, where fewer Jews live.

But undoubtedly and inarguably, a decisive turn of progressive and educated Jewry away from the hopes of a complete integration with the nation of Russia and the Russian population began in 1881. G. Aronson even concluded hastily, that “the 1881 Odessa Pogrom shattered the illusions of assimilation.” No, it wasn’t that way yet! But if, for example, we follow the biographies of prominent and educated Russian Jews, then around 1881–1882 we will note in many of them a drastic change in their attitudes toward Russia and about possibilities of complete assimilation. By then it was already clear and not contested that the pogrom wave was indubitably spontaneous without any evidence for the complicity of the authorities.

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

On the contrary, the involvement of the revolutionary *narodniks* was proven. However, the Jews did not forgive the Russian Government for these pogroms—and never have since. And although the pogroms originated mainly with the Ukrainian population, the Russians have not been forgiven and the pogroms have always been tied with the name of Russia.

The pogroms of the 1880s sobered many of the advocates of assimilation but not all: the idea of assimilation still remained alive. And here, other Jewish publicists moved to the other extreme: in general, it was impossible for Jews to live among other peoples, for they will always be looked upon as alien. And the Palestinian Movement began to grow quickly.

It was under the influence of the 1881 pogroms that the Odessa doctor, Lev Pinsker, published his brochure, *Auto-Emancipation: The Appeal of a Russian Jew to his Fellow Tribesmen* in Berlin in 1882, and anonymously. It made a huge impression on Russian and West European Jewry. It was an appeal about the ineradicable foreignness of Jews in eyes of surrounding peoples. We will discuss this further in Chapter 7.

P. Aksel'rod claims that it was then that radical Jewish youths discovered that Russian society would not accept them as their own and thus they began to depart from the revolutionary movement. However, this assertion appears to be too far-fetched. In the revolutionary circles, except the *Narodnaya Vol'ya*, they did always think of the Jews as their own.

However, despite the cooling of attitudes of the Jewish intelligentsia toward assimilation, the government, as a result of inertia from Alexander II's reign for a while maintained a sympathetic attitude toward the Jewish problem

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

and did not yet fully replace it by a harshly restrictive approach. After the year-long ministerial activities of Count Ignatiev, who experienced such persistent opposition on the Jewish Question from liberal forces in the upper governmental spheres, an Imperial High Commission for Revision of the Active Laws about the Jews in the Empire was established in the beginning of 1883 – or as it was named for its chairman, Count

Palen, the Palenskaya Commission (so that by then, it became the tenth such Jewish Committee.)

It consisted of fifteen to twenty individuals from the upper administration, members of ministerial councils, department directors. Some were members of great families, such as Bestuzhev-Ryumin, Golytsin, and Speranskiy, and it also included seven Jewish experts – influential financiers, including Baron Goratsiy Gintzburg and Samuil Polyakov, and prominent public figures, such as Ya. Gal'pern, physiologist and publicist N. Bakst. It is highly likely that the favorable attitude of the majority of the members of the Commission toward resolution of the Jewish Question was caused, to certain degree, by the influence of Bakst and Rabbi A. Drabkin. In large part, it was these Jewish experts who prepared the materials for the Commission's consideration.

The majority of the Palenskaya Commission expressed the conviction, that “the final goal of legislation concerning the Jews should be nothing other than its abolition,” and “there is only one outcome and only one path: the path of liberation and unification of the Jews with the whole population, under the protection of the same laws.” (Indeed, rarely in Russian legislation did such complicated and contradictory laws pile up as the laws about Jews that accumulated over the decades: 626 statutes by 1885! And



## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

they were still added later and in the Senate, they constantly researched and interpreted their wording...)

And even if the Jews did not perform their duties as citizens in equal measure with others, nevertheless it was impossible to deprive the Jew of those fundamentals, on which his existence was based – his equal rights as a subject. Agreeing that several aspects of internal Jewish life required reforming and that certain Jewish activities constituted exploitation of the surrounding population, the majority of the Commission condemned the system of repressive and exclusionary measures. The Commission set as the legislative goal to equalize the rights of Jews, with those of all other subjects,” although it recommended the utmost caution and gradualness with this.

Practically, however, the Commission only succeeded in carrying out a partial mitigation of the restrictive laws. Its greatest efforts were directed of the Temporary Regulations of 1882, particularly in regard to the renting of land by Jews. The Commission made the argument as if in the defense of the landowners, not the Jews: prohibiting Jews to rent manorial lands not only impedes the development of agriculture, but also leads to a situation when certain types of agriculture remain in complete idleness in the Western Krai – to the loss of the landowners as there is nobody to whom they could lease them.

However, the Minister of Interior Affairs, D. A. Tolstoy, agreed with the minority of the Commission: the prohibition against new land-leasing transactions would not be repealed. The Palenskaya Commission lasted for five years, until 1888, and in its work the liberal majority always clashed with the conservative minority. From the beginning, Count Tolstoy certainly had no intention to revise the laws to

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

increase the repressive measures, and the 5-year existence of the Palenskaya Commission confirms this.

At that moment, His Majesty also did not wish to influence the decisions of his government on the matter of the increase of repressions against Jews. Ascending to the throne at such a dramatic moment, Alexander III did not hasten either to replace liberal officials, nor to choose a harsh political course: for long time he carefully examined things. In the course of the entire reign of Alexander III, the question about a general revision of the legislation about the Jews remained open. But by 1886-87, His Majesty's view already leaned toward hardening of the partial restrictions on the Jews and so the work of the Commission did not produce any visible result.

One of the first motivations for stricter control or more constraint on the Jews than during his father's reign was the constant shortfall of Jewish conscripts for military service; it was particularly noticeable when compared to conscription of Christians. According to the Charter of 1874, which abolished recruiting, compulsory military service was now laid on all citizens without any difference in social standing, but with the stipulation that those unfit for service would be replaced: Christians with Christians, and Jews with Jews.

In the case of Jews there were difficulties in implementation of that rule as there was both straightforward emigration of conscripts and their evasion of service, which all benefited from great confusion and negligence in the official records on Jewish population, in the keeping of vital statistics, in the reliability of information about the family situation and exact place of residence of conscripts.

The tradition of all these uncertainties stretched back to the times of the Kahals, a theocratic organizational

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

structure that originated in ancient Israelite society, and was consciously maintained for easing the tax burden. In 1883 and 1884, there were many occasions when Jewish recruits, contrary to the law, were arrested simply upon suspicion that they might disappear.

This method was first applied to Christian recruits, but sporadically. In some places, they began to demand photographs from the Jewish recruits, a very unusual requirement for that time. And in 1886 a highly constraining law was issued, including several measures for providing for regular fulfillment of military conscription by Jews, which established a 300-ruble fine from the relatives of each Jew who evaded military call-up. From 1887 they stopped allowing Jews to apply for the examination for officer rank. Educated soldiers had privileges in choosing military specialty in the course of service. (During the reign of Alexander II, the Jews could serve in the officers' ranks.) But officer positions in military medicine always remained open to Jews.

Yet if we consider that in the same period up to 20 million other aliens of the Empire were completely freed from compulsory military service, then wouldn't it be better to free the Jews of it altogether, thus offsetting their other constraints with such a privilege? Or was it the legacy of the idea of Nicholas I continuing here – to graft the Jews into Russian society through military service? To occupy the idle?

At the same time, Jews on the whole flocked into institutions of learning. From 1876 to 1883, the number of Jews in gymnasiums and gymnasium preparatory schools almost doubled, and from 1878 to 1886 – for an 8-year period – the number of Jewish students in the universities increased six times and reached 14.5 percent. By the end of the reign

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

of Alexander II they were receiving alarming complaints from the regional authorities about this. Thus, in 1878 the Governor of the Minsk Guberniya reported that “being wealthier, the Jews can bring up their children better than the Russians; the material condition of the Jewish pupils is better than that of Christians, and therefore in order that the Jewish element does not overwhelm the remaining population, it is necessary to introduce a quota system for the admission of Jews into secondary schools.” Next, after disturbances in several southern gymnasiums in 1880, the Trustee of the Odessa School District publicly came out with a similar idea. And in 1883 and 1885 two successive Novorossiysk (Odessa) General-Governors stated that an over-filling of learning institutions with Jews was taking place there, and it is either necessary to limit the number of Jews in the gymnasiums and gymnasium preparatory schools to 15 percent of the general number of pupils, or to a fairer norm, equal to the proportion of the Jewish population to the whole. By 1881, Jews made up 75 percent of the general number of pupils in several gymnasiums of the Odessa District. In 1886, a report was made by the Governor of Kharkov Guberniya, complaining about the influx of Jews to the common schools.

In all these instances, the ministers did not deem it possible to adopt general restrictive solutions, and only directed the reports for consideration to the Palenskaya Commission, where they did not receive support.

From the 1870s onward, students become primary participants in the revolutionary excitement. After the assassination of Alexander II, the general intention to put down the revolutionary movement could not avoid student revolutionary nests, and the senior classes of the gymnasiums were already supplying them. Within the government there arose the alarming connection that together with the increase

# THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

of Jews among the students, the participation of students in the revolutionary movement noticeably increased. Among the higher institutions of learning, the Medical-Surgical Academy (later the Military-Medical Academy) was particularly revolutionized. Jews were very eager to enter it, and the names of Jewish students of this academy began already appearing in the court trials of the 1870s. And so the first special restrictive measure of 1882 restricted Jewish admissions to the Military-Medical Academy to an upper limit of 5%.

In 1883, a similar order followed with respect to the Mining Institute; and in 1884 a similar quota was established at the Institute of Communications. In 1885, the admission of Jews to the Kharkov Technological Institute was limited to 10%, and in 1886 their admission to the Kharkov Veterinary Institute was completely discontinued, since the city of Kharkov was always a center of political agitation, and the residence of Jews there in more or less significant numbers is generally undesirable and even dangerous. Thus, they thought to weaken the crescendo of revolutionary waves.

## **Volume Two: The Jews In the Soviet Union**

### **Chapter XIII: The February Revolution**

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

The 123-year-old history of unequal citizenship of the Jewish people in Russia, from the Act of Catherine the Great of 1791, ended with the 1917 February Revolution. [*Ed. Note: Solzhenitsyn refers to the first Russian revolution that overthrew the Czar, not the subsequent Bolshevik Revolution in October of that same year.*] It bears looking into the atmosphere of those February days; what was the state of society by the moment of emancipation?

There were no newspapers during the first week of the Revolutionary events in Petrograd. And then they began trumpeting, not looking for the ways to rebuild the state but vying with each other in denouncing all the things of the past. In an unprecedented gesture, the newspaper of the Constitutional Democrats (Kadets), *Rech*, announced that from now on “all Russian life must be rebuilt from the roots.” (A thousand-year life! — why, all of a sudden from “the roots”?) And the *Stock-Market News* announced a program of action: “Yank, yank all these weed-roots out! No need to worry that there might be some useful plants among them — it’s better to weed them all even at the price of unavoidable innocent victims. (Was this really March 1917 or March 1937?) The new Minister of Foreign Affairs Milyukov bowed and scraped: “Up to now we blushed in front of our allies because of our government.... Russia was a dead weight for our allies.”

Rarely in those beginning days was it possible to hear reasonable suggestions about rebuilding Russia. The streets of Petrograd were in chaos, the police were non-functional and all over the city there was continuous disorderly gunfire. But everything poured into a general rejoicing, though for every concrete question, there was a mess of thoughts and opinions, a cacophony of debating pens. All the press and society agreed on one thing — the immediate legislative

# THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

enactment of Jewish equality. Fyodor Sologub eloquently wrote in the *Birzheviye Vedomosti*: “The most essential beginning of the civil freedom, without which our land cannot be blessed, the people cannot be righteous, national achievements would not be sanctified, is the repeal of all religious and racial restrictions.”

## **The Liberation of the Jews**

The equality of Jews advanced very quickly. The 1st of March [old calendar style], one day before the abdication, a few hours before the infamous Order No. 1, which pushed the army to collapse, V. Makhlakov and M. Adzhemov, two commissars of the Duma Committee delegated to the Ministry of Justice, had issued an internal Ministry of Justice directive, ordering to enlist all Jewish-assistants to attorneys-at-law into the Guild of Judicial Attorneys. Already by the 3rd of March the Chairman of the State Duma, M. Rodzianko, and the Prime Minister of the Provisional Government, Prince G. Lvov, signed a declaration which stated that one of the main goals of the new government is a ‘repeal of all restrictions based upon religion, nationality and social class.’ Then, on the 4th of March, the Defense Minister Guchkov proposed to open a path for the Jews to become military officers, and the Minister of Education Manuelov proposed to repeal the percentage quotas on the Jews. Both proposals were accepted without obstacles. On the 6th of March the Minister of Trade and Manufacturing, Konovalov started to eliminate national restrictions in corporative legislation, that is, a repeal of the law forbidding purchase of land by companies with Jewish executives.

These measures were quickly put into practice. By the 8th of March 1917 in Moscow, 110 Jewish “assistants” were

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

raised to the status of attorneys-at-law; by March 9th in Petrograd — 124 such Jews; by the 8th of March in Odessa — 60. On the 9th of March the City Duma of Kiev, not waiting for the upcoming elections, included in its body five Jews with voting power. And here — on March 20 the Provisional Government made a resolution, prepared by the Minister of Justice, A. Kerensky, with the participation of members of the political bureau of Jewish deputies in the 4th State Duma, legislated an act, published on March 22, that repealed all restrictions on the rights of Russian citizens, regardless of religious creed, dogma or nationality. This was, in essence, the first broad legislative act of the Provisional Government. At the request of the political bureaus (of Jewish deputies), the Jews were not specifically mentioned in the resolution.

But in order to “repeal all the restrictions on Jews in all of our laws, in order to uproot ... completely the inequality of Jews,” G.B. Sliozberg recalls, “it was necessary to make a complete list of all the restrictions ... and the collation of the list of laws to be repealed required great thoroughness and experience.” (This task was undertaken by Sliozberg and L.M. Bramson.) The *Jewish Encyclopedia* says: “The Act listed the statutes of Russian law that were being abolished by the Act — almost all those statutes (there were nearly 150) contained some or other antiJewish restrictions. Subject to repeal were, in part, all proscriptions connected to the Pale of Settlement; thereby its factual liquidation in 1915 was legally validated. The restrictions were removed layer by layer: travel, habitation, educational institutions, participation in local selfgovernment, the right to acquire property anywhere in Russia, participation in government contracts, from stock exchanges, hiring servants, workers and stewards of a different religion, the right to



## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

occupy high positions in the government and military service, guardianship and trusteeship. Recalling a cancellation of an agreement with the United States, they repealed similar restrictions on “foreigners who are not at war with the Russian government,” mainly in reference to Jews coming from the United States.

The promulgation of the Act inspired many emotional speeches. Deputy Freedman of the State Duma asserted: “For the past thirty-five years the Jews have been subjected to oppression and humiliation, unheard of and unprecedented even in the history of our long-suffering people.

All of it was the result of state-sponsored anti-Semitism.” Attorney O.O. Gruzenberg stated: “If the pre-Revolution Russian government was a vast and monstrous prison then its most stinking, terrible cell, its torture chamber was carted away for us, the six million Jewish people. And for the first time the Jewish child learned about this usurious term ‘interest’ in the state school.... Like hard labor camp prisoners on their way to camp, all Jews were chained together as despised aliens.... The drops of blood of our fathers and mothers, the drops of blood of our sisters and brothers fell on our souls, there igniting and enlivening the unextinguishable Revolutionary fire.” Rosa Georgievna, the wife of Vinaver, recalls: “The events (of the March 1917 Revolution) coincided with the Jewish Passover. It looked like this was a second escape from Egypt. Such a long, long path of suffering and struggle has passed, and how quickly everything had happened. A large Jewish meeting was called at which Milyukov spoke: “At last, a shameful spot has been washed away from Russia, which can now bravely step into the ranks of civilized nations.”

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

Vinaver proposed to the gathering to build “a large Jewish public house in Petrograd in memory of the meeting, which will be called The House of Freedom.”

Three members of the State Duma, M. Bomash, E. Gurevich and N. Freedman published an open letter to the Jewish people: that now “our military misfortunes could deal grave damage to the still infirm free Russia. Free Jewish warriors will draw new strength for the ongoing struggle, with the tenfold energy extending the great feat of arms.” And here was the natural plan: “The Jewish people should quickly reorganize their society. The long-obsolete forms of our communal life must be renewed on the free, democratic principles.”

The author-journalist David Eisman responded to the Act with an outcry: “Our Motherland! Our Fatherland! They are in trouble! With all our hearts we will defend our land. Not since the defense of the Temple has there been such a sacred feat of arms.”

Yet from the memoirs of Sliozberg: “The great fortune to have lived to see the day of the declaration of emancipation of Jews in Russia and the elimination of our lack of rights — everything I have fought for with all my strength over the course of three decades — did not fill me with the joy as it should have done,” because the collapse had begun right away. Seventy years later one Jewish author expressed doubts too: “Did that formal legislative Act really change the situation in the country, where all legal norms were precipitously losing their power?” We answer: in hindsight, from great distance, one should not downplay the significance of what was achieved. Then the Act suddenly and dramatically improved the situation of the Jews. As for the rest of the country, falling with all its peoples, into an abyss — that was the unpredictable way of the history.

# THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

## **The First Acts of Jewish Retaliation**

The most abrupt and notable change occurred in the judiciary. If earlier, the Batyushin's commission on bribery investigated the business of the obvious crook D. Rubinstein, now the situation became reversed: the case against Rubinstein was dropped, and Rubinstein paid a visit to the Extraordinary Investigatory Commission in the Winter Palace and successfully demanded prosecution of the Batyushin's commission itself. Indeed, in March 1917 they arrested General Batyushin, Colonel Rezanov, and other investigators.

The investigation of activities of that commission began in April, and, as it turned out, the extortion of bribes from the bankers and sugar factory owners by them was apparently significant. Then the safes of Volga-Kama, Siberian, and Junker banks, previously sealed up by Batyushin, were unsealed and all the documents returned to the banks. (Semanovich and Manus were not so lucky. When Simanovich was arrested as secretary to Rasputin, he offered 15,000 rubles to the prison convoy guards, if they would let him make a phone call, yet the request was of course turned down. As for Manus, suspected of being involved in shady dealings with the German agent Kolyshko, he battled the counterintelligence agents who came for him by shooting through his apartment's door. After his arrest, he fled the country).

The situation in the Extraordinary Investigatory Commission of the Provisional Government can be manifestly traced by records of interrogations in late March. Protopopov was asked how he came to be appointed to the Ministry of Internal Affairs, and in response he mentioned

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

the directive issued by him: the residence rights of the Jews were significantly expanded in Moscow. Asked about the priorities of his Ministry, he first recalled the foodstuffs affair, and, after then the progressive issue — the Jewish question. The director of the Department of Police, A.T. Vasilyev didn't miss an opportunity to inform the interrogators that he helped defend the sugar factory owners (Jews): "Gruzenberg called me in the morning in my apartment and thanked me for my cooperation. Rosenberg visited me to thank me for my efforts on his behalf." In this way, the accused tried to get some leniency for themselves.

A notable aspect of the weeks of March was an energetic pursuit of known or suspected Judeophobes. The first one arrested, on February 27, was the Minister of Justice Scheglovitov.

He was accused of personally giving the order to unjustly pursue the 1911 case against Mendel Beilis. In subsequent days Beilis's accusers, the prosecutor Vipper and Senator Chaplinsky, were also arrested. However, they were not charged with anything specific, and in May 1917 Vipper was merely dismissed from his position as the chief prosecutor of the Criminal Department of the Senate; his fate was sealed later, by the Bolsheviks.

The court investigator Mashkevich was ordered to resign — for during the Beilis trial he had sanctioned not only expert witness testimony against the argument on the ritual murder, but he also allowed a second expert testimony arguing *for* the case of such murder. The Minister of Justice Kerensky requested transfer of all materials of the Beilis case from the Kiev Regional Court, planning a loud re-trial, but during the stormy course of 1917 that didn't happen. The chairman of the Union of the Russian People, Dmitry Dubrovin, was arrested and his archive was seized; the

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

publishers of the far-right newspapers Glinka-Yanchevsky and Poluboyarinova were arrested too; the bookstores of the Monarchist Union were simply burned down.

For two weeks, they hunted for the fugitive “anti-Semites” N. Markov and Zamyslovsky, doing nightly searches for two weeks in St. Petersburg, Kiev and Kursk. Zamislovsky was hunted for his participation in the case against Beilis, and Markov obviously for his speeches in the State Duma. At the same time, they didn’t touch Purishkevich, one assumes because of his Revolutionary speeches in the Duma and his participation in the murder of Rasputin. An ugly rumor arose that Stolypin took part in the murder of Iollos, and in Kremenchuk, a street that had previously been named after Stolypin was renamed after Iollos. Over all of Russia there were hundreds of arrests, either because of the Gentile victims’ former positions or even because of their former attitudes.

It should be noted that the announcement of Jewish equality did not cause a single pogrom. It is worth noticing not only for the comparison to 1905, but also because all through March and April, all major newspapers were constantly reporting the preparation of pogroms, and that somewhere the pogroms had already supposedly begun.

Rumors started on March 5, that somewhere either in Kiev or Poltava Province Jewish pogroms were brewing, and someone in Petrograd put up a hand-written anti-Jewish flyer. As a result, the Executive Committee of Soviet Workers and Soldiers’ Deputies formed a special visiting commission led by Rafes, Aleksandrovich, and Sukhanov. Their task was to delegate commissars to various towns, with the first priority to go into the regions where the Black Hundreds, the servants of the old regime, were allegedly trying to sow ethnic antagonism among the population. In the

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

newspaper *Izvestia* of the Soviet Workers and Soldiers' Deputies there was an article entitled *Incitement to Pogrom*: "It would be a huge mistake, tantamount to a crime, to close our eyes to a new attempt of the overthrown dynasty... because it is them [the Monarchists] who organize the trouble ... In Kiev and Poltava provinces, among the underdeveloped, backward classes of the population at this moment there is incitement against Jews ... Jews are blamed for the defeats of our Army, for the revolutionary movement in Russia, and for the fall of the monarchy.... It's an old trick, but all the more dangerous because of its timing.... It is necessary to quickly take decisive measures against the pogrom instigators." After this the commander of the Kiev Military District General Khodorovich issued an order: all military units were to be on high alert and be ready to prevent possible anti-Jewish riots.

Long after this, but still in April, in various newspapers every two or three days they published rumors of preparations for Jewish pogroms, or at the very least about moving of piles of "pogrom literature" by railroad. Yet the most stubborn rumors circulated about a coming pogrom in Kishinev — that was to happen at the end of March, right between the Jewish (and Russian) Orthodox Passovers, as happened in 1903. And there were many more such alarming press reports. One even said that the police in Mogilev were preparing a pogrom near the Headquarters of Supreme High Command. Not one of these proved true.

One need only get acquainted with the facts of those months, to immerse oneself in the whole "February" atmosphere — of the defeated Right and the triumphant Left, of the stupor and confusion of the common folk — to dismiss outright any realistic possibility of anti-Jewish pogroms. But how could ordinary Jewish residents of Kiev or Odessa

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

forget those horrible days twelve years before? Their apprehension, their wary caution to any motion in that direction was absolutely understandable. The well-informed newspapers were a different story. The alarms raised by the newspapers, by enlightened leaders of the liberal camp, and half-baked socialist intellectuals — one cannot call this anything except political provocation.

Provocation, however, that fortunately didn't work. One actual episode occurred at the Bessarabian bazaar in Kiev, on April 28: a girl stole a piece of ribbon in a Jewish shop and ran away; the store clerk caught up to her and began to beat her. A crowd rushed to lynch the clerk and the store owner, but the police defended them. In another incident, in the Rogachevsky district, people angered by exorbitant prices smashed the stores, including Jewish ones.

Where and by whom was the Jewish emancipation met with hostility? Those were our legendary revolutionary Finland, and our “powerful” ally, Romania. In Finland (as we learned in Chapter 10 from Jabotinsky) the Jews were forbidden to reside permanently, and since 1858, only descendants of Jewish soldiers who served there in Finland, during the Crimean War, were allowed to settle. The passport law of 1862 confirmed that Jews were forbidden entry into Finland, although temporary habitation was permitted at the discretion of a local governor. Jews could not become Finnish citizens; in order to get married, a Jew had to go to Russia; the rights of Jews to testify in Finnish courts were restricted. Several attempts to mitigate the restriction of the civil rights of the Jews in Finland were not successful. And now, with the advent of Jewish equal rights in Russia, Finland, not having yet announced its complete independence from Russia, did not legislate Jewish equality. Moreover, they were deporting Jews who had illegally

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

moved to Finland, and not in a day, but in an hour, on the next train out. (One such case on March 16 caused quite a splash in the Russian press.) But Finland was always extolled for helping the revolutionaries, and liberals and socialists stopped short of criticizing her. Only the Bund sent a wire to very influential Finnish socialists, reprimanding them that this “medieval” law was still not repealed. The Bund, “the party of the Jewish proletariat, expresses strong certainty that you will take out that shameful stain from free Finland.” However, in this certainty, the Bund was mistaken.

And a huge alarm was raised in the post-February press about the persecution of Jews in Romania. They wrote that in Jassy it was even forbidden to speak Yiddish at public meetings. The All-Russian Zionist Student Congress *Gekhover* proposed “to passionately protest this civil inequality of Jews in Romania and Finland, which is humiliating to the world Jewry and demeaning to worldwide democracy.” At that time Romania was weakened by major military defeats. So, the Prime Minister Bratianu was making excuses in Petrograd in April saying that “most of the Jews in Romania migrated there from Russia,” and in particular that “prompted Romanian government to limit the political rights of the Jews”; he promised equality soon. However, in May we read: “In fact, nothing is happening in that direction.” In May, the Romanian communist Rakovsky reported that “the situation of the Jews in Romania is unbearable”. The Jews were blamed for the military defeat of the country; they were accused of fraternizing with Germans in the occupied parts of the country. If the Romanian government was not afraid to anger their allies in the Entente, then one would fear for the very lives of the Jews.

The worldwide response among the allies of the February Revolution was expressed in a tone of deep



## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

satisfaction, even ecstasy among many, but in this response, there was also a shortsighted calculation: that now Russia will become invincible in war. In Great Britain and the USA there were large meetings in support of the Revolution and the rights of the Jews. (I wrote about some of these responses in *March 1917* in Chapters 510 and 621). From America, they offered to send a copy of the Statue of Liberty to Russia, yet as the situation in Russia continued to deteriorate, they never got around to the Statue.

On March 9 in the House of Commons of the British Parliament the Minister of Foreign Affairs was asked a question about the situation of the Jews in Russia: does he plan to consult with the Russian government regarding guarantees to the Russian Jews for the future and reparations for the past?

The answer showed the full trust that the British government had for the new Russian government. From Paris, the president of the International Jewish Union congratulated Russian Prime Minister Prince Lvov, and Lvov answered: "From today onward liberated Russia will be able to respect the faiths and customs of all of its peoples, forever bound by a common religion of love of their homeland."

The newspapers *Birzhevka*, *Rech* and many others reported on the sympathies of Jacob Schiff, a well-known leader of North American circles hostile to Russia. He wrote: "I was always the enemy of Russian absolutism, which mercilessly persecuted my co-religionists. Now let me congratulate the Russian people for this great act which they committed so perfectly. And now he "invites the new Russia to conduct broad credit operations in America." Indeed, at the time he provided substantial credit to the Kerensky government. Later in emigration, the exiled Russian right-wing press published investigative reports attempting to

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

show that Schiff actively financed the Revolution itself. Perhaps Schiff shared the short-sighted Western hope that the liberal revolution in Russia would strengthen Russia in the war. Still, the known and public acts of Schiff, who had always been hostile to Russian absolutism, had even greater effect than any possible secret assistance to such a revolution.

The February Revolution itself often consciously appealed for support to Jews, an entire nation enslaved. Eye-witness testimonies that Russian Jews were very ecstatic about the February Revolution are rife. Yet there are counter-witnesses too, such as Gregory Aronson, who formed and led the Soviet of Workers' Deputies of Vitebsk (which later had as a member Y.V. Tarle, a future historian). He wrote that on the very first day, when news of the Revolution reached Vitebsk, the newly formed Security Council met in the city Duma, and immediately afterwards Aronson was invited to a meeting of representatives of the Jewish community (clearly not rank and file, but leaders). "Apparently, there was a need to consult with me as a representative of the new dawning era, what to do further ... I felt alienation from these people, from the circle of their interests and from the tense atmosphere, which was at that meetin ... I had a sense that this society belonged mostly to the old world, which was retreating into the past. We were not able to eliminate a certain mutual chill that had come from somewhere. The faces of the people I was working with, displayed no uplift or faith. At times, it appeared that these selfless social activists perceived themselves as elements of the old order."

That is a precise witness account. Such bewilderment, caution and wavering predominated among religiously conservative Jews, one assumes, not only in Vitebsk. The sensible old Jewry, carrying a sense of many centuries of experience of hard ordeals, was apparently shocked by the

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

sudden overthrow of the monarchy and had serious misgivings. Yet, in the spirit of the 20th century, the dynamic masses of every nation, including Jews, were already secular, not chained to traditions and very eager to build “the happy new world.” The *Jewish Encyclopedia* notes “a sharp intensification of the political activity of Jewry, noticeable even against a background of stormy social uplift that gripped Russia after February 1917.”

Myself, having worked for many years on the “February” press and memoirs of the contemporaries of the February, could not fail to notice this “sharp strengthening,” this gusting. In those materials, from the most varied witnesses and participants of those events, there are so many Jewish names, and the Jewish theme is very loud and persistent. From the memories of Rodzyanko, from the town governor Balk, from General Globachyov and many others, from the first days of the Revolution in the depths of the Tavrishesky Palace, the numbers of Jews jumped out at me — among the members of the commandant’s office, the interrogation commissions, the pamphletmerchants and so on.

V. D. Nabokov, who was well disposed towards Jews, wrote that on March 2 at the entrance to the Tavrishesky mini-park in front of the Duma building, there was an unbelievable crush of people and shouting; at the entrance of the gates some young, Jewish-looking men were questioning the bypassers. According to Balk, the crowd that went on the rampage at the Astoria, an elite hotel in St. Petersburg, on the night of February 28, consisted of armed soldiers, sailors and Jews. I would indulge some emigrant irritability here as they used to say “well, that’s all the Jews”; yet the same was witnessed by another neutral observer, the Methodist pastor Dr. Simons, an American who had already been in Petrograd

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

for ten years and knew it well. He was debriefed by a commission of the American Senate in 1919: “Soon after the March Revolution of 1917, everywhere in Petrograd you could see groups of Jews, standing on benches, soap boxes and such, making speeches ... There had been restrictions on the rights of Jews to live in Petrograd, but after the Revolution they came in droves, and the majority of agitators were Jews ... they were apostate Jews.”

A certain “Student Hanokh” came to Kronstadt a few days before a planned massacre of sixty officers, who were named on a hit-list. He became the founder and chairman of the Kronstadt’s Committee of the Revolutionary Movement. The order of the Committee was to arrest and try each and all officers. Somebody had carefully prepared and disseminated false information, triggering massacres first in Kronstadt, then in Sveaborg; it was because of the uncertainty of the situation, when every fabrication was taken for a hard fact. The baton of the bloody Kronstadt affair was carried by the drop-out psychoneurologist Dr. Roshal. (Later, after the October coup, S. G. Roshal was appointed the Commandant of the Gatchina, and from November he was the commissar of the whole Romanian Front, where he was killed upon arrival.)

A certain Solomon and a Kaplan spoke on behalf of the newly-formed revolutionary militia of the Vasilievsky Island (in the future, the latter would become the bloody henchman of Zinoviev). The Petrograd Bar created a special “Commission for the Examination of the Justice of Imprisoning Persons Arrested During the Time of the Revolution” (thousands were arrested during this time in Petrograd) — that is, to virtually decide their fate without due process, and that of all the former gendarmes and police. This commission was headed by the barrister Goldstein. Yet the

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

unique story of the petty officer Timofey Kirpichnikov, who triggered the street Revolution, was written in March 1917 and preserved for us by the Jew Jacob Markovich Fishman — a curious historical figure. (I gratefully relied on this story in *The Red Wheel*.)

The *Jewish Encyclopedia* concludes: “Jews for the first time in Russian history had occupied posts in the central and regional administrations.” On the very heights, in the Executive

Committee of the Soviets of Workers’ and Soldiers’ Deputies, invisibly ruling the country in those months, two leaders distinguished themselves: Nakhamkis-Steklov and GummerSukhanov. On the night of March 1st to March 2nd they dictated to the complacently-blind Provisional Government a program which preemptively destroyed its power for the entire period of its existence.

Reflective contemporary G.A. Landau thus explains the active participation of the Jews in the revolution: “The misfortune of Russia, and the misfortune of the Russian Jewry, is that the results of the first Revolution [1905] were still not processed, not transformed into a new social fabric; no new generation was born, when a great and back-breaking war broke out. And when the hour of disintegration came, it came upon the generation that from the very beginning was a kind of exhausted remnant of the previous revolution; it found the inertia of depleted spirituality, lacking an organic connection to the situation, and chained by spiritual stagnation to the tenyears-agobygone period.” And so the organic Revolutionism of the beginning of the 20th century [of the First Russian Revolution of 1905] had turned into the mechanical “permanent Revolution” of the wartime era.

Through many years of detailed studies I have spent much time trying to comprehend the essence of the February

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

Revolution and the Jewish role in it. I came to this conclusion and can now repeat: no, the February Revolution was not something the Jews did to the Russians, but rather it was done by the Russians themselves, which I believe I amply demonstrated in *The Red Wheel*. We committed this downfall ourselves: our anointed Czar, the court circles, the hapless highranking generals, obtuse administrators, and their enemies — the elite intelligentsia, the Octobrist Party, the Zemstvo, the Kadets, the Revolutionary Democrats, socialists and revolutionaries, and along with them, a bandit element of army reservists, distressingly confined to the Petersburg's barracks. And this is precisely why we perished. True, there were already many Jews among the intelligentsia by that time, yet that is in no way a basis to call it a Jewish revolution.

One may classify revolutions by their main animating forces, and then the February Revolution must be seen as a Russian national Revolution, or more precisely, a Russian ethnic Revolution. Though if one would judge it using the methodology of materialistic sociologists — asking who benefited the most, or benefited most quickly, or the most solidly and in the long term from the Revolution, — then it could be called otherwise, Jewish, for example.

But then again why not German? After all, Kaiser Wilhelm initially benefited from it. Although the remaining Russian population got nothing but harm and destruction, that doesn't make the Revolution "non-Russian." Jewish society got everything it fought for from the Revolution, and the October Revolution was altogether unnecessary for them, except for a small slice of young cutthroat Jews, who with their Russian internationalist brothers accumulated an explosive charge of hate for the Russian governing class and burst forth to "deepen" the Revolution.

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

So how, having understood this, was I to move through March 1917 and then April 1917? Describing the Revolution literally hour by hour, I frequently found the many episodes in the sources that had a Jewish theme. Yet would it be right simply to pour all that on the pages of *March 1917*? Then that easy and piquant temptation — to put all the blame on Jews, on their ideas and actions, to see them as the main reason for these events — would easily skew the book and overcome the readers, and divert the research away from the truly main causes of the Revolution. And so in order to avoid the self-deception of the Russians, I persistently and purposely downplayed the Jewish theme in *The Red Wheel*, relative to its actual coverage in the press and on the streets in those days.

The February Revolution was carried out by Russian hands and Russian foolishness. Yet at the same time, its ideology was permeated and dominated by the intransigent hostility to the historical Russian state that ordinary Russians didn't have, but the Jews had. So, the Russian intelligentsia too had adopted this view. (This was discussed in Chapter 11). This intransigent hostility grew especially sharp after the trial of Beilis, and then after the mass expulsion of Jews in 1915. And so, this intransigence overcame the moderation.

Yet the Executive Committee of Workers' and Soldiers' Deputies, which was formed within hours of the Revolution, appears very different. This Executive Committee was in fact a tough shadow government that deprived the liberal Provisional Government of any real power, while at the same time, criminally refused to accept responsibility for its power openly. By its Order No. 1, the Executive Committee wrested the power from the military and created support for itself in the demoralized garrison of Petrograd. It was precisely this Executive Committee, and

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

not the judiciary, not the timber industrialists, not the bankers, which fast-tracked the country to her doom. In the summer of 1917 Joseph Goldenberg, a member of the Executive Committee, explained to the French Diplomat Claude Anet: “The Order No. 1 was not a mistake; it was a necessity ... the day we executed the Revolution, we realized that if we did not destroy the old army, it would crush the Revolution. We had to choose between the army and the Revolution, and we did not waver: we chose the latter, and we inflicted, I dare say, a brilliant blow.” So there you have it. The Executive Committee quite purposely destroyed the army in the middle of the war.

Is it legitimate to ask who were those successful and fatal-for-Russia leaders of the Executive Committee? Yes, it is legitimate, when the actions of such leaders abruptly change the course of history. And it must be said that the composition of the Executive Committee greatly concerned the public and the newspapers in 1917, during which time many members of the Committee concealed themselves behind pseudonyms from the public eye. Who was ruling Russia? No one knew.

As it turned out, there were a dozen soldiers who were there just for show and weren't very bright. They were kept out of any real power or decision making. From the other thirty, though, of those who actually wielded power, more than half were Jewish socialists. There were also Russians, Caucasians, Latvians and Poles. Less than a quarter were Russians.

The moderate socialist V.B. Stankevich noted: “What really stuck out in the composition of the Committee was the large foreign element ... totally out of proportion to their part of the population in Petrograd or the country in general.” Stankevich asks, “Was this the unhealthy scum of Russian



# THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

society? Or was this the consequence of the sins of the old regime, which by its actions violently pushed the foreign element into the Leftist parties? Or was that simply the result of free competition?" And then, there remains an open question — who bears more guilt for this — the foreign born, who were there, or the Russians who could have been there but weren't? For a socialist that might be a case to look for a guilty party. Yet wouldn't it be better for all — for us, for you, for them — to avoid sinking into that mad dirty torrent altogether?

## **Chapter XIV: During 1917**

At the beginning of April 1917, the Provisional Government discovered to its surprise that Russian finances, already for some time in quite bad shape, were on the brink of complete collapse. In an attempt to mend the situation and stir enthusiastic patriotism, the government loudly announced the issuance of domestic Freedom Loan bonds.

Rumors about the loan had began circulating as early as March, and Minister of Finance Tereshchenko informed the press that there were already multi-million pledges from bankers to buy bonds, mainly from the Jewish bankers, "which is undoubtedly related to the abolition of religious and national restrictions." Indeed, as soon as the loan was officially announced names of large Jewish subscribers began appearing in newspapers, accompanied by prominent frontpage appeals: "Jewish citizens! Subscribe to the Freedom Loan!" and "Every Jew must have the Freedom Loan bonds!" In a single subscription drive in a Moscow synagogue, 22 million rubles was collected. During the first two days, Jews in Tiflis subscribed to 1.5 million rubles of bonds; Jews in Minsk half a million in the first week; the Saratov community 800 thousand rubles of bonds. In Kiev,

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

the heirs of Brodsky and Klara Ginzburg each spent one million. The Jews abroad came forward as well: Jacob Schiff, 1 million; Rothschild in London, 1 million; in Paris, on the initiative of Baron Ginzburg, Russian Jews participated actively and subscribed to severalmillion worth of bonds. At the same time, the Jewish Committee in Support for Freedom Loan was established and appealed to public.

However, the government was very disappointed with the overall result of the first month of the subscription. For encouragement, the lists of major subscribers (who purchased bonds on 25 thousand rubles or more) were published several times: in the beginning of May, in the beginning of June and in the end of July. The rich who did not subscribe were shamed. What is most striking is not the sheer number of Jewish names on the lists (assimilated Russian-Germans with their precarious situation during the Russo-German War were in the second place among bondholders) but the near absence of the top Russian bourgeoisie, apart from a handful of prominent Moscow entrepreneurs.

In politics, left and center parties burgeoned and many Jews had become politically active. From the very first days after the February Revolution, central newspapers published an enormous number of announcements about private meetings, assemblies and sessions of various Jewish parties, initially mostly the Bund, but later Poale Zion, Zionists, Socialist Zionists, Territorialist Zionists, and the Socialist Jewish Workers' Party (SJWP). By March 7 we already read about an oncoming assembly of the All-Russian Jewish Congress – finally, the prerevolutionary idea of Dubnov had become widely accepted. However, because of sharp differences between Zionists and Bundists, the Congress did not materialize in 1917 (nor did it occur in 1918 either, because of the Civil War and antagonism of Bolshevik

# THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

authorities.) In Petrograd, the Jewish People's Group was re-established with M. Vinaver at the helm. They were liberals, not socialists; initially, they hoped to establish an alliance with Jewish socialists. Vinaver declared: "we applaud the Bund – the vanguard of the revolutionary movement." Yet the socialists stubbornly rejected all gestures of rapprochement.

## **The Jews Rally to the Revolution**

The rallying of Jewish parties in Petrograd had indirectly indicated that by the time of revolution the Jewish population there was already substantial and energetic. Surprisingly, despite the fact that almost no Jewish proletariat existed in Petrograd, the Bund was very successful there. It was extraordinarily active in Petrograd, arranging a number of meetings of local organization (in the lawyer's club and then on April 1 in the Tenishev's school; there was a meeting with a concert in the Mikhailovsky Theatre; then on April 14-19 the All-Russian Conference of the Bund took place, at which a demand to establish a national and cultural Jewish autonomy in Russia was brought forward again. After the conclusion of speeches, all the conference participants had sung the Bund's anthem Oath, the Internationale, and La Marseillaise.

As in past, Bund had to balance its national and revolutionary platforms: in 1903, it struggled for the independence from the Russian Social Democratic Labor Party, and yet in 1905 it rushed headlong into the All-Russian revolution. Likewise, now in 1917, the Bund's representatives occupied prominent positions in the Executive Committee of the Soviet of Workers' and

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

Soldiers' Deputies [a Soviet is the Russian term used for an elected (at least in theory) council] and later among the Social Democrats of Kiev. By the end of 1917 the Bund had nearly 400 sections countrywide, totaling around 40,000 members.

Developments in Poale Zion were no less amazing. In the beginning of April, they also held their All-Russian Conference in Moscow. Among its resolutions we see on the one hand a motion to organize the All-Russian Jewish Congress and discuss the problem of emigration to Palestine. On the other hand, the Poale Zion Conference in Odessa had simultaneously announced the party's uncompromising program of class warfare: "Through the efforts of Jewish revolutionary democracy the power over destinies of the Jewish nation was wrested from the dirty grasp of wealthy and settled Jews despite all the resistance of bourgeoisie to the right and the Bund to the left.... Do not allow the bourgeois parties to bring in the garbage of the old order.... Do not let the hypocrites speak – they did not fight but sweated out the rights for our people on their bended knees in the offices of anti-Semitic ministers ... they did not believe in the revolutionary action of the masses." Then, in April 1917, when the party had split the "radical socialist" Poale Zion moved toward the Zionists, breaking away from the main "social democratic" Poale Zion which later would join the Third International.

Like the two above-mentioned parties, the SJWP also held its statewide conference at which it had merged with the Socialist Zionists, forming the United Jewish Socialist Workers' Party (Fareynikte) and parting with the idea of any extraterritorial Jewish nation with its own parliament and national autonomy. Fareynikte appealed to the Provisional Government asking it to declare equality of languages and to

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

establish a council on the affairs of nationalities which would specifically fund Jewish schools and public agencies. At the same time, Fareynikte closely collaborated with the Socialist Revolutionaries.

However, it was Zionism that became the most influential political force in the Jewish milieu. As early as the beginning of March, the resolution of Petrograd's Zionist Assembly contained the following wording: "Russian Jewry is called upon to support the Provisional Government in every possible way, to enthusiastic work, to national consolidation and organization for the sake of the prosperity of Jewish national life in Russia and the national and political renaissance of the Jewish nation in Palestine."

And what an inspiring historical moment it was – March 1917 – with the British troops closing on Jerusalem right at that time! Already on March 19 the proclamation of Odessa's Zionists stated: "today is the time when states rearrange themselves on national foundations. Woe to us if we miss this historic opportunity." In April, the Zionist movement was strongly reinforced by the public announcement of Jacob Schiff, who had decided to join the Zionists because of fear of Jewish assimilation as a result of Jewish civil equality in Russia. He believed that Palestine could become the center to spread ideals of Jewish culture all over the world.

In the beginning of May, Zionists held a large meeting in the building of Petrograd Stock Exchange, with Zionist hymns performed several times. In the end of May the All-Russian Zionist Conference was held in the Petrograd Conservatory. It outlined major Zionist objectives: cultural revival of the Jewish nation, social revolution in the economic structure of Jewish society to transform the nation of merchants and artisans into the nation of farmers and

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

workers, an increase in emigration to Palestine and mobilization of Jewish capital to finance the Jewish settlers. Both Jabotinsky's plan on creation of a Jewish legion in the British Army and the I. Trumpeldor's plan for the formation of a Jewish army in Russia which would cross the Caucasus and liberate Eretz Yisrael [The land of Israel] from Turkish occupation had been discussed and rejected on the basis of the neutrality of Zionists in the World War I.

The Zionist Conference decreed to vote during the oncoming local elections for the parties not farther to the right than the People's Socialists, and even to refuse to support Constitutional Democrats like D. Pasmanik, who later complained: "It was absolutely meaningless – it looked like the entire Russian Jewry, with its petty and large bourgeoisie, are socialists." His bewilderment was not unfounded.

The congress of student Zionist organizations, Gekhover, with delegates from 25 cities and all Russian universities, had taken place in the beginning of April in Petrograd. Their resolution stated that the Jews were suffering not for the sake of equality in Russia but for the rebirth of Jewish nation in their native Palestine. They decided to form legions in Russia to conquer Palestine. Overall, during the summer and fall of 1917 Zionism in Russia continued to gain strength: by September its members numbered 300,000. It is less known that in 1917 Jewish orthodox movements enjoyed substantial popularity second only to the Zionists and ahead of the socialist parties (as illustrated by their success during elections of the leadership of reorganized Jewish communities).

There were rallies ("The Jews are together with the democratic Russia in both love and hatred!"), public lectures ("The Jewish Question and the Russian Revolution"), city-

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

wide assemblies of Jewish high school students in Petrograd and other cities (aside from general student meetings). In Petrograd, the Central Organ of Jewish Students was established, though not recognized by the Bund and other leftist parties. While many provincial committees for the assistance to the victims of the war (i.e., to Jewish refugees and deportees) ceased to exist because at this time, democratic forces needed to engage in broader social activities, and so the Central Jewish Committee for providing such aid was formed by April.

In May the Jewish People's Union was established to facilitate consolidation of all Jewish forces, to prepare for the convocation of the All-Russian Jewish Union and to get ready for the oncoming elections to the Constituent Assembly. In the end of May there was another attempt of unification: the steering committee of the Jewish Democratic Alliance convened the conference of all Jewish democratic organizations in Russia. Meanwhile, lively public discussion went on regarding convocation of the All-Russian Jewish Congress: The Bund rejected it as inconsistent with their plans; the Zionists demanded the Congress include on their agenda the question of Palestine – and were themselves rejected by the rest; in July the All-Russian Conference on the Jewish Congress preparation took place in Petrograd. Because of social enthusiasm, Vinaver was able to declare there that the idea of united Jewish nation, dispersed among different countries, is ripe, and that from now on the Russian Jews may not be indifferent to the situation of Jews in other countries, such as Romania or Poland. The Congress date was set for December.

What an upsurge of Jewish national energy it was! Even amid the upheavals of 1917, Jewish social and political activities stood out in their diversity, vigor and organization.

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

The period between February and November 1917 was a time of blossoming of Jewish culture and healthcare. In addition to the Petrograd publication *The Jews of Russia*, the publisher of the *Jewish Week* had moved to Petrograd; publication of the *Petrograd-Torgblat* in Yiddish had begun; similar publications were started in other cities. The Tarbut and Culture League, a network of secular Hebrew-language schools, had established dozens of kindergartens, secondary and high schools and pedagogic colleges teaching both in Yiddish and in Hebrew. A

Jewish grammar school was founded in Kiev. In April, the first All-Russian Congress on Jewish Culture and Education was held in Moscow. It requested state funding for Jewish schools. A conference of the Society of Admirers of Jewish Language and Culture took place. The Habima Theatre, the first professional theatre in Hebrew in the world opened in Moscow. There were an exposition of Jewish artists and a conference of the Society on Jewish Health Care in April in Moscow. These Jewish activities are all the more amazing given the state of general governmental, administrative and cultural confusion in Russia 1917.

A major event in the Jewish life of the time was the granting of official permission for Jewish youth to enlist as officers in the Russian Army. It was a large-scale move: in April, the headquarters of the Petrograd military district had issued an order to the commanders of Guards military units to immediately post all Jewish students to the training battalion at Nizhny Novgorod with the purpose of their further assignment to military academies – that is virtually mass-scale promotion of young Jews into the officer ranks. Already in the beginning of June 1917, 131 Jews graduated from the accelerated military courses at the Konstantinovsky military academy in Kiev as officers; in the summer 1917



## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

Odessa, 160 Jewish cadets were promoted into officers. In June 2600 Jews were promoted to warrant-officer rank all over Russia.

There is evidence that in some military academies Junkers [young noblemen used in Czarist Russia for cadets and young officers] met Jewish newcomers unkindly, as it was in the Alexandrovsky military academy after more than 300 Jews had been posted to it. In the Mikhailovsky military academy a group of Junkers proposed a resolution that: “Although we are not against the Jews in general, we consider it inconceivable to let them into the command ranks of the Russian Army.” The officers of the academy dissociated themselves from this statement and a group of socialist cadets (141-strong) had expressed their disapproval, “finding anti-Jewish protests shameful for the revolutionary army,” and the resolution did not pass. When Jewish warrant officers arrived at their regiments, they often encountered mistrust and enmity on the part of soldiers for whom having Jews as officers was extremely unusual and strange. (Yet the newlyminted officers who adopted new revolutionary style of behavior gained popularity lightning-fast.)

On the other hand, the way Jewish Junkers from the military academy in Odessa behaved was simply striking. In the end of March, 240 Jews had been accepted into the academy. Barely three weeks later, on April 18 old-style, there was a First of May parade in Odessa and the Jewish Junkers marched ostentatiously singing ancient Jewish songs.

Did they not understand that Russian soldiers would hardly follow such officers? What kind of officers were they going to become? It would be fine if they were being prepared for the separate Jewish battalions. Yet according to General Denikin, the year 1917 saw successful formation of

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

all kinds of national regiments – Polish, Ukrainian, Transcaucasian (the Latvian units were already in place for a while) – except for Jewish ones: it was the only nationality not demanding national self-determination in military. And every time when in response to complaints about bad acceptance of Jewish officers in army formation of separate Jewish regiments was suggested, such a proposal was met with a storm of indignation on the part of Jews and the Left and with accusations of a spiteful provocation. (Newspapers had reported that

Germans also planned to form separate Jewish regiments but the project was dismissed.)

It appears, though, that new Jewish officers still wanted some national organization in the military. In Odessa on August 18, the convention of Jewish officers decided to establish a section which would be responsible for connections between different fronts to report on the situation of Jewish officers in the field. In August, unions of Jewish warriors appeared; by October such unions were present at all fronts and in many garrisons. During the October 10-15, 1917 conference in Kiev, the All-Russian Union of Jewish Warriors was founded. (Although it was a new revolutionary army, some reporters still harbored hostility toward officer corps in general and to officer's epaulettes in particular; for instance, A. Alperovich whipped up emotions against officers in general in *Birzhevye Vedomosti* [Stock Exchange News] as late as May 5.)

Various sources indicate that Jews were not eager to be drafted as common soldiers even in 1917; apparently, there were instances when to avoid the draft, sick individuals were passed off as genuine conscripts at the medical examining boards, and, as a result, some district draft commissions began demanding photo-IDs from Jewish

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

conscripts, an unusual practice in those simple times. It immediately triggered angry protests that such a requirement went against the revocation of national restrictions, and the Ministry of Internal Affairs forbade asking for such IDs. In the beginning of April the Provisional Government issued an order by telegraph to free without individual investigation all Jews previously exiled as suspects of espionage. Some of them resided in the now-occupied territories, while others could safely return home, and yet many deportees asked for permission to reside in the cities of the European part of Russia. There was a flow of Jews into Petrograd (Jewish population of 50,000 in 1917) and a sharp increase of Jewish population in Moscow (60,000).

Russian Jews received less numerous but highly energetic reinforcement from abroad. Take those two famous trains that crossed hostile Germany without hindrance and brought to Russia nearly 200 prominent individuals, 30 in Lenin's and 160 in Natanson-Martov's train, with Jews comprising an absolute majority (the lists of passengers of the exterritorial trains were for the first time published by V. Burtsev.) They represented almost all Jewish parties, and virtually all of them would play a substantial role in the future events in Russia.

Hundreds of Jews returned from the United States: former emigrants, revolutionaries, and draft escapees – now they all were the revolutionary fighters and victims of Czarism. By order of Kerensky, the Russian embassy in the USA issued Russian passports to anyone who could provide just two witnesses to testify to identity, literally from the street. The situation around Trotsky's group was peculiar. They were apprehended in Canada on suspicion of connections with Germany. The investigation found that Trotsky travelled not with flimsy Russian papers, but with a

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

solid American passport, inexplicably granted to him despite his short stay in the USA, and with a substantial sum of money, the source of which remained a mystery.

On June 26 at the exalted Russian rally in New York City (directed by P. Rutenberg, onetime friend and then a murderer of Gapon), Abraham Kagan, the editor of Jewish newspaper *Forwards*, addressed Russian ambassador Bakhmetev on behalf of two million Russian Jews residing in the United States of America: “We have always loved our motherland; we have always sensed the links of brotherhood with the entire Russian nation.... Our hearts are loyal to the red banner of the Russian liberation and to the national tricolor of the free Russia.” He had also claimed that the self-sacrifice of the members of *Narodnaya Volya* [The People’s Will] a terrorist leftwing revolutionary group in Czarist Russia best known for its assassination of Czar Alexander II who was known as the Czar Liberator for ending serfdom, was “directly connected to the fact of increased persecution of the Jews” and that “people like Zundevich, Deich, Gershuni, Liber and Abramovich were among the bravest.”

And so they had begun coming back, and not just from New York, judging by the official introduction of discounted railroad fare for political emigrants travelling from Vladivostok. At the late July rally in Whitechapel, London, it was found that in London alone 10,000 Jews declared their willingness to return to Russia; the final resolution had expressed pleasure that Jews would go back to struggle for the new social and democratic Russia.

The destinies of many returnees, hurrying to participate in the revolution and jumping headlong into the thick of things, were outstanding. Among the returnees were the famous V. Volodarsky, M. Uritsky, and Yu. Larin, the latter was the author of the War Communism economic

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

program. It is less known that Yakov Sverdlov's brother, Veniamin, was also among the returnees. Still, he would not manage to rise higher than the deputy Narkom [People's Commissar] of Communications and a member of Board of the Supreme Soviet of the National Economy. Moisei Kharitonov, Lenin's associate in emigration who returned to Russia in the same train with him, quickly gained notoriety by assisting the anarchists in their famous robbery in April; later he was the secretary of Perm, Saratov and Sverdlov gubkoms [guberniya's Party committee], and the secretary of Urals Bureau of the Central Committee.

Semyon Dimanshtein, a member of a Bolshevik group in Paris, would become the head of the Jewish Commissariat at the People's Commissariat of Nationalities, and later the head of YevSek [Jewish Section] at the All-Russian Central Executive Committee; he would in fact supervise the entirety of Jewish life. Amazingly, at the age of 18 he managed to pass the qualification test to become a rabbi and became a member of the Russian Social Democratic Workers' Party – all this in course of one year. Similarly, members of the Trotsky's group had also fared well: the jeweler G. Melnichansky, the accountant Friman, the typographer A. MinkinMenson, and the decorator Gomborg-Zorin had respectively headed Soviet trade unions, *Pravda*, the dispatch office of bank notes and securities, and the Petrograd Revolutionary Tribunal.

Names of other returnees after the February Revolution are now completely forgotten, yet wrongly so, as they played important roles in the revolutionary events. For example, the Doctor of Biology Ivan Zalkind actively participated in the October coup and then in fact ran Trotsky's

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

People's Commissariat of Internal Affairs. Semyon Kogan-Semkov became the political commissar of Izhevsk weapons and steel factories in November 1918. That is, he was in charge of the vindictive actions during the suppression of a major uprising of Izhevsk workers known for its many thousands of victims; in a single incident on the Sobornaya Square in Izhevsk, 400 workers were gunned down.

Robinson-Krasnoshchekov later headed the entire Far East as the secretary of the Far East Bureau and the head of local government. Girshfeld-Stashevsky, under the pseudonym "Verkhovsky" was in command of a squad of German POWs and turncoats, that is, he laid foundation for the Bolshevik international squads; in 1920 he was the head of clandestine intelligence at the Western front; later, in peacetime, on orders of Cheka Presidium, he organized intelligence network in the Western Europe; he was awarded the title of "Honorary Chekist."

Among returnees were many who did not share Bolshevik views (at least at the time of arrival) but they were nevertheless welcomed into the ranks of Lenin and Trotsky's party. For instance, although Yakov Fishman, a member of the Military Revolutionary Committee of the October coup, had deviated from the Bolshevik mainstream by participating in the Left Socialist

Revolutionary insurrection in July 1918, he was later accepted into the Russian Communist party of Bolsheviks (RCPB) and entrusted with a post in the Military Intelligence Administration of the Red Army.

Or take Yefim Yarchuk, who had returned as an Anarchist Syndicalist, but was delegated by the Petrograd Soviet to reinforce the Kronstadt Soviet; during the October coup he had brought a squad of sailors to Petrograd to storm the Winter Palace. The returnee Vsevolod VolinEikhenbaum

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

(the brother of the literary scholar) was a consistent supporter of anarchism and the ideologist of the Makhno Ukrainian separatist-anarchist movement; he was the head of the Revolutionary Military Soviet in the Makhno army. We know that Makno was more of an advantage than a detriment to Bolsheviks and as a result Volin was later merely forced to emigrate together with a dozen of other anarchists.

The expectations of returnees were not unfounded: those were the months marked by a notable rise to prominence for many Jews in Russia. “The Jewish Question exists no longer in Russia.” (Still, in the newspaper essay by D. Aizman, Sura Alperovich, the wife of a merchant who moved from Minsk to Petrograd, had expressed her doubts: “So there is no more slavery and that’s it? So what about the things that Nicholas of yesterday did to us in Kishinev in regard to the

Kishinev pogrom?” In another article David Aizman thus elaborated his thought: “Jews must secure the gains of revolution by any means ... without any qualms. Any necessary sacrifice must be made. Everything is at stake here and all will be lost if we hesitate.... Even the most backward parts of Jewish mass understand this. No one questions what would happen to Jews if the counterrevolution prevails.” He was absolutely confident that if that happened there would be mass executions of Jews. Therefore, “the filthy scum must be crushed even before it has any chance to develop, in embryo. Their very seed must be destroyed.... Jews will be able to defend their freedom.”

Crushed in embryo ... And even their very seed ... It was already pretty much the Bolshevik program, though expressed in the words of Old Testament. Yet whose seed must be destroyed? Monarchists’? But they were already breathless; all their activists could be counted on fingers. So

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

it could only be those who had taken a stand against the unbridled, running wild Soviets, against all kinds of committees and mad crowds; those, who wished to halt the breakdown of life in the country – prudent ordinary people, former government officials, and first of all officers and very soon the soldier-general Kornilov. There were Jews among those counter-revolutionaries, but overall that movement was the Russian national one.

What about press? In 1917, the influence of print media grew; the number of periodicals and associated journalists and staff was rising. Before the revolution, only a limited number of media workers qualified for draft deferral, and only those who were associated with newspapers and printing offices which were established in the pre-war years. (They were classified as defense enterprises despite their desperate fight against governmental and military censorship.) But now, from April, on the insistence of the publishers, press privileges were expanded with respect to the number of workers exempt from military service; newly founded political newspapers were henceforth also covered by the exemption (sometimes fraudulently as the only thing needed to qualify was maintaining a circulation of 30,000 for at least two weeks).

Draft privileges were introduced on the basis of youth, for the political emigrants and those released from exile – everything that favored employment of new arrivals in the leftist newspapers. At the same time, rightist newspapers were being closed: *Malenkaya Gazeta* [Small Newspaper] and *Narodnaya Gazeta* [People's Newspaper] were shut down for accusing the Bolsheviks of having links with the Germans. When many newspapers published telegrams fraudulently attributed to the Empress and the fake was exposed (it was “an innocent joke of a telegraph operator



## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

lady,” for which, of course, she was never disciplined) and so they had to retract their pieces, *Birzhevye Vedomosti*, for instance, had produced such texts: “It turned out that neither the special archive at the Main Department of Post and Telegraph, where the royal telegrams were stored, nor the head office of telegraph contain any evidence of this correspondence.” See, they presented it as if the telegrams were real but all traces of their existence had been skillfully erased. What a brave free press!

===

As early as in the beginning of March the prudent Vinaver had warned the Jewish public:

“Apart from love for freedom, self-control is needed.... It is better for us to avoid highly visible and prominent posts.... Do not hurry to practice our rights.” We know that Vinaver (and also Dan, Liber and Branson) at different times were offered ministerial posts, but all of them refused, believing that Jews should not be present in Russian Government. The attorney Vinaver could not, of course, reject his sensational appointment to the Senate, where he became one of four Jewish Senators (together with G. Blumenfeld, O. Gruzenberg, and I. Gurevich). There were no Jews among the ministers, but four influential Jews occupied posts of deputy ministers: V. Gurevich was a deputy to Avksentiev, the Minister of Internal Affairs; S. Lurie was in the Ministry of Trade and Industry; S. Schwartz and A. Ginzburg-Naumov – in the ministry of Labor; and P. Rutenberg should be mentioned here too. From July, A. Galpern became the chief of the administration of the Provisional Government (after V. Nabokov); the director of 1st Department in the Ministry of Foreign Affairs was A. N. Mandelshtam. The assistant to the head of the Moscow military district was Second Lieutenant Sher (since July 1917); from May, the

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

head of foreign supply department at General Staff was A. Mikhelson; the commissar of the Provisional Government in the field construction office was Naum Glazberg; several Jews were incorporated by Chernov into the Central Land Committee responsible for everything related to allotting land to peasants.

Of course, most of those were not key posts, having negligibly small influence when compared to the principal role of the Executive Committee, whose ethnic composition would soon become a hotly debated public worry.

At the August Government Conference dedicated to the disturbing situation in the country, apart from the representatives of Soviets, parties, and guilds, a separate representation was granted to the ethnic groups of Russia, with Jews represented by eight delegates, including G. Sliozberg, M. Liber, N. Fridman, G. Landau, and O. Gruzenberg. The favorite slogan of 1917 was “Expand the Revolution!” All socialist parties worked to implement it. I. O. Levin writes: “There is no doubt that Jewish representation in the Bolshevik and other parties which facilitated expanding of revolution” – Mensheviks, Socialist Revolutionaries, etc. – “with respect to both general Jewish membership and Jewish presence among the leaders, greatly exceeds the Jewish share in the population of Russia. This is an indisputable fact; while its reasons should be debated, its factual veracity is unchallengeable and its denial is pointless; and a certainly convincing explanation of this phenomenon by Jewish inequality before the March revolution is still not sufficiently exhaustive.”

Members of central committees of the socialist parties are known. Interestingly, Jewish representation in the leadership of Mensheviks, the Right and the Left Socialist Revolutionaries, and the Anarchists was much greater than

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

among the Bolshevik leaders. At the Socialist Revolutionary Congress, which took place in the end of May and beginning of June 1917, 39 out of 318 delegates were Jewish, and out of 20 members of the Central Committee of the party elected during the Congress, 7 were Jewish. A. Gotz was one of the leaders of the right-wing faction and M. Natanson was among the leaders of the left Socialist Revolutionaries. (What a despicable role awaited Natanson, “the wise Mark,” one of the founder of Russian *Narodnichestvo* populism! During the war, living abroad, he was receiving financial aid from Germany. In May 1917, he returned in Russia in one of the extraterritorial trains across Germany; in Russia, he had immediately endorsed Lenin and threw his weight in support of the latter’s goal of dissolving the Constituent Assembly; actually, it was he who had voiced this idea first, though Lenin, of course, needed no such nudge.)

Local government elections took place in the summer. Overall, socialist parties were victorious, and Jews actively participated in the local and municipal work in a number of cities and towns outside of the former Pale of Settlement. For instance, Socialist Revolutionary O. Minor became head of the Moscow City Duma; member of the Central Committee of the Bund, A. Vainshtein (Rakhmiel), of the Minsk Duma; Menshevik I. Polonsky, of the Ekaterinoslav Duma, Bundist D. Chertkov, of the Saratov Duma. G. Shreider had become the mayor of Petrograd, and A. Ginzburg-Naumov was elected a deputy mayor in Kiev. But most of these persons were gone with the October coup, and it was not they who shaped the subsequent developments in Russia. It would become the lot of those who now occupied much lower posts, mostly in the Soviets; they were numerous and spread all over the country. Take, for instance, Khinchuk, head of the Moscow Soviet of Workers’ Deputies,

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

or Nasimovich and M. Trilisser of the Irkutsk Soviet (the latter would later serve in the Central Executive Committee of the Soviets of Siberia and become a famous Chekist.)

All over the provinces Jewish socialist parties enjoyed large representation in the Soviets of Workers' and Soldiers' Deputies. They were also prominently presented at the All-Russian Democratic Conference in September 1917, which annoyed Lenin so much that he had even demanded surrounding the Alexandrinsky Theater with troops and arresting the entire assembly.

(The theater's superintendent, comrade Nashatyr, would have to act on the order, but Trotsky had dissuaded Lenin.) And even after the October coup, the Moscow Soviet of Soldiers' Deputies had among its members, according to Bukharin, "dentists, pharmacists, etc., – representatives of trades as close to the soldier's profession as to that of the Chinese Emperor."

But above all of that, above all of Russia, from the spring to the autumn of 1917, stood the power of one body – and it was not the Provisional Government. It was the powerful and insular

Executive Committee of the Petrograd Soviet, and later, after June, the successor to its power, the All-Russian Central Executive Committee (CEC) – it was they who had in fact ruled over Russia. While appearing solid and determined from outside, in reality they were being torn apart by internal contradictions and inter-factional ideological confusion. Initially, the Executive Committee of the Petrograd Soviet of Workers' and Soldiers' Deputies unanimously approved the Order No. 1, but later was doubtful about the war – whether to continue destroying army or to strengthen it. Quite unexpectedly, they declared their support for the Freedom Loan; thus, they had incensed the

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

Bolsheviks but agreed with the public opinion on this issue, including the attitudes of liberal Jews.

The Presidium of the first All-Russian CEC of the Soviet of Workers' and Soldiers' Deputies (the first governing Soviet body) consisted of nine men. Among them were the Social Revolutionaries (SRs) A. Gots and M. Gendelman, the Menshevik, F. Dan, and the member of Bund, M. Liber. In March at the All-Russian Conference of the Soviets, Gendelman and Steklov had demanded stricter conditions be imposed on the Czar's family, which was under house arrest, and also insisted on the arrest of all crown princes – this is how confident they were in their power. The prominent Bolshevik, Lev Kamenev, was among the members of that Presidium. It also included the Georgian, Chkheidze; the Armenian, Saakjan; one Krushinsky, who was most likely a Pole; and Nikolsky, quite possibly a Russian – quite an impudent ethnic composition for the governing organ of Russia in such a critical time.

Apart from the CEC of the Soviet of Workers' and Soldiers' Deputies, there was also the All-Russian Executive Committee of the Soviet of Peasants' Deputies, elected in the end of May. Of its 30 members, there were only three actual peasants – an already habitual sham of the preBolshevik regime. Of those thirty, D. Pasmanik identified seven Jews: “a sad thing it was, especially considering Jewish interests”; and “they had become an eyesore to everybody.” Then this peasant organ put forward a list of its candidates for the future Constituent Assembly. Apart from Kerensky, the list contained several Jews, such as the boisterous Ilya Rubanovich, who had just arrived from Paris, the terrorist Abram Gots, and the little-known Gurevich. In the same article, there was a report on the arrest for desertion of

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

warrant officer M. Golman, the head of the Mogilev Guberniya, a Peasant Soviet.

Of course, the actions of the executive committees could not be solely explained by their ethnic composition – not at all! Many of those personalities irreversibly distanced themselves from their native communities and had even forgotten the way to their *shtetls*. All of them sincerely believed that because of their talents and revolutionary spirit, they would have no problem arranging workers', soldiers' and peasants' matters in the best way possible. They would manage it better simply because of being more educated and smarter than all this clumsy *hoi polloi*. Yet for many Russians, from commoner to general, this sudden, eye-striking transformation in the appearance among the directors and orators at rallies and meetings, in command and in government, was overwhelming.

V. Stankevich, the only officer-socialist in the Executive Committee, provided an example: “this fact of the abundance of Jews in the Committee alone had enormous influence on the public opinion and sympathies.... Noteworthy, when Kornilov met with the Committee for the first time, he accidentally sat down in the midst of Jews; in front of him sat two insignificant and plain members of the Committee, whom I remember merely because of their grotesquely Jewish facial features. Who knows how that affected Kornilov’s attitudes toward the Russian revolution?” Yet the treatment of all things Russian by the new regime was very tale-telling. Here is an example from the days of Kornilov in the end of August 1918. Russia was visibly dying, losing the war, with its army corrupted and the rear in collapse. General Kornilov, cunningly deceived by Kerensky, artlessly appealed to the people, almost howling with pain: “Russian people! Our great Motherland

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

is dying. The hour of her death is nigh.... All, whose bosoms harbor a beating Russian heart, go to the temples and pray to God to grant us the greatest miracle of salvation for our beloved country!”

In response to that, the ideologist of the February Revolution and one of the leading members of the Executive Committee, Gimmer-Sukhanov, chuckled in amusement: “What an awkward, silly, clueless, politically illiterate call ... what a lowbrow imitation of *Suzdalshchina*.” [Suzdalshchina refers to resistance in Suzdal to the Mongol invaders!]

Yes, it sounded pompous and awkward, without a clear political position. Indeed, Kornilov was not a politician, but his heart ached. And what about Sukhanov’s heart – did he feel any pain at all? He did not have any sense of the living land and culture, nor he had any urge to preserve them – he served his ideology only, the International, seeing in Kornilov’s words a total lack of ideological content. Yes, his response was caustic. But note that he had not only labeled Kornilov’s appeal an “imitation”, he had also derogatorily referred to *Suzdalshchina*, to Russian history, ancient art and sanctity. And with such disdain to the entire Russian historical heritage, all that internationalist ilk – Sukhanov and his henchmen from the malicious Executive Committee, steered the February Revolution.

And it was not the ethnic origin of Sukhanov and the rest; it was their anti-national, anti-Russian and anti-conservative attitudes. We have seen similar attitudes on the part of the Provisional Government too, with its task of governing all of Russia and its quite Russian ethnic composition. Yet did it display a Russian worldview or represent Russian interests, if only a little? Not at all! The government’s most consistent and patriotic activity was to

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

guide the already unraveling country (the Kronstadt Republic was not the only place which had seceded from Russia by that time) to the victory in war! To victory at any cost! With loyalty to the Allies!

To be sure, the Allies, their governments, public and financiers, put pressure on Russia. For instance, in May, Russian newspapers cited the *Morning Post* from Washington: America made it clear to the Russian government that if Russia makes a separate peace with Germany, the United States would annul all financial agreements with Russia. Prince Georgi Lvov led the Russian Provisional Government during the Russian revolution's initial phase, from March 1917 until he relinquished control to Alexander Kerensky in July 1917 upheld the sentiment: "The country must determinedly send its army to battle." They had no concern about consequences of the ongoing war for Russia. And this mismatch, this loss of sense of national self-preservation, could be observed almost at every meeting of the Provisional Government cabinet, almost in every discussion.

There were simply ridiculous incidents. Throwing millions of rubles left and right and always keenly supporting cultural needs of ethnic minorities, the Provisional Government at its April 6 meeting had rejected the request of the long-established Great Russian Orchestra of V. V.

Andreev to continue getting paid as before, from the funds of the former His Majesty's Personal Chancellery (the funds were confiscated by the Provisional Government itself). The petition was turned down despite the fact that the requested sum, 30 thousand rubles per year, was equivalent to the annual pay of just three minister assistants. "Deny!" (Why not disband your so-called Great Russian orchestra? – What kind of name is that?) Taken aback and believing that it was



## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

just a misunderstanding, Andreev petitioned again. Yet with an unusual for this torpid government determination, he was refused a second time too, at the April 27 meeting.

Milyukov, a Russian historian and minister of the Provisional Government, did not utter a single specifically Russian sentiment during that year. Similarly, the key figure of the revolution, Alexander Kerensky, could not be at any stage accused of possessing an ethnic Russian consciousness. Yet at the same time the government demonstrated constant anxious bias against any conservative circles, and especially – against Russian conservatives. Even during his last speech in the Council of the Russian Republic (Pre-Parliament) on October 24, when Trotsky's troops were already seizing Petrograd building after building, Kerensky emphatically argued that the Bolshevik newspaper *Rabochy Put* (Worker's Way) and the right-wing *Novaya Rus* (New Russia) – both of which Kerensky had just shut down – shared similar political views.

\* \* \*

The darned incognito of the members of the Executive Committee was, of course, noticed by the public. Initially it was the educated society of Petrograd that was obsessed with this question, which several times surfaced in newspapers. For two months, the Committee tried to keep the secret, but by May they had no other choice but reveal themselves and had published the actual names of most of the pseudonym-holders (except for Steklov-Nakhamkis and Boris Osipovich Bogdanov, the energetic permanent chair of the council; they managed to keep their identities secret for a while; the latter's name confused the public by similarity with another personality, Bogdanov-Malinovsky). This odd

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

secrecy irritated the public, and even ordinary citizens began asking questions. It was already typical in May that if, during a plenary meeting of the Soviet, someone proposed Zinoviev or Kamenev for something, the public shouted from the auditorium demanding their true names.

Concealing true names was incomprehensible to the ordinary man of that time: only thieves hide and change their names. Why is Boris Katz ashamed of his name, and instead calling himself

“Kamkov”? Why does Lurie hide under the alias of “Larin”? Why does Mandelshtam use the pseudonym “Lyadov”? Many of these had aliases that originated out of necessity in their past underground life, but what had compelled the likes of Shotman, the Socialist Revolutionary from

Tomsk, (and not him alone) to become “Danilov” in 1917?

Certainly, the goal of a revolutionary, hiding behind a pseudonym, is to outsmart someone, and that may include not only the police and government. In this way, ordinary people as well are unable to figure out who their new leaders are. Intoxicated by the freedom of the first months of the February Revolution, many Jewish activists and orators failed to notice that their constant fussing around presidiums and rallies produced a certain bewilderment and wry glances. By the time of the February Revolution there was no popular anti-Semitism in the internal regions of Russia; it was confined exclusively to the areas of the Pale of Settlement. (For instance, Abraham

Cogan had even stated in 1917: “We loved Russia despite all the oppression from the previous regime because we knew that it was not the Russian people behind it but Czarism.”)

But after just a few months following the February Revolution, resentment against Jews had suddenly flared up among the masses of people and spread over Russia, growing

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

stronger with each passing month. And even the official newspapers reported, for instance, on the exasperation in the waiting lines in the cities. “Everything has been changed in that twinkle of the eye that created a chasm between the old and the new Russia. But it is queues that have changed the most. Strangely, while everything has moved to the left, the food lines have moved to the right. If you would like to hear Black Hundred propaganda then go and spend some time in a waiting line. Among other things you will find out that there are virtually no Jews in the lines, they don’t need it as they have enough bread hoarded.” The same gossip about Jews who tuck away bread rolls from another end of the line as well; the waiting line is the most dangerous source of counterrevolution.” The author Ivan Nazhivin noted that in the autumn in Moscow anti-Semitic propaganda fell on ready ears in the hungry revolutionary queues: “What rascals! ... They wormed themselves onto the very top! ... See, how proudly they ride in their cars.... Sure, not a single Yid can be found in the lines here.... Just you wait!”

Any revolution releases a flood of obscenity, envy, and anger from the people. The same happened among the Russian people, with their weakened Christian spirituality. And so the Jews – many of whom had ascended to the top, to visibility, and what is more, who had not concealed their revolutionary jubilation, nor waited in the miserable lines – increasingly became a target of popular resentment. Many instances of such resentment were documented in 1917 newspapers. Below are several examples.

When, at the Apraksin market on Sennaya Square, a hoard of goods was discovered in possession of Jewish merchants, people began shout “plunder Jewish shops!” because “Yids are responsible for all the troubles.” And this word *Yid* is on everyone’s lips. A stockpile of flour and bacon

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

was found in the store of a merchant (likely a Jew) in Poltava. The crowd started plundering his shop and then began calling for a Jewish pogrom.

Later, several members of the Soviet of Workers' Deputies, including Drobni, arrived and attempted to appease the crowd; as a result, Drobni was beaten. In October in Ekaterinoslav soldiers trashed small shops, shouting "Smash the bourgeois! Smash the Yids!" In Kiev at the Vladimirsky market a boy had hit a woman, who tried to buy flour out her turn on the head Instantly, the crowd started yelling "the Yids are beating the Russians!" and a brawl ensued. (Note that it had happened in the same Kiev where one could already see the streamers "Long live free Ukraine without Yids and Poles!") By that time "Smash the Yids!" could be heard in almost every street brawl, even in Petrograd, and often completely without foundation. For instance, in Petrograd streetcar two women called for disbanding of the Soviet of Workers' and Soldiers' Deputies, filled, according to them, exclusively by "Germans and Yids." Both were arrested and called to account.

The newspaper *Russkaya Volya* (Russian Freedom) reported: "Right in front of our eyes, anti-Semitism, in its most primitive form re-arises and spreads.... It is enough to hear to conversations in streetcars in Petrograd or in waiting lines to various shops, or in the countless fleeting rallies at every corner and crossroad ... they accuse Jews of political stranglehold, of seizing parties and Soviets, and even of ruining the army, of looting and hoarding goods."

Many Jewish socialists, agitators in the front units, enjoyed unlimited success during the spring months when calls for a democratic peace were tolerated and fighting was not required. Then nobody blamed them for being Jewish. But in June when the policy of the Executive

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

Committee had changed toward support and even propaganda for the offensive, calls of “smash the Yids!” began appearing and those Jewish persuaders suffered battering by unruly soldier’s time and time again.

Rumors were spreading that the Executive Committee in Petrograd was “seized by Yids.” By June this belief had taken root in the Petrograd garrison and factories; this is exactly what soldiers shouted to the member of the Committee Voitinsky who had visited an infantry regiment to dissuade the troops from the looming demonstration conceived by Bolsheviks on June 10.

V. D. Nabokov, hardly known for anti-Semitism, joked that the meeting of the foremen of the Pre-Parliament in October 1917 “could be safely called a Sanhedrin. Its majority was Jewish; of Russians, there were only Avksentiev, me, Peshekhonov, and Chaikovsky....” His attention was drawn to that fact by Mark Vishnyak who was present there also.

By autumn, the activity of Jews in power had created such an effect that even *Iskry* (Sparks), the illustrated supplement to the surpassingly gentle *Russkoe Slovo* (Russian Word) that would until then never dare defy public opinion in such a way, had published an abrasive anti-Jewish caricature in the October 29 issue, that is, already during fighting of the October coup in Moscow. The Executive Committee of the Soviet of Workers’ and Soldiers’ Deputies actively fought against anti-Semitism. I cannot rule out that the harsh refusal to accept the well-deserved Plekhanov into the CEC in April 1917 was a kind of revenge for his anti-Bund reference to the “tribe of God,” which was mentioned in Lenin’s publications. Indeed, I cannot provide any other explanation. On July 21, the First All-Russian Congress of Soviets had issued a proclamation about a struggle against

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

anti-Semitism (about the only resolution approved by the Congress unanimously, without any objections or arguments.)

When in the end of June (28th and 29th) the re-elected Bureau of the CEC had assembled, they had heard a report on the rise of anti-Semitic agitation, mainly in the northwestern and southwestern guberniyas; a decision was made immediately to send a delegation of 15 members of the CEC with special powers there, subordinating them to the direction of the Department on the Struggle against Counter-Revolution.

On the other hand, Bolsheviks, who advanced their agenda under the slogan “Down with the minister-capitalists!” not only did nothing to alleviate this problem, they even fanned its flames (along with the anarchists, despite the fact that the latter were headed by one Bleikhman.) They claimed that the Executive Committee was so exceptionally lenient toward the government only because capitalists and Jews control everything. Isn’t that reminiscent of *Narodnaya Volya* [the People’s Will terrorist organization] of 1881? And when the Bolshevik uprising of July 3-4 broke out (it was in fact targeted not against the already impotent Provisional Government but against the Bolshevik’s true competitor – the Executive Committee), the Bolsheviks slyly exploited the anger of soldiers toward Jews by pointing them to that very body – see, there they are!

But when the Bolsheviks had lost their uprising, the CEC had conducted an official investigation and many members of the commission of inquiry were Jews from the presidium of the CEC. And because of their “socialist conscience” they dared not call the Bolshevik uprising a crime and deal with it accordingly. So the commission had yielded no result and was soon liquidated.

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

During the garrison meeting, arranged by the CEC on October 19, just before the decisive Bolshevik uprising, one of representatives of 176th Infantry Regiment, a Jew, warned that “those people down on the streets scream that Jews are responsible for all the wrongs.” At the CEC meeting during the night of October 25, Gendelman reported that when he was giving a speech in the Peter and Paul Fortress earlier that afternoon he was taunted: “You are Gendelman! That is you are a Yid and a Rightist!” When on October 27 Gotz and his delegation to Kerensky tried to depart to Gatchina from the Baltiysky Rail Terminal, he was nearly killed by sailors who screamed that “the Soviets are controlled by Yids!” And during the wine pogroms on the eve of the glorious Bolshevik victory, the calls “Slaughter Yids!” were heard also.

And yet there was not a single Jewish pogrom over the whole year of 1917. The infamous outrageous pogroms in Kalusha and Ternopol were in fact the work of frenzied drunken revolutionary soldiers, retreating in disorder. They smashed everything on their way, all shops and stores; and because most of those were Jewish-owned, the word spread about Jewish pogroms. A similar pogrom took place in Stanislavov, with its much smaller Jewish population, and quite reasonably it was not labeled a Jewish pogrom.

Already by the mid-summer of 1917 the Jews felt threatened by the embittered population (or drunken soldiers), but the ongoing collapse of the state was fraught with incomparably greater dangers. Amazingly, it seems that both the Jewish community and the press, the latter to a large extent identified with the former, learned nothing from the formidable experiences of 1917 in general, but narrowly looked at the isolated manifestations of pogroms. And so

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

time after time they missed the real danger. The executive power behaved similarly. When the Germans breached the front at Ternopol in the night of July 10, the desperate joint meeting of the CEC of the Soviet of Workers' and Soldiers' Deputies and the Executive Committee of the Soviet of Peasants' Deputies had taken place. They had acknowledged that should the revolution perish, the country crumbles down (in that exact order), and then named a Government for Salvation of the Revolution, and noted in their appeal to the people that "dark forces are again prepared to torment our longsuffering

Motherland. They are setting backward masses upon the Jews."

On July 18 at a panel session of the State Duma, in an extremely small circle, Rep. Maslennikov spoke against the Executive Committee and among other things spelled out the real names of its members. On the very same evening at the factional meeting of the CEC they beat an alarm: "This is a case of counterrevolution, it must be dealt with according to the recently issued decree of the Minister of Internal Affairs Tsereteli on suppression of counterrevolution!" (The decree was issued in response to the Bolshevik uprising, though it was never used against Bolsheviks.) In two days Maslennikov made excuses in an article in the newspaper *Rech* [Speech]: indeed, he named Steklov, Kamenev, and Trotsky but never intended to incite anger against the entire Jewish people, and "anyway, attacking them, I had absolutely no wish to make Jewish people responsible for the actions of these individuals."

Then, in mid-September, when the all gains of the February Revolution were already irreversibly ruined, on the eve of the by now imminent Bolshevik coup, Ya. Kantorovich warned in *Rech* about the danger that: "The



## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

dark forces and evil geniuses of Russia will soon emerge from their dens to jubilantly perform Black Masses....” Indeed, it will happen soon. Yet what kind of Black masses? – “...Of bestial patriotism and pogrom-loving ‘truly-Russian’ national identity.” In October in Petrograd I. Trumpeldor had organized Jewish self-defense forces for protection against pogroms, but they were never needed.

Indeed, Russian minds were confused, and so were Jewish ones.

Several years after the revolution, G. Landau, looking back with sadness, wrote: “Jewish participation in the Russian turmoil had astonishingly suicidal overtones in it; I am referring not only to their role in Bolshevism, but to their involvement in the whole thing. And it is not just about the huge number of politically active people, socialists and revolutionaries, who have joined the revolution; I am talking mainly about the broad sympathy of the masses it was met with.... Although many harbored pessimistic expectations, in particular, an anticipation of pogroms, they were still able to reconcile such a foreboding with an acceptance of turmoil which unleashed countless miseries and pogroms. It resembled the fatal attraction of butterflies to fire, to the annihilating fire.... It is certain there were some strong motives pushing the Jews into that direction, and yet those were clearly suicidal.... Granted, Jews were not different in that from the rest of Russian intelligentsia and from the Russian society.... Yet we had to be different ... we, the ancient people of city-dwellers, merchants, artisans, intellectuals ... we had to be different from the people of land and power, from peasants, landowners, officials.”

And let’s not forget those who were different. We must always remember that Jewry was and is very heterogeneous, that attitudes and actions vary greatly among

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

the Jews. So it was with the Russian Jewry in 1917: in provinces and even in the capital there were circles with reasonable views and they were growing as October was getting closer.

The Jewish stance toward Russian unity during the months when Russia was pulled apart not only by other nations, but even by Siberians, was remarkable. "All over the course of revolution Jews, together with Great Russians, were among the most ardent champions of the idea of Great Russia." Now, when Jews had gotten their equal rights, what could they have in common with different peoples on the periphery of the former empire? And yet the disintegration of a united country would fracture Jewry. In July at the Ninth Congress of Constitutional Democrats, Vinaver and Nolde openly argued against territorial partition of peoples and in favor of Russian unity. Also in September, in the national section of the Democratic Conference, the Jewish socialists spoke against any federalization of Russia (in that they had joined the Centralists.) Today they write in an Israeli magazine that Trumpeldor's Jewish detachments backed the Provisional Government and had even foiled the Kornilov's mutiny. Perhaps. However, in rigorously studying events of 1917, I did not encounter any such information. But I am aware of opposite instances: in early May 1917 in the thundering patriotic and essentially counter-revolutionary Black Sea Delegation, the most successful orator calling for the defense of Russia was Jewish sailor Batkin.

D. Pasmanik had published the letters of millionaire steamship owner Shulim Bepalov to the Minister of Trade and Industry Shakhovsky dated as early as September 1915: "Excessive profits made by all industrialists and traders lead our Motherland to the imminent wreck." He had donated half a million rubles to the state and proposed to establish a law

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

limiting all profits to 15%. Unfortunately, these self-restricting measures were not introduced as “rush to freedom.” Progressives such as Konovalov and Ryabushinsky did not mind making 100% war profits. When Konovalov himself became the Minister of Trade and Industry, Shulim Bespalov wrote to him on July 5, 1917: “Excessive profits of industrialists are ruining our country, now we must take 50 percent of the value of their capital and property,” and added that he is ready to part with 50 percent of his own assets. Konovalov paid no heed.

In August, at the Moscow All-Russian State Conference, O. O. Gruzenberg (a future member of the Constituent Assembly) stated: “These days the Jewish people are united in their allegiance to our Motherland, in unanimous aspiration to defend her integrity and achievements of democracy,” and were prepared to give for her defense “all their material and intellectual assets, to part with everything precious, with the flower of their people, all their young.” These words reflected the realization that the February régime was the best for the Russian Jewry, promising economic progress as well as political and cultural prosperity. And that realization was adequate.

The closer it got to the October coup and the more apparent the Bolshevik threat, the wider this realization spread among Jews, leading them to oppose Bolshevism. It was taking root even among socialist parties and during the October coup many Jewish socialists were actively against it. Yet they were debilitated by their socialist views and their opposition was limited by negotiations and newspaper articles – until the Bolsheviks shut down those newspapers.

It is necessary to state explicitly that the October coup was not carried by Jews, though it was under the general command of Trotsky and with energetic actions of young

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

Grigory Chudnovsky during the arrest of Provisional Government and the massacre of the defenders of the Winter Palace. Broadly speaking, the common rebuke that the 170 million people could not be pushed into Bolshevism by a small Jewish minority is justified. Indeed, we had ourselves sealed our fate in 1917, through our foolishness from February to October-December. The October coup proved a devastating catastrophe for Russia. Yet the state of affairs even before it promised little good to the people. We had already lost responsible statesmanship and the events of 1917 had proved it in excess. The best Russia could expect was an inept, feeble, and disorderly pseudodemocracy, unable to rely on enough citizens with developed legal consciousness and economic independence.

After the October fighting in Moscow, representatives of the Bund and Poale-Zion had taken part in the peace negotiations – not in alliance with the Junkers or the Bolsheviks — but as a third independent party. There were many Jews among the Junkers of the Engineers School who defended the Winter Palace on October 25: in the memoirs of Sinogub, a palace defender, Jewish names appear regularly; I personally knew one such engineer from my prison experience. And during the Odessa City Duma elections the Jewish bloc had opposed the Bolsheviks and won, though only marginally.

During the Constituent Assembly elections, more than 80% of Jewish population in Russia had voted for Zionist parties. Lenin wrote that 550 thousand voted for Jewish nationalists. Most Jewish parties formed a united national list of candidates; seven deputies were elected from that list, six Zionists and Gruzenberg. The success of Zionists was facilitated by the recently published declaration of British Minister of Foreign Affairs Balfour on the

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

establishment of a Jewish national home in Palestine, which was met with enthusiasm by the majority of Russian Jewry (celebratory demonstrations, rallies and worship services took place in Moscow, Petrograd, Odessa, Kiev and many other cities.)

Prior to the October coup, Bolshevism was not very influential among Jews. But just before the uprising, Natanson, Kamkov, and Shteinberg on behalf of the left Socialist Revolutionaries had signed a combat pact with Bolsheviks Trotsky and Kamenev. And some Jews distinguished themselves among the Bolsheviks in their very first victories and some even became famous. The commissar of the famed Latvian regiments of the 12th Army, which did so much for the success of Bolshevik coup, was Semyon Nakhimson. Jewish soldiers played a notable role during preparation and execution of the armed uprising of October 1917 in Petrograd and other cities, and also during suppression of mutinies and armed resurrections against the new Soviet regime.

It is widely known that during the historic session of the Congress of Soviets on October 27 two acts, the Decree on Land and the Decree on Peace, were passed. But it didn't leave a mark in history that after the Decree on Peace but before the Decree on Land another resolution was passed. It declared it "a matter of honor for local soviets to prevent Jewish and any other pogroms by dark forces." (Pogroms by Red forces of light were not anticipated.)

So even here, at the Congress of Workers' and Peasants' Deputies, the Jewish question was put ahead of the peasant one.

# THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

## Chapter XV: The Bolsheviks

**[The Russian word *otshchepentsa* is difficult to translate precisely. It means renegade or traitor, but perhaps a more nuanced meaning in the context Solzhenitsyn uses the word would be “cultural and moral renegade” or “traitor to one’s blood and heritage.”]**

This is not a new theme: the Jewish role in Bolshevism. Much has already been written on it. Those who try to prove that the revolution was non-Russian indicate the Jewish names and pseudonyms in an attempt to remove from the Russian people the blame of the October Revolution of 1917. But Jews who began by similarly denying the role of Jews in positions Bolshevik authority have now been forced to admit their participation, yet claim that those were not Jews in spirit, but *otshchepentsy*, renegades. Let us agree with this statement and admit that we are unable to judge people’s spirits. Yes, these were *otshchepentsy*. However, by that logic the leading Russian Bolsheviks were also not Russians in spirit, but were frequently both anti-Russian and anti-Orthodox, and in their minds Russian culture was refracted through the lenses of political doctrines and calculations.

But a question is raised: how much evidence must there be of the participation of random *otshchepentsev* before we acknowledge a pattern that defies random distribution? What fraction of the Jewish nation is required to participate in order to establish such a pattern? We know about the Russian renegades, the depressing number who joined the Bolsheviks. An unpardonable number. But how widely and actively did Jews participate in strengthening Bolshevik authority?

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

And another question: what was the reaction of each group's people to its *otshchepentsam*? The reactions of people to *otshchepentsev* can be different—they can curse them or praise them, ostracize them or join them. And the manifestations of this—the reactions of the masses of the people, whether Russian, Jewish or Latvian—have been given very little consideration by historians.

The question is one of whether the people renounced their *otshchepentsev*, and whether the renunciation that did occur reflected the sense of the people. Did a people choose to remember or not to remember its *otshchepentsev*? The answer to this question must not be in doubt: the Jews choose to remember. Not just to remember the individual people, but to remember them as Jews, so that their names may never disappear.

There is perhaps no clearer example of *otshchepentsa* than Lenin. One cannot fail to recognize Lenin as Russian. To Lenin Russian antiquity was disgusting and loathsome; in all of Russian history he seems only to have mastered Chernishevsky and Saltykov-Schedrin.

Yes, he frolicked with the liberal views of Turgenev and Tolstoy. But in him there appeared no attachment even to the Volga, where he passed his youth. To the contrary, he pitilessly brought terrifying hunger there in 1921. Everything with him was thus—everything Russian among which he grew generated hatred inside him. That Orthodox faith in which he could have grown he strove instead to weaken and destroy. Even in youth he was *otshchepenets*. But nevertheless, he was Russian, and we Russians must accept criticism for it.

But if we speak of the ethnic origin of Lenin, we must not change our method of judgment when we recognize that he was a cross-breed of the most different bloodlines. His

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

grandfather according to the father, Nikolai Vasilyevich, was of the blood of a Kalmuk woman, Anna Alekseyevna Smirnova; another grandfather Israel [baptised Aleksandr] Davidovich was a Jew; another grandmother, Anna Iogannovna Grosshopf, the daughter of a German and a Swede. But all of this cross-breeding does not give us the right to reject him as a Russian. We must accept him as a creation completely Russian since his national character, that which infused his spirit, was intertwined with the history of the Russian Empire. But to the creations of Russia, to that country which erected us and its culture, his was a spirit alienated and at times sharply anti-Russian. Nevertheless, we can in no way renounce him. But the Jews call him *otshchepentsa*?

As we saw in 1917, the Jews had not all been drawn to Bolshevism. Instead, they had been drawn to a myriad of revolutionary movements. At the last conference of the RSDRP, the Russian Social Democratic Workers Party, held in 1907 in London, of the 302-305 delegates, among the Mensheviks [the faction opposing Lenin] the number of Jews exceeded 160, i.e., more than half. As a result of the April conference of 1917, among nine members of the new Central Committee of the Bolsheviks we see G. Zinoviev, L. Kamenev, and Sverdlov. In the summer of the congress of the RKPb (renamed from the RSDRP) to the TSCK there were eleven Jewish members, among them Zinoviev, Sverdlov, Sokolnik, Trotsky, and Uritsky.

Then on October 10, 1917, in the apartment of Gimmerra and Flakserman, where the decision was made to launch the Bolshevik Revolution, among the twelve participants were Trotsky, Zinoviev, Sverdlov, Uritsky, Sokolnik and [one other Jewish name the translator won't give us properly]. And who was chosen first for the



## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

Politburo? Of its seven members: Trotsky, Zinoviev, [another Jewish name], Sokolnik. That is in no way a small proportion. There can be no doubt that Jewish *otshchepentsy* were present in the Bolshevik leadership in great disproportion to their numbers in the population, and they comprised too many of the Bolshevik commissars for a relationship to be denied.

It can be certain that the Jewish leadership of Bolshevism was not completely monolithic. Even the Jews in the Politburo did not act as a bloc. Some were against the revolution, believing that it was not the proper moment. Already, at that point Trotsky was the autocratic genius of the October Revolution; he did not exaggerate his role in his writings on the subject. Lenin hid himself in a cowardly manner and played no essential role until after the revolution had been completed. Generally, Lenin was guided by a spirit of internationalism, and even in his dispute with the Bund in 1903 he adhered to the view that nationalism did not exist and must not exist, and that the question of nationalism divided revolutionary from reactionary socialism. (In harmony with this view Stalin declared that the Jews were a nation and thus prophesied their eventual assimilation.) Accordingly, Lenin considered anti-Semitism to be a tactic of capitalism, and saw in it not an organic expression of the will of the people but a convenient method of counterrevolution.

But Lenin also understood what a powerful mobilizing force the Jewish question was in the ideological fight. He saw to it that the special bitterness of the Jews toward the Czar was prepared for use in the Revolution. However, from the first days of the revolution Lenin found it necessary to consider how the Jewish question would eventually be addressed. Like much he did not foresee in

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

state questions, he did not see how the concentration of Jewish power within the Bolsheviks would lead the Jews, as a result of war scattered throughout Russia, to take control of the apparatus of the Russian state during the decisive months and years—a process that began with the replacements that occurred after the Bolshevik mass strike against Russian clerks. That strike was organized by the Jewish settlers in the Russian frontier and border regions, who did not return to their relatives after the war.

But the liquidation of permanent residency in 1917 particularly resulted in the great dispersion of Jews from the urban centers inside Russia, no longer as refugees and settlers, but as migrants. Soviet information from 1920 states that 10,000 Jews had settled in Samara alone in recent years. In Irkutsk, the Jewish population grew to 15,000. Large Jewish settlements were formed in central Russia and the Urals. This was performed in large part by Jewish social security agencies and philanthropic organizations.

A small pile of Bolsheviks had now come to power and taken authority, but their control was still brittle. Whom could they trust in the government? Whom could they call on for aid? The seeds of the answer lay in the creation in January 1918 of a special People's Commissariat from the members of the Jewish commissariat, the reason for which was expressed in Lenin's opinion that the Bolshevik success in the revolution had been made possible because of the role of the large Jewish intelligentsia in several Russia cities. These Jews engaged in general sabotage, which was directed against Russians after the October Revolution and which proved extremely effective. Jewish elements, though certainly not the entirety of the Jewish people, saved the Bolshevik Revolution through these acts of sabotage. Lenin took this into consideration, he emphasized it in the press,

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

and he recognized that to master the state apparatus he could succeed only because of this reserve of literate and more or less intelligent, sober new clerks.

Thus the Bolsheviks, from the first days of their authority, called upon the Jews to assume the bureaucratic work of the Soviet apparatus—and many, many Jews answered that call. They in fact responded immediately. The sharp need of the Bolsheviks for bureaucrats to exercise their authority met with great enthusiasm among young Jews, pell-mell with the Slav and international brethren. And this was in no way compulsory for these Jews, who were nonparty members, and who had been previously completely non-revolutionary and apolitical.

This phenomenon was not ideological, but the result of mass calculation on the part of the Jews. And the Jews in the previously forbidden and cherished rural provinces and their capitals gushed out of their ghettos to join the Bolsheviks, seeing in them the most decisive defenders of the revolution and the most reliable internationalists, and these Jews flooded and abounded in the lower layers of the party structure.

To every man who was not a member of the nobility, a priest or a Czarist bureaucrat, the promises of the new clan were extended. And to encourage Jewish participation, the Bolsheviks organized in St. Petersburg the Jewish division of the nationalities commissariat. In 1918 it was converted into a separate commissariat of its own. And in March 1919, in the eighth congress of the RKPb, with the proclamation of the Communist Union of Soviet Russia, it was made into an organic and special part of the RKPb, in order to integrate it into the Communist International, and it a special Jewish section was created in the Russian Telegraphic Agency.

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

The statements made by Shub that Jewish young people joined the Communist party in response to anti-Semitic pogroms conducted in White-controlled areas in 1919 has no basis in reality. The mass inflow of Jews into the Soviet apparatus occurred in 1917 and 1918. There is no doubt that the pogroms of 1919 strengthened the allegiance of Jews to the Communist party, but it in no way created it.

Rarely do authors deny the role of Jews in Bolshevism. While it is true that the appearance of Bolshevism was the result of the special features of Russian history, the organization of Bolshevism was created through the activity of Jewish commissars. The dynamic role of Jews in Bolshevism was estimated by contemporary observers in America. The transfer of the Russian Revolution from the destructive phase into the building phase was seen as an expression of the ability of the Jews to build elaborate systems based on their dissatisfactions. And after the successes of October, how many Jews themselves spoke about their role in Bolshevism with their heads held high!

Let us recall how, before the revolution, revolutionaries and radical-liberals were willing to oppose the restraints placed upon the Jews not out of love for the Jews, but for political purposes. So, in the first months and years after the October Revolution, the Bolsheviks made a great effort to hunt down Jews for use in the state and party *apparati*, not out of affinity for the Jewish people, but for the abilities they combined with their alienation and hatred of the Russian population. In this manner, they also approached the Latvians, the Hungarians and the Chinese.

Though the mass of the Jewish population initially viewed the Bolsheviks with alarm, if not hostility, after finding that the revolution granted them complete freedom, and that it welcomed a bloom of Jewish activity in the public,

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

political and cultural spheres, the Jewish population threw themselves into Bolshevism; and Bolshevik authority particularly attracted those whose character held a surplus of cruelty.

The question then emerges of when Communist authority spread from Russia, and came to engulf world Judaism. The stormy participation of Jews in the Communist revolution drew cautious statements of concerns about world Jewry that were quieted, their evidence concealed, by communist and Jews worldwide, who attempted to silence it by denouncing it as extreme anti-Semitism.

After 70 or 80 years passed, and under the pressure of many facts and discoveries, the view of Jewish involvement in the revolutionary years opened slightly. Already many Jewish voices have discussed this publicly. For example, the poet Naum Korzhavin has noted that as long as it is taboo to speak of the participation of the Jews in Bolshevism, it will be impossible properly to discuss the revolutionary period. There are even times now when Jews are *proud* of their participation—when Jews have said that they did participate in the revolution, and in disproportionately large numbers. M. Argusky has noted that Jews involved in the revolution and the civil war was not limited to the revolutionary period but also continued in their considerable and widespread involvement in running the state apparatus. Israeli socialist S. Tsiryul'nikov has stated that from the beginning of the revolution Jews served as the basis of the new communist regime. But most Jewish authors today still deny the contribution of Jews to Bolshevism, sweeping the evidence aside with anger, or more frequently with reference to the pain such evidence causes them.

But despite their pain there is no doubt that these Jewish *otshchepentsy* for several years after the revolution

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

dominated Bolshevism, headed the belligerent Red Army (Trotsky), the All-Russian Central Executive Committee (Sverdlov), ran both capitals (Zinoviev), the Comintern (Zinoviev), the Profintern/Red Trade Union International (Dridzo-Lozovskiy) and the Komsomol (Oscar Ryvkin, and after him Lazarus Shatskin.)

True, in the first council of People's Commissars there was only one Jew, Trotsky, but the influence of this one Jew as Lenin's second-in-command exceeded that of all the rest.

And from November 1917 through 1918 the real government was not the Council of Peoples' Commissars but the in the so-called "little" Council: Lenin, Trotsky, Stalin, Karelin, Prosh'yan. After October, of no less importance than the Council of People's Commissars was the presidium of VCTscIcK, the All-Russian Central Executive Committee. Among its six chairmen: Sverdlov, [unintelligible Jewish name], Volodarsky, and Glass.

M. Agursky correctly notes that in the country, where one was not accustomed to seeing Jews, the ascension of the Jews to power was particularly striking. The President of the country, a Jew? The War Minister, a Jew? There was something to this, so radical that the population of Russia could not adjust to it—not only because of their Judaism, but because of what they as Jews stood for.

D. Shub justifies all this by claiming that "significant numbers of Jewish youth flocked to the Communist Party" as a result of massacres that occurred in the territory of the Whites (i.e. since 1919). This is simply untrue. The massive influx of Jews into the Soviet apparatus occurred in late 1917 and in 1918. There is no doubt that the events of 1919 (more

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

about them in Chapter XVI) served to strengthen Jewish ties with the Bolsheviks, but not to create it. When the Bolsheviks were only organized in their offices in St. Petersburg, the Jewish Department of the Commissariat for Nationalities functioned. Soon after, in 1918, it was converted into a separate Jewish Commissariat. And in March 1919, the Eighth Congress prepared a proclamation that the Jewish Communist Union of Soviet Russia was an organic, but also a special part of the Kavbureau. (In order to enable it and the Comintern, and so completely undermine the Bund.) A special propaganda office was created with the Jewish Russian Telegraph Agency (ROSTA).

Another author, a communist, explains that “our Jewish labor movement played a particularly prominent role” due to the fact that among the Jewish workers there was a “special development of certain psychological traits lifestyle necessary for the role of leaders” which had yet to develop among the Russian workers—energy, civility, solidarity and systematic organizational skills.

Those authors who deny the central organizing role of Jews in Bolshevism are rare. D. S. Pasmanik argued that “the very appearance of Bolshevism was the result of peculiarities of Russian history, but the Bolshevik organization was created in part through the activities of the Jewish commissars.” The dynamic role of the Jews in Bolshevism was evaluated by contemporary observers from America: they advocated a quick transit from the “destructive phase” of the revolution to an unspecified “constructive phase”, and called the revolution “a significant expression of the genius of the Jewish discontent.” On the October coup, it was observed how American and Western Jews were talking among

# THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

themselves about their people's activity in Bolshevism with their heads held high.

Remember that just as before the revolution, both revolutionaries and radical liberals willingly and actively used the Jews, not out of love for them but as a tactic of expediency to attain political goals. In the first months and then years after the October Revolution, the Bolsheviks with the greatest cunning used Jews their state and party apparatus, again not because of the affinity with the Jews, but pragmatically to benefit from their undoubted abilities and because of their own alienation from the Russian population. There was a lesser use of Latvians, Hungarians, and Chinese for the same sort of purpose.

The bulk of the Jewish population greeted the October Revolution with caution, if not hostility. But on finding that revolution finally granted them complete freedom from the restrictions of Czardom and for at least a time during the initial phases resulted in a flourishing of Jewish activity, social, political, cultural, and well-organized, the Jewish people as a whole (with some significant exceptions detailed below) generally embraced the Bolsheviks, and either overlooked or participated in those cruel first excesses of Soviet which eventually were codified and systematized under Stalin.

Beginning in the late 1940s, when Communism as an ideology largely fell out with world Jewry, any attempt to discuss the role of the Jewish people in the 1917 revolution and subsequent establishment of Soviet power has been castigated in the West as extreme anti-Semitism, and for that reason such discussion has been largely impermissible in Russia for a long time.

But not altogether. 70 to 80 years on, a more comprehensive scrutiny of those years has slipped through



## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

state control and significantly, Jewish voices have begun to speak about it publicly.

For example, the poet Naum Korzhavin: “If we impose a taboo on the participation of Jews in the revolution, talk about the revolution in general would become impossible.”

There has even been a kind of pride in it. “The Jews participated in the revolution, and in a disproportionate amount.”—M. Agursky. Or: “The participation of Jews in the revolution and the civil war was not limited to this, nor even to ordinary participation in public management. It was much broader.” Or the Israeli socialist S. Barber: “At the beginning of the revolution, the

Jews were the basis of the new régime.”

But quite a few Jewish writers who today deny or downplay the Jewish contribution to Bolshevism dismiss with anger or more likely avoid any mention of facts they perceive as painful. Often this is not difficult, since much of that time is still shrouded in a great deal of obscurity. In addition to the visible official posts, the Leninist structure relied on invisible and silent figures who have never been considered fit to print, including Lenin’s most “beloved rogue” Ganetsky and all the vague shapes in the cloud like Parvus.

Like that Eugene Sumenson, who swam to the surface for only a short time in the summer of 1917. Some of these Jews who we dimly perceive in the shadows were arrested for suspicious financial skullduggery with Germany during the war, and were clearly connected to the Bolshevik upper echelon, but never mentioned in the hardware lists. After the July Days *Russkaya Volya* [Russian Will] soberly published materials about the covert activities of Parvus and his close associates Zurabov, Binshtok, Levin, Perazich and others. Or Samuel Sachs, son of a wealthy industrialist in Petrograd,

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

who in 1917 gave the Bolsheviks an entire printing press. Or from the same team *parvusovskoy* [literally “Parvus man” but with gang-member connotations] Saul Picker (Alexander Martynov) who had once publicly argued Marxist theory with Lenin, but who adroitly changed sides at the right time.

There was Rosalia Zalkind, underground name Zemlyachka, was also arrested for suspicious financial connections with Germany. She was associated with V. Zagorski, I. V. Zelensky, and Osip Pyatnitsky, who was in the secretariat of the Moscow Committee of the Bolsheviks in 1917-1920, long before Kaganovich. (Pyatnitsky was later murdered by Stalin, as were so many prominent Jews from this period.)

It is hardly surprising that the revolutionary institutions in Odessa were riddled with Jews, because in Odessa, as we have seen, the Jews constituted more than a third of the population. Here it is natural that the chairman of the Military Revolutionary Committee, then Odessa Sovnarkom [Soviet People’s Commissar] was V. Yudovsky, while the Provincial Party Committee chairman was J. Gamarnik. Gamarnik then moved on to Kiev, there to become Provincial Committee chairman and of the executive committee; then secretary of the Central Committee of Belarus and a member of the Revolutionary Military Council of the Belarusian

Military District. He too died in Stalin’s execution cellars.

A rising star was Lazar Kaganovich, son of a kosher butcher. He became Chairman of the Provincial Committee of the Communist Party of the Nizhny Novgorod in 1918, at the age of 25.

In August-September in the minutes of the Nizhny Novgorod BPK, when the decision was made to conduct the most severe terror in the province, records begin with: “Kaganovich

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

present.” Later on, he confiscated photographic negatives and broke published photographs of the meeting of the presidium of the Council of the Assembly of Leningrad after the October Revolution. He explained this to Yu. Larin on the grounds that “the vast majority of the presidium at the table were Jews.” *[Ed. note: Lazar Kaganovich also achieved the notable distinction of being the last survivor of this most bloody time and generation of human history, dying alone and forgotten in a shabby state-owned apartment in Moscow on July 25<sup>th</sup>, 1991 at the age of 97.]* For illustrative purposes only, we will tell you a little more:

\*Arkady Rozengolts, leader in the October Revolution in Moscow; then a member of the Revolutionary Military Councils of a number of armies and the Revolutionary Military Council of the Republic, close aide of Trotsky. And another long series of posts: in the People’s Commissariat of RCTs (Rabkrin, control and investigation body.) And finally, he became the People’s Commissar of Foreign Trade, for seven years.

\*Simon Nakhimson, commander of the immortal Latvian riflemen, a fierce military commissar of the Yaroslavl Military District (killed in Yaroslavl uprising.)

\*Samuel Zwilling. Who after defeating chieftain Dutov led the Orenburg Provincial Executive Committee (soon killed.)

\*Zorach Greenberg, Commissioner of Education and Art of the Northern Commune, spoke Hebrew, the right hand of Lunacharsky.

\*Yevgeny Kogan (wife Kuibyshev): in 1917 Secretary of the Samara Provincial Party Committee, in 1918-1919 a member of the Army Revolutionary Tribunal in the Volga region, in

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

1920 transferred to the Tashkent City Committee, from 1921 in Moscow and Secretary MGK for 30 years.

\*Semyon Zhukovsky; glimpsed in the political departments of different armies, then in the propaganda department of the Central Committee of Turkestan, then head of the Political Department of the Baltic Fleet, then in the Central Committee.

\*Abram Belenky- head bodyguard of Lenin in his last five years of life; on the Krasnaya Presnya District Committee and then on to the head of the Agitation and Propaganda Department of the Communist International;

\*Yefim - Supreme Economic Council, RCTs Commissariat.

\*Dimanshtein - after the Jewish Commissariat and then Evseksiia he went further to the Central Committee of Lithuania-Belarus, then Commissar of Education in Turkestan, then head of Ukraine's Central Political Education Department.

\*Samuel Filler, chemistry student of Kherson province, was taken up to the presidium of the IBSC, and then in the RCTs.

\*Anatoly (Isaac) Koltun, who deserted but returned in 1917, gained management experience in the CCC (Central Control Commission) VKPb, then did Party work in Kazakhstan, then he turns up in Yaroslavl and Ivanovo and again in the CCC, and then in a Moscow court. Then he is suddenly the director of the Institute!

Jews held especially prominent roles in the food bodies of the RSFSR, the vital nerve of those years, part and parcel of war communism. Let's see just how many key positions were filled with Jews:

\*Moses Frumkin in 1918-1922, a member of the board of the People's Commissariat of the RSFSR, 1921,

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

deputy. Commissar of food during the early years of famine, then chairman of Glavproduct.

\*I. Rafailov (Jacob Brandenburg-Goldzinsky) returned from Paris in 1917 immediately appointed to the Petrograd production committee in 1918, then the Commissariat; during the Civil War he was extraordinary commissioner for the Central Executive Committee for the food the surplus in a number of provinces.

\*Isaac Zelensky: 1918-1920 on the Moscow City Council, then a member of the board of the People's Commissariat of the RSFSR. (Later in the secretariat of the Central Committee and secretary of the Central Asian Bureau.)

\*Simon Wax: Arrived from America in 1917, served during the October Revolution in Petrograd: 1918 appointed food commissioner for the vast northern region.

\*Myron Vladimirov-Sheynfinkel: October 1917 led to the Petrograd food council, and then

- a member of the board of the RSFSR People's Commissariat of food; 1921 - People's Commissar of Food of Ukraine, then it the People's Commissariat.

\*Gregory Zusmanovich in 1918 – Commissar of the army in the Ukraine.

\*Moses Kalmanovich—from the end of 1917 Commissioner of Food Western Front in 1919-1920 Byelorussian SSR Commissar of food, then Lithuanian-Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic and the chairman of the special committee of the Western Front of food. At his peak, Chairman of the State Bank of the USSR.

Recently published details have revealed how the West Siberian peasant uprising in 1921 or “Ishim rebellion” began. The Latvian member of *gubprodkoma* Lauris Matthew used his power for personal gain and lust. He settled

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

with armed detachments in the villages and demanded the production of women for himself and his gang.

Tyumen Gubierna production commissar Indenbaum, after severe grain procurement in 1920, when the area to 1 January 1921 fulfilled 102 percent of the surplus target, with even an extra week announced the end of the surplus—from 1 to 7 January, that is, just before Christmas week. The other county commissars including Ishim received a directive that “the surplus should be attained *regardless of the consequences*, including the confiscation of all the bread in the village.” (italics mine. - AS), leaving only a starvation ration for the hungry producer. In a personal telegram, Indenbaum required “the most ruthless violence to increase the quantity of confiscated bread in the villages.” In the formation of food detachments Indenbaum knowingly accepted former criminals and *lumpen* who readily beat the peasants in order to compel them to reveal where their grain was hidden. At the Tenth Congress, the Kavbureau’s Tyumen delegation reported that those peasants who did not want to surrender their grain to the surplus appropriation system put their grain into pits and filled them with water.

And what happened to him? We learn only after many years, just from obituaries in *Izvestia*: He died of tuberculosis, comrade. Isaac Samoylovich Kizelshteyn, delegate VI Party Congress, a member of the “five” in Moscow which prepared the October uprising there. With the move of the government in Moscow he acquired the great job of head of the Cheka. He was a member of the Revolutionary Military Council first of the Fifth and then the Fourteenth Army,

“always a faithful soldier of the party and the working class”

In addition to the mandatory revolutionary nicknames, the Bolshevik-Jews always had a jumble of

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

additional aliases, or anyway names. That's his obituary in 1928: he officially died as old Bolshevik Lev Mikhailov. Since 1906, he was known as Party Politicus. But his nickname and last name of Elinson were carried away in the grave. What motivated Aron Rufelevicha to take the Ukrainian name Taratuta? Were they ashamed when his name was Joseph Aronovich Tarschys? or would he like to strengthen himself? Take Piatnitski? The same motives for any Jews Goncharova? Vasilenko? And were they considered traitors in their families? Or cowards?

And how many of these unknown workers, but of different nationalities, were crushed by the Russian Strangler?

J.F. Nazhivin writes in his impressions of the early Soviet régime: "In the Kremlin, in the management of the CPC, everywhere there was incredible sloppiness and confusion. Throughout there were Latvians, Latvians, Latvians and Jews, Jews, Jews. I was never an anti-Semite, but the number of them literally hurt my eyes, and all of the youthful age."

Even freedom-loving Korolenko, along with sympathy for the Jews suffering from pogroms, writes in his diary in the spring of 1919: "Among the Bolsheviks are many Jews and Jewish women. And they feature an extreme lack of tact and self-confidence, obvious and annoying ... Bolshevism in the Ukraine has already outlived its usefulness. The Commune meets with hatred throughout. Jewish faces flicker among the Bolshevik leaders (especially in Cheka), re-kindling traditional and very tenacious anti-Semitic instincts."

In the early years of Bolshevik power, the entire Jewish population suffered from overrepresentation not only in the heads of the party and the government, but more

# THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

strikingly and more sensitively to the population, in the vast expanses in the provinces and districts, in the middle and lower strata of the middle. There's something odd about the nameless mass of "scabs" who rushed to the aid of the fragile Bolshevik government, and reinforced it, and saved it. In the *Book of Russian Jewry*, we read: "Not to mention the numerous activities of Jewish Bolsheviks, working on the ground as secondary agents of the dictatorship and caused untold misery to the population of the country", adding: "including Jewish."

## **The Murder of the Czar and His Family**

From such a ubiquitous presence of Jews in the Bolshevik ranks in those terrible days and months could not fail to follow the most severe consequences. The most searing event of that time, to this day a fresh and bloody wound in the mind and soul of Russia, was the murder of the royal family. Honesty compels us to admit that Jewish participation on that atrocity was not entirely as decisive and overwhelming as the popular imagination has it, but it was sufficiently bad to leave a permanent stain on the Jewish people for all time. The participation of Russian Jews has always been exaggerated with glee. And this is always so: the dynamics of Jewish involvement (and there are many) cannot be provided on the main lines of action and at crucial points. The actual murder of the royal family was committed with the complicity of the protective detail (and assassins) of Latvians and Russian Magyars, but two of the prominent fatal roles were played by Shai-Philippe Goloshchekin and Yakov Yurovsky.

Let us be clear: the decision to kill was in the hands of Lenin. He dared to commit this murder, correctly



## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

calculating and anticipating the indifference of the Allied powers (in the spring of 1917 the English king had refused Nicholas asylum.) Their weakness not only doomed the Czar, the prince, and the women to immediate death, but also doomed the conservative elements of the Russian people to seven decades of tyranny.

Goloshchekin was exiled to Tobolsk province in 1912 for four years, then in 1917 to the Urals along with Sverdlov. (By the way, in 1918 they were on a “thou” basis, as revealed in their Ekaterinburg telegraph negotiations with Moscow.) Since 1912 Goloshchekin (together with Sverdlov) had been a Party member and was a member of the Central Committee after the October Revolution.

The Secretary of the Perm and Ekaterinburg Gubernia, and the voluminous Ural Regional Committee of the party was the supreme master of all the Urals. The idea of murdering the royal family and the choice of options matured at the top, among Lenin and his entourage, but the deed’s execution was covertly prepared in the Urals by Goloshchekin and Beloborodova (Chairman of the Ural Soviet).

As it turns out, at the beginning of July 1918 Goloshchekin went with the idea to the Kremlin, convinced them of the disadvantages of the “flight of the royal family” option which would cause them simply to disappear, and frankly and directly advocated shooting them and publicly declaring that fact. He came prepared to convince Lenin, but found he did not need to. The only obstacle was that Lenin feared the reaction of the population of Russia and the West. But there were already signs that everything would go smoothly, that the Western powers had quietly written the Romanovs off, and that the murders could proceed without overmuch political risk.

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

One would think that Trotsky, Kamenev, Zinoviev, and Bukharin would have been consulted on such a decision, but not all of them were then in Moscow owing to their many duties, except for Kamenev, and from what we know of these men there is no reason to assume that any of them would have minded. We know that Trotsky reacted indifferently or with approval. In 1935, he wrote in his diary that after he returned to Moscow he casually asked Sverdlov “‘Yes, and where is the king?’

‘It’s over,’ he [Sverdlov] said. ‘Shot.’

‘And his family is where?’

‘The family with him.’

‘Is that all?’ I asked, apparently with a touch of surprise.

‘That’s it!’ said Sverdlov ‘But what?’ He waited for my reaction. I said nothing. ‘Who decided?’ I asked.

‘We decided here.’ I asked no more questions in fact, the decision was not only appropriate, but necessary. The execution of the royal family was needed not simply in order to confuse, terrify, and to deprive the enemy of hope, but also to shake up our own ranks, to show that there was no retreat. Ahead lay complete victory, or else complete destruction.”

M. Heifetz has analyzed just who could be on this last Leninist Council, in an attempt to determine whose hands were bloody. Of course, there was Sverdlov himself, the Polish mass murderer Feliks Dzerzhinsky and Vladimir Petrovsky (Cheka), Stuchka (People’s Commissariat) and W. Schmidt. V. I. Lenin, of course, was the king of the Tribunal.

On July 12, 1918 Goloshchekin returned to Yekaterinburg, waiting for the final order from Moscow,

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

which he presumed would come from Lenin. And Yakov Yurovsky, the watchmaker, the son of a criminal convict at the time exiled to Siberia where he was born, in July 1918 was appointed commandant of the Ipatiev house, where he immediately began planning the operation and organizing the murder technique among Hungarians and Russians, including Pavel Medvedev and Peter Ermakov, and the concealment of the corpses. He laid in the barrels of gasoline and sulfuric acid for the destruction of the carcasses with the help of oblkomissar of supply P. L. Voikov.

It is necessary to pursue those shots in the basement meat grinder of the Ipatiev House, and whose shots proved decisive. This could help us to understand the executioners themselves. In the future, Yurovsky with undeniable glee claimed the major credit: "Nikolai was killed on the spot by a bullet my Colt." But the honor was also claimed by Ermakov, "Comrade Mauser." Goloshchekin not looking for fame, but in the next 20 years all knew what he was—a major killer of the king. Even in 1936, touring in Rostov-on-Don at some party conference, he still boasted that from the podium. (A year before he himself was shot.) Yurovsky, who left after the murder for Moscow and then spent a year "working" in the immediate vicinity of Dzerzhinsky as an expert on wet matters, died a natural death.

As throughout the whole revolution, the question of the nationality of the players is a major one. So, the complicity and eclectic participation of diverse nationalities in [former Czarist prime minister] Stolypin's assassination, of course, affected Russian feelings. But the murder of the king's brother, Mikhail Alexandrovich? Who was the killer? Candidates include Andrei Markov, Gavriil Myasnikov Nicholas Zhuzhgov, Ivan Kolpashchikov. Probably all Russian.

# THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

## **The Jewish Executioners**

This is the Revolution of the executioners. And that of the victim? The Bolsheviki shot and drowned whole barges of people, hostages and prisoners: the officers were Russian noblemen. Most of the priests were Russian, most of the men of the Zemstvos (local councils under the Czar) were Russian, the peasants caught hiding in the woods trying to evade the press gangs who were trying to conscript them into the Red Army—Russian. And among the highly spiritual, anti-Semitic Russian intelligentsia, men and women alike descended into the cellars of death. And if you could assemble today a comprehensive list of those who were shot and drowned and hanged from September 1918 on into the first years of Soviet power, and bring arrange them into statistical tables by nationality, we would be amazed how in these tables the Revolution did not show to its international character, but anti-Slav. (As dreamed of by Marx and Engels.)

We would see in cold print the cruel face of the revolution in that which most clearly defines it, in who it destroyed. We would see the long list of names, page after page of the irrevocably and irretrievably dead, a large part of a whole Russian generation taken away from our Motherland by this dirty revolution, the loss of whom doomed our land and whose blood lies on history like a stain which we can never wash out, even did we wish to. Instead we have always sought to forget.

In these months Lenin never lost sight of the Jewish question. Already in April 1918, the Council of People's Commissars region published in *Izvestia* a circular to the

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

Soviets on the issue of anti- Semitic pogrom agitation with accounts of alleged anti-Jewish pogroms in some unnamed towns of the Moscow region. We also have records of special meetings of the Council devoted to the Jewish question and anti-Semitism, and lectures and meetings within the campaign. However, who is the main culprit to be destroyed? Well, of course—Orthodox priests! Paragraph One stated: “Pay attention to the most serious anti-Semitic propaganda of the Black-Hundred clergy, and take the most decisive measures against these counter-revolutionary activities and against the propaganda of the clergy.” The exact nature of these “decisive measures” is not stated, but we may take a good guess. Along with this we have Paragraph 2: “To recognize the need not to create a special battle unit of the Jewish organizations.” (That is a previously discussed Jewish guard.) And in paragraph Four the Commissariat for Jewish Affairs together with the Military Commissariat are assigned the duty of “preventive measures against Jewish pogroms.”

In the midst of this very 1918, Lenin made a gramophone-recorded special speech about anti-Semitism and the Jews. The upshot of it is: This is a “damned czarist monarchical darkness” which possesses workers and peasants and incites them against the Jews. Czarist police arranged the pogroms, in alliance with the landowners and the capitalists. Hostility towards Jews is firmly held only where the bondage of the landowners and capitalists has created hopeless darkness in the minds of the workers and peasants. “The majority of the Jews are workers. They our brothers in the oppression of capital, our comrades in the struggle for socialism. Shame on accursed Czarism! Shame on those who sow hatred towards the Jews!” Copies of this gramophone record along with printing plates for the text of

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

that speech were then transported by train to the front, and to towns and villages across the land. There gramophones played the speech in clubs, at meetings and gatherings. The soldiers, workers and peasants listened to the words of their leader and began to realize what was going on, or so the story went, but the speech was not officially printed and acknowledged by the party until 1926, in the book by Agursky senior.

On July 27, 1918, immediately after the execution of the royal family, the CPC issued a special law on anti-Semitism: "The Council of People's Commissars of the anti-Semitic movement announces a danger to the cause of workers' and peasant's revolution." And at the end "People's Commissars Council of Deputies requires all to take decisive measures to curb the root of the anti-Semitic movement. Rioters and leading pogrom agitation are prescribed as outlaws.

Signed: V. I. Ulyanov (Lenin)."

These two words are outlawed if someone were not clear in the months of the Red Terror.

Ten years later a communist activist, himself a one-time People's Commissar and the creator of War Communism, the same Larin, explains to us that the goal was "to put the active anti-Semites outside the law." That is shoot.

And there's that famous answer to Dimanshtein Lenin made in 1919. Dimanshtein wanted Lenin to delay the spread of Gorky leaflets containing such fulsome praise of the Jews that they could "create the impression that the revolution rests on the Jews." Lenin replied, as we have read, that "Immediately after the October Revolution the Jews thwarted sabotage by government officials and rescued the revolution," and therefore "Gorky's opinion of the importance of these elements are absolutely correct." Do not

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

doubt the *Jewish Encyclopedia*: “Lenin refused to confiscate the mass circulation of Gorky leaflets issued during the Civil War as too philo-Semitic. despite fears that they might become a trump card in the hands of the anti-Semitic enemies of the revolution.”

So, they did, to the White side. Those leaflets were a significant boost to the viewpoint that tended to merge Jewry and Bolshevism. This episode is an example of a pervasive dull, amazing short-sightedness on the part of the leaders of the revolution, and a contemptuous disregard for the growing impressions and feelings among the people who were affected by the participation of Jews.

It was especially manifest in the destruction of the Orthodox clergy. During the summer of 1918 there was a major Bolshevik assault on Orthodox churches in Central Russia, especially in the Moscow region (which was then an area comprising several provinces), and massive waves of parochial riots occurred.

Already in December 1917, construction workers in the Kronstadt fortress could not stand it, and protested. They published a resolution in *Kronstadt Izvestia*: “We, the workers and artisans at our general meeting on this date [December 28], discussed the issue of the arrest and persecution of Orthodox priests. No Jewish rabbi, Mohammedan mullah, Roman Catholic priest and or German pastor but Orthodox priests only are being targeted.” (Note that even on this serf island in the “prison of nations” there were acting churches of all denominations.)

In Arkhangelsk, there was published under the sarcastic headline “Kill the Jews!” the complaint from “conscious Russian workers and peasants” that everywhere churches were being desecrated, defiled, and pillaged.

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

“Only the Russian Orthodox Church, not the Jewish synagogues ... Death from starvation and disease takes hundreds of thousands of innocent Russian lives. But Jews do not die of hunger and disease.” (In the summer of 1918 there was also a “judicial” matter of anti-Semitism in the St. Basil’s Cathedral.)

Jewish Bolshevik activists poured a persistent rage into the persecution of Orthodoxy (in comparison with other religions) in a most unreasonable way—in the pursuit of priests, in printed mockery of Christ. On August 9, 1920 Patriarch Tikhon wrote to the chairman of the CPC Ulyanov-Lenin (with a copy to the chairman of the Central Executive Committee, Kalinin), demanding the removal of the People’s Commissariat investigator of Spitsbergen, a former divorce lawyer, who was now entrusted by the People’s Commissariat with revision of the power of the Russian Orthodox Church, and who was desecrating crypts and tombs containing the remains of the saints recognized by the Church. Referring to the Constitution of the RSFSR, the Patriarch insisted on challenging the proceedings on grounds that the investigator Svalbard was conducting investigations and interrogations “with prejudice which clearly appears from the previous church processes, and finally as a man publicly insulting religious beliefs and openly sneering at religion in print, in the preface to the book *Religious Plague* (1919) in which he called Jesus Christ terrible names.”

The complaint was sent to the Commissars and considered at a meeting of 2 September 1920 by the very person complained of—Svalbard himself. Resolved: “Dismiss the complaint without action” (unanimously). But Kalinin recalls secretly and stealthily writing to the People’s Commissar of Justice Krasikov that he thinks that for practical political reasons it is necessary to replace Comrade



## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

Svalbard with someone else, because the audience at the trial is likely to be majority Orthodox. This should be done to “deprive the spiritual circles of their main argument about the possibility of national revenge [on the part of the Jews] and so on.”

An autopsy performed on relics? What could explain this mass abuse, so vivid, defiant? How would the Russian populace react? “It’s all rigged by Jews. The Jews crucified Christ himself!” Would not such a spectacle be irresponsible on the part of those who’s newly acquired power was not as yet secure? Practical objections, not moral ones.

Bulgakov followed the fate of Orthodoxy under the Bolsheviks especially closely. He wrote in 1941 that the Soviet persecution of Christianity, “surpassed in ferocity and size of all the previous ones that history has ever known. Of course, it can not be entirely attributed to Jews, but its impact cannot be denied or and belittled The most apparent strength of Bolshevism is the volition and energy of the Jews. The Jewish stake in Russian Bolshevism alas is prohibitively large and disproportionate. And it is, above all, a sin against the Holy One of Israel whom the Jews claim to revere. Not the Holy One of Israel but strong Jewish will manifest itself as power, Bolshevism, strangling the Russian people. The persecution of Christianity stems from the ideological and practical program of Bolshevism in general, without distinction of nationality, but it has been exercised to the greatest extent by Jewish commissars in the name of atheism, as spearheaded by the Gubelmanom-Yaroslavl Union of Militant Atheists, in the face of the entire Russian Orthodox people as an act of religious insolence.”

And too, there was the visual chutzpah of renaming the cities and places. To be sure, this custom was not Jewish in essence, but common Soviet practice. But can we say that

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

to the inhabitants of Gatchina which was turned into Trotsky was conveyed no national flavor? Pavlovsk became Slutsk. Palace Square in Uritskogo became Volodarsky. Vladimir Square became Nakhimson, Admiralty Embankment became Roshal, the beautiful St. Michael was renamed after the mediocre artist Isaac Brodsky. Not the “Holy One of Israel” but strong Jewish will be manifesting itself as power, Bolshevism strangling the Russian people. Forgotten. Dizzy. Elizavetgrad is Zinovievgrad and then gone. The city where the king was killed is re-named in honor of the murderer Sverdlov. Obviously, the idea of national revenge on the part of Jewish Bolsheviks was developed in the Russian consciousness already by 1920, since even Kalinin warned against it in the documents of the Soviet government.

Of course, Pasmanik’s was the best refutation: “For evil or stupid people everything is explained very simply: the Jewish Kahal decided to take over Russia, and wreak Jewish vengeance over the past persecution to which Jews were subjected to in this country.” Of course, you cannot explain the victory and domination of the Bolsheviks in this way. However, if the 1905 massacre lived in the memory of your family and if in 1915 your countrymen were expelled from the western provinces with whips, then after some 3-4 years you could be avenged with a sweep of the whip and a bullet from a revolver. We will not speculate on the extent to which Jewish communists consciously avenged themselves on Russia, destroying, crushing everything Russian; but that the feeling existed cannot be denied, nor can the question of the connection of the king to previous Jewish inequality be disregarded as part of the motivation for his murder and the death of the royal family.

But I. M. Bickerman, confronting the fact of such exorbitant participation of Jews in barbaric destruction, and

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

apparently responding to those who reckoned the Jews had a right to revenge for past persecution, denied this right. “Persecution undoubtedly pushed many Jews onto the path of revolution, but the responsibility for the destructive zeal of our fellows who used the state for blood vengeance differs from person to person and one team to the other.” Surveying the historical destiny of the Jews in 1939, under the ominous cloud of a new era, he said that the Jewish people were ultimately doomed, because “Jews could only be an anvil and never hammer.”

I am not going to delve into the historical fate of the world, and will not undertake to argue in this volume, but the history of the world demonstrates, not just in the eighteenth year of the 20th century in Russia, that where communism is concerned Jews were not just also the hammer, but a fair amount of its mass.

And then echoing all this comes Pasternak.

Pasternak and I were of different generations, but we lived through the same Twenties and Thirties. It was the same country before our eyes, at different ages, but we lived there the same span of time. A contemporary of those years must be bewildered: Pasternak did not notice what was happening? How could that be? His parents, his artist father, a pianist mother, belonged to the circle of highly cultured and largely assimilated Jews who lived life within the Russian intelligentsia and who had grown into a great tradition of Russian culture that had given us the brothers Rubinstein, shrill Levitan, Gershenzon, Frank. Perhaps the events going on all around him just did not fall into the retina of his eye, the ugliness and the deviation from civilized norms. But these things were noted and were printed by thousands of others. Here, witnessing the same year, again Bickerman: “The too conspicuous participation of Jews in the Bolshevik

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

madness makes us fear to look the Russian people and the world in the eye.”

No, the Jews were the main driving force behind the October Revolution. Moreover, that revolution was not necessary to the Russian Jews, who had already gained their freedom in its fullness during the initial revolution in February. But when change finally occurred, active young secularized Jews quickly and easily committed themselves to a shining knight on a horse, and with no less confidence hurtled into the Bolshevik abyss.

Looking for motives of this dynamic jump of the new Jewish youth to the winners, Mr. Landau calls: “They acted out of anger against the old world and alienation, but they acted with that peculiar rationalism so often inherent to the Jews,” and “willfully destroyed their own souls.” And there was the extenuating explanation: “The material conditions after the Bolshevik revolution created an environment that made the Jews go to the Bolsheviks.”

This explanation is quite common: that 42 percent of the Jewish population of Russia were engaged in trade, and now they lost it, so in their hopelessness, where do they go? In order, not to starve to death, they were forced to work for the government, for they were not able to disdain any work. Despite the overbearing administration, they had to go to the Soviet apparatus where the number of Jewish employees from the beginning of the October Revolution was great.

There was no exit? Meanwhile tens of thousands of Russian officials refused to serve Bolshevism. Where did they go? To die of hunger? And what of the Jews of the towns? Yes, even after all aid from the Joint, ORT and the like from the generosity of the Jews from the West? Going into service in the KGB is never the only way out. There is at least one more. Not to flee, but to stand. And it happened,

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

thought Pasmanik, that “Bolshevism brought hunger. In Jewish towns there had always been the same professions, tailoring, brokerage and so on. These trades now no longer existed. Given that fact, it is possible to say with a good conscience, and 70 years later, that for those who did not want to emigrate to the United States to become Americans, and did not want to emigrate to Palestine, to remain Jews, the only way out was Communism.” Again the “only way out.” Here it is, a renunciation of historical responsibility.

The people who endured such persecution in all its historical extent could not help but be a large part of the carriers of the revolutionary internationalist doctrine of socialism, because it gave its Jewish followers hope to end their ancient status as outcasts, and on this earth, not in the ghostly Palestine of their forefathers. And then in the course of the civil war and immediately after it, they were often more competitive than the nominees of the root bottom, filled with a social void created by the revolution. But as part of this process they predominantly broke with their folk and spiritual tradition, and they were followed by assimilated Jews, especially during the first Bolshevik generation.

Yet, ask: how come the age-old traditions of this ancient culture were so powerless against the barbaric passions and the revolutionary slogans of Bolshevism? When the greater Russia tried to shake off socialism and the revolution, only the Jews with all their strength and energy turned out to have “no way out.” The Jews found themselves without engaging ideas, with a puzzled sympathy for what is happening and a perplexed helplessness in relation to its results. How came it that large segments of Jewry enthusiastically (and unforgivably for a people with a millennial history of persecution) took to the revolution?

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

How did rationalistic and sober Jewish people become so intoxicated by revolutionary phraseology?

Pasmanik mentions “There were those Jews who loudly declared the genetic connection between Bolshevism and Judaism, who loudly boasted of the broad sympathies of the Jewish masses to the rule of the commissars.” However, Pasmanik himself singled out “those aspects that at first glance seemed created for a rapprochement between Judaism and Bolshevism, such as earthly happiness and social justice. Was it not Judaism that first put forward these two great principles?”

Substantive discussion of this issue is found in the Anglo-Jewish newspaper *Jewish Chronicle* in the same year of 1919. The paper appears more enthusiastic and revolutionary even than the previous year. A columnist calling himself Mentor wrote that “The Jews be unwise to pretend that they do not have any connection with Bolshevism.” In America, Rabbi Dr. Judah Magnes supported the Bolsheviks, clearly not considering the phenomenon of Bolshevism to be incompatible with Judaism. And he’s just one: the attitude seems to have been that Bolshevism was great evil, yes, but paradoxically the hope of humanity. Magnes went beyond the promises of the Bolsheviks. “The French Revolution was also bloody, but justified by history. A Jew is by nature an idealist. It is significant that so many Jews are Bolsheviks; that the ideals of Bolshevism at many points are consistent with the highest ideals of Judaism, partly form the basis for the teaching of the founder of Christianity. All thinking Jews must consider this carefully, and avoid the recklessness of seeing only Bolshevism’s repulsive aspects.”

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

However, should not Judaism above all recall the one great God? Even if only for the reason that He is incompatible with godless Bolshevism?

All the thinking, all the looking for the motives of so many Jewish participants in the Bolshevik enterprise. I.M. Bickerman writes: "It would be possible in the face of such facts to despair for the future of our people if we did not know that of all epidemics, the worst is verbal infection. To speak of why the Jewish consciousness was so receptive to this kind of infection would be take too long. The reasons lie not only in the circumstances of yesterday, but also in the what was inherited by us from an antiquity of submission, a Jewish predisposition to subversive ideology."

Join us and Bulgakov, "The presence of Jews in Russian Bolshevism is by no means a legitimate face of Israel. It has created in the soul of Israel a terrifying spiritual crisis, accompanied by brutality."

As for the argument about the harassment experienced in the past as the root cause of this jump from Russian Jews to Bolsheviks, we should further consider the two communist coups elsewhere in Europe which occurred almost simultaneously with that of Lenin, in Bavaria and Hungary. We read in I. Levin: "The number of Jews who are members of the Bolshevik régime in both countries is enormous. In Bavaria, we find among the commissars the Jews Levin and

Axelrod, the anarchist ideologist Landauer, the playwright Ernst Toller."

The leadership of the Bolshevik movement in Hungary reached 95 percent. In the meantime, the legal status of Jews in Hungary was fine. There were no restrictions on the rights of the Jews, and legal discrimination no longer existed there. On the contrary, the Jews in Hungary

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

occupied cultural and economic positions which legitimately allowed the anti-Semites to speak of Jewish domination. Here you can add a note from a contemporary prominent Jewish journalist in America, to the effect that German Jews “have flourished and achieved a high position in Germany.” So here there is no persecution that forced the Jewish people to become revolutionaries. No pogroms.

The Bolshevik-backed coups in Hungary and Bavaria were instigated significantly by propagandized “returning prisoners.” Those two revolutions we even touch on in Chapter XVI. All those insurgents and those beyond the ocean united and erupted in an outburst of unbridled revolutionary internationalism, the rush to a permanent world revolution. But the success of Jews in the Bolshevik administration was rather clearly seen in Europe and in the United States, and by and large met with the support of their co-religionists. The American Jewish community in particular met the turn from February to October with almost completely unanimous approval and joy.

Meanwhile, the Bolsheviks did not doze in their overseas transactions, mainly through Stockholm. From the April 1917 return to Russia of Lenin and his cohorts in the infamous sealed train, the party received hidden help from German sources through the Swedish “Nya Banken” Olof Aschberg. But some Russian bankers also hastened the revolution by become voluntary Bolsheviks. American researcher Anthony Sutton, managed, albeit with a delay of half a century, to locate important archival documents. He informs us that according to a report in 1918, directed from the American ambassador in Stockholm to the State Department, one of these Bolshevik bankers was the infamous Dmitry Rubinstein, freed from prison by the February Revolution. He was moved to Stockholm and



## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

became the financial agent of the Bolsheviks. Another Bolshevik banker was Abram Zhivotovsky, a relative of Trotsky and Lev Kamenev. The syndicate included, with Zhivotovsky, Denisov from the former Siberian Bank, Kamenka from the Azov-Don Bank and David of the Bank for Foreign Trade. Other Bolshevik bankers were Gregory Lessin, Stifter, Jacob Berlin and the agent Isidore Cohn.

Meanwhile, thousands of Jews sailed from America to return to Russia. These were partly returning exiles and partly latter-day revolutionaries who dreamed of building a new happy world. Some of these we have already discussed in Chapter XIV. They sailed and sailed across the oceans, month after month, from the New York Harbor to the east, from San Francisco in the west, some of them past Russian subjects, and some just American enthusiasts who did not know a word of the Russian language.

In 1919 A.V. Tyrkova-Williams wrote in a book published in England: "Among the Bolshevik leadership there are very few Russians. A few people have embraced all-Russian culture and the interests of the Russian people, but along with obvious foreigners Bolshevism has attracted many followers, including a number of immigrants who have lived many years abroad. Some had never been to Russia. Among them are a great many Jews. They speak Russian badly. The people over whom they have seized power are alien to them, and they behave like the victors in a conquered country. And if in Czarist Russia Jews were not allowed to hold public posts and schools and public services were closed to them, in the Soviet Republic all the committees and offices are filled with Jews. They often change their Jewish names to Russian ones, but this masquerade fools nobody."

Also in 1919, at the Senate hearings on the commission  
Overmena, we hear from R. B.

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

Dennis, a university professor in Illinois, who arrived in Russia in November 1917: “I think differently from the views of other Americans, British and French. These people developed in Russia the greatest cruelty and rigor on the question of violence against the bourgeoisie. Another indication: of those who lead the murderous propaganda and fight in the trenches, and in the rear, some lived in New York, a year or two ago.” (i.e., 1917-1918) .

In February 1920 in the London *Sunday Herald* (in the article *Zionism versus Bolshevism: The Struggle for the Soul of the Jewish People*),

Winston Churchill wrote: “Now the gang of notable personalities hiding in the big cities of Europe and America have grabbed the Russian people by the hair and throat, and became undisputed masters of the vast Russian empire.”

Among those who came from across the ocean there are many famous names, even more is unknown. There was M. M. Gruzenberg. He has been in England (where he met Sun Yat-sen), long lived in the United States, where he organized the Chicago school for immigrants, in July 1918 he returned to Moscow. In 1919, he was Consul General of the Russian Federation in Mexico. In the same year, he was prominent in the central organs of the Comintern. He worked in Scandinavia, Switzerland, was arrested in Scotland, and in 1923, using the name Borodin, he was in China as the chief political adviser to the Kuomintang Central Executive Committee, during which time he promoted Mao Tse-tung and Chou Enlai. However, Chiang Kai-shek saw through subversion of Gruzenberg-Borodin and in 1927 expelled him from China. But Gruzenberg survived all the dangers in the USSR in 1937. In the Soviet-German war he was (at

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

Dridze-Lozovsky) editor in chief of our domestic News. And in 1951, shot. (On Jewish Bolsheviks shot in the 30s see Chapter XIX.)

There was Agursky, who became one of the leaders of Belarus, then was arrested in 1938 and sent into exile. His father who predeceased him was the journalist M. Agursky; the son took a path far to the left of his father's.

There was Solomon Slepak, a prominent member of the Comintern, who returned in 1919 via Vladivostok to participate there in bloody deeds, then traveled to China in 1921 to lure Sun Yat-sen into an alliance with the communists. (His son Vladimir gained renown as a "refusenik" who spent most of his life trying to escape from the trap his father spent much of his life building.) The members of the Jewish Commissariat, S.R. Dobkovsky, the same Agursky, Cantor, Shapiro and more were former anarchists, immigrants who arrived from London and New York, alienated from the Russian Jewry over whom they were given authority. The task of the Commissariat was to serve as the center of the Jewish communist movement. In August 1918, the neo-communist Yiddish newspaper *Der Emes* [Truth] announced "the beginning of the proletarian dictatorship in the Jewish street" and openly opposed the rabbis and denounced the Talmud-Torah. In June 1919 Agursky and Stalin dissolved the Central Bureau of Jewish Communities, representing the conservative part of the Jewish population who did not accept the Bolshevik party.

It remains a true observation that the thrust of most Jewish socialists was mostly not toward the Bolsheviks. But what and where were the other parties? Strengthening their positions in the Yevsektsiya [Jewish Section] which contributed to the collapse of a number of old Jewish political parties such as the Bund, the Zionists and Socialist Poalei, all

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

eventually split, and a significant portion of their leaders defected to the camp of the winners and repudiated ideas of democratic socialism, leaders such as Rafes, M. Esther Frumkin, A. Weinstein, M. Litvakov.

How? And the Bund, whose militancy in the revolution of 1905 was so implacable even to the Leninist line, especially about a fundamental cultural-national autonomy for the Jews? After the establishment of Soviet power in Russia, the Bund leadership split into right and left (1920). A significant part of the right elected to emigrate, and the left dissolved the Bund (1921) and partially accepted the Communist Party, and served it. This included former Bundists such as

\*David Zaslavsky, who for decades was a star of Stalin's sarcastic journalism. He was the one in charge of castigating Mandelstam and Pasternak.

\*The Leplevsky brothers, Israel and Gregory. Israel defected to the Bolsheviks in 1918 and went on to a lifelong plunge into Chekism. In 1920 Gregory took a prominent position in the NKVD, going on to become Deputy Commissar, then the chairman of People's Commissars of the RSFSR, then the Attorney General of the USSR. Arrested in 1939.

\*Solomon Kotlyar immediately strode to the become first secretary of Orenburg, Vologda, the Provincial Committee of the Terek, and the Orlovsky District Committees of the Communist Party.

\*Abraham Heifetz: returned to Russia after February 1917, entered the presidium of the main committee of the Bund in the Ukraine and became a member of the Central Committee of the Bund, but in October 1917 flipped to become the head of the Comintern .

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

In the 1926 census two and a half thousand former Bundists are registered as members of the RCP. Of course, some of them later fell under the wheels, and under Stalin most of them were subjected to severe persecution.

Bickerman exclaims: "The Bund played the role of a representative of the Jewish working masses, but took more and more active part in Bolshevism." And David Azbel in his memoir partly explains the reasons for the transition of his uncle, Aaron Isaakovich Weinstein, a big Bundist: "He grasped earlier than others that his party, as well as other socialist parties, was doomed. He understood that he and others could only survive and protect the interests of the Jews if they adhered to the Bolsheviks."

For how many was there also a motive in the communist transition to: 1) survive; 2) to protect the interests of the Jews? At the time, they managed to do both. After October the other socialist parties, the Socialist-Revolutionaries and Mensheviks, in which as we know the Jews had leaders in abundance, did nothing effectively to stop Bolshevism; they even submitted to the fact that the Bolsheviks dispersed the Constituent Assembly. They hesitated, hesitated, temporized, they were too split, they declared neutrality in the Civil War while the Bolsheviks opened a section of the Eastern Front and took the decomposing white rear.

But to be sure, among the leaders of the working resistance to the Bolsheviks in 1918 we can find Jewish names; among the 26 signatories to the Taganka prison "Open Letter to the Prisoners in the Case of a Labor Congress" Hebrew names, apparently, a quarter. And to these Mensheviks the Bolsheviks were merciless. In February 1921 in Petrograd the Mensheviks supported the dissatisfaction of angry and deceived workers, while pushing

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

them to protests and strikes, but hesitantly. They did not have the courage to lead the way at the time of the Kronstadt uprising. And still they were punished.

Many Mensheviks defected to the Bolsheviks; in the early days, the change of party label was attainable with relative ease.

\*Boris Magidov became political commissar of the Tenth Army, then the whole of the Donbas, the secretary of the Poltava and Samara Gubernia, the instructor of the Central Committee. \*Abram Deborin was promoted over all the Red Professors, and gave us lessons in dialectical and historical materialism);

\*Alexander Goyhbar, Sibrevkom, the prosecutor at the trial of Kolchak's ministers, board chairman of the People's Commissariat and the Small Sovnarkom).

\*I. Lyakhovetsky-May one of many others crushed by allegations of a "Menshevik Union Bureau" in 1931, along with Himmer and Sukhanov, the theorist tactics of the Executive Committee in March 1917. When the Father of the Peoples decided there was a large roundup of them throughout the Union.

From the ranks of the SRs [Socialist Revolutionaries] who went over to Lenin may be noted Yakov Livshits, from 1919 deputy chairman of the Chernigov Gubernia Cheka, then on to Kharkov, then the chairman of the Kiev Cheka from which position he quickly moved up to deputy chairman of the Ukrainian GPU.

Of the defectors from the anarcho-communists Lazar Kogan stands out. After a more than usually bloody career in the Cheka, in 1930 Kogan became the head of GULAG and as well wore the extra hat of the White Sea Canal

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

NKVD. He was shot in 1939 after writing cringeing letters to Yezhov from his cell, begging for mercy.

There is the altogether meandering biography of Ilya Keith Viytenko, a lieutenant in the Austrian army, who came to Russia as a prisoner of war. He joined with the Bolsheviks and went on to junior command positions in the Cheka-GPU, then the army, and in 30 years he became one of the reformers of the Red Army.

And what of the Zionists? We remember that in 1906, they agreed and proclaimed that they cannot stay away from the all-Russian struggle against the autocratic oppression and are actively involved in it. Contrary to this, in May 1918, when the all-Russian oppression is by no means less, they announce that in matters of domestic policy they will now be neutral, apparently hoping to prevent the Bolsheviks from charging them as counterrevolutionaries.

At first it worked. All through 1918 and half of 1919 the Bolsheviks let them slide. In the summer of 1918 Zionist still held positions in the Moscow All-Russian Congress of Jewish Communities, and in hundreds of communities “Palestinian Week” was celebrated without obstacle. Their newspaper *Hehalutz* [Youth] was allowed. Then in the spring of 1919 there some local authorities began to close down the Zionist press. In the fall of 1919 other Zionists were taken into custody (“spying for Britain.”) In the spring of 1920 the Zionists staged a Russian conference in Moscow, but all its participants (90 people) were planted in the Butyrka, and got some time. The Presidium of the Cheka announced that the Zionist ideal was counterrevolutionary, and Zionist activity was banned in Soviet Russia. After that the Zionists fled Russia or else began to go underground.

The thoughtful M. Heifetz appropriately reminds us that the October Revolution coincided exactly with the

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

Balfour Declaration, the first real step towards the creation of an independent Jewish state. And what? “Part of the Jewish generation of that time followed Herzl and Jabotinsky. The other part [and he added a lot of great Jews] could not stand the temptation and filled the gang of Lenin and Trotsky and Stalin.” The very thing that Churchill was afraid of. The way of Herzl then seemed distant and almost unreal. The way of Trotsky and Bagritsky allowed Jews immediately to become not just an equal nation in Russia, but a privileged élite.

The most prominent defector from Zionism to Bolshevism, of course, was Lev Mehklis of Poalei Zion. His career is well known: he was a member of Stalin’s secretariat and served on the editorial board of *Pravda*, he was Chief of the Political Administration of the Red Army, the first deputy People’s Commissar of Defense, People’s Commissar of State Control, and the destroyer of our Crimean troops in 1942. And afterward came membership Orgburo and burial in the Kremlin wall.

Of course, there was a significant layer of Russian Jewry which did not accept Bolshevism. Rabbis, lecturers and famous doctors did not rush into the arms of the Bolsheviks, nor did the mass of ordinary people. Tyrkova writes in the same place of the book: “This Jewish predominance among the Soviet authorities was the despair of those Russian Jews who, despite the cruel injustice of the Czarist regime in Russia sought to live a common life in a common homeland with the Russian intelligentsia, and refused in any way to cooperate with the Bolsheviks.” But they were not allowed a public voice. These pages are not occupied by their names, because the winners control events.

Towering separately over the epoch are two famous terrorist acts, both committed by Jewish hands against the



## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

Bolsheviks in 1918: the murder of Cheka commissar Moisei Uritsky by Leonid Kannegisser, and the unsuccessful attempt with a pistol on the life of Lenin by Fanya Kaplan. In this as well, it was Jewish destiny to be among the first.

When Kaplan fired at Lenin it appeared that the Socialist Revolutionaries were clearly behind it, and this is now the accepted version of events. But in the case of Kannegisser, who was a hereditary nobleman who inherited a title from his grandfather, who joined the Cadets in the summer of 1917 and who by the way was a friend of the poet Sergei Yesenin, I fully accept the explanation of Brand Aldanova: he was motivated by a desire to vindicate and clear the name of the Jewish people who were being accused, with such clear reason, of responsibility for Bolshevism and its horrors. Kannegisser wanted to provide to himself, to the Russian people, and to history a counterweight to oppose against names like Uritsky and Zinoviev—a Jewish name. In this spirit, before he left home with his gun in his pocket, he passed a note to his sister that he was committing the act to avenge the disgraceful Brest-Litovsk peace, and from feelings of humiliation at Jewish participation in the establishment of the Bolsheviks and the Cheka.

Recent research has raised a number of questions regarding the precise nature and genesis of both of these attacks. Suggestive theories have been advanced as to why Fanny Kaplan was not shot by the bodyguards, but on direct order from Lenin himself, shouted to his men even as he leaned against his car bleeding from his wounds, she was wrestled down and captured for the purpose of closing the investigation, a handy random victim. There are arguments that supposedly, in the case of Kannegisser, the authorities deliberately created the conditions for him to be shot down on the street as he fled from the British Embassy in

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

Petrograd, where he had briefly taken shelter but which for some reason he left.

In the second case, I frankly doubt the conspiratorial version of events very much. I find it hard to believe that the pragmatic Bolsheviks would sacrifice a ruthless and efficient secret policeman like Uritzky, whose chilling attributes were badly needed to keep the fledgling régime alive, purely for the sake of making some obscure and esoteric propaganda point. Nor in those early days did Bolsheviks settle their own internal intrigues with blood; that would come years later.

I do find it peculiar, though, that in the time of the Red Terror, when countless thousands of people were being shot down like rabbits all over the country, almost all of them absolutely innocent hostages, in this case where there was in fact a bloody act of counterrevolution committed and the entire family of the assassin arrested by the Cheka as per custom, then after the mildest of questioning and without even so much as a love tap with a truncheon or a detached table leg, the whole Kannegisser family was *released from prison*, and so far as anyone knows never molested further. Some were allowed to go abroad and the rest continued to live in the area of Petrograd. How in the name of the devil did that happen? How did this miraculous deliverance come about? It must have come down from the loftiest of Bolshevik summits. From recent publications, I learn further that relatives and friends developed plans for an armed raid on the Petrograd Cheka to release Leonid, before they learned that he lay dead in the street outside the British Embassy. The theory which has been offered for this extraordinary leniency is that in those perilous early times, when the uncertain régime was dependent for its very survival on an army of youthful Jewish clerks and bureaucrats, never mind homicidal Jewish commissars of

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

whom Trotsky was the monarch and exemplar, they did not want to quarrel with the influential Jewish circles in Petrograd.

The Kannegisser family preserved and practiced the Jewish faith, and his mother Rosalia Eduardovna during one of her interrogations said that Leonid killed Moisei Uritsky because he “went from the Jews,” i.e. abandoned his religion. And such bold and open people were *released*? Strange days indeed!

Another Jewish name which is still little-known, undeservedly so, rather than glorified as should be as the name of a hero of the underground anti-Bolshevik movement, is that of Alexander A. Vilenkin. At age 17 years he volunteered for the war in 1914 and served as an officer in the Hussars; he received four decorations including the George Cross, and by the time of the revolution was a staff captain. In 1918, he became involved in the underground “Union for the Protection of the Motherland and Freedom”; the Cheka arrested him because of the failure of the organization to destroy documents. Organized, intelligent, energetic, and uncompromising to the Bolsheviks, he spent his time in hiding and in prison and inspired many others to resist until he was shot. Data about him comes from his partner in the underground in 1918, and then a cellmate in a Soviet prison in 1919, Vasily Fedorovich Klementev, a captain of the old Russian army.

These fighters against Bolshevism, whatever their motives, we remember as heroes and Jews. Like all White forces in the Civil War, they tried and failed to halt the oncoming cataclysm. Sadly, they were not enough.

### **The Bolshevik High Life**

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

Jews who occupied Bolshevik positions acquired all the advantages of life, especially in the capitals, with “orphan” apartments from fleeing “former” people. Almost all of the former Pale of Settlement flowed into the cities. Of this great “exodus” G.A. Landau wrote: “The Jews are closer to the power and occupied various government heights. Having taken this place, of course, like every social stratum they are in a purely domestic way dragging behind them their relatives, friends, childhood friends, young girlfriends, it is a natural process to grant positions to people you know and trust.” This process extraordinarily multiplied the number of Jews in the Soviet apparatus. Not to mention how many relatives come in large numbers thanks to the legendary generous hand of Zinoviev and his wife, Lili. Odessa masses moved to Moscow. Why, Trotsky gave his state farm near Moscow to his beloved father.

These movements can be tracked and biographies assembled. Here is an account by David (not to be confused with Mark) Azbel. In 1919, when he was a kid, he moved from his native Chernigov to Moscow. Already he had two aunts living there, the first one in Gagarin Lane, the already mentioned Aunt Ida, a prosperous merchant’s wife of the first guild, and her husband Misha. They had returned from America. Then there was another aunt, Lele, in the First House of Soviets (National), where she lived in a large apartment. One of their neighbors was V. V. Ulrikh, later famed for his role as the judge in the show trials of the 1930s. Ulrikh made a joke: “It is strange why the National does not open a synagogue. The people living here are almost exclusively Jews.” There was a restaurant for the inhabitants of the house, and from a closed distributor it received abundant rations. Eggs, cheese, butter, and filets did not

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

vanish from the table even in the cruel starvation year of 1920.

Everything was special, especially for the new élite: kindergartens, schools, clubs and libraries. In 1921/22, in the Volga region, amid mass death from hunger, in their experimental model school canteens provided by the ARA Foundation there was American breakfast: a sweet rice porridge, cocoa, white bread and scrambled eggs. And no one remembered how the cadres had just bawled in the classroom that all the bourgeois need to be hanged from lamp posts.

The boys from the neighboring houses hated the children from the National and at every opportunity they were mercilessly beaten. On the arrival of the NEP, [*New Economic Program, Lenin's brief return to limited free enterprise when even he was forced to concede that communism wasn't working*] the inhabitants of the National began to move into comfortable apartments, mansions formerly owned by the aristocracy and the bourgeoisie.

In 1921 there was a sweltering summer in Moscow. David Azbel recalls: "So I was invited to the country near Moscow, on a confiscated estate. Everything remained from the time of the former owners; we just added more fencing and set guards on the gate. *Komissarshi* children started to go to the best foreign resorts. At that time, there was devastation throughout the land, lack of shelter and goods for profitable resale and speculation. The merchants and owners of huge numbers of factories had fled abroad. Aunt Ida and Uncle Misha secretly bought and sold and trafficked in every type of contraband, and became probably the richest people in Moscow. But in 1926 Misha was imprisoned for five years for economic counter-revolution. At the end of the NEP, he was given an added 10 more years in the camps."

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

When the Bolsheviks became the government all the members of the Jewish *lumpenproletariat* saw an opportunity to get for the first time a piece of the pie. When open trade a private enterprise was officially banned, in many Jewish families there was a revolution in family life. “For the most part mature people are degraded, and the boys and teens, devoid of spiritual and social ballast, making a career [in government work] and proving better breadwinners than their seniors ...” Note: The author does not justify this process as the only way, and bitterly sees that this destructive process was not properly met with resistance among the Jews, but found eager participants and sympathetic soil.

So many Jews became engaged in the Soviet ruling class. So many. And so many doors were at the same time quietly but firmly closed in the faces of the Russian lower classes. How did the man in the street respond to this? With rhymes: “Rose of the Economic Council, the husband of Heikki Cheka.”

Or anecdotes: “Moscow has already sown in the eighteenth year Vysotsky tea, Brodsky sugar, Russian Trotsky.”

And from the Ukraine: “Hope, my Grechanik!”

*[These lose quite a bit in the translation.]*

And there grew a widespread yet defiant unofficial slogan: “We want Soviets, not Jews!”

The co-authors of the book *Russia and the Jews* wrote anxiously in 1924: “It is clear that not all Jews served the Bolsheviks and the Bolsheviks were not all Jews.” But it is not necessary for a long time now to prove excessively zealous Jewish involvement in the torture of half-dead Russians. What must be decided is how Jewish involvement in the disastrous facts should be reflected in the minds of the Russian people.

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

The Russian had never seen a Jew in power, yet now he saw it at every turn: Jews wielding a power which was brutal and unlimited. When discussing the issue of Jewish responsibility for the Bolsheviks, we must take into account the psychology of the Gentiles, all those Russian people who were directly affected by the misdeeds of the Jewish communists. Those Jewish public figures who want to prevent bloody tragedy in the future and rescue Jews from Russian pogroms need to take this into account. It is necessary to understand the psychology of the Russian people, when they suddenly found themselves ruled over by Jews who considered them filthy scum, arrogant and rude and impudent. They must take the feelings of the victimized nation into consideration not just out of a duty to remember history, nor for purposes of recrimination, but to explain clearly and openly how and why this happened, this inordinate Jewish participation in the revolution from 1918 on. To ignore the issue is not only insensitive to the Russian people's still-remembered pain, not just at odds with Russian historical truth, but excuses and shrugs off the worst terror one group of human beings have ever inflicted upon others.

The issue of Jewish participation in the Bolshevik madness is not a question of power. When we talk about the abundance of Jewish names in the management of the revolutionary

Russia, this is not a new picture. How many names of Baltic Germans were in control of Czarist

Russia? Question: in which direction for the country and the people has this government has acted?

But think about the Jewish Bolsheviks. This period of the history of the Jews affects much on the world in one way or another to this day. Is it in the spirit of sober historical perspective to ignore the massive participation of Jews in the

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

Bolshevik administration and Bolshevik atrocities with the dismissive response “They were scum, detached from Judaism, so why do we have to answer for them?”

DM Navigator rightly reminds me of my words regarding all the Communist leaders of any nation, “They went back on their nationality, surrendering to inhumanity.” Right. But true to the words of Pasmanik in the 20s: “Let all thoughtful Russian people respond to one question: could the Bolsheviks, even with Lenin at its head, have won if Russia were well-fed, and had provided land and culture to the peasantry? Could all the Elders of Zion together, even headed by Trotsky, have wrought such great havoc in Russia by themselves?” He’s right, of course. They could not.

Right. But true to the words of Pasmanik in the 20s: “We cannot limit ourselves to the statement that the Jewish people are not responsible for these or any other actions of its individual members. We are responsible for Trotsky, as we dissociated ourselves from him.” This topic must not be fenced off. At the very least the present day Jewish people need to renounce their actions and learn from this lesson.

Carefully working on a biography of Trotsky, I agree with the opinion that he did not have a specific strong Jewish attachment, but was a sincere ardent internationalist. So, this would be a Tribesman easy to condemn? But with the rising star of Trotsky, in the autumn of 1917, he became the pride of too many, almost an idol of the radical left-wing circles of American Jewry.

50 years ago, there was sitting with me in the camp a young man, Vladimir Gershuni - a passionate socialist and internationalist. In the 60s, we met on the outside, and on some occasion he gave me his notes. And in them he asserts that Trotsky was a Prometheus in October, “He was a Prometheus, not because he was such a freak, but because he



## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

was a child of the people, a Prometheus who even when he was chained to a rock, his anger blunted with chains of secret and open hostility, did so much for humanity.”

All researchers who do not approve the participation of Jews in the revolution tend not to recognize these Jews by their nationality. Those who interpret the Jewish hegemony in early Bolshevism as a victory of the Jewish spirit, among them many Israeli historians, have enthusiastically praised their Jewish identity.

Already in the 20s, just after the Civil War, there were sounded *otrechnye* arguments. In the book, *Russian Jews* I.O. Levin analyzes: Jews among the Bolsheviks were not many, and there is no reason to hold all the people responsible for its individual members; Czarist Russia persecuted Jews and in the Civil War the Bolsheviks sought protection from pogroms and that is why there is no criminal responsibility for, all is personal moral responsibility.

Yet Pasmanik did not think that such a moral responsibility can be cleansed. But even he sought consolation: “Why should the Jewish masses be held responsible for the abomination of individual Jewish commissars? This is undoubtedly deeply unfair. But the imposition of liability on the Jews only proves that we recognize the presence of a special Jewish nation. At the time when the Jews are no longer a nationality, when they become Russian, German, British of the Jewish faith, they are freed from the burdens of collective responsibility.”

However, the 20th century recognized a Jewish nation, with an anchor in Israel. The willingness to accept responsibility for it past, for both Jews and Russians, is inseparable from ability of any people or nation to build a decent life. Yes, there were a lot of reasons why the Jews

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

went to the Bolsheviks and why some still see new good the Civil War. However, if Russian Jews commemorate this period by primarily justifying and excusing their participation, they are lost, reduced to the level of solely Jewish self-understanding with the rest of humanity shut out, another version of their age-old delusion of being God's Chosen People.

Germans will sometimes make excuses for Hitler's time. "They were not real Germans, and scum." But every nation is morally responsible for all of its past, including that which shameful. How do we Russians respond to the historical horror of Bolshevism we inflicted on ourselves and on the world? With endless attempts to understand why this was allowed to happen that never really go anywhere, because certain names may not be named, certain things may not be said, and certain facts never openly acknowledged? And with never any actual conclusions as to blame? No culprits ever actually named? The question never asked, "Is it possible that the same people might do the same thing again?"

That's the spirit of the Jewish people, and they should be held responsible for their revolutionary thugs and for the readiness of the endless ranks who went into Bolshevik service to commit mass murder. Their intentions and behavior today must be scrutinized in full knowledge of what they have done to others in the past and with an eye to what they might do in the future; there is justification for that. We must not conceal the truth in the eyes of other nations, or from ourselves, or from our consciences before God. How do we Russians take responsibility for the pogroms, for those merciless peasant's arsonists, for the mad revolutionary soldiers and sailorbeasts, and yet the Jews get to spread their hands in blameless innocence over the countless Yiddish

# THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

names among the commissar-butchers who commanded the whole wretched business?

About them [the Russian Bolsheviks] I think I said enough in *The Red Wheel*. Well, I might add here one example: here is the Red Guard Basov, truth-seeker and people's advocate, who took his sister Shingareva money for tea in the Mariinsky Hospital, and a few hours later the same night that led the sailors to the hospital to shoot Kokoshkin.

We must answer as responsible for the actions of our nation which is our larger family, for if responsibility is removed then the whole concept of the national identity is lost.

## Chapter XVI: During the Civil War

Trotsky once boasted that during the Civil War, even traveling in his special Revvoyensovet's [Revolutionary Military Council] railroad coach, he was able to find time to acquaint himself with the latest works of French literature. Not that he realized exactly *what* he said. He acknowledged that he was able to find not just time, but room in his heart between appeals to the revolutionary sailors, forcibly mobilized units of Red Army, and a thrown order to execute every tenth soldier in a unit that wavered in battle. Well, he usually did not stay around to supervise *carrying out* such orders. Orchestrating a bloody war on the vast plains of Russia, he was absolutely untouched by the unprecedented sufferings of her inhabitants, by her pain. He soared aloft, above it all, on the wings of the international intoxication of the Revolution.

The February Revolution was a *Russian* revolution. No matter how headlong, erroneous and pernicious it was, it did not aspire to *burn down* the entire pre-existing life, to

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

annihilate the whole pre-revolutionary Russia. Yet immediately after October 1917, the Revolution spilled abroad and became an *international* and devastating plague, feeding itself by devouring and destroying social order wherever it spread — everything built was to be annihilated; everything cultivated to be confiscated; whoever resisted to be shot. The Reds were exclusively preoccupied with their grand social experiment, predestined to be repeated, expanded and implemented all over the world.

From an easy, quick blow, the October coup snowballed into a fierce three-year-long Civil War, which brought countless bloody calamities to all the peoples of Russia. The multinationality of the former Empire and the cannon recoil from the Great War complicated both the inhumane Bolshevik plot and its implementation. Unlike the French Revolution, which unfolded on the territory of mono-national France and did not see much foreign intervention apart from a short incursion of hostile troops, and with all its horrors being a national affair from beginning to end, the Russian Revolution was horribly aggravated by its multinational madness. It saw the strong participation of Red Latvians (then Russian subjects), former German and Austrian prisoners of war (organized into full-blown regiments like the Hungarians), and even large numbers of Chinese. No doubt the brunt of the fighting for the Reds was carried out by Russians; some of them were drafted on pain of death while others volunteered in a mad belief they would be fighting for a happy future for themselves. Yet the Russian Jews were not lost in all that diversity.

The politically active part of Russian Jewry, which backed the Bolshevik civic regime in 1917, now just as boldly stepped into the military structures of Bolsheviks. During the first years after the October Revolution in the

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

midst of the internationalist frenzy, the power over this enormous land was effortlessly slipping into the hands of those clinging to the Bolsheviks. And they were overwhelmed by the newfound immensity of that power. They immediately began using it without a backward glance or any fear of control — some, without doubt, in the name of higher ideals, while others — in the name of lower ones, obstinacy of fanaticism in some and ability to adapt in others. At that time, nobody could imagine that the Civil War would ignite enormous Jewish pogroms, unprecedented in their atrocity and bloodshed, all over the South of Russia.

We can judge the true nature of the multi-ethnic war from the Red pogrom during the suppression of the Kronstadt Uprising in March 1921. A well-known socialist-revolutionary and sociologist Pitrim Sorokin writes: “For three days Latvian, Bashkir, Hungarian, Tatar, Russian, Jewish and international rabble, crazed by alcohol and the smell of blood, raped and killed without restraint.”

Or here is another recollection from ordinary witnesses. During the feast of the Epiphany in 1918, an Orthodox Sacred Procession stirred forth from the gates of the Kremlin in Tula – and an “international squad” gunned it down.

Even with the ruthless international squads, the force of the Red Guard alone was no longer sufficient. The Bolshevik regime needed a regular army. In 1918, Lev Trotsky, with the help of Sklyansky and Jacov Sverdlov, created the Red Army. Many Jews were fighting in its ranks. Some units were entirely Jewish, like, for example, the brigade of Josef Furman. The Jewish share in the command corps the Red Army become large and influential and this trend continued for many years even after the end of the Civil

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

War. This Jewish involvement has been researched by several Jewish authors and encyclopedias.

In the 1980s, Israeli scholar Aaron Abramovich used many Soviet sources (including *The Fifty-Year Anniversary of the Soviet Armed Forces*, *The Soviet Historical Encyclopedia*, volumes of *Directives of the Front Command of the Red Army*) to compile detailed nominal rosters of highly ranked Jewish commanders (exclusively Jewish ones) in the Red Army during the period from the Civil War up to the aftermath of Second World War.

Let's skim through the pages allocated to the Civil War. This is a very extensive roster; it begins with the Revvoyensoviet, where Abramovich lists L. Trotsky, E. Sklyansky, A. Rosengoltz, and Y. Drabkin-Gusev. Trotsky ordered the establishment of fronts with headquarters, and formation of new armies, and Jews were present in almost all the Revvoyensoviets of the fronts and armies. (Abramovich lists the most prominent individuals: D. Vayman, E. Pyatnitsky, L. Glezarov, L. Pechyorsky, I. Slavin, M. Lisovsky, G. Bitker, Bela Kun, Brilliant-Sokolnikov, I. Khodorovsky).

Earlier, at the onset of the Civil War, the Extraordinary Command Staff of the Petrograd Military District was headed by Uritsky, and among the members of the Petrograd Committee of Revolutionary Defense were Sverdlov (the chairman), Volodarsky, Drabkin-Gusev, Ya. Fishman (a leftist Socialist Revolutionary) and G. Chudnovsky. In May 1918, there were two Jews among the eleven commissars of military districts: E. Yaroslavsky-Gubelman (Moscow District) and S. Nakhimson (Yaroslavsky District).

During the war, several Jews were in charge of armies: M. Lashevich was in charge of the

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

3rd — and later, of the 7th Army of Eastern Front; V. Lazarevich was in charge of the 3rd Army of the Western Front, G. Sokolnikov led the 8th Army of the Southern Front, N. Sorkin — the 9th, and I. Yakir — the 14th Army. Abramovich painstakingly lists numerous Jewish heads of staff and members of the Revvoyensoviets in each of the twenty armies; then the commanders, heads of staff and military commissars of divisions (the list of the latter, i.e., those in charge of the ideological branch of command, was three-times longer than the list of Jewish commanders of divisions). In this manner Abramovich describes brigades, regiments and separate detachments. He lists Jewish heads of political administrations and revolutionary military tribunals at all levels, noting that “an especially large percentage of Jews can be found among political officers at all levels of the Red Army.”

Jews played an important role in the provision and supply services. Let's name some of them. Jews occupied important positions in military medicine as well: heads of sanitary administrations of the fronts and armies, senior doctors of units and bodies of troops. Many Jews — commanders of large units and detachments — were distinguished for their courage, heroism and generalship but due to the synoptic character of this chapter we cannot provide detailed descriptions of the accomplishments of Jewish Red Army soldiers, commanders and political officers. (Meticulously listing the commanders of armies, the researcher misses another Jew, Tikhon Khvesin, who happened to be in charge of the 4th Army of the Eastern Front, then — of the 8th Army of the Southern Front, and later of the 1st Army of the Turkestan Front.)

The *Russian Jewish Encyclopedia* provides additional information about some commanders. [Here I

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

would like to commend this encyclopedia (1994), for in our new free times its authors performed an honest choice — writing frankly about everything, including less than honorable things.]

Drabkin-Gusev became the Head of Political Administration of the Red Army and the Chief of the entire Red Army in 1921. Later he was the head of IstPart (Commission on the History of October Revolution and Bolshevik Party) and a big figure in the Comintern, and was buried in the Kremlin wall in Moscow.

Mikhail Gaskovich-Lashkevich was a member of many revvoyensoviets, and later he was in charge of the Siberian Military District, and even later — the First Deputy Chairman of the Revvoyensoviet of the USSR (yet he was buried merely on the Field of Mars in St. Petersburg). Israel Razgon was the military commissar of the Headquarters of Petrograd Military District and participated in the suppression of the Kronstadt Uprising; later, he was in charge of the Red Army of Bukhara, suppressing the uprising in Central Asia; still later he worked in the Headquarters of the Black Sea Fleet. Boris Goldberg was Military Commissar of the Tomskaya Guberniya, later of the Permskaya Guberniya, still later of the Privolzhskiy Military District, and even later he was in charge of the Reserve Army and was acknowledged as one of the founders of Soviet Civil Aviation.

Modest Rubenstein was Deputy Head of the Revvoyensoviet of the Special Army, and later he was head of political administration of an army group. Boris Hippo was the Head of Political Administration of the Black Sea Fleet. (Later he worked in the political administrations of the Baltic Sea Fleet, the Turkestan Front, was the Head of Political Administration of the Central-



## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

Asian Military District, and later of the Caucasian Army.)

Michail Landa was a head of the political division of an army, later — Deputy Head of Political Administration of the entire Red Army, and still later Head of Political Administration of the Byelorussian and then of the Siberian Military Districts. Lev Berlin was Commissar of the Volga Military Flotilla and later worked in the Political Administration of the Crimean Army and still later in that of the Baltic Fleet.

Yet how many outstanding characters acted at lower levels?

Boris Skundin, previously a lowly apprentice of clockmaker Sverdlov, Sr., successively evolved into the military commissar of a division, commissar of army headquarters, political inspector of front, and, finally, into Deputy Head of Political Administration of the 1st Cavalry Army.

Avenir Khanukaev was commander of a guerilla band who later was tried before the revolutionary tribunal for crimes during the capture of Ashgabat and acquitted, and in the same year of 1919 was made into political plenipotentiary of the TurkCommission of the All-Russian Central Executive Committee of the Soviet of People's Commissars on Kashgar, Bukhara and Khiva.

Moses Vinnitsky (“Mishka-Yaponchik”) was a member of the Jewish militia squad in Odessa 1905, and later a gang-leader; he was freed from a hard labor camp by the February Revolution and became a commander of a Jewish fighting brigade in Odessa, simultaneously managing the entire criminal underworld of Odessa. In 1919, he was a commander of a special battalion and later he was in charge of an infantry regiment in the Red Army. His unit was

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

composed of anarchists and criminals. In the end, he was shot by his own side.

Military commissar Isaiah Tzalkovich was in command of a composite company of the Red cadets during the suppression of the Kronstadt Uprising.

We can see extraordinary Jewish women in the higher Bolshevik ranks as well. Nadezda Ostrovskaya rose from the Head of Gubkom [Party Committee of a Guberniya, the highest executive authority in a guberniya] of Vladimir Guberniya to the post of the Head of Political Administration of the entire 10th Army. Revekka Plastinina headed Gubrevkom and later the Gubkom of Archangel Guberniya.

Is it proper to mention here Cecilia Zelikson-Bobrovskaya, who was a seamstress in her youth, and became the Head of the *Military* Department of the Moscow Committee of the All-Russian Communist Party of Bolsheviks? Or take one of the Furies of the Revolution Eugenia Bosh (or her sister Elena Rozmirovich)?

Or another thing — the Soviets used the phrase “Corps of Red Cossacks.” Yet those were not Cossacks who embraced communist ideology but plain bandits (who occasionally disguised themselves as Whites for deception.) Those “Cossack Corps” were made of all nationalities from Romanians to Chinese with a full-blown Latvian cavalry regiment. A Russian, Vitaly Primakov, was in command and its Political Department was headed by I. I. Minz (by Isaac Greenberg in the Second Division) and S. Turovskiy was head of the Headquarters. A. Shilman was the head of operative section of the staff, S. Davidson managed the division newspaper, and Ya. Rubinov was in charge of the administrative section of the staff.

Since we have begun particularizing, let's look at the famous leaders of the Red Army, at those never-fading

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

names: Vladimir Antonov-Ovseyenko, Vasily Blucher, Semyon Budyonny, Klim Voroshilov, Boris Dumenko, Pavel Dybenko, Aleksa Dundich, Dmitry Zhloba, Vasily Kikvidze, Epifan Kovtukh, Grigory Kotovsky, Philip Mironov, Mikhail Muravyov, Vitaly Primakov, Ivan Sorokin, Semyon Timoshenko, Mikhail Tukhachevsky, Ieronim Uborevich, Mikhail Frunze, Vasily Chapaev, Yefim Shchadenko, Nikolay Shchors. Why, couldn't they pull it off without Jews?

Or take hundreds and thousands of *Russian* generals and officers of the former Imperial Army, who served in the Red Army, though not in the political sections (they were not invited there), but in other significant posts. True, they had a commissar with a gun behind them, and many served on pain of execution of their hostage families especially in case of military failures. Yet they gave an invaluable advantage to the Reds, which actually might have been crucial for the eventual victory of Bolsheviks. Why, just about half of the officers of the General Staff worked for the Bolsheviks.

And we should not forget that initial and fatal susceptibility of many Russian peasants (by no means all of them, of course) to Bolshevik propaganda. Shulgin flatly noted: "Death to the Bourgeois was so successful in Russia because the smell of blood inebriates, alas, so many Russians; and they get into a frenzy like wild beasts."

Yet let's avoid going into another unreasonable extreme, such as the following: "The most zealous executioners in Cheka were not at all the notorious Jews, but the recent minions of the throne, generals and officers." As though they would be tolerated in there, in the Cheka! They were invited there with the only one purpose — to be executed. Yet why such a quick temper? Those Jews, who worked in the Cheka, were, of course, not the "notorious

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

Jews,” but quite young and committed ones, with revolutionary garbage filling their heads. And I deem that they served not as *executioners* but mostly as interrogators.

The Cheka (“Extraordinary Commission,” *Che-Ka*) was established in December 1917. It instantly gained strength and by the beginning of 1918 it was already filling the entire populace with mortal fear. In fact, it was the Cheka that started the Red Terror long before its beginning was officially announced on September 5, 1918. The Cheka practiced terror from the moment of its inception and continued it long after the end of the Civil War. By January of 1918, the Cheka was enforcing the death penalty *on the spot* without investigation and trial. Then the country saw the snatching of hundreds and later thousands of absolutely innocent hostages, their mass executions at night or mass drowning in whole barges. Historian S. P. Melgunov, who himself happened to experience perilous incarceration in Cheka prisons, unforgettably reflected upon the whole epic story of the Red Terror in his famous book *Red Terror in Russia 1918-1923*. There was not a single town or a district without an office of the omnipotent All-Russian Extraordinary Commission [that is, the Cheka], which from now on becomes the main nerve of state governance and absorbs the last vestiges of law; there was not a single place in the RSFSR [Russian Federation] without ongoing executions; a single verbal order of one man (Dzerzhinsky) doomed to immediate death many thousand people.

And even when investigation took place, the Chekists [members of the Cheka] followed their official instructions: “Do not look for evidence incriminating a suspect in hostile speech or action against Soviet power. The very first question you should ask him is about the social class he belongs to, and what is his descent, upbringing, education and

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

profession. It is these questions that should determine the suspect's fate." (The words of M. Latsis in the bulletin *Red Terror* on November 1, 1918 and in *Pravda* on December 25, 1918). Melgunov notes: "Latsis was not original here, he simply rephrased the words of Robespierre in Convent about the mass terror: 'To execute the enemies of the Fatherland, it is sufficient to establish their identities. Not punishment but elimination is required'." Directives from the center are picked up and distributed all over Russia by the *Cheka Weekly* and Melgunov cites the periodical profusely: "*Red Sword* is published in Kiev ... in an editorial by Lev Krainy we read: 'Old foundations of morality and humanity invented by the bourgeoisie do not and cannot exist for us.' A certain Schwartz follows: "The proclaimed Red Terror should be implemented in a proletarian way. If physical extermination of all servants of Czarism and capitalism is the prerequisite for the establishment of the worldwide dictatorship of proletariat, then it wouldn't stop us."

It was a *targeted*, pre-designed and long-term Terror. Melgunov also provides estimates of the body count of that "unheard-of swing of murders." (precise numbers were practically not available then). Yet I suppose these horrors pale into insignificance with respect to the number of victims if compared to what happened in the South after the end of the Civil War. Denikin's [the general of the White army in command of the South Russian front] rule was crumbling. New power was ascending, accompanied by a bloody reign of vengeful terror, of mere retaliation. At this point it was not a civil war, it was physical liquidation of a former adversary. There were waves and waves of raids, searches, new raids and arrests. Entire wards of prisoners are escorted out and every last man is executed. Because of the large number of

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

victims, a machine-gun is used; they execute 15-16-year-old children and 60-year-old elders.

The following is a quote from a Cheka announcement in the Kuban region: “Cossack villages and settlements, which give shelter to Whites and Greens [Ukrainian nationalists], will be destroyed, the entire adult population — executed, and all property — confiscated.” After Wrangel [another White general] left, Crimea was dubbed the ‘All-Russian Cemetery’. Different estimates suggest the number of murdered as between 120,000 and 150,000. In Sevastopol people were not just shot but hanged, hanged by dozens and even by hundreds. Nakhimov Prospect [a major street] was lined with the corpses of the hanged. People were arrested on the streets and hastily executed without trial. Terror in the Crimea continued through 1921.

But no matter how deep we dig into the history of Cheka, special departments, special squads, too many deeds and names will remain unknown, covered by the decomposed remnants of witnesses and the ash of incinerated Bolshevik documents. Yet even the remaining documents are overly eloquent. Here is a copy of a secret extract from the protocol of a meeting of the Political Bureau of the Central Committee of the All-Russian Communist Party of Bolsheviks dated April 18, 1919. It was obtained from the Trotsky archive at Columbia University. “Attended cc.[comrades] Lenin, Krestinsky, Stalin, Trotsky.

“Heard: Statement of Comrade Trotsky that Jews and Latvians constitute a huge percentage of officials in the front-line Chekas, front-line and rear area executive commissions and central Soviet agencies, and that their percentage in the front-line troops is relatively small, and that because of this, strong chauvinist agitation is being conducted among the Red Army soldiers with a certain success, and that according to

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

Comrade Trotsky's opinion, it is necessary to redistribute the Party personnel to achieve a more uniform representation of officials of all nationalities between front-line and rear areas.

“Decided: To propose cc. Trotsky and Smilga to draft an appropriate Directive of the Central Committee to the commissions responsible for the allotment of cadres between the central and local Soviet organizations and the front.”

Yet it is hard to believe that the meeting produced the intended effect. A contemporary researcher, the first who approached the problem of the role and place of Jews (and other ethnic minorities) in Soviet machinery, studied declassified archive documents and concluded that at the initial stage of activity of the punitive agencies, during the Red Terror, national minorities constituted approximately 50 percent of the central Cheka apparatus, with their representation on the major posts reaching 70 percent. On September 25, 1918 the author provides statistical data: among the ethnic minorities — numerous Latvians and fairly numerous Poles — the Jews are quite noticeable, especially among major and active Cheka officials, i.e., commissars and investigators. For instance, among the investigators of the Department of Counter-Revolutionary Activities — the most important Cheka department — half were Jews.

Below are the service records of several Chekists of the very first order (from the *Russian Jewish Encyclopedia*).

\*Veniamin Gerson was in the Cheka from 1918, and from 1920 he was a personal adjutant to Dzerzhinsky.

\*Israel Leplevsky, a former member of Bund, joined the Bolsheviks in 1917 and worked in the Cheka from 1918; he was the head of the State Political Directorate [formed from the Cheka in 1922] of the Podolsk Guberniya and later of the Special Department of Odessa. And he climbed all the way up to the post of head of the OGPU [Joint State Political

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

Directorate, the successor to the Cheka] of USSR! Later he occupied posts of Narkom of Internal Affairs of Byelorussia and Uzbekistan.

\*Zinovy Katznelson became a Chekist immediately after the October Revolution; later he was a head of special departments in several armies, and then of the entire Southern Front. Still later we can see him in the highest ranks in the Cheka headquarters, and even later at different times he was in charge of the Cheka of the Archangel Guberniya, the Transcaucasian Cheka, the North Caucasus GPU, the Kharkov GPU [another Cheka-successor secret police organization]; he also was deputy to the Narkom of Internal Affairs of Ukraine and deputy head of the entire GULAG [that is, the government agency that administered the main Soviet penal labor camp systems].

\*Solomon Mogilevsky was chair of the Ivano-Voznesensk tribunal in 1917, then in charge of Cheka in Saratov. Later we find him again in an army tribunal; and after that he was in succession: deputy head of the Bureau of Investigations of the Moscow Cheka, head of Foreign Affairs Department of Cheka headquarters, and head of the Cheka of Transcaucasia.

Did Ignaty Vizner contemplate the scale of his actions when he investigated the case of Nicolay Gumilev? Not likely – he was too busy. He served in the Special Section at the Presidium of Cheka headquarters, he was the founder of the Bryansk Cheka, and later he was an investigator in the case of the Kronstadt Uprising and a special plenipotentiary of the Presidium of the ChekaGPU on cases of special importance.

Lev Levin-Velsky, former member of the Bund [a Jewish socialist labor organization] was in charge of the Cheka of the Simbirsk Guberniya in 1918-1919, later of the



## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

Special Department of the 8th Army, still later of the Cheka of the Astrakhan Guberniya. Beginning in 1921, he was an envoy plenipotentiary of the central Cheka in the Far East, and later, from 1923, an envoy plenipotentiary of the OGPU in Central Asia. Still later, from the beginning of 1930, he worked in the Moscow OGPU. And even later in his career he was deputy Narkom of Internal Affairs of the USSR.

\*Or consider Nahum (Leonid) Etington: active in the Cheka beginning in 1919, later head of the Cheka of the Smolensk Guberniya; still later he worked in the GPU of Bashkiria; it was he who orchestrated the assassination of Trotsky.

\*Isaak (Semyon) Schwartz: in 1918-1919 he was the very first chair of the All-Ukrainian Cheka. He was succeeded by Yakov Lifshitz who beginning in 1919 was the head of the Secret

Operations Division and simultaneously a deputy head of the Cheka of the Kiev Guberniya; later he was deputy head of the Cheka of the Chernigov Guberniya, and still later — of the Kharkov Guberniya; and even later he was in charge of the Operative Headquarters of the All-Ukrainian Cheka; still later, in 1921-1922, he ran the Cheka of the Kiev Guberniya.

Let's look at the famous Matvei Berman. He began his career in a district Cheka in the North Urals; in 1919, he was assigned as deputy head of the Cheka of the Yekaterinburg

Guberniya, from 1920 – head of Cheka of Tomsk Guberniya, from 1923 – of the BuryatMongolian Guberniya, from 1924 – Deputy Head of the OGPU of all of Central Asia, from 1928 – head of the OGPU of Vladivostok, from 1932 – head of the entire GULAG and simultaneously a deputy Narkom of the NKVD [a successor organization to the Cheka, GPU and OGPU] from 1936. His brother Boris was in the State

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

Intelligence Organs since 1920; in 1936, he served as deputy head of foreign intelligence section in the NKVD.

Boris Pozern, a commissar of the Petrograd Commune, substantially contributed to matching images of a Jew and that of a Chekist in people's minds; on September 2, 1918, he cosigned the proclamation on Red Terror with Zinoviev and Dzerzhinsky. The *Encyclopedia* missed one Aleksandr Ioselevich, secretary of the Petrograd Cheka, who had co-signed the Red Terror execution lists with Gleb Bokiy in September 1918.

Yet there were others, even more famous individuals. For instance, Yakov Agranov, a Chekist, phenomenally successful in conducting repressions; he invented Tagantzev's Conspiracy (through which he killed Gumilev); he directed cruel interrogations of participants of the Kronstadt Uprising. Or take the notorious Yakov Blumkin, who participated in the assassination of the German ambassador in 1918; he was arrested and later amnestied, and then served in Trotsky's secretariat, and later – in Mongolia, Transcaucasia, the Middle East, and was shot in 1929. And there were numerous personnel behind every Cheka organizer. Hundreds and thousands of innocents met them during interrogations, in basements and during the executions.

There were Jews among the victims too. Those who suffered from the massive communist onslaught on the "bourgeoisie" were mostly merchants. In the Maloarkhangel'sk District, a merchant (Yushkevich) was placed on a red-hot cast-iron stove by members of a communist squad for failure to pay taxes. From the same source: some peasants, who defaulted on the surplus appropriation system, were lowered on ropes into water wells to simulate drowning; or, during the winter, they froze people

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

into ice pillars for failure to pay revolutionary taxes. The particular sort of punishment depended on the imagination of the executioners. Similarly, Korolenko described how two millers, named Aronov and Mirkin, were extrajudicially shot for not complying with absurd communist-mandated prices on flour.

Or here is another example: in 1913, former Kiev Governor Sukovkin advocated innocence of Mendel Beilis during Beilis' trial. When the Reds came, he was arrested. Thousands of Jews in Kiev signed a petition on his behalf, yet the Cheka shot him nevertheless. How then can we explain that the Russian populace generally regarded the new terror as

Jewish terror? Look how many innocent Jews were accused of that. Why was the perception that Chekists and Jews were all but the same so widespread among both the Reds and the Whites alike and among the people in general? Who is responsible for that? Many. And the White Army is also responsible as we discuss below. Yet not the least among these reasons is because of the Chekists themselves, who facilitated this identification by their ardent service on the highest posts in Cheka.

Today we hear bitter complaints that it was not only Jews who clung to power, and why should any particular clemency should be expected from the Jewish Chekists? True. These objections, however, cannot alter the harsh certitude that incredibly enormous power on an unimaginable scale had come into the hands of those Jewish Chekists, who at that time were *supreme*, by status and rank, representatives of Russian Jewry (no matter how horrible it sounds). And those representatives (again, *not elected* by their own people) were not capable of finding enough self-restraint and self-scrutinizing sobriety to come around, check

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

themselves, and opt out. It is like the Russian cautionary proverb: “Do not hurry to grab, first blow on your fingers.” And the Jewish people (who did not elect those Chekists as their representatives), that already numerous and active city-dwelling community (weren’t there prudent elders among them?) also failed to stop them: be careful, we are a small minority in this country! (Yet who listened to elders at that age?) G. Landau writes: “Loss of affiliation with a social class overthrew the fine structure of Jewish society and destroyed the inner forces of resistance and even that of stability, sending even them under the chariot of triumphant Bolshevism.” He finds that apart from the ideas of socialism, separatist nationalism, and permanent revolution, “we were astonished to find among the Jews what we never expected from them — cruelty, sadism, unbridled violence — everything that seemed so alien to a people so detached from physical activity; those who yesterday couldn’t handle a rifle, today were among the vicious cutthroats.”

Here is more about the aforementioned Revekka Plastinina-Maizel from the Archangel Guberniya Cheka: “Infamous for her cruelty all over the north of Russia, she voluntarily perforated napes and foreheads and personally shot more than one hundred men.” Or about one Baka who was nicknamed “a bloody boy” for his youth and cruelty — first in Tomsk and then as the head of the Cheka of the Irkutsk Guberniya. (Plastinina’s career carried her up right to a seat in the Supreme Court of RSFSR which she occupied in 1940s.) Some may recall the punitive squad of Mandelbaum in Archangel in the north of Russia, others the squad of MishkaYaponchik in Ukraine.

What would you expect from peasants in the Tambov Guberniya if, during the heat of the suppression of the great peasant uprising in this Central-Russian black-earth region,

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

the dismal den of the Tambov Gubcom was inhabited by masterminds of grain allotments, secretaries of Gubcom P. Raivid and Pinson and by the head of the propaganda department, Eidman? A. G. Shlikhter, whom we remember from Kiev in 1905, was there as well, this time as the chairman of the Executive Committee of the guberniya. Y. Goldin was the Foodstuffs Commissar of the Tambov Guberniya; it was he who triggered the uprising by exorbitant confiscations of grain, whereas one N. Margolin, commander of a grain confiscation squad, was famous for *whipping* the peasants who failed to provide grain. (And he murdered them too.) According to Kakurin, who was the chief of staff to Tukhachevsky, a plenipotentiary representative of the Cheka headquarters in the Tambov Guberniya during that period was Lev Levin. Of course, not only Jews were in it! However, when Moscow took the suppression of the uprising into her own hands in February 1921, the supreme command of the operation was assigned to Efraim Sklyansky, the head of “Interdepartmental AntiBanditry Commission,” — and so the peasants, notified about that with leaflets, were able to draw their own conclusions.

And what should we say about the genocide on the river Don, when hundreds of thousands of the flower of Don Cossacks were murdered? What should we expect from the Cossack memories when we take into consideration all those unsettled accounts between a revolutionary Jew and a Don Cossack?

In August 1919, the Volunteer Army took Kiev and opened several Chekas and found the bodies of those recently executed; Shulgin composed nominal lists of victims using funeral announcements published in the reopened *Kievlyanin*; one can't help noticing that almost all names were Slavic ... it was the “chosen Russians” who were shot.

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

Materials produced by the Special Investigative Commission in the South of Russia provide insights into the Kiev Cheka and its command personnel based on the testimony of a captured Cheka interrogator. The headcount of the Cheka staff varied between 150 and 300 ... percentage-wise, there was 75 percent Jews and 25 percent others, and those in charge were almost exclusively Jews.

Out of twenty members of the Commission, i.e., the top brass who determined people's destinies, fourteen were Jews. All detained were kept either in the Cheka building or in the Lukyanov prison. A special shed was fitted for executions in the building on Institutsкая St. 40, on the corner with Levashovskaya St., where the main Cheka office of the guberniya had moved from Ekaterininskaya St. An executioner (and sometimes amateur Chekists) escorted a completely naked victim into a shed and ordered the victim to fall face down on the ground. Then he finished the victim with a shot in the back of the head. Executions were performed using revolvers (typically Colts.) Usually because of the short distance, the skull of the executed person exploded into fragments. The next victim was similarly escorted inside and laid down nearby. When number of victims exceeded the capacity of the shed, new victims were laid down right upon the dead or were shot at the entrance of the shed. Usually the victims went to their execution without resistance.

This is what "the people were whispering about." Or take another incident, witnessed by Remizov (whom it is hard to suspect of anti-Semitism given his revolutionary-democratic past): "Recently there was a military training exercise nearby at the Academy, and one Red Army soldier said: 'Comrades, let's not go to the front, it is all because of Yids that we fight!' And someone with a brief-case asked

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

him: ‘Which regiment are you from?’ And the soldier again: ‘Comrades, let’s not go to the front, it is all because of Yids!’ And that one with a briefcase ordered: ‘Shoot him!’ Then two other Red Army soldiers came out and the first one tried to flee. But he didn’t make it to the corner as others got him and shot him – his brain spilled over and there was a pool of blood.”

The Kronstadt Uprising had distinctly anti-Jewish character, and so all the more was it doomed. They destroyed portraits of Trotsky and Zinoviev, both Jewish, but not those of Lenin.

And Zinoviev didn’t have guts to go to negotiate with the rebels – he would have been torn into pieces. So, they sent the Russian Kalinin.

There were labor strikes in Moscow in February 1921 that had the slogan: “Down with Communists and Jews!”

We have already mentioned that during the Civil War the majority of Russian socialists (and there were numerous Jews among them) were, of course, on Lenin’s side, not on Admiral Kolchak’s and some of them actually fought for the Bolsheviks. (For example, consider Bund member Solomon Schwartz: during the period of the provisional government, he was a director of a department in a ministry; during the Civil War, he volunteered to the Red Army though he did not indicate his rank; later he emigrated abroad where he published two books about the Jewish situation in the USSR; we will cite him below.)

Thus, it *looked* as though not only Bolshevik Jews, but all of Jewry had decided to take the Red side in the Civil War. Could we claim that their choice was completely

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

deliberate? No. Could we claim that they didn't have any other choice? Again, no.

Shulgin describes the enormous exodus from Kiev on October 1, 1919 as the city was to be surrendered to Bolsheviks. It was an entirely Russian exodus. People were leaving on foot with knapsacks, across the bridges over Dnepr river; he estimated their numbers at around 60,000. "There were no Jews in this exodus: they were not noticeable among those many thousands of Russians (men, women and children), with bundles in their hands streaming across the beautiful

Chain Bridge under a sorrowful net of rain." There were more than 100,000 Jews in Kiev at that time, Shulgin writes. And all of those rich and very rich Jews — they didn't leave, they chose to stay and wait for arrival of Bolsheviks. "The Jews decided not to share their fate with us. And with that they carved a new and possibly the deepest divide between us."

So, it was in many other places. According to the testimony of socialist-revolutionary S. Maslov: "It is a fact that in towns and cities of southern Russia, especially in cities to the west of the Dnepr that changed hands repeatedly, the arrival of Soviets was most celebrated and the hollowest of sympathy was expressed in the Jewish quarters, and not infrequently only in those alone."

A contemporary American historian, Bruce Lincoln, author of a big treatise about our Civil War, said that the Ukrainian Cheka was composed of 80 percent Jews. This can be explained by the fact that, prior to arrival of the Reds, cruel pogroms went on non-stop; indeed those were the bloodiest pogroms since the times of Bogdan Khmelnytsky, leader of the Cossack rebellion in Ukraine in 1648-1657. We will discuss the pogroms soon, though it should be noted that



## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

the time sequence was actually the opposite: those 80 percent [Jews] were already staffing the Cheka in 1918, whereas the pogroms of Petliura, the Ukrainian publicist, writer, and journalist was was head of state during the Ukrainian independence of 1918-1920, only gathered momentum during 1919. The pogroms carried out by White Army troops began in the fall of 1919.

Yet it is impossible to answer the eternal question who is the guilty party, who pushed who into abyss. Of course, it is incorrect to say that the Kiev Cheka did what it did because it was threequarters Jewish. Still, this is something that Jewish people should remember and reflect upon.

And yes, there were Jews then who appealed to their compatriots looking back on the tragedy that had befallen both Russia and Russian Jewry. In their proclamation *To the Jews of All Countries!* this group wrote in 1923 that “overly zealous participation of Jewish Bolsheviks in the oppression and destruction of Russia is blamed upon all of us the Soviet rule is identified with Jewish rule, and fierce hatred of Bolsheviks turns into the equally fierce hatred of Jews. We firmly believe that Bolshevism is *the worst* of all evils possible for the Jews and all other peoples of Russia, and that to fight tooth and nail against the rule of that international rabble over Russia is our sacred duty before humankind, culture, before our Motherland and the Jewish people.” Yet the Jewish community reacted to these declarations with great indignation. (We will discuss this again in the next chapter.)

### **The International Revolution**

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

The Civil War spilled over Russia's borders. Let's review that briefly (though the events in Europe are outside of the scope of this book.)

The Bolsheviks invaded Poland in 1920. (At this point they had recalled and adroitly used the Russian "national longing and national enthusiasm" — as Nahamkis-Steklov put it in an *Izvestia* editorial.)

And it appears that Polish Jews met the Red Army very warmly. According to a Soviet source, whole battalions of Jewish workers participated in the fighting at Minsk. Reading from the *Jewish Encyclopedia*: "on numerous occasions, Poles accused Jews of supporting the enemy, of anti-Polish, pro-Bolshevist and even pro-Ukrainian attitudes." During the Soviet-Polish war many Jews were killed by Polish Army on charges of spying for the Red Army.

However, we should be wary of possible exaggerations here as we remember similar accusations in espionage made by Russian military authorities during the war, in 1915. The Soviets quickly formed a revolutionary government for Poland headed by F. Dzerzhinsky. In it were Y.

Markhlevsky and F. Kon. Of course, they were surrounded by "blood work" specialists and ardent propagandists. (Among the latter we see a former pharmacist from Mogilev, A. I. Rotenberg. Soon after the aborted Red revolution in Poland, he, together with Bela Kun and Zalkind-Zemlyachka, went on to conduct the deadly "cleansing" of the Crimea. In 1921, he participated in that glorious work again — this time "purging" Georgia, again under the direct command of Dzerzhinsky. At the end of 1920s Rotenberg was in charge of the Moscow NKVD.) Not only Poland but

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

Hungary and Germany as well were affected by the Red Revolution. An American researcher writes: “the intensity and tenacity of anti-Semitic prejudice in both the east and the center of Europe was significantly influenced by Jewish participation in the revolutionary movement.” In the beginning of 1919, the Soviets, under predominantly Jewish leadership, started revolutions in Berlin and Munich. The share of activist Jews was disproportionately high in the German Communist Party of that period, though that party’s support in the Jewish community at large was not significant. Four out of eleven members of the Central Committee were Jews with a university education. In

December 1918, one of them, Rosa Luxemburg, wrote: “In the name of the greatest aspirations of humankind, our motto when we deal with our enemies is: “Finger into the eye, knee on the chest!” Rebellion in Munich was led by a theater critic, Kurt Eisner, a Jew of “bohemian appearance.” Eisner was killed by a German nobleman who infiltrated his security cordon and shot him, but the power in conservative and Catholic Bavaria was then seized by a new government made up of leftist intellectual Jews, who proclaimed the Bavarian Soviet Republic (G. Landauer, E. Toller, E. Muhsam, O. Neurath) After one week the republic was overthrown by an even more radical group” which declared the Second Bavarian Soviet Republic” with Eugen Levine at the helm. Let’s read an article about him in the *Encyclopedia*: “Born into a mercantile Jewish family, he used to be a socialist-revolutionary; he participated in the Russian revolution of 1905, later became a German national, joined the Spartacist movement of R. Luxemburg and K. Liebknecht, and now he became the head of the Communist government in Bavaria, which also included the abovementioned E. Muhsam, E. Toller and a native of Russia, M. Levin.” The uprising was

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

defeated in May 1919 by the *Freikorps* of German veterans returning from the Great War, the only loyal troops available to Germany at the time. The fact that the leaders of the suppressed Communist revolts were Jews was one of the most important reasons for the resurrection of political anti-Semitism in contemporary Germany.

While Jews played a quite conspicuous role in the Russian and German communist revolutions, their role in Hungary became central. Out of 49 People's Commissars there were many Jews, Bela Kun being the most prominent of them; the foreign minister and de-facto head of government, he would orchestrate a bloodbath in the Crimea half a year later. Here we find Matyas Rakosi, Tibor Szamuely, Gyorgy Lukacs. Granted, the prime-minister was a gentile, Sandor

Garbai, but Rakosi later joked that Garbai was elected because someone had to sign execution orders on Sabbath days. Statues of Hungarian kings and heroes were knocked off their pedestals, the national anthem outlawed, and wearing the national colors criminalized. Hanged bodies dangling from trees, lamp posts and telegraph poles through Hungary became known as

“Szamuely fruit.”

The tragedy of the situation was escalated by the fact that historically Hungarian Jews were much wealthier than their Eastern-European countrymen and were much more successful in Hungarian society; Hungary was free of pogroms and freer of anti-Semitism than almost anywhere else in Europe, and yet still the bodies swayed in the breeze. The direct relation between the Hungarian Soviet Republic and our Civil War becomes clearer by the virtue of the fact that a special Red Army Corps were being prepared to go to the rescue of the Hungarian Soviet Republic, but they

# THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

couldn't manage it in time. Bela Kun, who seems to have been insane, invaded both Czechoslovakia and Romania. They were defeated, and the Republic fell in August 1919 when Romanian troops entered Budapest. It had lasted four and a half months.

## **In Ukraine**

The breakdown of the universally hated Russian Empire cost all involved dearly, including the Jews. G. Landau writes: "In general, revolution is gruesome, risky and dangerous business. It is especially gruesome and dangerous for a minority, which in many ways is alien to the bulk of population. To secure their wellbeing, such minority should unwaveringly cling to law and rely on unshakable continuity of social order and on the inertia of statutory power. Forces of revolutionary misalignment and permissiveness hit such a minority particularly hard."

It was looming — straight forward, into the so promising future! Yet in the near future, during the Civil War, there was no law and Jewry was hit by pillaging and pogroms on the scale not even close to anything they experienced in days of the Czar. And those pogroms were not launched by the White side. Because of the density of the Jewish population in Ukraine, it was inevitable that a third force, apart from the Reds and Whites, would interfere in the Jewish destinies — that of Ukrainian separatism.

In April 1917, when the Ukrainian Rada [upper house of Parliament] assembled for the first time, Jewry did not yet believe in the victory of Ukrainian Nationalism, and that was manifested in the character of their voting during municipal summer elections. Jews did not have any reason to vote for Ukrainian separatists. But already in June, when something

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

resembling real independent Ukrainian governance was taking shape — under which apparently the Jews would have to live from now on — the Jewish representatives entered the Lesser [lower] Rada, and a Vice-Secretariat on Jewish nationality (“Jewish Ministry”) was established.

The latter worked on the long-cherished project of Jewish national autonomy, according to which every nationality and now the Jewish one creates its own national union, which can legislate according to the needs and interests of their nation and for that it receives financial support from the treasury, and a representative of the union becomes a member of the cabinet. Initially, the formative Ukrainian government was generally benevolent toward Jews, but by the end of 1917 the mood changed, and the bill on autonomy was met in the Rada with laughter and contempt. Nevertheless, in January 1918 it was passed, though with difficulties. For their part, the Jews reluctantly accepted the Third Universal (November 9, 1917, the declaration of Ukrainian independence from Russia) as now they feared anarchy, traditionally dangerous for Jewish populations, and were afraid of a split within Russian Jewry. Still, Jewish philistines were making fun of the Ukrainian language and shop-signs. They were afraid of Ukrainian nationalism, and believed in the Russian state and Russian culture. Lenin wrote: “Jews, like Great Russians, ignore the significance of the national question in Ukraine.”

However, everything pointed toward secession and the Jewish delegates in the Rada did not dare to vote against the Fourth Universal (January 11, 1918, declaring complete secession of Ukraine). Immediately thereafter, the Bolsheviks began an offensive against Ukraine. The first “Ukrainian” Central Committee of the Ukrainian Communist Party of Bolsheviks was formed in Moscow and later moved

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

to Kharkov; it was headed by Georgiy Pyatakov and among its members were Semyon Schwartz and Serafima Gopner. When by the end of January 1918, they moved to Kiev, Grigory Chudnovsky took the post of the Commissar of Kiev, Kreitzberg became a commissar of finances, D. Raikhstein, press commissar, Shapiro — commissar of the army. There was no shortage of Jewish names among the top Bolsheviks in such centers as Odessa and Ekaterinoslav. That was sufficient to fuel talks about Bolshevik Jews and Jewish Bolsheviks among the troops loyal to the Rada. Verbal cursing about traitorous Jews became almost commonplace; in the very midst of street fighting for Kiev, the Zionist faction produced an official inquiry on the matter of “anti-Jewish excesses.” The question turned into a verbal skirmish between Ukrainian delegates and representatives of national minorities.

Thus, enmity split apart the Jews and the Ukrainian separatists. The Ukrainian government and the leaders of Ukrainian parties were evacuated to Zhitomir, but the Jewish representatives did not follow them, they remained under the Bolsheviks. And in addition, the Bolsheviks in Kiev were supported by a sizable group of Jewish workers, who returned from England after the February, Kerensky revolution and who now wholly siding with the Soviet regime took up the posts of commissars and officials, and created a special Jewish squad of Red Guards.

Yet soon after the conclusion of the Treaty of Brest-Litovsk [in which the Soviets ceded Ukraine to the Central Powers] as the government of independent Ukraine returned to Kiev under the aegis of Austrian and German bayonets in the beginning of February of 1918, the *haidamakas*, spontaneous popular uprisings against Polish rule that took place in Ukraine in the 18th century and free Cossacks began

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

snatching and shooting any former Jewish commissars, they could find. Yet those were not actual Jewish pogroms, and very soon Petliura's government was replaced by the Hetman government of Cossack leader Skoropadsky for the next seven months. The command of the units of the German Army that had occupied Kiev in the spring, treated the needs of Jewish population with understanding. (And that population was not unsubstantial: in 1919, 21 percent of Kiev's inhabitants were Jewish.)

A Jewish Kadet [a member of Russian Constitutional Democrat Party] Sergei Gutnik became the Minister of Trade and Industry in the Hetman government.

Under the Hetmanate, Zionists acted without hindrance, and an independent Jewish Provisional National Assembly and a Jewish National Secretariat were elected.

When the Hetmanate fell and in December 1918 Kiev came under the control of the Directorate of Ukraine led by Petliura and Vynnychenko. The Bund and Poale-Zion [a movement of Marxist Jewish workers] did their best to help their fellow socialists of the Directorate and Jewish Secretariat and also made conciliatory moves. But Petliura saw it differently. His mouthpiece, the newspaper *Vidrodzhennya* wrote: "The birth of the Ukrainian State was not expected by the Jews. The Jews did not anticipate it despite having an extraordinary ability of getting the wind of any news. They emphasize their knowledge of the Russian language and ignore the fact of Ukrainian statehood. Jewry again has joined the side of our enemy."

Jews were blamed for all the Bolshevik victories in Ukraine. In Kiev, the Sich Riflemen plundered apartments of wealthy people who *en masse* came over to the capital while the military and atamans [originally Cossack commanders, then used by the Ukrainian National Army] robbed smaller



## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

towns and *shtetls*. That year, a regiment named after Petliura inaugurated mass pogroms by pillaging the town of Sarny.

A Jewish deputy from the Lesser Rada attempted to ward off the growing tendency toward pogroms among Petliura's troops: "We need to warn Ukrainians that you cannot found your state on anti-Semitism. Leaders of the Directorate should remember that they are dealing with the world's oldest people, who have outlived many of our enemies," and threatened to start a struggle against such government. Jewish parties quickly began to radicalize toward the Left, thus inevitably turning their sympathies to Bolshevism.

Arnold Margolin, then Deputy Minister of Foreign Affairs of Ukraine, said that the situation in Ukraine was reminiscent of the worst times of Khmelnytsky and Gonta [A Cossack resistance leader against the Polish occupation of Ukraine].

D. Pasmanik bitterly noted that Zionists and Jewish nationalists supported the Directorate's government for a while even when anti-Jewish pogroms raged across Ukraine: "How could Jewish socialists forget about the pogromist attitudes of Petliura and other heroes of the Ukrainian Revolution? How could they forget about the Jewish blood shed by the descendants and disciples of Khmelnytsky, Gonta and Zalizniak?" Between December 1918 and August 1919, Petliura's troops carried out dozens of pogroms, according to the Commission of International Red Cross killing around 50,000 Jews. The largest pogrom happened on February 15, 1919, in Proskurov after a failed Bolshevik coup attempt. Jewish pogroms that went on nonstop from the very moment of Ukrainian independence became particularly ferocious during the period of the so-called Directorate and kept going until the Ukrainian armed forces existed.

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

S. Maslov writes: "True, in the Czar's times Jews were killed during pogroms, but they have never had been killed in such numbers as now and with such callous indifference." Sometimes during anti-Jewish pogroms by rebellious peasant bands entire *shtetls* were exterminated with indiscriminate slaughter of children, women and elders. After the pogromists finished with their business, peasants from surrounding villages usually arrived on wagons to join in looting the commercial goods which were often stored in large amounts in the towns because of the unsettled times. All over Ukraine rebels attacked passenger trains and often commanded communists and Jews to get out of the coach and those who did were shot right on the spot; or in checking papers of passengers, suspected Jews were ordered to pronounce *kukuruz*a, corn) and those who spoke with an accent were escorted out and executed.

American scholar Muller thinks that "the mass extermination of Jews in Ukraine and Byelorussia during the Civil War was by no means a result of articulated policy but rather a common peasant reaction."

Independent rebellious bands of Grigoriev, Zelyony, Sokolovsky, Struk, Angel, Tyutyunik, Yatzeiko, Volynetz and Kozyr-Zirka were particularly uncontrolled and because of this acted with extreme atrocity. However, Nestor Makhno was different.

The raging Civil War provided fertile soil for the self-realization of Makhno's criminal and rebellious personality. We are not going to recount his villainous and clinically-mad deeds in this work, yet it should be noted that he did not harbor anti-Jewish attitudes and that his anarchistcommunist followers loudly proclaimed their "implacable hostility toward any form of anti-Semitism." At different times, a certain Aaron Baron was his Chief of Staff, Lev

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

ZadovZenkovsky was his head of counter-intelligence, Volin-Eikhenbaum was head of Makhno's agitprop, Arshinov was his close adviser, and one Kogan headed Administration of Huliaipole [his "capital"].

There was even a 300-strong separate Jewish company among his troops, led by one Taranovsky, and though at one point they betrayed Makhno, nevertheless Taranovsky was later pardoned and even made the Makhno's Chief of Staff." The Jewish poor joined Makhno's army in masses and allegedly Makhno trapped and executed ataman Grigoriev for the latter's anti-Semitism. In March 1919 Makhno executed peasants from Uspenovka village for a pogrom in the Jewish agricultural colony Gorkoye. However, despite his indisputable pro-Jewish stance (later in emigration in Paris he was always in a Jewish milieu until his death), his often-uncontrollable troops carried out several Jewish pogroms, for instance, in 1918 near Ekaterinoslav or in the summer of 1919 in Aleksandrovsk, though Makhno and his officers rigorously protected Jewish populations and punished pogromists with death.

To examine the anti-Jewish pogroms during the Russian Civil War, we consult a large volume *Jewish Pogroms: 1918-1921* compiled by Jewish Public Committee for Aid to Victims of Pogroms in 1923 and published later in 1926. The year of publication explains why we find nothing about pogroms by the Reds — the book "aims to examine the roles of Petliura's troops, the Volunteer [White] Army, and Poles in the carnage of pogroms in the described period."

Regular troops participated in pogroms in larger cities and towns as they marched, whereas independent bands acted in the hinterlands, thus effectively denying the Jews safety anywhere. Pogroms by Petliura's troops were

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

particularly atrocious and systematic and sometimes even without looting, such as, for example, pogroms in Proskurov, Felsztyn and Zhytomir in February of 1919, Ovruch in March, Trostyanets, Uman and Novomirgorod in May 1919. The worst pogroms by bands were in Smila (March 1919), Elisavetgrad, Radomyshl, Vapniarka and Slovechno in May 1919, in Dubovka (June 1919); by Denikin's troops – in Fastov (September 1919) and Kiev (October 1919). In Byelorussia, there were pogroms by Polish troops, for example, in Borisov and in the Bobruisk District, and by Polish-supported troops of BulakBalachowicz in Mazyr, Turov, Petrakov, Kapatkevitchy, Kovchitsy and Gorodyatitchy (in 1919, 1920, and 1921).

Ukrainian Jewry was horrified by the murderous wave of pogroms. During brief periods of respite, the Jewish population fled *en masse* from already pillaged or threatened places. There was indeed a mass exodus of Jews from *shtetls* and small towns into larger cities nearby or toward the border with Romania in a foolish hope to find aid there, or they simply aimlessly fled in panic as they did from Tetiiv and Radomyshl. The most populous and flourishing communities were turned into deserts. Jewish towns and *shtetls* looked like gloomy cemeteries — homes burnt and streets dead and desolated. Several Jewish townships were completely wrecked and turned into ashes —

Volodarka, Boguslav, Borshchagovka, Znamenka, Fastov, Tefiapol, Kutuzovka and other places.

### **Jews and White Guards**

Let us now examine the White side. At first glance, it may appear counter-intuitive that Jews did not support the anti-Bolshevik movement. After all, the White forces were

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

substantially more pro-democratic than Bolsheviks (as it was with [White generals] Denikin and Wrangel) and included not only monarchists and all kinds of nationalists but also many liberal groups and all varieties of anti-Bolshevik socialists. So why didn't we see Jews who shared the same political views and sympathies there?

Fateful events irredeemably separated the Jews from the White movement.

The *Jewish Encyclopedia* informs us that “initially many Jews of Rostov supported the White movement. On December 13, 1917 a merchant prince, A. Alperin, gave 800,000 rubles collected by the Jews of Rostov to A. Kaledin, the leader of Don Cossacks, to organize anti-Bolshevik Cossack troops. Yet when General Alekseev [another White commander] was mustering his first squadron in December 1917 in the same city of Rostov and needed funds and asked (note — asked and did not impress) the Rostov-Nakhichevan bourgeoisie (mainly Jewish and Armenian) for money, they refused and he collected just a dab of money and was forced to march out into the winter with unequipped troops — into his Ice March. And later all appeals by the Volunteer Army were mostly ignored, yet whenever the Bolsheviks showed up and demanded money and valuables, the population obediently handed over millions of rubles and whole stores of goods.

When former Russian prime minister (of the Provisional Government) prince G. E. Lvov, begging for aid abroad, visited New York and Washington in 1918, he met a delegation of American Jews who heard him out but offered no aid.

However, Pasmanik quotes a letter saying that by the end of 1918 “more than three and half million rubles were being collected in the exclusive Jewish circle” with

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

accompanying promises and reassurances of goodwill toward Jews from the White authorities. Despite that, Jews were officially prohibited to buy land in the Chernomorskaya Guberniya because of “vicious speculations by several Jews,” though the order was revoked soon afterwards.

Here is another example from my own sources: again, in Rostov in February 1918 when the White movement was merely nascent and seemed almost hopeless, an elderly Jewish engineer and manufacturer A. I. Arkhangorodsky, who sincerely considered himself a Russian patriot, literally pushed his reluctant student son into joining the White youth marching out into the night [February 22], embarking on their Ice March. (However, his sister didn’t let him go.) The *Jewish Encyclopedia* also tells us that “the Jews of Rostov were joining Cossack guerilla squadrons and the student’s battalion of [White] general L. Kornilov’s army.”

In Paris in 1975, Col. Levitin, the last surviving commander of the Kornilov Regiment, told me that quite a few Jewish warrant officers, who were commissioned in Kerensky’s times, were loyal to Kornilov during the so-called Days of Kornilov in August 1917. He recalled one Katzman, a holder of the Order of St. George from the First Kutepov Division.

Yet we know that many Whites rejected sympathetic or neutral Jews — because of the prominent involvement of *other* Jews on the Red side, mistrust and anger was bred among the White forces. A modern study suggests that during the first year of its existence, the White movement was virtually free of anti-Semitism at least in terms of major incidents and Jews were actually serving in the Volunteer Army. However, the situation dramatically changed by 1919. First, after the Allied victory in WWI, the widespread conviction among the Whites that Germans helped

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

Bolsheviks was displaced by a mythos about Jews being the backbone of Bolshevism. On the other hand, after the White troops occupied Ukraine, they came under influence of obsessive local anti-Semitism that facilitated their espousal of anti-Jewish actions.

The White Army was hypnotized by Trotsky and Nakhamkis [an agent of the Bolshevik Central Committee] and that caused the identification of Bolshevism with Jewry and led to pogroms. The Whites perceived Russia as occupied by Jewish commissars – and they marched to liberate her. And given considerable unaccountability of separate units of that nascent and poorly organized army strewn over the vast Russian territories and the general lack of central authority in that war, it is not surprising that, unfortunately, some White troops carried out pogroms. A. I. Denikin like some other leaders of the South Army (e.g., V. Z. Mai-Mayevsky), endorsed Kadet and Socialist Revolutionary views and sought to stop the outrages perpetrated by his troops. Yet those efforts were not effective.

Naturally, many Jews were driven by survival instinct and even if they initially expected goodwill on the part of the Volunteer Army, after pogroms by Denikin's troops they lost any inclination to support the White movement.

Pasmanik provides a lively case. "Aleksandrovsk was taken by the Volunteers from the Bolsheviks. They were met by unanimous sincere joy of the citizenry. Overnight half of the town was sacked and filled by the screaming and moaning of distressed Jews. Wives were raped, men beaten and murdered, Jewish homes were totally ransacked. The pogrom continued for three days and three nights. Post-executive Cossack cornet Sliva dismissed complaints of the Public

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

Administration saying ‘it is always like that: we take a city and it belongs to the troops for three days. ’”

It is impossible to explain all this plunder and violence by soldiers of the Volunteer Army by actions of Jewish commissars. A top White general, A. von Lampe, claims that rumors about *Jewish* pogroms by the Whites are tendentiously exaggerated, that these pillaging “requisitions” were unavoidable actions of an army without quartermaster services or regular supplies from the rear areas. He says that Jews were not targeted deliberately but that all citizens suffered and that Jews suffered more because they were numerous and rich. “I am absolutely confident that in the operational theaters of the White armies there were no Jewish *pogroms*, i.e., no organized extermination and pillaging of Jews. There were robberies and even murders which were purposefully overblown and misrepresented as anti-Jewish pogroms by special press. Because of these accidents, the Second Kuban Infantry Brigade and the Ossetian Cavalry Regiment were disbanded. All the people, be they Christian or Jewish, suffered in disorderly areas.” There were executions (on tip offs by locals) of those unfortunate commissars and Chekists who did not manage to escape and there were quite a few Jews among them.

Events in Fastov in September 1919 appear differently. According to the *Jewish Encyclopedia*, Cossacks “behaved outrageously ... they killed, raped and flouted Jewish religious feelings. They had broken into a synagogue during Yom Kippur, beat up the whole congregation, raped the women and tore apart the Torah scrolls. About one thousand were killed.” A methodical quarter-by-quarter pillaging of Jews in Kiev after a brief return of the White troops in the end of October 1919 was dubbed the “quiet pogrom.” Shulgin writes: “The commanders strictly



## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

prohibited pogroms. Yet the Yids were really an annoyance and, secondly, the heroes were hungry.... In general, the Volunteers in large cities were starving.” There were nights of plunder but without murder and rape. It was “the end of Denikin’s period ... and the beginning of the agony of the Volunteer Army.”

By the route of its offensive and, particularly, its retreat, during its last brutal retreat in

November-December of 1919, the White Army carried out a large number of Jewish pogroms (acknowledged by Denikin), apparently not only for plunder but also for revenge. However,

Bikerman says that “murders, pillage and rape of women were not faithful companions of the White Army, unlike what is claimed by our [Jewish] National Socialists who exaggerate the horrible events to advance their own agenda.”

Shulgin agrees: “For a true White, a massacre of unarmed civilians, the murder of women and children, and robbing someone’s property are absolutely impossible things to do.” Thus, the “true Whites” in this case are guilty of *negligence*. They were not sufficiently rigorous in checking the scum adhering to the White movement.

Pasmanik concurred that “everybody understands that General Denikin did not want pogroms but when I was in Novorossiysk and Ekaterinodar in April-May 1919, i.e., before the march to the north, I could sense a thickened and pervasive atmosphere of anti-Semitism everywhere.” Whatever it was — negligence or revenge — it served well to ignite the White pogroms of 1919. Still, by unanimous testimony of those unlucky enough to experience both types of pogroms

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

[those by Petliura's troops and those by White Army], it was predominantly Petliura's troops who went for Jewish life and soul — they did the most killing.

It was not the Volunteer Army that initiated Jewish pogroms in the new Russia. They began in the reborn Poland the day after she became a free and independent state. While in Russia itself they were started by the Ukrainian troops of the Democrat Petliura and the Socialist Vynnychenko. The Ukrainians turned pogroms into an everyday event.

The Volunteer Army did not start the pogroms but it carried on with them, being fueled by a false conviction that *all* Jews were for Bolsheviks. The name of Leon Trotsky was particularly hated among the Whites and Petliura's soldiers and almost every pogrom went under a slogan "This is what you get for Trotsky." And even the Kadets who in the past always denounced any expression of anti-Semitism, and all the more so the pogroms during their November 1919 conference in

Kharkov demanded that Jews "declare relentless war against those elements of Jewry who actively participate in the Bolshevik movement."

At the same time, the Kadets emphasized that the White authorities do everything possible to stop pogroms, namely that since the beginning of October 1919 the leadership of the Volunteer Army began punishing pogromists with many measures including execution and as a result pogroms stopped for a while. Yet during the December 1919-March 1920 retreat of the Volunteer Army from Ukraine the pogroms become particularly violent and the Jews were accused of shooting the retreating Whites in the back. (Importantly, there were no pogroms in Siberia by A. Kolchak's troops, as Kolchak did not tolerate pogroms.)

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

D.O. Linsky, himself a former White Guard, emphatically writes: “Jewry was possibly given a unique chance to fight so hard for the Russian land, that the slanderous claim, that for Jews Russia is just geography and not Fatherland, would disappear once and for all.” Actually, “there was and is no alternative: the victory of anti-Bolshevik forces will lead from suffering to revival of the whole country and of the Jewish people in particular.... Jewry should devote itself to the Russian Cause entirely, to sacrifice their lives and wealth.... Through the dark stains on the White chasubles one should perceive the pure soul of the White Movement.... In an army where many Jewish youths were enlisted, in an army relying on extensive material support from Jewish population, anti-Semitism would suffocate and any pogromist movement would be countered and checked by internal forces. Jewry should have supported the Russian Army which went on in an immortal struggle for the Russian land.... Jewry was pushed from the Russian Cause, yet Jewry had to push away the pushers.”

He writes all this after having painful personal experience of participation in the White movement. Despite all those dark and serious problems that surfaced in the White movement, we delightfully and with great reverence bow our uncovered heads before this one and only commendable fact of the struggle against the ignominy of Russian history, the so-called Russian Revolution. It was a great movement for the unfading values of upholding the human spirit.

Yet the White Army did not support even those Jews who volunteered for service in it. What a humiliation people like doctor Pasmanik had to go through (many Jews were outraged after finding him among the pogromists!) The Volunteer Army persistently refused to accept Jewish petty

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

officers and cadets, even those who in October 1917 bravely fought against Bolsheviks. It was a huge moral blow to Russian Jewry. “I will never forget,” he writes, “how eleven Jewish petty officers came to me in Simferopol complaining that they were expelled from fighting units and posted as cooks in the rear.”

Shulgin writes: “If only as many Jews participated in the White Movement as did in the revolutionary democracy or in constitutional democracy before that...” Yet only a tiny part of Jewry joined the White Guards. Only very few individuals, whose dedication could not be overvalued as the anti-Semitism [among the Whites] was already clearly obvious by that time. Meanwhile, there were many Jews among the Reds. There, most importantly, they often occupied the top command positions. Aren't we really aware of the bitter tragedy of those few Jews who joined the Volunteer Army. The lives of those Jewish Volunteers were as endangered by the enemy's bullets as they were by the heroes of the rear who tried to solve the Jewish question in their own manner.”

Yet it was not all about the “heroes of the rear.” And anti-Semitic feelings had burst into flames among the young White officers from the intellectual families — despite all their education, tradition, and upbringing.

And this all the more doomed the White Army to isolation and perdition.

Linsky tells us that on the territories controlled by the Volunteer Army, the Jews were not employable in the government services or in the OsvAg (“Information-Propaganda Agency,” an intelligence and counter-intelligence agency, established in the White Army by General A.M. Dragomirov). Yet he refutes the claim that publications of OsvAg contained anti-Semitic propaganda

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

and that pogromists were not punished. No, the command did not want Jewish pogroms, yet it could not act against the pogromist attitudes of their troops. It psychologically couldn't use severe measures. The army was not as it used to be, and requirements of the regular wartime or peacetime military charters could not be fully applied to it, as the minds of all soldiers were already battle-scarred by the Civil War.

Although they didn't want pogroms, Denikin's government didn't dare to denounce anti-Semitic propaganda loudly, despite the fact that the pogroms inflicted great harm on Denikin's army. Pasmaik concludes: The Volunteer Army generally assumed a hostile attitude toward the entire Russian Jewry. But I. Levin disagrees, saying that "the views of only one part of the movement, those of the active pogromists, are now attributed to the whole movement," while in reality "the White Movement was quite complex, it was composed of different factions ... with often opposite views."

Yet to bet on Bolsheviks, to walk in their shadows because of fear of pogroms, is obvious and evident madness. A Jew says: either the Bolsheviks or the pogroms, whereas he should have been saying: the longer the Bolsheviks hold power, the closer we are to certain death. Yet the "Judæo-Communists" were, in the parlance of the Whites, agitators as well.

All this was resolutely stopped by Wrangel in Crimea, where there was nothing like what was described above. (Wrangel even personally ordered Rev. Vladimir Vostokov to stop his public anti-Jewish sermons.)

In July 1920, Shulim Bezpalo, the aforementioned Jewish millionaire, wrote from Paris to Wrangel in the Crimea: "We must save our Motherland. She will be saved by the children of the soil and industrialists. We must give

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

away 75 percent of our revenue until the value of ruble has recovered and normal life has been rebuilt.” Yet it was already too late.

Still, a part of the Jewish population of the Crimea chose to evacuate with Wrangel’s army.

True, the White Movement was in desperate need of the support by the Western public opinion, which in turn largely depended on the fate of Russian Jewry. It needed that support, yet, as we saw, it had fatally and unavoidably developed a hostility toward the Jews and later it was not able to prevent pogroms. As Secretary of State for War, Winston Churchill was the major advocate of the Allied intervention in Russia and military aid to the White armies. Because of the pogroms, Churchill appealed directly to Denikin: “My goal of securing the support in the Parliament for the Russian national movement will be incomparably more difficult,” if the pogroms are not stopped. “Churchill also feared the reaction of powerful Jewish circles among the British elite.” Jewish circles in the USA held similar opinions on the situation in Russia.

However, the pogroms were not stopped, which largely explains the extremely weak and reluctant assistance given by the Western powers to the White armies. And calculations by Wall Street naturally led it to support Bolsheviks as the more likely future rulers over Russia’s riches. Moreover, the climate in the US and Europe was permeated by sympathy toward those who claimed to be builders of a New World, with their grandiose plans and great social objective.

And yet, the behavior of the former Entente of Western nations during the entire Civil War is striking by its greed and blind indifference toward the White Movement — the successor of their wartime ally, Imperial Russia. They

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

even demanded that the Whites join the Bolshevik delegation at the Versailles Peace Conference; then there was that delirious idea of peace negotiations with the Bolsheviks on the Princes' Islands. The Entente, which did not recognize any of the White governments officially, was hastily recognizing all those new national states emerging on the periphery of Russia — thus unambiguously betraying the desire for its dismemberment.

The British hurried to occupy the oil-rich region of Baku; the Japanese claimed parts of the Far East and the Kamchatka Peninsula. The American troops in Siberia were more of hindrance than a help and actually facilitated the capture of Primorye by the Bolsheviks. The Allies even extorted payments for any aid they provided — in gold from Kolchak; in the South of Russia, in the form of Black Sea vessels, concessions and future obligations. (There were truly shameful episodes: when the British were leaving the Archangel region in the Russian north, they took with them some of the Czar's military equipment and ammunition. They gave some of what they couldn't take to the Reds and sunk the rest in the sea — to prevent it from getting into the hands of the Whites!) In the spring of 1920, the Entente put forward an ultimatum to the White Generals Denikin and Wrangel demanding an end to their struggle against the Bolsheviks. (In the summer of 1920 France provided some material aid to Wrangel so that he could help Poland. Yet only six months later they were parsimoniously deducting Wrangel's military equipment as payment for feeding of those Russian soldiers who retreated to Gallipoli.)

We can judge about the actions of the few occupational forces actually sent by the Entente from a testimonial by Prince Grigory Trubetskoy, a serious diplomat, who observed the French Army during its

# THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

occupation of Odessa in 1919: “French policies in the South of Russia in general and their treatment of issues of Russian statehood in particular were strikingly confused, revealing their gross misunderstanding of the situation.”

## **Jewish Pogroms in Ukraine**

The black streak of Jewish pogroms in Ukraine ran through the whole of 1919 and the beginning of 1920. By their scope, scale and atrocity, these pogroms immeasurably exceeded all the previous historical instances discussed in this book — the pogroms of 1881-1882, 1903, and 1905. Yu. Larin, a high-placed Soviet functionary, wrote in the 1920s that during the Civil War Ukraine saw “a very large number of massive Jewish pogroms far exceeding anything from the past with respect to the number of victims and *number of perpetrators*.” Vynnychenko allegedly said that “the pogroms would stop only when the Jews would stop being communists.”

There is no precise estimate of the number of victims of those pogroms. Of course, no reliable count could be performed in that situation, neither during the events, nor immediately afterwards. In the book, *Jewish Pogroms*, we read: “The number of murdered in Ukraine and Byelorussia between 1917 and 1921 is approximately 180,000-200,000.... The number of orphans alone, 300,000, bespeaks of the enormous scale of the catastrophe.” The present-day *Jewish Encyclopedia* tells us that “by different estimates, from 70,000 to 180,000-200,000 Jews were killed.”

Compiling data from different Jewish sources, a modern historian comes up with 900 *mass* pogroms, of which: 40 percent by Petliura’s Ukrainian Directorate troops; 25 percent by the squads of the various Ukrainian atamans;



## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

17 per cent by Denikin's White Army troops; and 8.5 per cent by the First Cavalry Army of Budyonny and other Red Army troops.

Yet how many butchered lives are behind these figures!

Already during the Civil War, national and socialist Jewish parties began merging with the Reds. The *Fareynikte*, the United Jewish Socialist Worker's Party, turned into the "ComFareynikte" [Communist Jewish Socialist Worker's Party] and adopted the communist program and together with the communist wing of the Bund formed the All-Russian "ComBund" in June 1920; in Ukraine, associates and members of the Fareynikte together with the Ukrainian ComBund formed the ComFarband [the Jewish Communist Union] which later joined the All-Russian Communist Party of Bolsheviks. In 1919 in Kiev, the official Soviet press provided texts in three languages — Russian, Ukrainian and Yiddish.

The Bolsheviks used these pogroms in Ukraine to their enormous advantage, they extremely skillfully exploited the pogroms in order to influence public opinion in Russia and abroad in many Jewish and non-Jewish circles in Europe and America. Yet the Reds had the finger in the pie as well — and they were actually the first ones to slaughter Jews. In the spring of 1918, units of the Red Army, retreating from Ukraine, perpetrated pogroms using the slogan "Strike the

Yids and the bourgeoisie." The most atrocious pogroms were carried out by the First Cavalry Army during its retreat from Poland in the end of August 1920.

Yet historical awareness of the pogroms carried out by the Red Army during the Civil War has been rather glossed over. Only a few condemning voices have spoken on

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

the topic. Pasmanik wrote: “During the first winter of Bolshevik rule, the Red troops fighting under the red banner carried out several bloody pogroms, most notable of which were pogroms in Glukhov and Novgorod-Siverskiy. By number of victims, deliberate brutality, torture and abuse, those two had eclipsed even the Kalush massacre. Retreating before the advancing Germans, the Red troops were destroying Jewish settlements on their route.”

S. Maslov is also quite clear: “The march of the Budyonny’s Cavalry Army during its relocation from the Polish to the Crimean Front was marked by thousands of murdered Jews, thousands of raped women and dozens of utterly razed and looted Jewish settlements. In Zhytomyr, each new authority inaugurated its rule with a pogrom, and often repeatedly after each time the city changed hands again. The feature of all those pogroms — by Petliura’s troops, the Poles, or the Soviets — was the large number of killed.” The Bogunskiy and Taraschanskiy regiments stood out in particular (though those two having come over to Budyonny from the Directorate); allegedly, those regiments were disarmed because of the pogroms and the instigators were hanged.

The above-cited socialist S. Schwartz concludes from his historical standpoint (1952): “During the revolutionary period, particularly during the Civil War, anti-Semitism has grown extraordinarily and, especially in the South, spread extensively in the broad masses of the urban and rural population.”

Alas, the resistance of the Russian population to the Bolsheviks (without which we wouldn’t have a right to call ourselves a people) had faltered and took wrong turns in many ways, including on the Jewish issue. Meanwhile the Bolshevik regime was touting the Jews and they were joining

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

it, and the Civil War was more and more broadening that chasm between Reds and Whites. If the revolution in general has cleared Jewry of suspicion in counter-revolutionary attitude, the counter-revolution has suspected all Jewry of being pro-revolutionary. And thus, the Civil War became an unbearable torment for Jewry, further consolidating them on the wrong revolutionary positions, and so they failed to recognize the genuine redemptive essence of the White armies. Let's not overlook the general situation during the Civil War. It was literally a chaos which released unbridled anarchy across Russia. Anybody who wanted and was able to rob and kill was robbing and killing whoever he wanted. Officers of the Russian Army were massacred in the hundreds and thousands by bands of mutinous rabble. Entire families of landowners were murdered, estates were burned; valuable pieces of art were pilfered and destroyed in some places in manors all living things including livestock were exterminated. Mob rule spread terror on the streets of cities. Owners of plants and factories were driven out of their enterprises and dwellings. Tens of thousands of people all over Russia were shot for the glory of the proletarian revolution others rotted in stinking and vermin-infested prisons as hostages.

It was not a crime or personal actions that put a man under the axe but his affiliation with a certain social stratum or class. It would be an absolute miracle if, under conditions when whole human groups were designated for extermination, the group named "Jews" remained exempt. The curse of the time was that it was possible to declare an entire class or a tribe evil. So, condemning an entire social class to destruction is called revolution, yet to kill and rob Jews is called a pogrom?

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

The Jewish pogrom in the South of Russia was a component of the All-Russian pogrom.

Such was the woeful acquisition of all the peoples of Russia, including the Jews, after the successful attainment of equal rights, after the splendid Revolution of March 1917, that both the general sympathy of Russian Jews toward the Bolsheviks and the developed attitude of the White forces toward Jews eclipsed and erased the most important benefit of a possible White victory — the sane evolution of the Russian state.

# THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

## **Chapter XVII: Emigration Between the Two World Wars**

As a result of the October coup and the subsequent Civil War, hundreds of thousands Russian citizens emigrated abroad, some retreating in battles, others simply fleeing. Among those emigrants were the entire surviving combat personnel of the White Army, and many Cossacks. They were joined by the old nobility who were so strikingly passive during the fateful revolutionary years, although their wealth was precisely in land or estates. Many former landowners, who failed to take their valuables with them, upon arrival in Europe had to become taxi drivers or waiters. There were merchants, industrialists, financiers, quite a few of whom had money safely deposited abroad, and ordinary citizens too, of whom not all were well-educated, but who could not bear to stay under Bolshevism.

Many emigrants were Russian Jews. Of more than 2 million emigrants from the Soviet republics in 1918-1922 more than 200,000 were Jews. Most of them crossed the Polish and Romanian borders, and later emigrated to the USA, Canada, and the countries of South America and Western Europe. Many emigrated to Palestine. The newly formed independent Poland played an important role. It had a large Jewish population of its own before the revolution, and now a part of those who left Poland during the war were returning there too. Poles estimate that after the Bolshevik revolution 200-300 thousand Jews arrived in Poland from Russia. (This figure could be explained not only by increased emigration, but also by the re-arrangement of the Russian-Polish border). However, the majority of the Jews who left Russia in the first years after the revolution settled in Western

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

Europe. For example, around 100,000 Russian Jews had gathered in Germany by the end of World War I.

While Paris was, from the beginning, the political centre and unofficial capital of Russia in Exile, the second so to say cultural capital of Russian emigration in Europe from the end of 1920 until the beginning of 1924, was Berlin. There was also an intense cultural life in the 1920s in the Russian quarters of Prague, which became Russia-in-Exile's main university city. It was easier to settle in Berlin because of inflation. On the streets of Berlin, you could see former major industrialists and merchants, bankers and manufacturers, and many émigrés had capital there. Compared to other emigrants from Russia, Jewish emigrants had fewer problems with integration into the Diaspora life, and felt more confident there. Jewish emigrants were more active than Russians and generally avoided humiliating jobs. Mikhail Levitov, the commander of the Kornilov Regiment who had experienced all sorts of unskilled labour after emigration, told me: "Who paid us decently in Paris? Jews. Russian multi-millionaires treated their own miserably."

Both in Berlin and in Paris the Jewish intelligentsia was prominent – lawyers, book publishers, social and political activists, scholars, writers and journalists, many of whom were deeply assimilated, while Russian emigrants from the capitals Moscow and St. Petersburg mostly had liberal opinions which facilitated mutual amity between the two groups (unlike the feeling between Jews and the Russian monarchist emigrants.)

The influence of Russian Jews in the entire cultural atmosphere of Russia-in-Exile between the two world wars was more than palpable. Here it is proper to mention a very interesting series of collections, *Jews in the Culture of Russia-in-Exile*, published in Israel in 1990s and still

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

continuing. Some Jewish families with a comfortable income opened Russian artistic salons, clearly demonstrating Jewish attachment to and immersion in Russian culture. There was the famously generous house of the Tsetlins in Paris. Many others, I. V. Gessen's in

Berlin, I. I. Fondaminsky-Bunakov (tireless in his endless, selfless cares for Russian culture abroad), Sofia Pregel, Sonya Delone, Alexander and Salomeia Galpern, were constantly engaged in the burdensome business of providing assistance for impoverished writers and artists. They helped many, and not just the famous, such as Bunin, Remizov, Balmont, Teffi, but also unknown young poets and painters. (However, this help did not extend to White and monarchist emigrants, with whom there was mutual antagonism). Overall, among all the emigrants, Russian Jews proved themselves the most active in all forms of cultural and social enterprise. This was so striking that it was reflected in Mihail Osorgin's article, *Russian Loneliness*, printed in the Russian Zionist magazine *Rassvet* [Dawn], re-established abroad by V. Jabotinsky.

Osorgin wrote: "In Russia, there was not this 'Russian loneliness' neither in the social nor the revolutionary movement (I mean the depths and not just the surface); the most prominent figures who gave specific flavour to the whole movement were Slavic Russians." But after emigration "where there is a refined spirituality, where there is deep interest in thought and art, where the calibre of man is higher, there a Russian feels national loneliness; on the other hand, where there are more of his kin, he feels cultural solitude. I call this tragedy the Russian loneliness. I am not at all an anti-Semite, but I am primarily a Russian Slav. My people, Russians, are much closer to me in spirit, in language and speech, in their specific national strengths and

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

weaknesses. For me, it is precious to have them as my fellow thinkers and peers, or perhaps it is just more comfortable and pleasant. Although I can respect the Jew, the Tatar, the Pole in the multi-ethnic and not at all “Russian” Russia, and recognise each as possessing the same right to Russia, our collective mother, as I have; yet I myself belong to the Russian group, to that spiritually influential group which has shaped the Russian culture.” But now “Russians abroad have faded and given up and surrendered the positions of power to another tribe’s energy. Jews adapt easier – and good for them! I am not envious, I am happy for them. I am equally willing to step aside and grant them the honour of leadership in various social movements and enterprises abroad. But there is one area where this ‘Jewish empowerment’ strikes me at the heart – charity. I do not know who has more money and diamonds, rich Jews or rich Russians. But I know for certain that all large charitable organizations in Paris and Berlin can help poor Russian emigrants only because they collect the money needed from generous Jewry. My experience of organizing soireés, concerts, meetings with authors has proven that appealing to rich Russians is a pointless and humiliating waste of time. Just to soften the tone of such an ‘antiSemitic’ article, I will add that, in my opinion, the nationally sensitive Jew can often mistake national sensitivity of a Slav for a spectre of anti-Semitism.” Osorgin’s article was accompanied by the editorial (most likely written by the editor-in-chief

Jabotinsky based on the ideas expressed and with a similar style) to the effect that M.A. Osorgin “has no reason to fear that the reader of *Rassvet* would find anti-Semitic tendencies in his article.

There was once a generation that shuddered at the word ‘Jew’ on the lips of a nonJew. One of the foreign leaders of that



## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

generation said: 'The best favour the major press can give us is to not mention us.' He was listened to, and for a long time in progressive circles in Russia and Europe the word 'Jew' was regarded as an unprintable obscenity. Thank God, that time is over. We can assure Osorgin of our understanding and sympathy.... However, we disagree with him on one point. He gives too much importance to the role of Jews in charity among refugees. First, this prominent role is natural. Unlike Russians, we were learning the art of living in Diaspora for a long time. But there is a deeper explanation. We have received much that is precious from the Russian culture; we will use it even in our future independent national art. We Russian Jews are in debt to Russian culture; we have not come close to repaying that debt. Those of us that do what they can to help it survive during these hard times are doing what is right and, we hope, will continue doing so."

However, let us return to the years immediately after the revolution. Political passions were still running high among Russian emigrants, and there was a desire to comprehend what had happened in Russia. Newspapers, magazines, book publishers sprung up. Some rich men, usually Jews, financed this new liberal and more left-of-center Russian emigrant press. There were many Jews among journalists, newspaper and magazine editors, book publishers. A detailed record of their contribution can be found in *The Book of Russian Jewry* (now also in *Jews in the Culture of Russia-in-Exile*).

Of significant historical value among these are the twenty-two volumes of I. V. Gessen's Archive of the Russian Revolution. Gessen himself, along with A. I. Kaminkov and V. D. Nabokov (and G. A. Landau after the latter's death), published a prominent Berlin newspaper *Rul* [Steering

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

Wheel], a kind of emigrant version of *Rech* [Speech], but unlike Milyukov's brainchild, Josef

Gessen's position was consistently patriotic. *Rul* often published articles by G. A. Landau and I. O. Levin, whom I have amply cited, and also articles by the famous literary critic U. I. Aikhenvald. The political spectrum of Berlin papers ranged from *Rul* on the right to the socialists on the left. A. F. Kerensky published *Dni* [Days], which provided a platform for such personalities as A. M.

Kulisher-Yunius (author of a number of sociological works and a Zionist from Jabotinsky's circle),

S. M. Soloveichik, the famous former Socialist Revolutionary O. C. Minor (he also wrote for the

Prague *Volya Rossii* [Russia's Will], and the former secretary of the Constituent Assembly M. V. Vishnyak. In

1921 U. O. Martov and R. A. Abramovich founded the *Socialist Herald* in Berlin (it later moved to Paris and then New York). F. I. Dan, D. U. Dalin, P. A. Garvi, and G. Y. Aranson worked on it among others.

V. E. Jabotinsky, whose arrival in Berlin (after three years in Jerusalem) coincided with the first wave of emigration, re-established *Rassvet*, first in Berlin and then in Paris, and also published his own novels. In addition many Russian Jewish journalists lived in Berlin in 1920-1923, working in the local and international emigrant press. There we could find I. M. Trotsky from the defunct *Russkoe Slovo* [Russian Word], N. M. Volkovyssky, P. I. Zvezdich (who died at the hands of Nazis during the World War II), the Menshevik S. O. Portugeis from the St. Petersburg *Den* [Day] where he wrote under the pseudonym S. Ivanovich, the playwright Osip Dymov-Perelman, and the novelist V. Y. Iretsky.

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

Berlin also became the capital of Russian book publishing: In 1922, all these Russian publishers released more Russian books and publications than there were German books published in the whole of Germany. Most of these publishers and booksellers were Jewish. Most notable were the publishing houses of I. P. Ladyzhnikov, owned since the war by B. N. Rubinstein (classical, modern and popular scientific literature), of Z. I. Grzhebin (which had links to the Soviets, and so sold some of his works in the USSR), the publishing house Word, established as early as 1919 and run by I. V. Gessen and A. I. Kaminka (collections of Russian classics, emigrant writers and philosophers, valuable historical and biographical works), and the artistically superb issues of Zhar-Ptitsa run by A. E. Kogan. Also there was *Edges* of A. Tsatskis, *Petropolis* of Y. N. Blokh, *Obelisk* of A. S. Kagan, *Helicon* of A.G. Vishnyak, and *Scythians* of I. Shteinberg. S. Dubnov's *World History of the Jewish People* was also published in Berlin in ten German volumes, and during the 1930s in Russian in Riga.

Riga and other cities in the once again independent Baltic countries (with their substantial Jewish populations) became major destinations of Jewish emigration. Moreover, the only common language that Latvians, Estonians and Lithuanians shared was Russian, and so the Riga newspaper *Sevodnya* [Today] (publishers Ya. I. Brams and B. Yu. Polyak) became highly influential. A large number of Russian-Jewish journalists worked there: the editor M. I. Ganfman, and after his death M. S. Milrud; *Segodnya Vecherom* [This Evening] was edited by B. I. Khariton. The latter two were arrested by the NKVD in 1940 and died in Soviet camps. V. Ziv, an economist, and M. K. Aizenshtadt (under the pen names of first Zheleznov, then Argus) wrote for the newspaper. Gershon Svet wrote from Berlin. Andrei

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

Sedykh (Y. M. Tsvibak) was its Paris correspondent, Volkovyssky reported from Berlin, and L. M. Nemanov from Geneva. From the late 1920s, Berlin started to lose its position as the centre of emigrant culture because of the economic instability and the rise of Nazism. *Rul* had to close in 1931. Emigrants had dispersed with the main wave going to France, especially to Paris which was already a major centre of emigration. In Paris the main emigrant newspaper was *Poslednie Novosti* [Breaking News], founded at the beginning of 1920 by the St. Petersburg barrister M. L. Goldstein. It was financed by M. S. Zalshupin, and in a year the newspaper was bought by P. N. Milyukov. While it was in a precarious position, the paper was significantly financially supported by M. M. Vinaver. Milyukov's right hand was A. A. Polyakov. Editorials and political articles were written by Kulisher-Yunius, who was arrested in 1942 in France and died in a concentration camp. The international news section was run by M. Yu.

Berkhin-Benedictov, an acquaintance of Jabotinsky. The staff included the acerbic publicist S. L. Polyakov-Litovtsev (who had only learnt to speak and write Russian at fifteen), B. S. MirkinGetsevich (who wrote as Boris Mirsky), the noted Kadet publicist Pyotr Ryss and others. *Poslednie Novosti* published the satirical articles of I. V. Dioneo-Shklovsky and the popular science of Yu. Delevsky (Ya. L. Yudelevsky). The best humorists were V. Azov (V. A. Ashkenazi), Sasha Cherny (A. M. Gliksberg), the "king of humour" Don-Aminado (Shpolyansky).

*Poslednie Novosti* had the widest circulation of all emigrant newspapers. Shulgin called it "the citadel of political Jewishness and philo-Semitic Russians." Sedykh regarded this opinion as an "obvious exaggeration." The political tension around the paper also

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

stemmed from the fact that immediately after the Civil War it was dedicated to “disclosure” and sometimes outright condemnation of the Volunteer Army. Sedykh noted that in Paris “there was not only a political divide, but also a national one”; Milyukov’s editorial team included many Russian-Jewish journalists, while Jewish names virtually never appeared on the pages of the right-wing *Vozrozhdenie* [Rebirth] with the exception of I. M. Bikerman. (*Vozrozhdenie* was founded later than the other papers and ceased operation in 1927, when its benefactor Gukasov fired the main editor P. B. Struve.

The leading literary-political magazine *Sovremennye Zapiski* [Contemporary Notes], published in Paris from 1920 to 1940, was established and run by Socialist Revolutionaries, N. D. Avksentiev, I. I. Fondaminsky-Bunakov, V. V. Rudnev, M. V. Vishnyak and A. I. Gukovsky.

Sedykh noted that “out of its five editors, three were Jews.” In 70 volumes of the *Sovremennye Zapiski* we see fiction, articles on various topics and the memoirs of a large number of Jewish authors. *Illyustrirovannaya Rossia* [Illustrated Russia] was published by the St. Petersburg journalist M. P. Mironov, and later by B. A. Gordon (earlier the owner of Priazovsky Krai). Its weekly supplement gave the readers 52 pieces of classic or contemporary emigrant literature each year. The literary emigrant world also included many prominent Russian Jews, such as Mark Aldanov, Semyon Yushkevich, the already mentioned Jabotinsky and Yuly Aikhenvald, M. O. Tsetlin (Amari). However, the topic of Russian emigrant literature cannot be examined in any detail here due to its immenseness.

Here I would like to address the life of Ilya Fondaminsky (born in 1880). He was born into a prosperous

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

merchant family and married in his youth to the granddaughter of the millionaire tea trader V. Y. Vysotsky, yet he nonetheless joined the Socialist Revolutionaries (SRs) and sacrificed a large part of his wealth and his wife's inheritance to the revolution by buying weaponry. He worked towards the outbreak of the All-Russian political strike in 1905 and during the uprising he served in the headquarters of the SRs. He emigrated from Russia to Paris in 1906, where he became close to D. Merezhkovsky and Z. Gippius and developed an interest in Christianity.

He returned to St. Petersburg in April 1917. In the summer of 1917 he was the commissar of the Black Sea Fleet, and later a delegate in the Constituent Assembly, fleeing after it was disbanded. From 1919 he lived in Paris, France, during the period under discussion. He devoted much time and effort to *Sovremennye Zapiski*, including publication of a series of articles titled *The Ways of Russia*. He played an active role in emigrant cultural life and provided all possible support to Russian writers and poets. For a while he even managed to maintain a Russian theatre in Paris. His passion, many-sidedness, energy and selflessness were without parallel among emigrants. He estranged himself from the SRs and joined the Christian Democrats. Along with the like-minded G. P. Fedotov and F. A. Stepun he began to publish the Christian Democratic *Novy Grad* [New City]. He grew ever closer to Orthodoxy during these years. In June 1940, he fled Paris from the advancing German forces, but came back and was arrested in July 1941 and sent to Compiègne camp near Paris; by some accounts, he converted to Christianity there. In 1942, he was deported to Auschwitz and killed.

Between 1920 and 1924, the most important forum for purely Jewish issues was the Paris weekly *Jewish*

# THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

*Tribune*, published in both French and Russian with the prominent participation of M. M. Vinaver and S. B. Pozner. It published articles by many of the aforementioned journalists from other newspapers.

*Novoe Russkoe Slovo* [New Russian Word] was founded in 1910 in the United States and added its voice from across the ocean. Its publisher from 1920 was V. I. Shimkin and the main editor (from 1922) was M. E. Veinbaum. Veinbaum remembered: The newspaper was often criticised, and not without reason. But gradually it earned the reader's confidence. Its masthead now proudly boasts: "the oldest Russian newspaper in the world"; it is even two years older than Pravda. All the others have died out at various times, for various reasons.

Right-wing or nationalist Russian newspapers appeared in Sofia, Prague, and even Suvorin's *Novoe Vremya* [New Times] continued in Belgrade as *Vechnee Vremya* [Evening Times], but they all either collapsed or withered away without leaving a lasting contribution. (The publisher of *Rus* in Sofia was killed.) The Paris *Vozrozhdenie* of Yu. Semenov did not shirk from anti-Semitic outbursts, but not under Struve's short reign.

## Early Soviet "Anti-Semitism"

Those who left soon after the Bolshevik victory could not even imagine the scale of the inferno that broke out in Russia. It was impossible to believe in rumours. Testimonies from the White camp were mostly ignored. This changed when several Russian democratic journalists, the Constitutional Democrat (Kadet) A. V. Tyrkova-Williams, the socialist E. D. Kuskova (exiled from the USSR in 1922), and the escaped SR S. S. Maslov began to inform the stunned

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

emigrant public about rapid growth of grass-root anti-Semitism in Soviet Russia: “Judæphobia is one of the most acrid features of modern Russia. Perhaps even the acridest. Judæphobia is everywhere:

North, South, East, and West. It is shared regardless of intellect, party membership, tribe, age....

Even some Jews share it.”

These claims were at first met with suspicion by Jews who had emigrated earlier – what’s the reason for this anti-Semitism? The *Jewish Tribune* initially rejected these claims: “Generally, Russian Jewry suffered from Bolshevism perhaps more than any other ethnic group in Russia”; as to the “familiar identification of Jews and commissars – we all know that it is the work of the [anti-Semitic] Black Hundreds.”

The old view, that anti-Semitism resides not in the people but in Czarism, began to transform into another, that the Russian people are themselves its carriers. Therefore, Bolsheviks should be credited for the suppression of popular Black Hundred attitudes in Russia. Others began to excuse even their capitulation at Brest [at which Russia ceded large amounts of territory to the Kaiser’s German military]. The *Jewish Tribune* in 1924 dusted off even such argument: “The Russian revolution of 1917, when it reached Brest-Litovsk, prevented the much greater and more fateful betrayal planned by Czarist Russia.”) Yet the information was gradually confirmed; moreover, anti-Jewish sentiments spread over a large segment of Russian emigration. The Union for Russian Salvation (dedicated to crown prince Nikolai Nikolaevich) produced leaflets for distribution in the USSR in a manner like this:

**“To the Red Army. The Jews have ruled Great Russia for seven years.... To Russian workers. You were**



## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

**assured that you would be the masters of the country; that it will be the ‘dictatorship of the proletariat.’ Where is it then? Who is in power in all the cities of the republic?”** Of course, these leaflets did not reach the USSR, but they scared and offended Jewish emigrants.

S. Litovtsev wrote: “In the beginning of 1920s, anti-Semitism among emigrants became almost an illness, a sort of delirium tremens.” But it was a broader attitude as many in Europe during the first years after the Bolshevik victory rejected and damned the Jews, so that the identification of Bolshevism with Judaism became a widespread part of European thought. It is ridiculous to assert that it is only anti-Semites preach this social-political heresy. But could it be that the conclusions of Dr. Pasmanik were somehow premature? Yet this is what he wrote in 1922: “In the whole civilised world, among all nations and social classes and political parties, it is the established opinion now that Jews played the crucial role in the appearance and in all the manifestations of Bolshevism. Personal experience tells that this is the opinion not only of downright anti-Semites, but also that representatives of the democratic public reference these claims, i.e., to the role of Jews not only in Russian Bolshevism, but also in Hungary, Germany and everywhere else it has appeared. At the same time, the downright anti-Semites care little for truth.

For them all Bolsheviks are Jews, and all Jews are Bolsheviks.”

Bikerman wrote a year later: “Waves of Judæphobia now roll over nations and peoples, with no end in sight, not just in Bavaria or Hungary, not only in the nations formed from the ruins of the once great Russia, but also in countries separated from Russia by continents and oceans and untouched by the turmoil. Japanese academics came to

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

Germany to get acquainted with anti-Semitic literature: there is interest in us even on distant islands where almost no Jews live. It is precisely Judeophobia – the fear of the Jew-destroyer. Russia's miserable fate serves as the material evidence to frighten and enrage.”

In the collective declaration *To the Jews of the World!* the authors warn: **“Never have so many clouds gathered above the Jewish people.”**

Should we conclude that these authors exaggerated, that they were too sensitive? That they imagined a non-existent threat? Yet doesn't the abovementioned warning about anti-Semitic literature in Germany sound very scary in retrospect, from our historical perspective?

The opinion that Jews created Bolshevism was already so widespread in Europe (this was the “average opinion of French and English philistines,” Pasmanik notes) that it was supported even by Plekhanov's son-in-law, George Bato, who claims in his book that Jews are inherently revolutionaries: “As Judaism preaches an ideal of social justice on earth, it has to support revolution.”

Pasmanik cites Bato: “Over the centuries Jews have always been against the established order. This does not mean that Jews carried out all revolutions, or that they were always the sole or even main instigators; they help the revolutions and participate in them. One can responsibly claim, as many Russian patriots, often from very progressive circles do, that Russia now agonizes under the power of Jewish dictatorship and Jewish terror. Impartial analysis of the worldwide situation shows the rebirth of anti-Semitism, not so much against Jews as individuals, as against the manifestations of the Jewish spirit.”

The Englishman Hilaire Belloc similarly wrote about “the Jewish character of the Bolshevik revolution,” or

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

simply: “the Jewish revolution in Russia.” Pasmanik adds that “anyone who has lived in England recently knows that Belloc’s opinion is not marginal. The books of both authors (Bato and Belloc) are enormously popular with the public. Journalists all over the world argue that all the destructive ideas of the past hundred years are spread by Jews, through precisely Judaism.”

“We must defend ourselves,” Pasmanik writes, “because we cannot deny obvious facts. We cannot just declare that the Jewish people are not to blame for the acts of this or that individual Jew. Our goal is not only an argument with anti-Semites, but also a struggle with Bolshevism not only to parry blows, but to inflict them on those proclaiming the Kingdom of Ham. To fight against Ham is the duty of Japheth and Shem, and of Helenes, and Hebrews. Where should we look for the real roots of Bolshevism? Bolshevism is primarily an anti-cultural force. It is both a Russian and a global problem, and not the machination of the notorious ‘Elders of Zion.’”

### **The Protocols**

The Jews acutely realized the need to defend themselves in part because the post-war Europe and America were flooded with *Protocols of the Elders of Zion*, suddenly and virtually instantly. These were five editions in England in 1920, several editions in both Germany and France; half a million copies in America were printed by Henry Ford. The unheard-of success of the *Protocols*, which were translated into several languages, showed how much the Bolshevik revolution was believed to be Jewish.

English researcher Norman Cohn wrote: “In the years immediately after the World War I, when the *Protocols*

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

entered mainstream and thundered across the world, many otherwise entirely sensible people took them completely seriously.”

The London *Times* and *Morning Post* of that time vouched for their authenticity, although by August 1921 the *Times* published a series of articles from its Istanbul correspondent, Philipp Greaves, who sensationally demonstrated the extensive borrowing of the text in the Protocols from one of Maurice Jolie’s anti-Napoleon III pamphlets (*The Dialogue in Hell between Machiavelli and Montesquieu*, 1864). At that time, the French police managed to confiscate every single copy of the infamous pamphlet.

The *Protocols* came to the West from a Russia overtaken by the Civil War. The official version of their provenance is that they are a journalistic fraud produced in the early 20th century, in 1900 or 1901. The *Protocols* were first published in 1903 in St. Petersburg. The mastermind behind them is thought to be P. I. Rachkovsky, the 1884-1902 head of the Foreign Intelligence unit of the Police Department; their production is attributed to Matvei Golovinsky, a secret agent from 1892 and son of V. A. Golovinsky, who was a member of Petrashevsky Circle. The latter was a Russian literary discussion group of progressive-minded commoner-intellectuals in St. Petersburg organized by Mikhail Petrashevsky, a follower of the French utopian socialist Charles Fourier. Among the members were writers, teachers, students, minor government officials, army officers. While differing in political views, most of them were opponents of the Czarist autocracy and Russian serfdom. Among those connected to the circle was the writer Dostoyevsky.

Still, new theories about the origin of the *Protocols* appear all the time. Although the *Protocols* were published

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

and re-published in 1905, 1906, 1911, they had little success in prerevolutionary Russia. They did not find broad support in Russian society and the Court did not give support to their distribution either. After many failed attempts, the *Protocols* were finally presented to Nicholas II in 1906 and he was very impressed. His notes on the margins of the book included: “What a foresight!”, “What precise execution!”, “It is definitely them who orchestrated the revolutionary events of 1905!”, “There can be no doubt about their authenticity.”

But when the right-wing activists suggested using the *Protocols* for the defence of the monarchy, Prime Minister P. A. Stolypin ordered a secret investigation into their origins. It showed they were a definite fabrication. The monarch was shocked by Stolypin’s report, but wrote firmly: “remove the *Protocols* from circulation. You cannot defend a noble cause with dirty means.” From that point on Russia’s rulers’ dismissed *Protocols of the Elders of Zion*; no reference to the *Protocols* was allowed even during the Beilis Trial.

However, 1918 changed everything for the *Protocols*. After the Bolsheviks seized power, after the murder of the royal family and the beginning of the Civil War, the popularity of the *Protocols* surged. They were printed and re-printed by the OsvAg [White Army counterintelligence agency in the South of Russia] in Novocherkassk, Kharkov, Rostov-on-Don, Omsk, Khabarovsk, Vladivostok, and were widely circulated among both the Volunteer Army and the population (and later Russian emigrants, especially in Sofia and Belgrade). After the Bolshevik victory, the selling of *Protocols* was banned in Russia and become a criminal offence, but in Europe the *Protocols* brought in by the White emigration played an ominous role in the development of right-wing ideology, especially National Socialism in Germany.

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

Exposure of the *Protocols* as forgery, and general denial of identity between Bolsheviks and Jews constituted a major share of liberal emigrant journalism of the 1920s and 1930s. We see several prominent Russians there: Milyukov, Rodichev, Burtsev and Kartashev.

A.V. Kartashev, historian of religion, Orthodox theologian and at the same time, a public figure, wrote about the unacceptability of anti-Semitism for a Christian in the pre-revolutionary collection *Shchit* [Shield], which I have often cited. In 1922, in emigration, he wrote the foreword to Yu. Delevsky's book on the *Protocols*. In 1937 Burtsev too asked him to write a foreword for his book. Kartashev wrote in it: "A man with common sense, good will and a little scientific discipline cannot even discuss the authenticity of this police and journalistic forgery, though certainly a talented forgery, able to infect the ignorant.... It's unfair to continue supporting this obvious deceit after it has been so unambiguously exposed. Yet it is equally unfair to do the opposite, to exploit the easy victory over the *Protocols'* authenticity to dismiss legitimate concerns. A half-truth is a lie. The whole truth is that the Jewish question is posed before the world as one of the tragic questions of history. And it cannot be resolved either by savage pogroms, or by libel and lies, but only by honest and open efforts of all mankind. Pogroms and slander make a sensible and honest raising of the question more difficult, degrading it to outright stupidity and absurdity. They confuse the Jews themselves, who constantly emphasize their 'oppressed innocence' and expect from everybody else nothing but sympathy and some sort of obligatory Judeophilia." Kartashev certainly regarded debunking of this sensational apocrypha as a moral duty, but also thought that "in washing out the dust of *Protocols* from the eyes of the ignorant, it is unacceptable to impair their

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

vision anew by pretending that this obliterates the Jewish question itself.”

Indeed, the Jewish question cannot be removed by either books or articles. Consider the new reality faced in the 1920s by Jews in the Baltic countries and Poland. In the Baltics, although Jews managed to maintain for a while their influential position in trade and industry, they felt social pressure. A good half of Russian Jewry lived in the newly independent states. New states trumpet their nationalism all the louder the less secure they feel. There Jews feel themselves besieged by a hostile, energetic and restless popular environment. One day, it is demanded that there be no more Jews percentage-wise in the institutions of higher learning than in the army. The next, the air of everyday life becomes so tense and stressful that Jews can no longer breathe. In the self-determined nations, the war against Jews is waged by the society itself: by students, military, political parties, and ordinary people. I. Bickerman concluded that “in leading the charge for self-determination, Jews were preparing the ground for their own oppression by virtue of higher dependence on the alien society. The situation of Jews in Latvia, Estonia and Lithuania is literally tragic. Yesterday’s oppressed are today’s oppressors, what is more – extremely uncouth oppressors, entirely unashamed of their lack of culture.”

So it transpired that the breakup of Russia also meant the breakup of Russian Jewry as the history paradoxically showed that the Jews were better off in the united Russian Empire despite all the oppression. So now in these splintered border countries Jews became the faithful guardians of the Russian language, Russian culture, impatiently waiting for the restoration of the great Russia. Schools that still teach in Russian became filled with Jewish children, to the exclusion

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

of learning the languages of the newly-formed states. In these tiny countries, the Russian Jew, accustomed to life in the open swathes of a great empire, felt uncomfortable, squeezed and diminished in his social status, despite all the civil rights and autonomy.

Still, the position of Jewry in the circles of international post-war politics was strong, especially in Paris, and in particular regarding Zionism. In July 1922 the League of Nations recognised the World Zionist Organization as the ‘Jewish Agency,’” which first and foremost represented the interests of Zionists, and secondly of non-Zionists, and also provided support to the European Jews.

Bikerman accused the Zionists of seeing a fragmented Russia as an ideal. This is why the organization of Russian Zionists calls itself not Russian, but Russo-Ukrainian. This is why the Zionists and related Jewish groups so assiduously fraternized with the Ukrainian separatists.

\* \* \*

After the Civil War, Soviet Russia sank into a heavy silence. From this point and for decades to follow, all independent voices were squashed and only the official line could be heard. And the less was heard from Russia, the louder was the voice of emigration. All of them, from anarchists to monarchists, looked back in pain and argued intensely: who and to what extent was to blame for what had happened?

Discussion developed within emigrant Jewry as well. In 1923 Bikerman noted: “Jews answer everything with a familiar gesture and familiar words: we know, we’re to blame; whenever something goes wrong, you’ll look for a Jew and find one. Ninety percent of what is written in the



## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

contemporary Jewish press about Jews in Russia is just a paraphrase of this stereotype. And because it's impossible that we're always to blame for everything, Jews take from this the flattering and at first glance quite convenient conclusion that we're always and everywhere in the right."

However, consider: "Before the revolution, the Jewish society passionately argued that a revolution would save the Jews, and we still ardently adhere to this position." When the Jewish organizations gathered resources in the West to aid their co-ethnics, suffering in the USSR, they denounced, belittled, and slandered everything about pre-revolutionary Russia, including the most positive and constructive things; See, Bolshevism has now become the Promised Land, egalitarian and socialist! Many Jews who emigrated from Russia settled in the United States, and pro-Bolshevik attitudes spread quickly among them. The general Jewish mood was that Bolshevism was better than restoration of monarchy. It was widely believed that the fall of Bolshevism in Russia would inevitably engender a new wave of bloody Jewish pogroms and mass extermination. And it is on this basis that Bolshevism is preferred as the lesser evil.

Then, as if to confirm that Bolshevism is changing for the better, that they can learn, the NEP [New Economic Policy] came! The Reds loosened their suffocating grip on the economy, and that made them all the more acceptable. "First NEP, then some concessions – hopefully, it'll all work out for us!"

We cannot call the entire Jewish emigration pro-Bolshevik. Yet they did not see the Bolshevism state as their main enemy, and many still sympathized with it.

Yet a noteworthy incident, mockingly described in *Izvestia*, happened to Goryansky, a Jewish emigrant writer. In 1928, the already famous Babel (and already well-known

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

for his links to the Cheka) was temporarily residing in Paris to muster creative inspiration. While in the Cafe Rotonda he noticed his old acquaintance, probably from Odessa, who magnanimously offered his hand to him: “Greetings, Goryansky.” But Goryansky stood up and contemptuously turned away from the offered hand.

The rise of Hitlerism in Germany naturally and for a long time reinforced the preference for Bolshevism in the social mind of the European Jewry. The First International Jewish Congress took place in Vienna in August 1936. M. Vishnyak disapprovingly suggested that the collective attitude toward the Bolshevik régime was perfectly exemplified by the opinion of N. Goldman: if all sorts of freedom-loving governments and organizations “flatter and even fawn before the Bolsheviks ... why shouldn’t supporters of Jewish ethnic and cultural independence follow the same path?” Only Moscow’s open support for anti-Jewish violence in Palestine slightly cooled the Congress leaders’ disposition toward the Soviet state. Even then they only protested the banning of Hebrew and the banning of emigration from the USSR to Palestine, and finally they objected to the continuing suffering of Zionists in political prisons and concentration camps. Here N. Goldman found both the necessary words and inspiration.

In 1939 on the eve of the World War II, S. Ivanovich noted: “It cannot be denied that among emigrant Russian Jews the mood is to rely on the perseverance of the Soviet dictatorship if only to prevent pogroms.”

What of Jewish Bolsheviks? I. Bikerman: “Prowess doesn’t taint – that is our attitude to Bolsheviks who were raised among us and to their satanic evil. Or the modern version: Jews have the right to have their own Bolsheviks. I have heard this declaration a thousand times, at meetings of

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

Jewish emigrants in Berlin one after the other, when a respected Kadet, a Democrat, a Zionist ascended the podium and each proclaimed this right of Jews to have their own Bolsheviks ... their right to monstrosity.”

Here are the consequences of these words: Jewish opinion across the world turned away from Russia and accepted the Bolsheviks. When a famous, old, and well respected Jewish public figure – a white crow – suggested to a high Jewish dignitary in one of the European capitals organizing a protest against the executions of Orthodox priests in Russia [i.e. in the USSR], the latter, after reflecting on the idea, said that it would mean struggling against Bolshevism, which he considers an impossible thing to do because the collapse of Bolshevik regime would lead to antiJewish pogroms.

But if they can live with Bolsheviks, what do they think of the White movement? When Josef Bikerman spoke in Berlin in November 1922 at the fifth anniversary of the founding of the White Army, Jewish society in general was offended and took this as a slight against them.

Meanwhile, Dr. D. S. Pasmanik (who fought on the German front until February 1917, then in the White Army until May 1919, when he left Russia) had already finished and in 1923 published in Paris his book *Russian Revolution and Jewry: Bolshevism and Judaism* (I cited it here), where he passionately argued against the commonplace explanation that Bolshevism originated from the Jewish religion. “The identification of Judaism with Bolshevism is a grave global danger.” In 1923, together with I. M. Bikerman, G. A. Landau, I. O. Levin, D. O. Linsky (also an ex-member of the White Army) and V. C. Mandel, Pasmanik founded the National Union of Russian Jews Abroad. This group published an appeal *To the Jews of the World!* in the same

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

year, and soon after published a collection *Russia and the Jews in Berlin*.

Here is how they describe the task they undertook and their feelings. Pasmanik said: "The unspeakable pain of the Jew and the unending sorrow of the Russian citizen motivated this work. Because of the dark events of the recent years, it was difficult to find a balanced point of view on both Russian and Jewish questions. We attempted to merge the interests of the renewed Russia and of the afflicted Russian Jewry."

Linsky: "Unfathomed sorrow dwells in the souls of those who realize their Jewishness while similarly identifying as Russians. It is much easier when one of the two streams of your national consciousness dries up, leaving you only a Jew or only a Russian, thus simplifying your position toward Russia's tragic experience. The villainous years of the revolution killed the shoots of hope" for rapprochement between Jews and Russians that had appeared just before the war; now "we witness active Russo-Jewish divergence."

Levin: "It is our duty to honestly and objectively examine the causes of and the extent of Jewish involvement in the revolution. This might have certain effect on future relations between Russians and Jews."

The co-authors of the collection rightly warned Russians not to mix up the meaning of the February Revolution and Jewish involvement in it. Bikerman if anything minimised this involvement (the power balance between the Executive Committee of the Soviet of Soldiers' and Workers' Deputies and the Provisional Government was for the most part unclear to contemporaries). However, he thought that after the October Bolshevik coup "the Jewish

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

right to have their Bolsheviks implies a duty to have also their right-wingers and extreme right-wingers, the polar opposites of the Bolsheviks.”

Pasmanik: “In all its varieties and forms, Bolshevik communism is an evil and true foe of Jewry, as it is first of all the enemy of personal identity in general and of cultural identity in particular. Bound by a plethora of intimate connections to our motherland, to its political system, economy and culture, we cannot flourish while the country disintegrates around us.”

Obviously, these authors were fully aware of the significance of the Russian catastrophe. In describing those years, I heavily relied on the work of these people with the hope that their bitter, but not at all “self-hating,” reflections can finally be understood and comprehended in their entirety.

Their 1923 Proclamation stated: “The National Union of Russian Jews Abroad firmly believes that the Bolsheviks epitomize the greatest evil for the Jews as well as for all other peoples of Russia.... It is time for the Jew to stop trembling at the thought of going against the revolution....

Rather, the Jew should fear going against his Russian motherland and his Jewish people.”

However, the authors of *Russia And the Jews* saw the Jewish national consciousness of the early 1920s as something very different from what they thought it should have been. “Almost all circles and classes of Russian society are now engaged in grievous self-reflections, trying to comprehend what has happened. Whether these self-accusations and admissions of guilt are fair or not, they at least reveal the work of thought, conscience, and aching hearts.... But it would be no exaggeration to claim that such spiritual work is the least noticeable among the Jewish intelligentsia, which is no doubt a symptom of certain

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

morbidity.... For an outsider, it appears that a typical Jewish intellectual has no concerns.” For this intellectual “everyone else is to blame – the government, the generals, the peasants, etc. He has nothing to do with all this.... In no way did he forge his own destiny and the destinies of those around him; he is just a passerby, hit on the head by a falling brick”; “so they were complicit in destroying the world around them+, but after it was finished they became unaware of their role in it.”

Jewish Bolsheviks was a particular pain for the authors. “A sin that carries the seed of its own nemesis. What greater affliction is there for a people than to see its sons debauched?” It is not just that the Russian upheaval needed people of a certain sort for its perpetuation, or that the Jewish society provided this sort of people; what is most important is that they were not rebuffed, did not meet enough opposition from within their own society. “It is our duty to shoulder the struggle specifically against the Jewish Bolsheviks, against all kinds of YevSeks [the ‘Jewish Section,’ the name given to officials appointed by the Soviets to deal with Jewish affairs], and against Jewish commissars in general.”

It should be noted that these authors were not alone in arguing that Russian (and now emigrant) Jews should fight against the Bolsheviks. From the pages of the *Jewish Tribune*: “If Bolshevism was swept from power in Russia by a wave of popular wrath, Jewry might be held, in the eyes of the masses, responsible for prolonging Bolshevism’s lifespan. Only active participation in the struggle to liquidate Bolshevism can secure Jews a safe position in the common cause of saving Russia.”

Bikerman warned: “If we support the Bolsheviks on the principle that your own shirt is closer to the body than we

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

should not forget that we thus allow the Russian to take care of his own shirt that is closer to his body; that it justifies the call, 'Slaughter Yids, Save Russia.'”

What of the Jewish attitudes toward the White Army? “This unworthy attitude that Jews have towards people who have taken upon their shoulders the endlessly difficult task of fighting for Russia, for the millions of the sheepish and weak-willed, points out to the complete moral disintegration, to a sort of perversion of mind. While all of us, Jews and non-Jews alike, placed ourselves obediently under the communist yoke and our backs under the whip, there were some Russians, courageous and proud, who overcame all obstacles, gathered from what remained of the breached and ripped apart fronts [of World War I], consolidated and raised the banner of resistance. Just that they were willing to fight under these circumstances alone immortalizes them for the history. And these people became an object for abuse on the side of so many Jews, libeled by every loquacious tongue so instead of appreciation the tragedy, we see epidemic mindlessness, endless laxity of speech, and triumphant superficiality.” And yet “the Russia for which the Whites fought is not alien to us; it is ‘our shirt’ too. Jewry should have fought for the White cause as for the cause of Jewish salvation, for only in the restoration and swift rescue of Russian statehood can Jews find salvation from that death that has never been as close as in these days.”

(Death was indeed approaching, although from another direction).

Who would deny these conclusions today, after decades of the Soviet regime? But at that time only few authors, Jewish or Russian, could see so far ahead. The Jewish emigrant community as a whole rejected these thoughts. And thus, they failed the test of history. It might be

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

objected that it did not cause Jewry any noticeable, significant harm, and certainly it was not the Holocaust brought by Hitlerism. Yes, it did not bring commensurable physical harm, but historically its spiritual harm was noticeable. Take, for instance, the success of Bolshevism in the expulsion of the Jewish religion from the country where it had once deeply spread its sacred roots. And there was more – the Jews, by betting on Bolshevism influenced the overall course of events in Europe. The authors of the *Russia And the Jews* appealed in vain: “In the many centuries of Jewish dispersion there has not been a political catastrophe as deeply threatening to our national existence as the breaking of the Russian power, for never have the vital forces of the Jewish people been as united as in the bygone, living Russia. Even the breakup of the Caliphate can scarcely compare with the current disaster. For the united Russian Jewry, the breakup of Russia into separate sovereign states is a national calamity. If there is no place for the Jews in the great spaces of the Russian land, in the boundlessness of the Russian soul, then there is no space for

Jews anywhere in the world.... Woe to us, if we do not wise up.”

Of course, by the very end of the 20th century we can easily reject these grim prophecies, if only as a matter of fact – just as enough space has been found on earth for formerly Russian Jews, so a Jewish state has been founded and secured itself, while Russia still lies in ruin, powerless and humiliated. The warnings of the authors on how Russia should be treated already appear a great exaggeration, a failed prophecy. And now we can reflect on these words only in regard of the spiritual chord that so unexpectedly bound the two our peoples together in History. “If Russia is not our motherland, then we are foreigners and have no right to



## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

interfere in her national life. Russia will survive; her renaissance must become our national concern, the concern of the entire Russian Jewry.” And in conclusion: “The fate of Russian Jewry is inextricably linked to the fate of Russia; we must save Russia, if we want to save Jewry. The Jews must fight the molesters of the great country shoulder to shoulder with all other anti-Bolshevik forces; a consolidated struggle against the common enemy will heal the rifts and substantially reduce the current dramatic and ubiquitous growth of anti-Semitism; only by saving Russia, can we prevent a Jewish catastrophe.”

Catastrophe! – this was said ten years before Hitler’s ascension to power, eighteen years before his stunning sweep across the USSR and before the start of his program of Jewish extermination. Would it have been possible for Hitler to preach hatred of Jews and communists in Germany so easily and successfully, to claim Jews and communists are the same, if the Jews were among the most prominent and persistent opponents of the Soviet regime? The spiritual search of the authors of *Russia and the Jews* led them to prophetically sense the shadow of the impending Jewish catastrophe, though erring in its geographical origin and failing to predict other fateful developments. Yet their dreadful warning remained unheard.

I am not aware of anything else close to *Russia And the Jews* in the history of Russian Jewish relations. It shook the Jewish emigration. Imagine how hurtful it was to hear such things coming from Jewish lips, from within Jewry itself. On the part of Russians, we must learn a lesson from this story as well. We should take *Russia And The Jews* as an example of how to love our own people and at the same time be able to speak about our mistakes, and to do so mercilessly if necessary. And in doing that, we should never alienate or

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

separate ourselves from our people. The surest path to social truth is for each to admit their own mistakes, from each, from every side.

Having devoted much time and thought to these authors (and having dragged the reader along with me), I would like here to leave a brief record of their lives.

\*Josef Menassievich Bikerman (1867-1942) came from a poor petty bourgeois family. He attended a cheder, then a yeshiva, provided for himself from the age of fifteen; educated himself under difficult circumstances. In 1903, he graduated from the historical-philological faculty of the Imperial Novorossiia University (after a two-year-exclusion gap for participation in student unrest). He opposed Zionism as, in his opinion, an illusory and reactionary idea. He called on Jews to unite, without relinquishing their spiritual identity, with progressive forces in Russia to fight for the good of the common motherland. His first article was a large tract on Zionism published in the *Russkoe Bogatstvo* [Russian Treasure] (1902, issue 7), which was noticed and debated even abroad. In 1905, he was deeply involved in the Liberation movement. He worked in several periodicals: *Syn Otechestva* [Son of the Fatherland], *Russkoe Bogatstvo*, *Nash Den* [Our day], *Bodroe Slovo* [Buoyant Word]. As an emigrant, he was printed in the Paris *Vozrozhdenie*, when it was run by P. B. Struve.

\*Danil Samoilovich Pasmanik (1869-1930) was a son of Melamed (a teacher in a cheder). In 1923, he graduated from the medical faculty of Zurich University and then practiced medicine in Bulgaria for seven years. In 1899-1905 he was the freelance lecturer in the medical faculty at Geneva University. He joined Zionist movement in 1900 and became one of its leading theorists and publicists. He returned to Russia in 1905 and passed the medical license exam. He

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

participated in the struggle for civil rights for Jews; he opposed the Bund and worked on the program for PoaleZion; in 1906-1917 he was a member of the Central Committee of the Russian Zionist organization. He was a member of editorial boards of *Evreiskaya Zhizn* [Jewish Life], and then of *Rassvet*. He wrote many articles for *Evreisky Mir* [Jewish World] and the *Jewish Encyclopaedia*. He published his medical works in specialized journals in German and French.

Pasmanik was in Vienna when the WWI broke out in 1914, from where he with great difficulty managed to return to Russia; he joined the army and served in field hospitals until

February 1917. He joined the Kadets after the February Revolution; he supported General Kornilov and the White movement; in 1918-1919 he was involved in the White government of the Crimea, was elected chairman of the Union of the Jewish Communities of the Crimea. In 1919, he emigrated from Russia to France. In 1920-1922 in Paris he together with V. L. Burtsev edited the White émigré newspaper *Obshchee Delo* [Common Cause]. Overall, he authored hundreds of articles and tens of books; the most notable of them include *Wandering Israel: The Psychology of Jewry in Dispersion* (1910), *Fates of the Jewish People: The Problems of Jewish Society* (1917), *The Russian Revolution and Jewry: Bolshevism and Judaism* (1923) *The Revolutionary Years in Crimea* (1926), *What Is Judaism?* (French edition, 1930).

\*Isaak Osipovich Levin (1876-1944) was a historian and publicist. Before the revolution, he worked as a foreign affairs commentator for *Russkie Vedomosti* [Russian Journal] and for the P. B. Struve's magazine, *Russkaya Mysl* [Russian Thought]. He emigrated first to Berlin. He was a member of the Russian Institute of Science, worked in the

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

Rul, *Russkie Zapiski* and in the historical-literary almanac *Na Chuzhoi Storone* [In the Foreign Land]; he regularly gave presentations in particular on the topic of the rise of German anti-Semitism. He moved to Paris in 1931 or 1932. He was widowed and lived in poverty. Among his works are *Emigration During the French Revolution* and a book in French about Mongolia. During the German occupation, he registered according to his “racial origins” as was required by authorities; he was arrested in the early 1943, for a short time was held in a concentration camp near Paris, then deported; he died in a Nazi concentration camp in 1944.

\*Grigory (Gavriel) Adolfovich Landau (1877-1941) was son of the well-known publicist and publisher A. E. Landau. He graduated from the law faculty of the St. Petersburg University in 1902. He wrote for periodicals from 1903, in the newspapers *Voskhod* [Sunrise], *Nash Den*, *Evreiskoe Obozrenie* [Jewish Observer], the magazines *Bodroe Slovo*, *Evreisky Mir*, *Vestnik Evropy* [European Herald], *Sovremennik*, *Severnye Zapiski* [Northern Notes], and the yearly almanac *Logos*. He was one of the founders of the Jewish Democratic Group in 1904 and the Union for Equal Rights for Jews in Russia in 1905.

He was an outstanding Kadet, member of the Central Committee of the Kadet Party. In August 1917, he participated in the Government Conference in Moscow; from December 1917 he was a member of the Executive Committee of the Jewish Community of Petrograd. He emigrated to Germany in 1919; from 1922 to 1931 he was I. V. Gessen's deputy at *Rul*. Apart from *Rul*, he also wrote for the magazine, *Russkaya Mysl*, the weekly *Russia and the Slavs*, the collection *Chisla* [Dates], etc. He often lectured at émigré evenings; in 1927 in the talk titled *The Eurasian Delusion* he criticised “eurasianism” as a movement contrary

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

to the values of Russian history and leading to ideological Bolshevism. From Nazi Germany he fled to Latvia, where he worked for the Riga newspaper *Sevodnya* [Today]. He was arrested by the NKVD in June 1941 and died in the Usollag camp (near Solikamsk) in November.

Among his works the most influential were *Clownish Culture* (in *Nash Den*, 1908), the article *Twilight of Europe* (*Severnye Zapiski*, 1914, issue 12), which antedated much of what would later bestow worldwide fame on Oswald Spengler, and later a book with the same title (Berlin, 1923), *Polish-Jewish Relations* (1915), *On Overcoming Evil* (in the collection book *The*

*Works of Russian Scholars Abroad*, Berlin, 1923), *The Byzantine and the Hebrew* (*Russkaya Mysl*, 1923, issues 1 and 2), *Theses Against Dostoevsky* (*Chisla*, volume 6, Paris, 1932), *Epigraphs* (Berlin, 1927). Much of what he wrote was dismissed by contemporaries. He was too conservative in spirit to be accepted by the progressive public. He was a sagacious thinker.

We could not find any substantial information about D. O. Linsky (he served in the White Army during the Civil War) or V. C. Mandel, who was an active participant in Russian political life in 1907-1918. He emigrated to Berlin and died in 1931.)

\* \* \*

In *Russia And The Jews*, the behavior of Jewish emigrants during 1920s was explicitly and harshly admonished. The authors called on their co-ethnics to “admit their own mistakes and not to judge the Great Russia in which they had lived and which they had made a home for hundreds of years”; to “remember how they demanded justice for

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

themselves and how upset they are when they are collectively accused for the acts of some individuals.” Jews should not be afraid to acknowledge some responsibility for all that has happened. “First of all, we must determine precisely our share of responsibility and so counter anti-Semitic slander.... This is absolutely not about becoming accustomed to anti-Semitism, as claimed by some Jewish demagogues.... This admission is vital for us, it is our moral duty. Jewry has to pick righteous path worthy of the great wisdom of our religious teachings which will lead us to brotherly reconciliation with the Russian people to build the Russian house and the Jewish home so they might stand for centuries to come.”

But “we spread storms and thunder and expect to be cradled by gentle zephyrs.... I know you will shriek that I am justifying pogroms! ... I know how much these people are worth, who think themselves salt of the earth, the arbiters of fate, and at the very least the beacons of Israel.... They, whose every whisper is about Black Hundreds and Black Hundreders, they themselves are dark people, their essence is black, very obscure indeed, they were never able to comprehend the power of creativity in human history.... It is imperative for us to make less of a display of our pain, to shout less about our losses. It is time we understood that crying and wailing is mostly evidence of emotional infirmity, of a lack of culture of the soul. You are not alone in this world, and your sorrow cannot fill the entire universe. When you put on a display only your own grief, only your own pain, it shows disrespect to others’ grief, to others’ sufferings.” That could have been said today, and to all of us.

These words cannot be obviated either by the millions lost in the prisons and camps of the GULag, nor by the millions exterminated in the Nazi death camps.

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

The lectures of the authors of *Russia And the Jews* at that year's National Union of Jews were met with great indignation on the part of emigrant Jewry. Even when explicitly or tacitly accepting the truth of the facts and the analysis, many expressed indignation or surprise that anyone dared to bring these into the open. See, it was not the right time to speak of Jews, to criticise them, to determine their revolutionary misdeeds and responsibility, when Jewry has just suffered so much and may suffer even more in the future. The collection's authors were almost declared enemies of the [Jewish] people, the abettors of reaction and allies of the pogromists.

The *Jewish Tribune* replied to them from Paris a few months later: "The question of Jewish responsibility for the Russian revolution has hitherto only been posed by anti-Semites. But now there is a whole penitent and accusative movement, apparently, we have to not only blame others, but also admit our own faults, yet there is nothing new apart from the same old boring name counting of Jews among Bolsheviks. Too late did Mr. Landau come to love the old statehood; penitent Jews turned reactionaries, their words are incompatible with the dignity of the Jewish people and are completely irresponsible."

Especially offensive was this attempt to separate the popular anti-Semitism from the official one, attempting to prove that "the people, the society, the country – the entire populace hates the Jews and considers them the true culprit responsible for all national woes; just like those who connived the pogroms, they repeat the old canard about the popular anger."

Sometimes it descended into the outright abuse: "This group of Berlin journalists and activists, which has nearly disappeared from the Jewish public life by now craves to put

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

themselves into limelight again, and for that they could think of no better way than to attack their own compatriots, Russian Jews, This tiny group of loyalists Jews are blinded by a desire to turn the wheel of history backwards, they write indecencies, give comical advice, take on themselves the ridiculous role of healers to cure national wounds. They should remember that sometimes it is better to stay quiet.”

One sophisticated modern critic could find a better assessment for that collection than a severe hysteria. Both that attempt and their later journey are genuine tragedies, in his opinion, and he explains this tragedy as a self-hatred complex.

Yet was Bikerman hateful when he wrote, on his “later tragic journey,” that: “The Jewish people is not a sect, not an order, but a whole people, dispersed over the world but united in itself; it has raised up the banner of peaceful labour and has gathered around this banner, as around the symbol of godly order”?

However, it is not true that European or émigré Jews did not at all hark to such explanations or warnings. A similar discussion had taken place a little earlier, in 1922. In the reestablished Zionist publication *Rassvet* the nationalist G. I. Shekhtman expressed his incomprehension at how the intelligentsia of other nationalities could be anything other than nationalistic. An intelligentsia is invariably connected to its own nationality and feels its pain. A Jew cannot be a Russian democrat, but naturally a Jewish democrat. “I do not recognise dual national or democratic loyalties.” And if the Russian intelligentsia “does not identify with its nationality” (Herzen), it is simply because until now it “has not had the opportunity or need to feel sharp pains over its national identity, to worry about it. But that has changed now.” Now the Russian intelligentsia “has to cast aside its aspirations to



## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

be a universal All-Russian intelligentsia, and instead to regard itself as the Great Russian democracy.”

It was difficult to counter. The gauntlet was picked up by P. N. Milyukov, though not very confidently. We remember (see Chapter 11) that back in 1909 he had also expressed horror at the unveiling of this stinging, unpleasant national question “who benefits?” But now this new awkward situation (and not a change in Milyukov’s views), when so many Russian intellectuals in emigration suddenly realized that they lost their Russia, forced Milyukov to amend his previous position.

He replied to Shekhtman, though in a rather ambiguous manner and not in his own highly popular *Poslednie Novosti*, but in the *Jewish Tribune* with a much smaller circulation, to the effect that a Russian Jew could and had to be a Russian democrat. Milyukov treaded carefully: “But when this demand is fulfilled, and there appears a new national face of Russian democracy (the Great Russian),” well, wouldn’t Shekhtman be first to get scared at the prospect of “empowerment of ethnically conscious Great Russian Democracy with imperial ambition?” Do we then need these phantoms? Is this what we wish to ruin our relations over?

The émigrés lived in an atmosphere of not just verbal tension. There was a sensational murder trial in Paris in 1927 of a clock-maker, Samuel Shvartsbard, who lost his whole family in the pogroms in Ukraine, and who killed Petliura with five bullets. (*Izvestia* sympathetically reported on the case and printed Shvartsbard’s portrait.) The defence raised the stakes claiming that the murder was a justified revenge for Petliura’s pogroms: “The defendant wished and felt a duty to raise the issue of anti-Semitism before the world’s

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

conscience.” The defence called many witnesses to testify that during the Civil War Petliura had been personally responsible for pogroms in Ukraine. The prosecution suggested that the murder had been ordered by Cheka. “Shvartsbard, agitated, called out from his place: ‘the witness doesn’t want to admit that I acted as a Jew, and so claims I’m a Bolshevik.’”

Shvartsbard was acquitted by the French court. Denikin, a leading White general during the Civil War was mentioned at that trial, and Shvartsbard’s lawyer proclaimed: “If you wish to bring Denikin to trial, I am with you. I would have defended the one who would have taken revenge upon Denikin with the same passionate commitment as I am here defending the man who had taken revenge upon Petliura.”

And as Denikin lived in Paris without guards, anyone wishing to take revenge upon him had an open road. However Denikin was never put on trial. (A similar murder happened later in Moscow in 1929, when Lazar Kolenberg shot the former White general Slashchev, who after the Civil War returned to Russia and served in Soviet military, for doing nothing to stop pogroms in Nikolayev. During the investigation, the accused was found to be mentally incompetent to stand trial and released.

During Shvartsbard’s trial the prosecutor drew a parallel to another notorious case (that of Boris Koverda): for Petliura had previously lived in Poland, but “you [speaking to Shvartsbard] did not attempt to kill him there, as you knew that in Poland you would be tried by military tribunal.” In 1929, a young man, Boris Koverda, also “wishing to present a problem before the world’s conscience,” had killed the Bolshevik sadist Voikov; he was sentenced to ten years in jail and served his full term.

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

A White émigré from Revolutionary Terrorist Boris Savinkov's group, Captain V. F. Klementiev, told me that in Warsaw at that time former Russian officers were abused as "WhiteGuard rascals" and that they were not served in Jewish-owned shops. Such was the hostility, and not just in Warsaw. Russian émigrés all over Europe were flattened by scarcity, poverty, hardship, and they quickly tired of the showdown over "who is more to blame?" Anti-Jewish sentiments among them abated in the second half of the 1920s. During these years Vasily Shulgin wrote: "Are not our visa ordeals remarkably similar to the oppression experienced by Jews in the Pale of Settlement? Aren't our Nansen passports [internationally recognized identity cards first issued by the League of Nations to stateless refugees], which are a sort of wolf ticket obstructing movement, reminiscent of the Jewish religion label, which we stamped in Jewish passports in Russia, thereby closing many doors to them? Do we not resort to all kinds of middleman jobs when we are unable to attain, because of our peculiar position, a civil servant post or a certain profession? Are we not gradually learning to work around laws that are inconvenient for us, precisely as Jews did with our laws, and for which we criticized them?"

Yet during these same years anti-Jewish sentiments were on the rise in the USSR and were even reported in the Soviet press, causing distress among Jewish émigrés. So in May 1928 a public debate on anti-Semitism was organized in Paris among them. A report of it was placed in the Milyukov's newspaper. (Bikerman's and Pasmanik's group, already non-active, did not participate.)

The formal reason for the debate was "a strong rise of Judæphobia in Russia, a phenomenon that periodically occurs there." The Socialist Revolutionary N. D. Avksentiev

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

chaired the debate, and there were more Russians than Jews among the public. Mark Slonim explained that “the long oppressed Russian Jewry, having finally attained freedom, has dashed to secure formerly prohibited positions,” and this annoys Russians. In essence, the past fatefully determined the present” “Bad things” of the past (Czarist times) resulted in bad consequences. S. Ivanovich stated that Jews were now tormented in the USSR, because it has become impossible to torment “the bourgeois” thanks to the NEP. But what is worrying is that the Russian intelligentsia in the USSR, although neutral on the Jewish question, now takes the liberty to think: good, “it will begin with anti-Semitism, and lead to Russian freedom. What a dangerous and foolish illusion.”

Such apologetic ideas outraged the next orator, V. Grosman: “It is as if Jewry stands accused!” The question needs to be considered more deeply: “There is no reason to distinguish Soviet anti-Semitism from the anti-Semitism of old Russia,” that is to say there is still the same Black Hundredism so dear to Russian hearts. “This is not a Jewish question, but a Russian one, a question of Russian culture.”

(But if it is so quintessentially Russian, entirely Russian, inherently Russian problem, then what can be done? What need then for a mutual dialogue?)

The author of the debate report, S. Litovtsev, regretted post factum that it was necessary to find for the debate “several honest people, brave enough to acknowledge their anti-Semitism and frankly explain why they are anti-Semites ... Who would say simply, without evasiveness: ‘I don’t like this and that about Jews...’ Alongside there should have been several equally candid Jews who would say: ‘and we don’t like this and that about you...’ Rest assured, such an honest and open exchange of opinions, with goodwill and

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

a desire for mutual comprehension, would be really beneficial for both Jews and Russians – and for Russia....”

Shulgin replied to this: “Now, among Russian émigrés, surely one needs more bravery to declare oneself a philo-Semite.” He extended his answer into a whole book, inserting Litovtsev’s question into the title, *What We Don’t Like About Them*. Shulgin’s book was regarded as anti-Semitic, and the proposed “interexchange of views” never took place. Anyway, the impending catastrophe, coming from Germany, soon took the issue of any debate off the table.

A Union of Russian-Jewish Intelligentsia was created in Paris as if in the attempt to preserve a link between the two cultures. Yet it soon transpired that life in exile had created a chasm between fathers and sons, and the latter no longer understand what a Russian-Jewish intelligentsia is. So the fathers sadly acknowledged that the Russian Jews, who used to lead global Jewry in spiritual art and in the nation building, now virtually quit the stage. Before the war, the Union had managed to publish only the first issue of collection Jewish world. During the war, those who could, fled across the ocean and untiringly created the Union of Russian Jews in New York City, and published the second issue of the Jewish World. In the 1960s, they published the *Book of Russian Jewry* in two volumes, about pre- and post-revolutionary Jewish life in Russia. The bygone life in the bygone Russia still attracted their minds. In this work I cite all these books with gratitude and respect.

# THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

## Chapter XVIII: In The 1920s

The 1920s in the Soviet Union was an epoch with a unique atmosphere, a grand social experiment which intoxicated world liberal opinion for decades. And in some places this intoxication still persists. However, almost no one remains of those who drank deeply of its poisonous spirit.

The uniqueness of that spirit was manifested in the ferocity of class antagonism, in the promise of a never-before-seen new society, in the novelty of new forms of human relationships, in the breakdown of the nation's economy, daily life and family structure. The social and demographic changes were, in fact, colossal. The great exodus of the Jewish population to the capitals began, for many reasons, during the first years of communist power. Some Jewish writers are categorical in their description: "Thousands of Jews left their settlements and a handful of southern towns for Moscow, Leningrad and Kiev to find real life."

Beginning in 1917, Jews flooded into Leningrad and Moscow. According to the *Jewish Encyclopedia*, hundreds of thousands of Jews moved to Moscow, Leningrad and other major centers, in 1920, 28,000 Jews lived in Moscow, by 1923 it was about 86,000; according to 1926 USSR census, 131,000 and in 1933, 226,500. Moscow became fashionable, they used to say halfseriously in Odessa.

Lurie-Larin, a fanatical and zealous Bolshevnik leader during War Communism writes that in the first years that not less than a million Jews left their settlements; in 1923 about half of Ukraine's Jews lived in large cities, pouring as well into parts of Russia formerly off-limits to Jews (so called "prohibited provinces") from Ukraine and Byelorussia, into Transcaucasia and Central Asia. The magnitude of this flow

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

was half a million, and four-fifth of them settled in RSFSR. One in five of the Jewish migrants went to Moscow. M. Agursky considers Larin's numbers to be substantially undercounted and points out that this demographic change affected interests important to the Russian population.

During War Communism with its ban on private trade and limitations on craftsmen and on those of certain social origins there arose a new social category—the *lishenets* (deprived of civil rights). Many Jews were deprived of civil rights and numbered among the “deprived.” Still, the migration of the Jewish population from Byelorussia into the interior of the USSR, mainly to Moscow and Leningrad did not slow. The new arrivals joined relatives or co-ethnics who offered communal support.

According to the 1926 USSR census, 2,211,000 or 83 percent of the Jewish population lived in cities and towns. 467,000 lived in rural districts. Another 300,000 did not identify themselves as Jews and these were practically all city dwellers. About five out of six Jews in the USSR were urban dwellers, constituting up to 23 percent and 40 percent of the urban population in Ukraine and Byelorussia respectively.

Most striking in the provincial capitals and major cities was the flow of Jews into the apparatus of the Soviet government. Ordzhonikidze in 1927 at the 15th Communist Party Congress reported on the “national make up of our party”. By his statistics Jews constituted 11.8 percent of the Soviet government of Moscow; 22.6 percent in Ukraine (30.3 percent in Kharkov, the capital); 30.6 percent in Byelorussia (38.3 percent in Minsk). If true, then the percentage of Jews in urban areas about equaled that of Jews in the government.

Solomon Schwartz, using data from the work of Lev Singer maintained that the percentage of Jews in the Soviet

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

government was about the same as their percentage of the urban population (and it was significantly lower in the Bolshevik party itself). Using Ordzhonikidze's data, Jews at 1.82 percent of the population by 1926 were represented in the Apparatus at about 6.5 times their proportion in the population at large.

It's easy to underestimate the impact of the sudden freedom from pre-revolutionary limits on civil rights: "Earlier, power was not accessible to Jews at all and now they had more access to power than anyone else" according to I. Bikerman. This sudden change provoked a varied reaction in all strata of society. S. Schwartz writes "From the mid-twenties there arose a new wave of anti-Semitism which was not related to the old anti-Semitism, nor a legacy of the past. It is an extreme exaggeration to explain it as originating with backwards workers from rural areas as anti-Semitism generally was not a fact of life in the Russian countryside." No, it was a much more dangerous phenomenon. It arose in the middle strata of urban society and reached the highest levels of the working class which, before the revolution, had remained practically untouched by the phenomenon. It reached students and members of the communist party and the Komsomol and, even earlier, local government in smaller provincial towns where an aggressive and active anti-Semitism took hold.

The *Jewish Encyclopedia* writes that from the beginning of the 20th century "though official Soviet propaganda writes that anti-Semitism in the latter part of the Twenties was a legacy of the past, the facts show that, it arose mainly as a result of colliding social forces in large cities." It was fanned by the widely held opinion that power in the country had been seized by Jews who formed the nucleus of the Bolsheviks. Bikerman wrote with evident concern in



## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

1923 that “the Jew is in all corners and on all levels of power. The Russian sees him as a ruler of Moscow, at the head of the capital on Neva, and at the head of the Red Army, a perfected death machine. He sees that St. Vladimir Prospect has been renamed Nakhimson Prospect... The Russian sees the Jew as judge and hangman; he sees Jews at every turn, not only among the Communists, but among people like himself, everywhere doing the bidding of Soviet power. Not surprisingly the Russian, comparing the present with the past, is confirmed in his idea that power is Jewish power, that it exists for Jews and does the bidding of Jews.”

No less visible than Jewish participation in government was the suddenly created new order in culture and education. The new societal inequality was not so much along the lines of nationality as it was a matter of town versus country. The Russian reader needs no explanation of the advantages bestowed by Soviet power from the Twenties to the Eighties on capital cities when compared to the rest of the country. One of the main advantages was the level of education and range of opportunities for higher learning. Those established during the early years of Soviet power in capital cities assured for their children and grandchildren future decades of advantages, *vis-avis* those in the country. The enhanced opportunities in post-secondary education and graduate education meant increased access to the educated elite. Meanwhile, from 1918 the ethnic Russian intelligentsia was being pushed to the margins.

In the Twenties students already enrolled in institutions of higher learning were expelled based on a social origins policy. Children of the nobility, the clergy, government bureaucrats, military officers, merchants, even children of petty shop keepers were expelled. Applicants from these classes and children of the intelligentsia were

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

denied entry to institutions of higher learning in the years that followed. As a nationality repressed by the Czar's regime, Jews did not receive this treatment. Despite their bourgeois origin, Jewish youth was freely accepted in institutions of higher learning. Jews were forgiven for not being proletarian.

According to the *Jewish Encyclopedia*, "with the absence of limitations based upon nationality for entry to institutions of higher learning, Jews came to make up 15.4 percent of all university students in the USSR, almost twice their proportion of the urban population at large." Further, owing to a high level of motivation Jews quickly bypassed the unprepared proletarian factory workers who had been pushed forward in the education system, and proceeded unhindered into graduate school. In the Twenties and Thirties and for a long time after, Jews were a disproportionately large part of the intelligentsia.

According to G. Aronson, wide access to higher and specialized education led to the formation of cadres of doctors, teachers and particularly engineers and technical workers among Jews, which naturally led to university faculty posts in the expanding system of higher education and in the widely proliferating research institutions. In the beginning of 1920s, the post of the State Chair of Science was occupied not by a scientist but a Bolshevik official, MandelshtamLyadov.

Even sharper changes gripped the economic life of the country. Bukharin publicly announced at a Communist Party conference in 1927 that "during War Communism, we purged the Russian petty and middle bourgeoisie along with leading capitalists. When the economy was later opened up to free trade petty and middle Jewish bourgeoisie took the place of the Russian bourgeoisie and roughly the same happened with our Russian intelligentsia which bucked and

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

sabotaged our efforts. Its place has been taken in some areas by the Jewish intelligentsia. Moreover, Jewish bourgeoisie and intelligentsia are concentrated in our central regions and cities, where they moved in from western provinces and southern towns. Here even in the Party ranks one often encounters anti-Semitic tendencies. Comrades, we must wage a fierce battle against anti-Semitism.”

Bukharin described a situation that was obvious to all. Unlike the Russian bourgeoisie, the Jewish bourgeoisie was not destroyed. The Jewish merchant, much less likely to be damned as a man of the past, found defenders, relatives or sympathizers in the Soviet apparatus who warned about impending arrests or seizures. And if he lost anything, it was just capital, not life. Cooperation was quasi-official through the Jewish Commissariat at the Sovnarkom. The Jews until now had been a repressed people and that meant, naturally, they needed help. Larin explained the destruction of the Russian bourgeoisie as a correction of the injustice that existed under the Czars before the Revolution.

When the NEP (New Economic Policy) was crushed, the blow fell with less force against Jewish NEPmen, owing to connections in Soviet ruling circles.

Bukharin had been speaking in answer to a remarkable speech by Prof. Y.V. Klyutchnikov, a publicist and a former Kadet. In December 1926, the professor spoke at a meeting on the Jewish question at the Moscow Conservatory. “We have isolated expressions of hooliganism... Its source is the hurt national feelings of Russians. The February Revolution established the equality of all citizens of Russia, including Jews. The October Revolution went further with the Russian nation proclaiming self-renunciation. A certain imbalance has developed with

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

respect to the proportion of the Jewish population in the country as a whole and the positions they have temporarily occupied in the cities. We are in our own cities and they arrive and squeeze us out. When Russians see Russian women, elders and children freezing on the street 9 to 11 hours a day, getting soaked by the rain in their tents at the market, and then they see relatively warm covered Jewish kiosks with bread and sausage, they are not happy. These phenomena are catastrophic and must be considered. There is a terrible disproportion in the government structure, in daily life and in other areas... We have a housing crisis in Moscow. Masses of people are crowding into areas not fit for habitation and at the same time people see others pouring in from other parts of the country taking up housing. These arrivals are Jews. A national dissatisfaction is rising and a defensiveness and fear of other nationalities. We must not close our eyes to that. A Russian speaking to a Russian will say things that he will not say to a Jew. Many are saying that there are too many Jews in Moscow. This must be dealt with, but don't call it anti-Semitism".

But Larin regarded Klyutchnikov's speech as a manifestation of anti-Semitism, saying "this speech serves as an example of the good nature of Soviet power in its battle against anti-Semitism because Klyutchnikov was roundly criticized by speakers who followed at the same meeting, but no administrative measures were taken against him." (Here it is, the frustration of the Communist activist!) Agursky writes: "One would expect repression to swiftly follow for such a speech in the Twenties and Thirties," but Klyutchnikov got off. Maybe he received secret support from some quarters? But why look for secret causes? It would have been too much of a scandal to punish such a famous publicist, who just returned from abroad and could have harmed the

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

reverse migration that was so important for Soviet authorities [return of people who emigrated from Russia during previous period of revolutions and Civil War.]

The Twenties were spoken of as the conquest by the Jews of Russian capital cities and industrial centers where conditions were better. As well, there was a migration to the better areas within the cities. G. Fedotov describes Moscow at that time: “The revolution deformed its soul, turning it inside out, emptying out its mansions, and filling them with a foreign and alien people.” A Jewish joke from the era: “Even from Berdichev and even the very old come to Moscow: they want to die in a Jewish city.”

In a private letter in 1927 V.I. Vernadsky writes: “Moscow now is like Berdichev; the power of Jewry is enormous - and anti-Semitism (including in communist circles) is growing unabated”.

Larin: “We do not hide figures that demonstrate growth of the Jewish population in urban centers. It is completely unavoidable and will continue into the future.” He forecast the migration from Ukraine and Byelorussia of an additional 600,000 Jews. “We can’t look upon this as something shameful, that the party would silence... we must create a spirit in the working class so that anyone who gives a speech against the arrival of Jews in Moscow would be considered a counter-revolutionary”.

And for counter-revolutionaries there is nine grams of lead - that much is clear.

But, what to do about anti-Semitic tendencies even in our party circles was a concern in the upper levels of the party. According to official data reported in *Pravda* in 1922, Jews made up 5.2 percent of the party. M. Agursky: “But their actual influence was considerably more. In that same

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

year at the 11th Communist Party Congress Jews made up 14.6 percent of the voting delegates, 18.3 percent of the non-voting delegates and 26 percent of those elected to the Central Committee at the conference". (Sometimes one accidentally comes upon such data: a taciturn memoirist from Moscow opens *Pravda* in July, 1930 and notes: "The portrait of the 25-member Presidium of the Communist Party included eleven Russians, eight Jews, three from the Caucasus, and three Latvians." In the large cities, close to areas of the former Pale of Settlement, the following data: In the early Twenties party organizations in Minsk, Gomel and Vitebsk in 1922 were, respectively, 35.8 percent, 21.1 percent, and 16.6 percent Jewish, respectively. Larin notes: "Jewish revolutionaries play a bigger part than any others in revolutionary activity, thanks to their qualities,

Jewish workers often find it easier to rise to positions of local leadership."

In the same issue of *Pravda*, it is noted that Jews at 5.2 percent of the Party were in the third place after Russians (72 percent) and Ukrainians (5 percent), followed by Latvians (2.5 percent) and then Georgians, Tatars, Poles and Byelorussians. Jews had the highest rate of per capita party membership - 7.2 percent of Jews were in the party versus 3.8 percent for Great Russians.

M. Agursky correctly notes that in absolute numbers the majority of communists were, of course, Russians, but "the unusual role of Jews in leadership was dawning on the Russians." It was just too obvious.

For instance, Zinoviev gathered many Jews around himself in the Petersburg leadership. Agursky suggests this was what Larin was referring to in his discussion of the photograph of the Presidium of Petrograd Soviet in 1918 in

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

his book. By 1921 the preponderance of Jews in Petrograd CP organization was apparently so odious that the Politburo, reflecting on the lessons of Kronstadt and the anti-Semitic mood of Petrograd, decided to send several ethnic Russian communists to Petrograd, though entirely for publicity purposes. So Uglanov took the place of Zorin-Homberg as head of Gubkom; Komarov replaced Trilisser and Semyonov went to the Cheka. But Zinoviev objected to the decision of Politburo and fought the new group, and as a result Uglanov was recalled from Petrograd and a purely Russian opposition group formed spontaneously in the Petrograd organization, a group forced to counter the rest of the organization whose tone was set by Jews.

But not only in Petrograd. At the 12th Communist Party Congress (1923) three out of six Politburo members were Jewish. Three out of seven were Jews in the leadership of the Komsomol and in the Presidium of the all-Russia Conference in 1922. This was not tolerable to other leading communists and apparently preparations were begun for an anti-Jewish revolt at the 13th Party Congress (May 1924). There is evidence that a group of members of CK was planning to drive leading Jews from the Politburo, replacing them with Nogin, Troyanovsky and others and that only the death of Nogin interrupted the plot. His death, literally on the eve of the Congress, resulted from an unsuccessful and unnecessary operation for a stomach ulcer by the same surgeon who dispatched Frunze with an equally unneeded operation a year and a half later.

The Cheka-GPU had second place in terms of real power after the Party. A researcher of archival material, whom we quoted in Chapter 16, reports interesting statistics on the composition of the Cheka in 1920, 1922, 1923, 1924, 1925 and 1927. He concludes that the proportion of national

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

minorities in the apparatus gradually fell towards the mid-Twenties. In the OGPU as a whole, the proportion of personnel from a national minority fell to 30-35 percent and to 40-45 percent for those in leadership.” (These figures contrast with 50 percent and 70 percent respectively during the Red Terror.) However, we observe a decline in the percentage of Latvians and an increase in the percentage of Jews. The Twenties was a period of significant influx of Jewish cadres into the organs of the OGPU. The author explains this: “Jews strived to utilize capabilities not needed in the pre-revolutionary period. With the increasing professionalism and need for organization, Jews, better than others, were able to meet the needs of OGPU and the new conditions.”

For example, three of Dzerzhinsky’s four assistants were Jews - G. Yagoda, V.L. Gerson, and M.M. Lutsky. In the Twenties and Thirties, the leading Chekists circled over the land like birds of prey flying quickly from cliff to cliff. From the top ranks of the Central Asian GPU off to Byelorussia and from Western Siberia to the North Caucasus, from Kharkov to Orenburg and from Orel to Vinnitza—there was a perpetual whirlwind of movement and change. And the lonely voices of those surviving witnesses could only speak much later, without precise reference to time, of the executioners whose names flashed by them. The personnel, the deeds and the power of the Cheka were completely secret.

For the 10th anniversary of the glorious Cheka we read in a newspaper a formal order signed by the omnipresent Unshlicht (from 1921 – deputy head of Cheka, from 1923 - member of Revvoensovet, from 1925 - Deputy Narkom of the Navy). In it, Yagoda was rewarded for particularly valuable service, for “sacrifice in the battle with counterrevolution”; also given awards were M. Trilisser



## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

(distinguished for his “devotion to the revolution and untiring persecution of its enemies”) as well as 32 Chekists who had not been before the public until then. Each of them with the flick of a finger could destroy anyone of us! Among them were Jakov Agranov (for the work on all important political trials - and in the future, he will orchestrate the trials of Zinoviev, Kamenev, the Industrial Party Trial, and others; Zinovy Katznelson, Matvey Berman (transferred from Central Asia to the Far East) and Lev Belsky (transferred from the Far East to Central Asia).

There were several new names: Lev Zalin, Lev Meyer, Leonid Bull (dubbed “warden of Solovki”), Simeon Gendin, Karl Pauker. Some were already known to only a few, but now the people would get to know them. In this jubilee newspaper issue we can find a large image of slick Menzhinsky with his faithful deputy Yagoda and a photograph of Trilisser. Shortly afterward, another twenty Chekists were awarded with the order of the Red Banner, and again we see a motley company of Russians, Latvians, and Jews, the latter in the same proportions, around one-third.

Some of them were avoiding publicity. Simeon Schwartz was director of the Ukrainian Cheka. A colleague of his, Yevsei Shirvindt, directed the transport of prisoners and convoys throughout the USSR. Naturally, such Chekists as Grimmeril Heifetz (a spy from the end of the Civil War to the end of WWII) and Sergei Spigelglas, a Chekist from 1917 who, through his work as a spy, rose to become director of the Foreign Department of the NKVD and a two-time recipient of the honorary title of distinguished Chekist, worked out of the public eye. Careers of others, like Albert Stromin-Stroyev, were less impressive (he conducted interrogations of scientists during the Academy trial in 1929-31.)

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

David Azbel remembers the Nakhamkins, a family of Hasidic Jews from Gomel. (Azbel himself was imprisoned because of snitching by the younger family member, Lev.) “The revolution threw the Nakhamkins onto the crest of a wave. They thirsted for the revenge on everyone—aristocrats, the wealthy, Russians, few were left out. This was their path to selfrealization. It was no accident that fate led the offspring of this glorious clan to the Cheka, GPU, NKVD and the prosecutor’s office. To fulfill their plans, the Bolsheviks needed rabid people and this is what they got with the Nakhamkins. One member of this family, Roginsky, achieved brilliant heights as Deputy Prosecutor for the USSR, but during the Stalinist purges was imprisoned, as were many, and became a cheap stool pigeon. The others were not so well known. They changed their last name to one more familiar to the Russian ear and occupied high places in the Organs.”

Unshlict did not change his name to one more familiar to the Russian ear. See, this Slavic brother became truly a father of Russians: a warplane built with funds of farmer mutual aid societies (that is on the last dabs of money extorted from peasants) was named after him. No doubt, farmers could not even pronounce his name and likely thought that this Pole was a Jew.

Indeed, this reminds us that the Jewish issue does not explain the devastation of revolution, albeit it places a heavy hue on it. As it was also hued by many other unpronounceable names from Polish Dzerzhinsky and Eismont to Latvian Vatsetis. And what if we looked into the Latvian issue? Apart from those soldiers who forced the dissolution of the Russian Constituent Assembly and who later provided security for the Bolshevik leaders during the entire Civil War, we find many high-

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

placed Latvian Bolsheviks. Gekker suppressed the uprising in Yaroslavl Guberniya. Among others, there were Rudzutak, Eikhe, Eikhmans from Solovki, M. Karklin, A. Kaktyn, R. Kisis, V. Knorin, A. Skundre (one of those who suppressed the Tambov Uprising); Chekists Petere, Latsis, and an “honorary Chekist” Lithuanian I. Yusis. This thread can lead directly to 1991 (Pugo...) And what if we separate Ukrainians from Russians (as demanded by the Ukrainians these days)? We will find dozens of them at the highest posts of Bolshevik hierarchy, from its conception to the very end.

No, power was not Jewish power then. Political power was internationalist, and its ranks were to the large extent Russian. But under its multi-hued internationalism it united in an anti-Russian front against a Russian state and Russian traditions.

In view of the anti-Russian orientation of power and the multinational makeup of the executioners, why, in Ukraine, Central Asia and the Baltics did the people think it was Russians who had enslaved them? Because they were alien. A destroyer from one’s own nation is much closer than a destroyer from an alien tribe. And while it is a mistake to attribute the ruin and destruction to nationalist chauvinism, at the same time in Russia in the Twenties the inevitable question hanging in the air that was posed many years later by Leonard Schapiro: why was it highly likely that anyone unfortunate enough to fall into the hands of the Cheka would go before a Jewish interrogator or be shot by a Jew?

Yet most modern writers fail to even acknowledge these questions. Often Jewish authors thoughtlessly and meticulously comply and publish vast lists of Jewish leadership of the time. For example, see how proudly the article *Jews in the Kremlin*, published in the journal *Alef*, provides a list of the highest Soviet officials-Jews for 1925.

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

It listed eight out of twelve directors of Gosbank. The same level of Jewish representation was found among top trade union leaders. And it comments: “We do not fear accusations. Quite opposite—it is active Jewish participation in governing the state that helps to understand why state affairs were better then than now, when

Jews at top positions are as rare as hen’s teeth.” Unbelievably, that was written in 1989.

Regarding the army, one Israeli scholar painstakingly researched and proudly published a long list of Jewish commanders of the Red Army, during and after the Civil War. Another Israeli researcher published statistics obtained from the 1926 census to the effect that while Jews made up 1.7 percent of the male population in the USSR, they comprised 2.1 percent of the combat officers, 4.4 percent of the command staff, 10.3 percent of the political leadership and 18.6 percent of military doctors.

And what did the West see? If the government apparatus could operate in secret under the communist party, which maintained its conspiratorial secrecy even after coming to power, diplomats were on view everywhere in the world. At the first diplomatic conferences with Soviets in Geneva and The Hague in 1922, Europe could not help but notice that Soviet delegations and their staff were mostly Jewish. Due to the injustice of history, the long and successful career of Boris Yefimovich Stern is now completely forgotten (he wasn’t even mentioned in the *Great Soviet Encyclopedia* (GSE) of 1971). Yet he was the second most important assistant to Chicherin during Genoa Conference, and later at Hague Conference, and still later he led Soviet delegation during longstanding demilitarization negotiations. He was also a member of Soviet delegation at the League of Nations. Stern was ambassador in Italy and

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

Finland and conducted delicate negotiations with the Finns before the Soviet-Finnish war. Finally, from 1946 to 1948 he was the head of the Soviet delegation at UN. And he used to be a longstanding lecturer at the High Diplomatic School (at one point during “anti-cosmopolitan” purges he was fired but in 1953 he was restored at that position).

An associate of Chicherin, Leon Haikis worked for many years in the Narkomat of the Foreign Affairs (NKID). In 1937, he was sent to a warmer place as ambassador to the embattled Republican government of Spain (where he directed the Republican side during the Civil War), but was arrested and removed. Fyodor Rothshtein founded the Communist Party of Great Britain in 1920, and in that very year he was a member of the Soviet delegation in negotiations with England! Two years later he represented RSFSR at The Hague conference As Litvinov’s righthand man he independently negotiated with ambassadors to Russia in important matters; until 1930 he was in the Presidium of NKID and for 30 years before his death, a professor at the Moscow State University.

And on the other side of the globe, in southern China, M. Gruzenberg-Borodin had served for five years when the December 1927 Canton Rebellion against the Kuomintang broke out. It is now recognized that the revolt was prepared by our Vice Consul, Abram Hassis, who, at age of 33 was killed by Chinese soldiers. *Izvestia* ran several articles with the obituaries and the photographs of “comrades in arms” under Kuibishev, comparing the fallen comrade with highly distinguished communists like Furmanov and Frunze. In 1922 Gorky told the academic Ipatiev that 98 percent of the Soviet trade mission in Berlin was Jewish and this probably was not much of an exaggeration. A similar picture would be found in other Western capitals where the Soviets were

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

ensconced. The “work” that was performed in early Soviet trade missions is colorfully described in a book by G.A. Solomon, the first Soviet trade representative in Tallinn, Estonia—the first European capital to recognize the Bolsheviks. There are simply no words to describe the boundless theft by the early Bolsheviks in Russia (along with covert actions against the West) and the corruption of soul these activities brought to their effecters.

Shortly after Gorky’s conversation with Ipatiev he was criticized in the Soviet press for an article where he reproached the Soviet government for its placement of so many Jews in positions of responsibility in government and industry. He had nothing against Jews *per se*, but, departing from views he expressed in 1918, he thought that Russians should be in charge. And *Pravda*’s twin publication *Der Emes* (*Pravda* in Yiddish) objected strongly: “Do they (i.e. Gorky and Shalom Ash, the interviewer) really want for Jews to refuse to serve in any government position? For them to get out of the way? That kind of decision could only be made by counterrevolutionaries or cowards.”

In *Jews in the Kremlin*, the author, using the 1925 Annual Report of NKID, introduces leading figures and positions in the central apparatus. “In the publishing arm, there is not one nonJew” and further, with evident pride, the author examines the staff in the Soviet consulates around the world and finds “there is not one country in the world where the Kremlin has not placed a trusted Jew.”

If he was interested, the author of *Alef* could find no small number of Jews in the Supreme Court of RSFSR of 1920s, in the Procurator’s office and RKI. Here we can find already familiar A. Goikhbarg, who, after chairing the Lesser Sovnarcom, worked out the legal system for the NEP era,

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

supervised development of Civil Code of RSFSR and was director of the Institute of Soviet Law.

It is much harder to examine lower, provincial level authorities, and not only because of their lower exposure to the press but also due to their rapid fluidity, and frequent turnover of cadres from post to post, from region to region. This amazing early Soviet shuffling of personnel might have been caused either by an acute deficit of reliable men as in in the Lenin's era or by mistrust (and the "tearing" of a functionary from the developed connections) in Stalin's times. Here are several such career trajectories.

Lev Maryasin was Secretary of Gubkom of Orel Guberniya, later – chair of Sovnarkhoz of Tatar Republic, later – head of a department of CK of Ukraine, later – chair of board of directors of Gosbank of USSR, and later – Deputy Narkom of Finances of USSR. Moris Belotsky was head of Politotdel of the First Cavalry Army (a very powerful position), participated in suppression of the Kronstadt Uprising, later – in NKID, then later – the First Secretary of North Ossetian Obkom, and even later was First Secretary of CK of Kyrgyzstan.

A versatile functionary, Grigory Kaminsky was Secretary of Gubkom of Tula Guberniya, later – Secretary of CK of Azerbaijan, later – chair of Kolkhozcenter, and later – Narkom of Health Care Service.

Abram Kamensky was Narkom of State Control Commission of Donetsk-Krivoy Rog Republic, later Deputy Narkom of Nationalities of RSFSR, later Secretary of Gubkom of Donetsk, later served in Narkomat of Agriculture, then – director of Industrial Academy, and still later he served in the Narkomat of Finances.

There were many Jewish leaders of the Komsomol.

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

The ascendant career of Efim Tzetlin began with the post of the First Chairman of CK RKSM (fall of 1918); after the Civil War he became Secretary of CK and Moscow Committee of RKSM, since 1922. He was a member of the executive committee of KIM (Young Communist International), in 1923-24 a spy in Germany. Later he worked in Secretariat of Executive Committee of Communist International, still later in the editorial office of Pravda, and even later he was head of Bukharin's secretariat, where this latter post eventually proved fatal for him.

The career of Isaiah Khurgin was truly amazing. In 1917, he was a member of Ukrainian Rada [Parliament], served both in the Central and the Lesser chambers and worked on the draft of legislation on Jewish autonomy in Ukraine. Since 1920 we see him as a member VKPb, in 1921 – he was the Trade Commissioner of Ukraine in Poland, in 1923 he represented GermanAmerican Transport Society in USA, serving as a de facto Soviet plenipotentiary. He founded and chaired Amtorg (American Trading Corporation). His future seemed incredibly bright but alas at the age of 38 (in 1925) he was drowned in a lake in USA. What a life he had!

Let's glance at the economy. Moses Rukhimovitch was Deputy Chair of Supreme Soviet of the National Economy. Ruvim Levin was a member of Presidium of Gosplan (Ministry of Economic Planning) of USSR and Chair of Gosplan of RSFSR (later – Deputy Narkom of Finances of USSR).

Zakhary Katzenelenbaum was inventor of the governmental Loan for Industrialization in 1927 and, therefore, of all subsequent "loans". He also was one of the founders of Soviet Gosbank.



## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

Moses Frumkin was Deputy Narkom of Foreign Trade from 1922 but in fact he was in charge of the entire Narkomat. He and A. I. Vainstein were long-serving members of the panel of Narkomat of Finances of USSR.

Vladimirov-Sheinfinkel was Narkom of Provand of Ukraine, later – Narkom of Agriculture of Ukraine, and even later he served as Narkom of Finances of RSFSR and Deputy Narkom of Finances of USSR.

If you are building a mill, you are responsible for possible flood. A newspaper article by Z. Zangvil describes a celebratory jubilee meeting of the Gosbank board of directors in 1927, five years after introduction of chervonets [a former currency of the Russian Empire and Soviet Union] and explains the importance of chervonets and displays a group photograph. The article lauds Sheinman, the chairman of the board, and Katzenelenbaum, a member of the board. Sheinman's signature was reproduced on every Soviet chervonets and he simultaneously held the post of Narkom of Domestic Commerce (from 1924). And hold your breath, my reader! He didn't return from a foreign visit in 1929! He preferred to live in bloody capitalism!

Speaking of mid-level Soviet institutions, the well-known economist and professor B. D. Brutskus asks: "Did not the revolution open up new opportunities for the Jewish population? Among these opportunities would be government service. The large numbers of Jews in government are obvious, particularly in higher posts," and "most of the Jewish government employees come from the higher classes, not the Jewish masses." He maintained "there are many

Jewish public servants particularly in the commissariats devoted to economic functions."

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

But upperclass Jews required to serve the Soviet government did not gain, but lost in comparison with what they would have had in their own businesses or freely pursuing professions. As well, those who moved through the Soviet hierarchy had to display the utmost of tact to avoid arousing jealousy and dissatisfaction. A large number of Jewish public servants, regardless of talent and qualities, would not lessen anti-Semitism, but would strengthen it among other workers and among the intelligentsia. Larin put it more simply: “The Jewish intelligentsia in large numbers served the victorious revolution readily, realizing access to previously denied government service.” G. Pomerantz, speaking 50 years later justified this: “History dragged Jews into the government apparatus. Jews had nowhere else to go besides to government institutions,” including apparently the Cheka, as we commented earlier. The Bolsheviks also had no other place to go – the *Jewish Tribune* from Paris explains “there were so many Jews in various Soviet functions because of the need for literate, sober bureaucrats.”

However, one can read in *Jewish World*, a Parisian publication, that “There is no denying that a large percentage of Jewish youth from lower social elements — some completely hopeless failures, were drawn to Bolshevism by the sudden prospect of power; for others it was the world proletarian revolution and for still others it was a mixture of adventurous idealism and practical utilitarianism.”

Of course, not all were drawn to Bolshevism. There were large numbers of peaceful Jews whom the revolution crushed. However, the life in the towns of the former Pale of Settlement was not visible to ordinary non-Jewish person. Instead the average person saw, as described by M. Heifetz, “arrogant, self-confident and self-satisfied adult Jews at ease

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

on Red holidays and Red weddings ... We now sit where Czars and generals once sat, and they sit beneath us". These were not unwaveringly ideological Bolsheviks. The invitation to power was extended to millions of residents from rotting *shtetls*, to pawn brokers, tavern owners, contrabandists, seltzer-water salesmen and those who sharpened their wills in the fight for survival and their minds in evening study of the Torah and the Talmud. The authorities invited them to Moscow, Petrograd and Kiev to take into their quick nervous hands that which was falling from the soft, pampered hands of the hereditary intelligentsia—everything from the finances of a great power to nuclear physics and the secret police.

They couldn't resist the temptation of Esau, the less so since, in addition to a bowl of pottage, they were offered the chance to build the promised land, that is, communism. There was a Jewish illusion that this was their country.

Many Jews did not enter the whirlwind of revolution and didn't automatically join the Bolsheviks, but the general national inclination was one of sympathy for the Bolshevik cause and a feeling that life would now be incomparably better. The majority of Jews met the revolution, not with fear, but with welcome arms. In the early Twenties, the Jews of Byelorussia and Ukraine were a significant source of support for the centralization of power in Moscow over and against the influence of regional power. Evidence of Jewish attitudes in 1923 showed the overwhelming majority considered Bolshevism to be a lesser evil and that if the Bolsheviks lost power it would be worse for them.

Now, a Jew can command an army. These gifts alone were enough to bring Jewish support for the communists. The disorder of the Bolshevism seemed like a brilliant victory for justice and no one noticed the complete

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

suppression of freedom. Large number of Jews who did not leave after the revolution failed to foresee the bloodthirstiness of the new government, though the persecution, even of socialists, was well underway. The Soviet government was as unjust and cruel then as it was to be in '37 and in 1950. But in the Twenties the bloodlust did not raise alarm or resistance in the wider Jewish population since its force was aimed not at Jewry.

\* \* \*

When Leskov, in a report for the Palensky Commission [a pre-revolution government commission] one by one refuted all the presumed consequences for Russians from the removal of restrictions on Jewish settlement in Russia he couldn't have foreseen the great degree to which Jews would be participating in governing the country and the economy in the Twenties. The revolution changed the entire course of events and we don't know how things would have developed without it.

When in 1920, Solomon Luria [aka Lurie], a professor of ancient history in Petrograd, found that in Soviet, internationalist and communist Russia anti-Semitism was again on the rise, he was not surprised. On the contrary, events substantiated the correctness of his earlier conclusion that the cause of anti-Semitism lies with the Jews themselves and currently with or in spite of the complete absence of legal restrictions on Jews, anti-Semitism had erupted with a new strength and reached a pitch that could never have been imagined in the old régime.

Russian (more precisely Little Russian) anti-Semitism of past centuries and the early 20th century was blown away with its seeds by the winds of the October

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

revolution. Those who joined the Union of the Russian People, those who marched with their religious standards to smash Jewish shops, those who demanded the execution of Beilis, those who defended the royal throne, the urban middle class and those who were with them or who resembled them or who were suspected to be like them were rounded up by the thousands and shot or imprisoned.

Among Russian workers and peasants there was no anti-Semitism before the revolution – this is attested to by leaders of the revolution themselves. The Russian intelligentsia was actively sympathetic to the cause of the oppressed Jews and children of the post-revolution years were raised only in the internationalist spirit.

So, stripped of any strength, discredited and crushed completely, where did anti-Semitism come from?

We already described how surprising it was for Jewish-Russian émigrés to learn that anti-Semitism had not died. They followed the phenomenon in writings of socialists E.D. Kuskova and S.S. Maslov, who came from Russia in 1922. In an article in the *Jewish Tribune*, Kuskova states that anti-Semitism in the USSR is not a figment of the imagination and that “in Russia, Bolshevism is now blending with Judaism — this cannot be doubted.” She even met highly cultured Jews who were anti-Semites of the new Soviet type. A Jewish doctor told her: “Jewish Bolshevik administrators ruined the excellent relations he had with the local population.” A teacher said “children tell me that I teach in a Jewish school” because we have “forbidden the teaching of the Ten Commandments and driven off the priest. There are only Jews in the Narkomat of Education. In high school circles (from radical families) there is talk about the predominance of the Jews.

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

“Young people, in general are more anti-Semitic than the older generation... and one hears everywhere ‘they showed their true colors and tortured us.’ Russian life is full of this stuff today. But if you ask me who they are, these anti-Semites, they are most of society. So widespread is this thinking that the political administration distributed a proclamation explaining why there are so many Jews in it: ‘When the Russian proletariat needed its own new intelligentsia, mid-level intelligentsia, technical workers and administrative workers, not surprisingly, Jews, who, before had been in the opposition, came forward to meet them... the occupation by Jews of administrative posts in the new Russia is historically inevitable and would have been the natural outcome, regardless of whether the new Russia had become KD (Constitutional Democrat), SR (Socialist Revolutionary) or proletarian. Any problems with having Aaron Moiseevich Tankelevich sitting in the place of Ivan Petrovich Ivanov need to be ‘cured’.”

Kuskova parries “in a Constitutional Democratic or SR Russia many administrative posts would have been occupied by Jews, but neither the Kadets nor SR’s would have forbidden teaching the Ten Commandments and wouldn’t have chopped off heads. Stop Tankelevich from doing evil and there will be no microbe of anti-Semitism.”

The Jewish émigré community was chilled by Maslov’s findings. Here was a tested SR with an unassailable reputation who lived through the first four years of Soviet power. “Judæphobia is everywhere in Russia today. It has swept areas where Jews were never before seen and where the Jewish question never occurred to anyone. The same hatred for Jews is found in Vologda, Archangel, in the towns of Siberia and the Urals.” He recounts several episodes affecting the perception of the simple Russian peasants such

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

as the Tyumen Produce Commissar Indenbaum's order to shear sheep for the second time in the season, "because the Republic needs wool." (This was prior to collectivization, no less; these actions of this commissar caused the Ishim peasant uprising.)

The problem arose because it was late in the fall and the sheep would die without their coats from the coming winter cold. Maslov does not name the commissars who ordered the planting of millet and fried sun-flower seeds or issued a prohibition on planting malt, but one can conclude they did not come from ordinary Russian folk or from the Russian aristocracy or from yesterday's men. From all this, the peasantry could only conclude that the power over them was Jewish. So too did the workers. Several workers' resolutions from the Urals in February and March of 1921 sent to the Kremlin complained with outrage of the dominance of the Jews in central and local government. The intelligentsia, of course did not think that Soviet power was Jewish, but it noted the vastly disproportionate role of Jews in authority when compared to their numbers in the population.

And if a Jew approaches a group of non-Jews who are freely discussing Soviet reality, they almost always change the topic of conversation even if the new arrival is a personal acquaintance. Maslov tries to understand the cause of the widespread and bitter hatred of Jews in modern Russia and it seems to him to be the identification throughout society of Soviet power and Jewish power. "The expression 'Yid Power' is often used in Russia and particularly in Ukraine and in the former Pale of Settlement not as a polemic, but as a completely objective definition of power, its content and its politics. Soviet power in the first place answers the wishes

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

and interests of Jews and they are its ardent supporters, and in the second place, power resides in Jewish hands.”

Among the causes of Judæphobia Maslov notes the “tightly welded ethnic cohesion they have formed as a result of their difficult thousands year-old history. This is particularly noticeable when it comes to selecting staff at institutions – if the selection process is in the hands of Jews, you can bet that the entire staff of responsible positions will go to Jews, even if it means removing the existing staff. And often that preference for their own is displayed in a sharp, discourteous manner which is offensive to others. In the Jewish bureaucrat, Soviet power manifests more obviously its negative features... the intoxicating wine of power is stronger for Jews and goes to their head... I don’t know where this comes from.” Perhaps because of the low cultural level of the former pharmacists and shopkeepers. Maybe from living earlier without full civil rights?

The Parisian Zionist journal *Sunrise* wrote in 1922 that Gorky essentially said that the growth of anti-Semitism is aided by the tactless behavior of the Jewish Bolsheviks themselves in many situations.

That is the blessed truth!

And Gorky wasn’t speaking of Trotsky, Zinoviev and Kamenev – he was speaking of the typical Jewish communist who occupies a position in the collegia, presidia and petty and midlevel Soviet institutions where he comes into contact with large swaths of the population. Such individuals occupy leading front-line positions which naturally multiplies their number in the mind of the public.

D. Pasmanik comments: “We must admit that many Jews through their own actions provoke acute anti-Semitism... all the impudent Jews filling the communist ranks, these pharmacists, shopkeepers, peddlers, dropouts



## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

and pseudo intellectuals are indeed causing much evil to Russia and Jewry. Hardly ever before inside of Russia or outside of Russia have Jews been the subject of such an active and concentrated hostility. It has never reached such an intensity nor been so widespread. This elemental hostility has been fed by the open and undeniable participation of Jews in destructive processes underway in Europe as well as by the tales and exaggerations about such participation. A terrible anti-Semitic mood is taking hold, fed exclusively by Bolshevism which continues to be identified with Jewry.”

In 1927 Mikhail Kozakov (shot in 1930 after the Food Workers’ Trial) wrote in a private letter to his brother overseas about the “Judæphobic mood of the masses (among non-party and party members) ... it is no secret that the mass of workers do not love the Jews.”

And Shulgin, after his secret trip to the USSR in 1928 says: “No one says anymore that anti-Semitism is propaganda planted by the Czar’s government or an infection limited to the dregs of society... Geographically it spreads wider each day threatening to engulf all of Russia. The main center today seems to be Moscow... anti-Semitism is a new phenomenon in Great Russia, but is much more serious than old anti-Semitism in the South.” (Anti-Semitism of the South of Russia was traditionally humorous and mitigated by anecdotes about Jews).

Larin brings up an anti-Jewish slogan allegedly used for propaganda purposes by the White Guards — “Russians are sent to Narym [a locale in the far north] and Jews to the Crimea” [a vacation spot].

The Soviet authorities eventually became seriously concerned with the rise of anti-Semitism. In 1923 the *Jewish Tribune* writes, albeit with skepticism, “The Commissariat of Internal Affairs has established a commission to study the

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

question of protecting the Jews from dark forces.” In 1926 Kalinin (and other functionaries) received many questions about Jews in letters and at meetings. As a result, Larin undertook a study of the problem in a book on Jews and anti-Semitism in the USSR. From his own reports, queries and interviews (taken, we can presume, from communists or communist sympathizers) he enumerates 66 questions from those the authorities received, recording them without editing the language. Among these questions:

Where are the  
Jews in  
Moscow  
coming from?  
Why is  
authority  
predominantly  
Jewish?

How come Jews don't wait in line?

How do Jews arriving from Berdichev and other cities immediately receive apartments?

(There is a joke that the last Jew left Berdichev and gave the keys to the city to Kalinin.) Why do Jews have money and own their own bakeries, etc?

Why are Jews drawn to light work and not to physical labor?

Why do Jews in government service and in professions stick together and help each other while Russians do not?

They do not want to work at everyday jobs, but are concerned only with their careers.

Why do they not farm even though it is now allowed them?

# THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

Why are Jews given good land in the Crimea while Russians are given inferior land?

Why is Party opposition 76 percent Jewish? [the opposition to the general line of the Party within the Party itself.]

Why did anti-Semitism develop only against Jews and not against other nationalities?

What should a group agitprop leader do when he tries to counter anti-Semitic tendencies in his group and no one supports him?

Larin suspects that these questions were dreamed up and spread among the masses by an underground organization of counter-revolutionaries! As we will see later, this is where some official explanations came from. But he fixates on the unexpected phenomenon and tries to address scientifically the question “How could anti-Semitism take hold in the USSR in those strata of society — [factory workers, students], where, before the revolution, it was little noted?” His findings were:

## **Anti-Semitism Among The Intelligentsia**

“Among the intelligentsia anti-Semitism is more developed than in any other group.” However, he maintains that dissatisfaction rises not from the large number of Jews, but from the fact that Jews presumed to enter into competition with the Russian intelligentsia for government jobs.

“The obvious development of anti-Semitic attitudes among city clerks and workers by 1928 cannot be explained by excessive numbers of Jews claiming jobs.”

“Among the intellectual professions, anti-Semitic tendencies are felt in the medical sphere and in

# THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

engineering... The army has good political training and there is no anti-Semitism there, even though the command staff of the Red Army has a significantly higher percentage of Jews than are present in the country as a whole.”

## **Anti-Semitism Among The Urban Bourgeoisie**

“The root of anti-Semitism is found in urban bourgeois philistinism.” But, “the battle against anti-Semitism among the bourgeoisie is mixed in with the question of the destruction of the bourgeoisie in general. The anti-Semitism of the bourgeoisie will disappear when the bourgeoisie disappears.”

## **Anti-Semitism In The Countryside**

“We have almost completely pushed out the private trader of the peasant’s grain, therefore among the peasant masses anti-Semitism is not showing itself and has even weakened against its pre-war levels. Now it appears only in those areas where Jews have been resettled on the land, allegedly from Kulaks and former landowners. “

## **Anti-Semitism Among The Working Class**

“Anti-Semitism among the workers has grown noticeably stronger during the decade, and by now [1929] there can be no doubt of its existence. Now it occurs with more frequency and intensity than a few years ago. It is particularly strong among the backward parts of the working class — women and seasonal workers. However, an anti-Semitic mood can be observed among a broad spectrum of

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

workers, not only among the corrupted fringe. And here economic competition is not a factor — it arises even where there is no such competition; Jews make up only make only 2.7 percent of the working class.”

“In the lower level professional organizations, they try to paint over anti-Semitism. Difficulties arise because attempts to hide anti-Semitism come from the active proletariat itself; indeed, anti-Semitism originates from the active proletariat. In many cases Party members and members of Komsomol demonstrate anti-Semitism. Talk of Jewish dominance is particularly widespread, and in meetings one hears complaints that the Soviet authority limits itself to battle with the Orthodox religion alone.”

What savagery — anti-Semitism among the proletariat?! How could this occur in the most progressive and politically aware class in the world?! Larin finds that it arose because “no other means remained for the White Guard to influence the masses besides anti-Semitism.” Its plan of action moves along “the rails of anti-Semitism”. This was a theory that was to have frightening consequences.

Larin’s views on the anti-Semitism of the time were to find echoes later in other authors.

S. Shwartz provides his own variant on anti-Semitism as being the result of a “vulgar perception of Jews as the main carriers of the New Economic Policy (NEP).” But he agrees: “The Soviet government, not without basis, saw in anti-Semitism a possible tool of the counter-revolution”.

In 1968 the author adds: “After the civil war, anti-Semitism began to spread, gripping layers of society which were free of this tendency before the revolution”.

Against this it was necessary to engage not in academic discussion but to act energetically and forcefully. In May 1928 the CK of the VKPb issued an Agitprop

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

communication about “measures to be taken in the battle with anti-Semitism.” (As was often the case in implementation of party directives, related documents were not publicized, but circulated among party organizations.) The battle to create an atmosphere of intolerance of anti-Semitism was to be taken up in educational programs, public reports, lectures, the press, radio and school textbooks and finally, authorities were “to apply the strictest disciplinary measures to those found guilty of anti-Semitic practices.” Sharp newspaper articles followed. In *Pravda*’s article by a highly connected Lev Sosnovsky, he incriminates all kinds of party and educational officials in anti-Semitism: an official in Kiev “openly fires Jews with the connivance of the local district party committee”; defamatory anti-Jewish graffiti is widespread etc. From a newspaper article: “With the growing battle against anti-Semitism there are demands to solve the problem by increasing repression on those carriers of anti-Semitism and on those who protect them.” Clearly it was the GPU speaking through the language of a newspaper article.

After Larin’s report, the issue of anti-Semitism was included into various educational curricula, while Larin himself continued to research the ways to overcome anti-Semitism decisively. “Until now we were too soft, allowing propaganda to spread. Locally officials often do not deal with anti-Semitism as rigorously as they should.” Newspapers “should not fear to point attention to the Jewish issue (to avoid dissemination of anti-Semitism) as it only interferes with the fight against counter revolutionary sabotage. Anti-Semitism is a social pathology like alcoholism or vagrancy. Too often when dealing with communists we let them off with mere censure. If a person goes to church and gets

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

married, then we exclude him without discussion — anti-Semitism is no less an evil.”

“As the USSR develops towards socialism, the prognosis is good that Soviet anti-Semitism and the legacy of pre-Soviet relationships will be torn out by the roots. Nevertheless, it is absolutely necessary to impose severe controls on intellectual anti-Semitism especially in the teaching profession and civil service.”

But the very spirit of the brave Twenties demands stronger language. “The nature of modern-day anti-Jewish agitation in the USSR is political and not nationalistic. Agitation against the Jews is directed not just against Jews, but indirectly against the Soviet power.” Or maybe not so indirect: “anti-Semitism is a means of mobilization against Soviet power.” And “those against the position of Soviet authorities on the Jewish question are against the working class and for the capitalists. Any talk of Jewish dominance will be regarded as counterrevolutionary activity against the very foundation of the nationalities policy of the proletarian revolution. Parts of the intelligentsia, and sometimes the White Guards are using anti-Semitism to transmit bourgeois ideology.”

Yes, that’s it — clearly there is a White Guard whispering campaign, planned agitation by secret White Guard organizations. Behind “the philistine anti-Jewish agitation, secret monarchist organizations are leading a battle against Soviet power. And from the central organs of anti-Soviet emigration (including Jewish bankers and Czarist generals) an ideology is transmitted right into our factories proving that anti-Jewish agitation in the USSR is class-based, not nationality-based. It is necessary to explain to the masses that encouragement of anti-Jewish feelings in essence is an attempt to lay the groundwork for counter-revolution. The

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

masses must regard anyone who shows sympathy to anti-Semitism as a secret counter-revolutionary or the mouthpiece of a secret monarchist organization. (There are conspiracies everywhere!) The term *anti-Semite* must take on the same meaning in the public mind as the term counter-revolutionary.

The authorities had seen through everything and named everything for what it was: counter-revolution, White Guards, monarchists, White generals and anyone suspected of being any of the above.

For the thickheaded, the revolutionary orator elaborates: “The methods to fight anti-Semitism are clear.” At a minimum, to conduct open investigations and sessions of a “people’s tribunal against anti-Semitism” at local levels under the motto ‘explanations for the backward workers’ and ‘repressions for the malicious.’ There is no reason why Lenin’s decree should not apply.”

Under Lenin’s decree (that from July 27, 1918) active anti-Semites were to be placed outside of the law — that is, to be shot even for agitating for a pogrom, not just for participating in one. The law encouraged each Jew to register a complaint about any ethnic insult visited upon him.

Now some later author will object that the July 27 Act was ultimately not included in the law and was not part of the criminal code of 1922. Though the criminal code of 1926 did include an article about the instigation of ethnic hostility and dissension, there were no specific articles about acts of anti-Semitism. This is not convincing. Article 59-7 of the Criminal Code (“propaganda or agitation intended to incite national or religious hatred or dissension”) was sufficient to send one to prison and the article provided for confiscation of the property of perpetrators of widespread disturbances and, under aggravated circumstances (for instance, class



## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

origin) – death. Article 59-7 was based on the RSFSR Penal Code of Feb 26, 1927, which widened the definition of instigation of national hatred making it equal in seriousness to dissemination or preparation and storing of literature.

Storing books! How familiar is that proscription, contained in the related law 58-10! [the infamous Article 58 of the Penal Code of RSFSR dealt with so-called counter-revolutionary and anti-Soviet activities.]

Many brochures on anti-Semitism were published and finally, on Feb 19, 1929 Pravda devoted its lead article to the matter: “Attention to the battle with anti-Semitism.” A 1929 resolution of CK of Communist Party of Byelorussia stated that “the counter-revolutionary nature of anti-Semitic incidents is often ignored” and that organs of justice should “intensify the fight, prosecuting both perpetrators of the law and those who inspire them.”

The secretary of the CK of Komsomol said, “most dangerous in our conditions are secret anti-Semites who hide their anti-Semitic attitudes.” Those who are familiar with Soviet language understand what is being said here: “it is necessary to cut off suspicious ways of thinking and get rid of anyone suspected of impure thoughts.” This recalls Grigory Landau, speaking of his Jewish opponents: “They suspect or accuse other groups around them of anti-Semitism ... Anyone who voices a negative opinion about Jews is accused of being an open anti-Semite and others are called secret anti-Semites.”

In 1929, a certain I. Zilberman in *Daily Soviet Jurisprudence* (no. 4) writes that there were too few court trials relating to anti-Semitism in Moscow Province. In the city of Moscow alone for the year there were only 34 cases (that is, every 10 days there was a trial for anti-Semitism

# THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

somewhere in Moscow). The *Journal of Narkomyust* was read as an instruction manual for bringing such cases.

Could the evillest anti-Semite have thought up a better way to identify Jews with Soviet power in the opinion of the people?

It went so far that in 1930 the Supreme Court of RSFSR ruled that Article 59-7 should not be used by members of national minorities seeking redress in conflicts of a personal nature. In other words, the judicial juggernaut had already been wound up and was running at full speed.

\* \* \*

If we look at life of regular, not “commanding” Jewish folk, we see desolation and despair in formerly vibrant and thriving *shtetls*. The *Jewish Tribune* reproduced a report by a special official who inspected towns and *shtetls* in the south-west of Russia in 1923, indicating that as the most active inhabitants had moved into the cities, the remaining population of elders and families with many children lived to a large extent by relying on humanitarian and financial aid from America.

Indeed, by the end of the period of War Communism (1918-1920) when all trade, or any buying and selling, were prohibited under threat of property confiscation and fines, the Jews were helped by Jewish charities like the Joint All-Russian Public Committee for assistance to victims of pogroms and destitute Jews. Several other charities protected the Jewish population later at different times, such as the SC (Society of Craftsmen, which after the revolution moved abroad), EKOPO (the Jewish committee for assistance to victims of war) and EKO (the Jewish colonizing society). In 1921-22, Soviet-based Jewish charities functioned in

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

Moscow and St. Petersburg. Despite intervention and obstacles from YevSeks (Jewish communist organizations), Joint provided Soviet Jews with extensive financial and other assistance, whereas SC was dedicated to establishment and development of Jewish industry and agriculture in the south of Ukraine during first half of 1920s.

The first Soviet census provides insight into Jewish life during the liberalized NEP period. Forty percent of Jews were classified as active (not dependents.) Of those, 28 percent were public servants, 21 percent craftsmen, 19 percent industry workers (including apprentices), 12 percent merchants, 9 percent peasants, one percent military men, and the remainder were classified as

“others.” Among public servants, Jews were well represented in trade-related occupations. For instance, in Moscow business organizations 16 percent of the clerks were Jews, in credit and trade organizations 13 percent (30 percent according to the *Jewish Encyclopedia*) in public organizations 19 percent, in fiscal organizations 9 percent, in Sovdeps 10 percent, with virtually no presence in the police force. The percentages were correspondingly higher in the former Pale of Settlement areas, up to 62 percent in the state trade of Byelorussia, 44 percent in Ukraine (77 percent in category of private state servants.) The flow of Jewish workers into industry was much slower than government wished. There were almost no Jews among railroad men and miners’ they rather preferred the professions of tailor, tanner, typographer, woodworker and food-related specialties and other fields of consumer industry. To recruit Jewish workers into industry, special professional schools were created with predominantly foreign funding from Jewish organizations abroad.

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

It was the time of NEP, which improved economic conditions of Jewish population within a new, Soviet framework. In 1924 Moscow 75 percent of the perfume and pharmaceutical trade was in Jewish hands, as well as 55 percent of the manufactured goods trade, 49 percent of the Jewelry trade, 39 percent of the small ware trade, and 36 percent of the wood-depots. Starting business in a new place, a Jew usually ran down prices in private sector to attract clientele. The first and most prominent NEPmen often were Jews. To a large extent, anger against them stemmed from the fact that they utilized the Soviet as well as the market systems: their commerce was routinely facilitated by their links and pulls in the Soviet apparatus. Sometimes such connections were exposed by authorities as in the case of famous Paraffin Affair. During 1920s, there were abundant opportunities to buy up belongings of oppressed and persecuted “former” people, especially high quality or rare furniture. S. Ettinger noted that Jews made a majority of NEPmen and new-riches, which was supported by impressive list of individuals who failed to pay state taxes and dues in *Izvestia* in 1929.

However, at the end of NEP, authorities launched an anti-capitalist assault against financiers, merchants and manufacturers, many of whom were Jewish. As a result, many Jews turned into “Soviet trade servants” and continued working in the same spheres of finance, credit and commerce. A steamroller of merchandise and property confiscations, outright state robbery and social ostracizing (outclassing people into the disenfranchised *lishenets* category) was advancing on private commerce. Some Jewish merchants, attempting to avoid discrimination and endlessly increasing taxation, declared themselves as having no occupation during the census. Nevertheless, virtually the

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

entire Jewish male population in towns and *shtetls* passed through the torture chambers of GPU during the campaign of gold and jewelry extortion in the beginning of 1930s. Such things would be regarded as an impossible nightmare in the Czar's Russia. Many Jewish families, to avoid the stigma of being *lishenets*, moved into large cities. In the end, only one-fifth of Soviet Jews lived in the traditional Jewish settlements by 1930s.

Socioeconomic experiments by the Soviet authorities including all kinds of nationalization and socialization had not only devastated the middle classes, but also hit badly the small merchants and craftsmen. Due to a general lack of merchandise and solvent customers as well as low liquidity and exorbitant taxes, many *shtetl* merchants had no other choice but to close down their shops, and while the most active left for cities, the remaining populace has nothing else to do but aimlessly roam decrepit streets, loudly complaining about their fate, people and God. It is apparent that Jewish masses completely lost their economic foundations. It was really like that in many *shtetls* at that time. To address the problem, a special resolution of Sovnarkom was issued in 1929.

G. Simon, a former emigrant, came to the USSR in the end of 1920s as an American businessman with a mission to investigate tool shortages of Jewish craftsmen. Later, in Paris, he published a book with an emotional and ironic title, *Jews Rule Over Russia*. Describing the situation with Jewish manufacturing and trade, its oppression and destruction by Soviets, he also shares his impressions. Quoting many conversations, the general mood of populace is pretty gloomy. "Many bad things, many crimes happen in Russia these days but it's better to suppress that blinding hatred"; "They often fear that the revolution will inevitably end in the

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

Russian manner, i.e. by mass-murder of Jews”. A local Bolshevik-Jew suggests that “it’s only the revolution that stands between the Jews and those wishing to aggrandize Russia by the rape of Jewish women and spilling the blood of Jewish children”.

A well-known economist B. D. Bratskus, who in 1920 provided a damning analysis of the socialist economy (he was expelled from the country in 1922 by Lenin), published an extensive article *The Jewish Population Under Communist Power* in *Contemporary Notes* in 1928, chronicling the NEP in the former Pale of Settlement areas of Ukraine and Byelorussia. The relative importance of private enterprise was declining as even the smallest merchants were deprived of their political rights. They became disenfranchised *lishenets* and couldn’t vote in Soviet elections, and thus lost their civil rights. In contrast, handcraftsmen still enjoyed a certain semblance of rights. The fight of Soviet authorities against private enterprise and entrepreneurs was in large part a fight against Jewish populace. Because in those days not only almost the entire urban private enterprise in Ukraine and Byelorussia was represented by Jews, but the Jewish participation in the small capitalist upper class in the capital cities of Moscow, St. Petersburg and Kharkov had also become very substantial.

Bratskus distinguished three periods during the NEP: 1921-23, 1923-25 and 1925-27. Development of private enterprise was least impeded by communists during first two and half years when Bolsheviks were still overwhelmed by their economic debacles. The first communist reaction followed between the end of 1923 and the spring of 1925. Wholesale and shop trade in the former Pale of Settlement was destroyed, with only small flea market trade still permitted. Crafts were burdened by taxation. Artisans lost

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

their last tools and materials (the latter often belonged to their peasant customers) to confiscation. The concept of Jewish equality virtually turned into fiction as two-thirds of Jews lost their voting rights.

Because YevSek (the Jewish section of the Communist Party) inherited a specific hatred toward the Jewish petty bourgeoisie cultivated by earlier Jewish socialist parties and saw their own purpose in fighting it, its policy in the beginning of NEP was substantially different from the general party line. During the second part of NEP, the YevSek attempted to complete the dismantling of Jewish bourgeoisie, which began with War Communism. However, information about the bleak life of the Jewish population in the USSR was leaking out into the Jewish press abroad. YevSek attempted to blame that on the Czar's regime which allegedly obstructed Jewish participation in productive labor, that is by Communist definition, in physical labor. And since Jews still preferred unproductive labor, they inevitably suffer. Soviet authorities had nothing to do with it. But Brutskus objected, claiming that in reality it was opposite. The class of Jewish craftsmen nearly disappeared with the annihilation of petty Jewish manufacture. Indeed, the professional Jewish classes grew and become diversified while excessive numbers of petty Jewish middlemen slowly decreased under the Czar because of the gradual development of ethnic Russian enterprise and deepening business connections between the Pale of Settlement and inner Russia. But now the Jewish population again was turned into a mass of petty middlemen.

During the third period of NEP, from spring of 1925 to autumn of 1926, large tax remissions were made for craftsmen and street vendors and village fairs were relieved of taxation while activities of state financial inspectors

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

supervising large businesses were brought under the law. The economy and well-being of the Jewish population started to recover rapidly. It was a boom time for Jewish craftsmen and merchants specializing in agriculture. Petty manufacturing grew and successfully competed for raw materials and resources with state manufacture in the western provinces. At the same time, a new decree granted political (and, therefore, certain civil) rights to many Jews.

The second communist assault on private enterprise, which eventually resulted in the dismantling of NEP, began at the end of 1926. First, private grain trade was prohibited, followed by bans on raw skins, oil seeds and the tobacco trade. Private mills, creameries, tanneries and tobacco houses were expropriated. Fixed prices on shop merchandise were introduced in the summer of 1927. Most craftsmen couldn't work because of shortage of raw materials.

The state of affairs in the *shtetls* of western Russia alarmed international Jewry. For instance, Pasmanik wrote in 1922 that Jews as people are doomed to disappear under Bolsheviks and that communists reduced all Russian Jewry into a crowd of paupers. However, the Western public (including Jews) did not want to hear all this. The West saw the USSR in good light partly because of general left-leaning of European intelligentsia, but mainly because the world and American Jewry were now confident in bright future and security of Russian Jews and skillful Soviet propaganda only deepened this impression.

Benevolent public opinion was extremely instrumental for Soviet leaders in securing Western, and especially American, financial aid, which was indispensable for economical recovery after their brave War Communism. As Lenin said at the Party Congress in 1921, "As the revolution was not spreading to other countries, we should



## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

do anything possible to secure assistance of progressive capitalism and for that we are ready to pay hundreds of millions and even billions from our immense wealth, our vast resources, because otherwise our recovery would take decades.” And the business went smoothly as progressive capitalism showed no scruples about acquiring Russian wealth.

The first Soviet international bank, Roskombank, was founded in 1922. It was headed by the already mentioned Olof Aschberg (who was reliably delivering aid to Lenin during entire revolutionary period) and by former Russian private bankers (Shlezinger, Kalashkin and Ternovsky). There was also Max May of Morgan Guaranty Trust in the U.S. who was of great assistance to the Soviets. Now they developed a scheme allowing Roskombank directly to purchase goods in U.S. despite the futile protests from the Secretary of State Charles Hughes, who asserted that this kind of relations meant a de-facto recognition of the Soviet régime. A Swedish Roskombank adviser, Professor G. Kassel, said that it was reckless to leave Russia with all her resources alone.

Concessioners flocked into the USSR, where they were very welcome. Here we see Lenin’s favorite, Armand Hammer, who in 1921 decided to help rebuild Ural industry and procured a concession on asbestos mines at Alapayevsk. Lenin mentioned in 1921 that Hammer’s father would provide two million stones of bread on very favorable terms (5 percent) in exchange for Ural Jewelry to be sold in America. And Hammer shamelessly exported Russian art treasures in exchange for the development of pencil manufacturing. Later, in the times of Stalin and Khrushchev, hammer frequented Moscow, continuing to export Russian

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

cultural treasures (e.g., church utensils, icons, paintings, china, etc. in huge volumes.)

However, in 1921-22 large sums were donated by American Jewry and distributed in Russia by the American Relief Administration (ARA) for assistance to the victims of “bloody pogroms, for the rescue of towns in the South of Russia and for the peasantry of Volga Region.” Many ARA associates were Jews.

\* \* \*

Another novel idea from the Twenties, not so much an idea originating among Jews as one dreamed up to appeal to them, was Jewish colonization of agricultural land. It is said their history of dispersion had denied them possibilities in agriculture and forced them to engage in money lending, commerce and trade. Now at last Jews could occupy the land and thereby renounce the harmful ways of the past to labor productively under Soviet skies, and thus putting to flight the unflattering myths which had grown up about them.

Soviet authorities turned to the idea of colonization partially to improve productivity, but mostly for political reasons. This was sure to bring a swell of sympathy, but more important, financial aid. Brutskus writes: “The Soviet government, needing credits, searched for support among the foreign bourgeoisie and highly valued its relations with the foreign Jewish bourgeoisie.”

However, toward 1924 the donations stopped pouring in and even the Jewish American Charity (Joint Committee) was forced to halt its work in Europe. To again collect large amounts of money (as they had through the American Relief Administration in 1921), they needed to create, as they say in the U.S., a boom. Colonization became the boom for

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

Jewish charities. The grandiose project for resettling 100,000 Jewish families on their own land was, apparently, mostly a public relations ploy. The committee for the State Land Trust for Jewish Laborers (KomZET) was founded in 1924, followed by the all-Soviet Volunteer Land Society of Jewish Laborers (OZET). I remember as school children we were made to join and pay membership dues by bringing money from home to ODD (Society of Friends of the Children) and OZET. In many countries sister organizations to OZET sprung up.

It was immediately clear that the assistance of the Soviet government in the passage of poor Jews to the land was a matter of international significance. Through this the foreign proletariat could judge the power and solidity of the Soviet government. This development had the active participation and financial support of the powerful American Joint. Committee. The *Jewish*

*Chronicle* of London, Oct 16, 1925: “The Crimea has been offered as replacement for Palestine. Why send Jews to Palestine which is so unproductive and which will mean so much sacrifice and hard work when the rich land of Ukraine and fruited fields of the Crimea are smiling upon suffering Jews. Moscow will be the benefactor and defender of Russian Jewry and will be able to seek moral support from Jews around the globe. As well, the plan will cost nothing, as American Jews are covering all expenses.”

It didn't take the Russian émigré press long to recognize the Soviet maneuver. P. Struve in the Parisian journal *Renaissance* wrote: “This entire undertaking serves to bind Jewry – both

Russian and international – to communist power and definitively mark Jews with the brand of communism.” In a lead editorial from the Berlin *Rul*: “It's true the world

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

identifies the Bolsheviks with the Jews. There is a need further to connect them with shared responsibility for the fate of hundreds of thousands of poor. Then you can trick wealthy American Jews with a threat: the fall of Soviet power followed by a mass pogrom which sweeps away the Jewish societies they founded.

Therefore, they will support Soviet power at all costs.”

In a fateful irony, the Bolshevik bluff met American enterprise and the Americans fell for it, not knowing what was going on in the USSR.

Actually, the world Jewish community was excited by hope in the rehabilitation of Jewish agriculture. In September 1925 at the all-German session, the Jewish bourgeoisie under the leadership of the Director of the German National Bank, Hjalmar Schacht decided to support the project. Leon Blum founded the Jewish Construction Fund in France which sent tractors to the settlers. The Society for Aid for Jewish Land Colonization was founded in New York. In countries around the globe, all the way to South Africa, money was collected for the colonization plan from Social Democrats, anarchists, and, so they say, ordinary workers.

The editors of the American magazine *Morning Journal*, posed the question, as did many others, “Is it ethical for Russian Jews to colonize land that was expropriated?” The *Jewish Chronicle* recalled that most of the former land owners were in prison, shot or exiled. They were answered by the leading American jurist Louis Marshall and chairman of the World Joint Committee who claimed the beneficent right of revolutionary expropriation. Indeed, during the years 1919-1923 more than 23,000 Jews had settled in former estates near the towns and villages in the former Pale of Settlement. By spring 1923, no more of this land remained

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

available and the first small groups of Jews started to form for resettlement to the free steppe land in Southern Ukraine. This movement picked up speed after 1925.

The international Jewish Agro-Joint was formed by Marshall with the banker Paul Warburg as the director. Here our chroniclers of the history of communism decline to issue a denunciation of class enemies, and instead, approve of their efforts.

The Agro-Joint concluded an agreement with KomZET about the contribution of tractors, farm machinery, seed, the digging of artesian wells and professional training for Jewish youth. EKO assisted as well. At a 1926 session of OZET Kalinin spoke out forcefully against any plans for Jewish assimilation and, instead, proposed a wide-ranging program for Jewish autonomy known in the West as the Kalinin Declaration.

The early plans called for resettlement to the south of Ukraine and northern Crimea of approximately 100,000 families or 20 percent of the entire Jewish population of the USSR. The plans contemplated separate Jewish national regions as well. Many remained jobless and nevertheless declined the opportunity to work and only half of all Jews who agreed to resettle actually took up residence in the villages they were supposed to resettle in.

However, American Zionists objected to the OZET plan and saw in the propaganda for the project of widespread Jewish agricultural colonization in the Soviet Union a challenge to Zionism and its idea for the settlement of Eretz Israel. OZET falsely claimed its plans did not contradict at all the idea of colonization of Palestine.

Great hope was placed on Crimea. There were 455,000 hectares given over to Jewish colonization in Ukraine and Byelorussia; 697,000 hectares set aside in

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

Crimea for that purpose. According to the 10-Year Plan for the settlement of Jews in Crimea, the Jewish proportion of the population was to grow from 8 percent in 1929 to 25 in 1939. (It was assumed that the Jews would substantially outnumber the Tatars by that time.) “There shall be no obstacles to the creation in the Crimean ASSR a Northern Crimean Autonomous Jewish Republic or oblast”.

The settlement of the Jews in the Crimea provoked the hostility of the Tatars (“Are they giving Crimea to the Jews?”) and dissatisfaction of local landless peasants. Larin writes “Evil and false rumors are circulating throughout the country about removal of land from non-Jews, the expulsion of non-Jews and the particularly strong support the authorities have given to the Jewish settlers.” It went so far that the chairman of the CIK of the Crimean ASSR, Veli Ibraimov published an interview in the Simferopol paper *Red Crimea* (Sept 26, 1926) which Larin does not quote from, but which he claims was a manifestation of “evil bourgeois chauvinism” and a call for a pogrom. Ibraimov also promulgated a resolution and projects which were “not yet ready for publication” (also not quoted by Larin). For this, Larin denounced Ibraimov to the Central Control Commission of CK of VKPb, recounting the incident with pride in his book. As a result, Ibraimov was removed and then shot, after which the Jewish colonization of Crimea gained strength.

As was typical for the communist régime, the closed trial of Ibraimov resulted in a political conviction for “connections with a Kulak bandit gang,” officially, for banditry. A certain Mustafa, the assistant to the chair of the CIK, was also shot with Ibraimov as a bandit.

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

Rumors of the effective assistance given to the Jewish settlers did not die down. The authorities tried to counter them. A government newspaper in 1927 wrote “the generous assistance to Jewish settlers” is coming from “Jewish community organizations” (without mentioning they were Western organizations), and not from the government as was rumored. To refute the rumors, Shlikhter (that young brawler from Kiev’s Duma in October 1905), now Narkom of Agriculture of Ukraine, toured over the South of Ukraine. Rumors that the Jews were not working the land given to them but were renting it out or hiring farm laborers, were met with: “We haven’t observed this behavior, but the Jewish settlers must be forbidden to rent out their land and the unhealthy atmosphere surrounding the Jewish resettlement must be countered with the widest possible education campaign.”

The article allows one to judge about the scale of events. It states that 630 Jewish households moved into Kherson Province between the end of 1925 and July of 1927. In 1927, there were 48 Jewish agricultural settlements in Ukraine with a total population of 35,000. In Crimea, 4463 Jews lived in Jewish agricultural settlements in 1926. Other sources implausibly claimed that by 1928, 220,000 Jews lived in Jewish agricultural colonies. Similarly, Larin mentioned 200,000 by the beginning of 1929. Where does this order of magnitude discrepancy come from? Larin here contradicts himself, saying that in 1929 the share of Jews in agriculture was negligible, less than 0.2 percent and almost 20 percent among merchants and two percent in population in general. Mayakovsky saw it differently:

*“A hard-toiling Jew  
Tills the rocky land.”*

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

However, the program of Jewish land colonization, for all practical purposes, was a failure. For many of the settlers there was little motivation to stay. It didn't help that the resettlement and the building project had come from on high and the money from western organizations. A lot of government assistance for Jewish settlers didn't help. It is little known that tractors from neighboring collective farms were ordered to till Jewish land. Despite the flow of 2-3 thousand resettling Jewish families, by the end of five years' work Jewish settlements in Crimea listed only around five thousand families instead of the pre-planned 10 to 15 thousand. The reason was that settlers frequently returned to their place of origin or moved to the cities of Crimea or other parts of the country. This mass departure of Jews from agriculture in the 1920s and 30s resembles similar Jewish withdrawal from agricultural colonies in the 19th century, albeit now there were many new occupations available in industry and in administration, a prohibited field for Jews in Czarist Russia.

Eventually, collectivization arrived. Suddenly in 1930 Semyon Dimanstein, for many years the head of the Jewish Section of CK of VKPb, a staunch communist who bravely put up with all Soviet programs in the Twenties, came out in the press against universal collectivization in the national regions. He was attempting to protect the Jewish colony from collectivization which he had been warned about. However, collectivization came, not sparing the fresh shoots of Jewish land stewardship. At almost the same time, the Jewish and non-Jewish *kolkhozes* [collective farms] were combined under the banner of internationalism and the program of Jewish settlement in Ukraine and Crimea was finally halted.



## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

The principal Soviet project of Jewish colonization was at Birobidzhan, a territory nearly the size of Switzerland between the two branches of the Amur river near the Chinese border. It has been described variously. In 1956 Khrushchev bragged in conversations with Canadian communists that the soil was rich, the climate was southern, there was much sun and water and rivers filled with fish and vast forests. The Socialist *Vestnik* described it as covered with wild taiga. Swampland made up a significant portion of the territory. According the *Encyclopedia Britannica*: a plain with swamps in places, but a fertile land along the Amur.

The project came about in 1927 from the KomZET (a committee of the CIK) and was intended to turn a significant part of the Jewish population into a settled agricultural people in one location (Kalinin). Also the Jewish Autonomous Republic was to serve as a counterweight to Zionism, creating a national homeland with at least half a million population. (One possible motive behind the plan which cannot be excluded: to wedge a loyal Soviet population into the hostile Cossack frontier.)

OZET sent a scientific expedition to Birobidzhan in 1927 and, before large settlements of Jews began arriving, in 1928 started preparations and building for the settlement using laborers from the local populace and wandering work crews of Chinese and Koreans.

Older residents of the area – Trans-Baikal Cossacks exiled there between the 1860's and the 1880's and already tested by the hardships of the frontier woods – remember being concerned about the Jewish settlement. The Cossacks needed vast tracts of land for their farming methods and feared they would be crowded out of lands they used for hunting and hay harvesting. The KomZET commission report was a preliminary plan for the possible gradual

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

resettlement of 35,000 families. But reality was different. The CIK of VKPb in 1928 assigned Birobidzhan for Jewish colonization and preparation of first settler trains began immediately. For the first time ever, city dwellers (from Ukraine and Byelorussia) without any preparation for agricultural labor were sent to farm the land. (They were lured by the prospect of having the status of *lishenets* removed.).

The Komsomol published the Monthly OZET and Pioneer delegations traveled around the country collecting for the Birobidzhan resettlement. The hastily dispatched Jewish families were horrified by the conditions they met upon arrival. They moved into barracks at the Tikhonkaya railroad station, in the future town of Birobidzhan. Among the inhabitants were some who never left the barracks for the land, living off the loans and credits they managed to obtain for making the move. Others less nimble lived in abject poverty.

During the first year of work at Birobidzhan only 25 huts were built, only 125 hectares were plowed and none were planted. Many did not remain in Birobidzhan; 1,000 workers arrived in the spring of 1928 and by July, 25 percent of all those who arrived in 1928 had left. By February 1929 more than half of the population had abandoned Birobidzhan. From 1928 to 1933 more than 18,000 arrived, yet the Jewish population grew only by 6,000. By some calculations only 14 percent of those Jews who resettled remained in 1929. They returned either to their homes or moved to Khabarovsk and Vladivostok.

Larin, who devotes no small number of reasoned and impassioned pages to the building of Jewish agriculture sniffs that “an unhealthy fuss has been raised around Birobidzhan, a utopian settlement of a million Jews.

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

Resettlement was practically presented as a national obligation of Soviet Jews, Zionism turned inside out, a kind of back-to-the-province movement.” International Jewish organizations provided no finances for Birobidzhan, from the beginning considering it too expensive and risky for them. More likely the western Jewish organizations, Agro-Joint, ORT and EKO could not support the distant project beyond the Urals. It wasn't a Jewish plan, but a scheme of Soviet authority's eager to tear down and build life anew in the country.

\* \* \*

From the October revolution to the end of the Twenties the lives of ordinary Jews were affected by the actions of Yevseks – members of the YevSek (the Jewish section of the CK of VKPb.) Besides the Jewish Commissariat, an active Jewish organization grew up in the VKPb. As well from 1918 local organizations were formed in the guberniyas. They created an environment fanatically inspired with the idea and ideas of communism, even more so than was Soviet authority itself and at times these organizations even opposed Soviet projects. For example, at the insistence of the YevSek, the Jewish Commissariat decreed Hebrew to be a language of reaction and counterrevolution in early 1919, requiring Jewish schools to teach in Yiddish. The Central Bureau of the YevSek was part of the CK of VKPb and local YevSeks operated in the former Pale of Settlement. The purpose of the YevSek was communist education and Sovietization of the Jewish population in their native language of Yiddish.

From 1924 to 1928 responsibility for all Jewish education and culture was under the Jewish Bureaus of the

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

republic-level administrative bodies, but these were abolished for excesses in forced Yiddishization and more power accrued to the YevSek.

The activities of the YevSek in the Twenties were contradictory. On one hand, they carried out active agitprop work in communist education in Yiddish and mercilessly battled against Judaism, traditional Jewish education, Jewish social structures, independent Jewish organizations, political parties and movements, Zionism and Hebrew. On the other hand it opposed assimilation with its support of the Yiddish language and a Yiddish culture and organizations of Jewish education, Jewish scientific research and activity to improve the economic status of Soviet Jews. In this the YevSek often held a more radical position than even the central party bodies.

The anti-Zionist YevSek was made up to a large degree of former Bundists and socialistterritorialists who were thought of as traitors or neophyte communists in VKPb. The purpose of the YevSek was to develop communist influence on Russian Jewry and to create a Jewish Soviet nation isolated from world Jewry. But at the same time its actions paradoxically turned it from a technical apparatus urging the Jewish population to build socialism into a focal point for Jewish life in the USSR. A split arose in the YevSek between supporters of forced assimilation and those who thought its work was a necessary means of preservation of the Jewish people.

The *Book of Russian Jewry* observes with sympathy that the activity of the YevSek still carried a clear and expressly Jewish stamp under the banner of the Proletariat. For instance in 1926 using the slogan “to the countryside!” [meant to rouse interest in working in and propagandizing rural areas] the YevSek came up with “to the *shtetl!*”

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

This activity resonated widely in Jewish circles in Poland and in the U.S. The author further calls it a many-faceted Jewish nationalism in communist form. But in 1926 the CP halted the activity of the YevSek and turned it into the Jewish Bureau. In 1930 the Jewish Bureau was closed along with all national sections of VKPb. After that the activity of the YevSeks continued under the banner of communism. Russian Jewry lost all forms of self-expression, including communistic forms.

The end of the YevSek symbolized the final dissolution of the Bund movement to allow a separate nationalist existence, even if it went against strict social-democratic theory. However, after the YevSek was abolished, many of the former Yevseks and Jewish socialists did not come to their senses and put the building of socialism higher than the good of their own people or any other good, staying to serve the party-government apparatus. And that overflowing service was evident more than anything. Whether statistically or using a wealth of singular examples, it is obvious that Jews pervaded the Soviet power structure in those years. And all this happened in the state that persecuted freedom of speech, freedom of commerce and religion, not to mention its denigration of human worth.

\* \* \*

Bikerman and Pasmanik paint a very gloomy picture of the state of Jewish culture in the USSR in 1923: “All is torn up and trampled underfoot in the field of Jewish culture”, “All foundations of a nationalist Jewish culture are shaken and all that is sacred is stomped into the mud.” S. Dubnov saw something similar in 1922 and wrote about “rueful wreckage and a picture of ruin and the progress of

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

dark savages, destroying the last remnants of a bygone culture”.

However, Jewish historiography did not suffer destruction in the first ten years after the revolution, as is attested to by the range of allowed publications. Government archives, including those from the department of police, opened after the revolution have given Jewish scholars a view on Jewish participation in the revolutionary movement, pogroms, and blood libel trials. The Jewish Historical-Ethnographical Society was founded in 1920 and published the 2-volume *Material on the History of anti-Jewish Pogroms in Russia*. The Society later came under attack from the YevSek and it was abolished in 1929. The journals *Jewish News* and *The Jewish Chronicle* were shut down in the mid-twenties. S. Dubnov's *Jewish Antiquity* remained in publication (even after he left the USSR in 1922) but was closed in 1930. The Jewish Ethnographical Museum functioned from 1916, but was closed in 1930.

In the 1920s, Jewish culture had two divergent fates — one in Hebrew and one in Yiddish. Hebrew was strongly repressed and forbidden as authorities saw it as a carrier of religion and Zionism. Before the consolidation of Soviet power in the years 1917-1919 there were more than 180 books, brochures, and journals in Hebrew (mostly in Odessa, but also in Kiev and Moscow.) The feeling that the fate of Hebrew was connected with the fate of the victorious communist revolution held in the early Twenties among young people attempting to create a revolutionary literary tribune, under whose banner they hoped to unite the creative youthful strength of world Jewry. However at the insistence of the YevSek, Hebrew was declared a reactionary language and already in 1919 the People's Commissariat of Education had forbidden the teaching of Hebrew in all educational

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

institutions. The removal of all Hebrew books from libraries had begun.

Yiddish culture fared much better. Yiddish was the language of the Jewish masses. According to the 1926 census, 73 percent of Jews listed Yiddish as their mother tongue (another source cites a figure of 66 percent – that is the Jewish population could preserve its culture in Yiddish. Soviet authorities used this. If in the early years of Soviet power and Bolshevism the opinion prevailed that Jews should discard their language and nationality, later the Jewish Commissariat at the Narkomat of Nationalities, the YevSek, and the Jewish sections of the republican narkomats of education began to build Soviet culture in Yiddish. In the Twenties Yiddish was declared one of the official languages of Byelorussia. In Odessa of the Twenties and even the Thirties it was a language of many government institutions, with “Jewish hours” on the radio and court proceedings in Yiddish.

A rapid growth in Yiddish schools began in 1923 throughout the Soviet Union. Beginning in 1923 and continuing through 1930 a program of systematic “Yiddishization” was carried out, even forced, upon Jewish schools in the former Pale of Settlement. Many schools were switched to Yiddish without considering the wishes of parents. In 1923 there were 495 Yiddish schools with 70,000 Jewish children, by 1928 there were 900 schools and in 1930 they had 160,000 children. This can be partially explained by the fact that Ukrainians and Byelorussians at this time received full cultural autonomy and saw Jewish children as potential agents of Russification; Jewish parents didn’t want their children in Ukrainian or Byelorussian schools and there were no more Russian schools — they had no choice but to go to Yiddish schools. They did not study Jewish history in

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

these schools; instead there was class war and the Jews. (Just as in the Russian schools there was no study of Russian history, or of any history, only “social sciences”.) Throughout the Twenties even those few elements of a specifically Jewish education were gradually driven out of Soviet Jewish schools. By the early Thirties the autonomously functioning system of Soviet Jewish schools had been officially done away with.

From 1918 there were independent Jewish schools of higher education — ENU (Jewish People’s University) until 1922 in Moscow; PENU in Petrograd which became Petrograd IVEZ

(Institute of Higher Jewish Learning, one of whose founders and later Rector was Semyon Lozinsky) boasting a number of distinguished scholars among faculty and large number of Jewish graduates. Supported by Joint, IVEZ functioned until 1925. Jewish divisions were established at educational science departments at Byelorussian University (1922) and at Second Moscow State University (1926). Central Jewish CP School teaching in Yiddish was established in 1921. Jewish educational system included special educational science technical colleges and more than 40 industrial and agricultural training schools.

Jewish culture continued to exist and even received no small encouragement — but on the terms of Soviet authorities. The depths of Jewish history were closed. This took place on a background of the destruction of Russian historical and philosophical sciences complete with arrests of scholars.

Jewish culture of the Twenties could more accurately be called a Soviet “proletarian” culture in Yiddish. And for that kind of Jewish culture the government was ready to provide newspapers and theatre. Forty years later the *Book of*



## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

*Russian Jewry* gives a less than gloomy assessment of the cultural situation of Jews in the USSR in the early Soviet years. In Moscow, the worldwide Jewish Telegraphic agency (ETA) continued to exist into the Forties as an independent unit — the only such agency in the Soviet nation that did not come under TASS, sending communications abroad (of course, subject to Soviet censorship.) Newspapers were published in Yiddish, the main one being the house organ of the YevSek, the *Moscow Der Amos* from 1920 to 1938. According to Dimanstein there were 34 Yiddish publishers in 1928.

Yiddish literature was encouraged, but, naturally, with a purpose: to turn Jews away from an historical Jewish past; to show “before October” as a gloomy prologue to the epoch of happiness and a new dawn; to smear anything religious and find in the Soviet Jew the “new man.” Even with all this, it was so attractive to some prominent Jewish writers who had left the country that they started to return to the USSR: poets David Gofstein (always suspected of harboring nationalist sentiment) and Leib Kvitko (easily accommodated to Soviet environment and become a prolific poet) returned in 1925; Perez Markish (easily understands the needs of the party) — in 1926; Moses Kulbak and Der Nistor (the real name of the latter was Pinkhos Kaganovich, he later wrote novel *Mashber Family* characterized as the most “un-Soviet and liberal work of Jewish prose in Soviet Union) — returned in 1928. David Bergelson returned in 1929. He paid tribute to those in power: “the revolution has a right to cruelty.” (Which he, Markish and Kvitko were to experience themselves in 1952.)

The “bourgeois” Hebrew culture was suppressed. A group of writers headed by H.N. Byalik left for Palestine in 1921. Another group of Hebrew writers existed until the mid-

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

30s, occasionally publishing in foreign journals. Some of these authors were arrested and disappeared without a trace while others managed to escape the Soviet Union.

Regarding Jewish culture expressed in Russian language, Yevseks interpreted it as the result of government-directed efforts to assimilate Jews in Czarist Russia. Among those writing in Yiddish, a split between “proletarian” writers and “companions” developed in mid-Twenties, like in Soviet literature at large. Majority of mainstream authors then switched to Russian language.

The Jewish Chamber Theater in Yiddish in Moscow flowered since 1921 at a high artistic level with government aid (in 1925 it was transformed into the State Jewish Theater, GosET). It traveled through Europe and became an unexpected representative of Soviet power in the eyes of world Jewry. It made fun of pre-revolutionary ways and religious life of the shtetl. Mikhoels excelled as an actor and in 1928 became the director.

The history of the Hebrew theater Gabima, which began before the revolution was much more complicated. Originally supported by Lunacharsky, Gorky and Stanislavsky it was persecuted as a “Zionist nest” by the YevSek and it took a decision by Lenin to allow it to exist. Gabima became a government theatre. It remained the only outpost of Hebrew in the USSR, though it was clear it had no future. The theatre critic A. Kugel said it had departed from Jewish daily life and lost its Jewish spirit. In 1926 the troupe went on a European tour and did not return, disappearing from history soon after.

By contrast, the government Yiddish theatre was a real boon for Jewish theater arts in the USSR. In the early Thirties, there were 19 professional Yiddish theater groups

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

with a training school at GosET in Moscow, and Jewish dramatic arts studios in Kiev, Minsk and Moscow.

Here it is worth remembering the posthumous treatment of the ill-fated “Jewish Gogol”, Semen Ushkevitch. His book *Episodes*, published in 1926 satirizes revolution-era Jewish bourgeois. He died in 1927 and in 1928 the Soviet censor banned his play *Simka The Rabbit Hearted* based on his earlier book. As an anti- bourgeois work it should have been fine, but taking place in a Jewish setting and making fun of the stupidity, cowardice and greed of its subjects, it was banned because of fears that it would cause Judeophobic feelings.

### **Zionism In the Soviet Union**

In the meantime, what was the condition of Zionist organizations in the USSR? They were fundamentally incompatible with communist authority and were accused of international imperialism and collaboration with the Entente. Because of their international standing the Soviets had to deal carefully with them. In 1920 the YevSek declared a “civil war on the Jewish street” against the Zionist organizations. Repression of Zionism deepened with the ban on Hebrew. However anti-Zionist pressure did not exist everywhere and was not sufficiently severe — that is long-term imprisonment and exile were relatively rare. In spring 1920 right-wing Zionists were frightened with arrests, but on May 1 were amnestied.

The dual policy of the Kremlin was apparent in its discussions with representatives of the World Zionist Organization. Chicherin did not dismiss out of hand the latter’s solicitations as the Soviets were not yet ready to denounce Zionism once and for all as had the YevSek. The

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

more so since from the beginning of NEP, lessening government pressure gave Zionist groups a breathing space. Interestingly, Dzerzhinsky wrote in 1923 that “the program of the Zionists is not dangerous to us, on the contrary I consider it useful,” and again in 1924 “principally, we can be friends with Zionists.” The Central Zionist Bureau existed in Moscow from 1920 to 1924. In March of 1924 its members were arrested and only after much pleading from within the country and from overseas was exile to Central Asia replaced with exile abroad. In 1923 only two officially permitted Zionist organizations remained: Poale-Zion and the “legal” portion of the youth organization Gekhaluz, whose purpose was agricultural colonization of Palestine. They saw experience with collective farms in the USSR as preparation for this. They published a journal from 1924 to 1926. Even the left-wing of the Zionist socialist party Zirei-Zion (Youth of Zion) adopted a sharper tone *vis-a-vis* the Bolsheviks, and when the arrests in 1924, though short in duration, became more widespread they went underground. This underground movement was finally dispersed only in the late Twenties.

“Jewish blood will not oil the wheels of revolution,” an organizational slogan of the movement, conveys the sense of the underground Zirei-Zion with its significant youth organizations in Kiev and Odessa. Regarding the government, they formally recognized Soviet authority, but at the same time declared opposition to the dictatorship of the communist party. Much of its work was directed against the YevSek. In particular, they agitated against the Crimean resettlement plan, seeing it as disturbing their national isolation. From 1926 the party weakened and then disappeared.

There was a wave of arrests of Zionists from September to October of 1924. Some of those arrested were

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

tried in secret and given sentences of three to ten years in the camps. But in

1925 Zionist delegates were assured by the CIK of VKPb (Smidovitch) and the Sovnarkom (Rykov) and the GPU that they had nothing against Zionists as long as they did not arouse the Jewish population against Soviet power.

D. Pismanik suggested in 1924 that “Zionists, Orthodox and nationalist Jews should be in the front ranks of those fighting alongside Soviet power and the Bolshevik worldview”. But there was no united front and no front rank.

In the second half of the Twenties, persecution of the Zionists was renewed and the exchange of prison sentences for exile abroad was sharply curtailed. In 1928 authorities dissolved the until-then still quasi-legal Poale Zion and liquated the legal Gekhaluz, closing its farms. Almost all underground Zionist organizations were destroyed at that time. Opportunities to leave declined sharply after 1926. Some of the Zionists remained in prison or were exiled.

The mass attraction of young urban Jews to communist and Soviet culture and programs was matched with a no less stubborn resistance from religious Jewry and older Jews from the former Pale. The party used the rock of the YevSek to crush and suppress this resistance.

One only has to be in a Jewish city such as Minsk or Vitebsk to see how all that was once worthy in Judaism, respected and worthy of respect had been turned upside down, crushed with poverty, insult, and hopelessness and how those pushed into higher places were the dissolute, frivolous, arrogant and brazen. Bolshevik power became the carrier of terrible ruin, material and moral in the Jewish world. The mass of Jewish Bolsheviks on one hand and of Jewish NEPmen on the other indicate the depth of the

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

cultural collapse of Jewry. And if radical healing from Bolshevism among the Russian people was to come from a revival of religious, moral and nationalist life then the Jewish idea must work for that also in their lives.

And work they did, but indicators vary as to degree of intensity and success. A near contemporary considered that Jewish society turned out either to have no rudder and no sail, or was confused and in this confusion spiritually turned away from its sources, in contrast to Russian society where there was still some resistance, albeit clumsy and unsuccessful. From the end of the Twenties to the beginning of the Thirties the Jews abandoned their traditional way of life on a mass scale. In the past 20 years Russian Jewry had gone further and further away from its historical past, killing the Jewish spirit and Jewish tradition. And a few years later on the very eve of WWII with the ascension in Russia of the Bolshevik dictatorship, the fight between fathers and children in the Jewish street had taken a particularly bitter form.

Taking stock, a half-century later, M. Agursky reminisces in Israel that the misfortunes that befell Jews after the revolution to a large degree were brought on by the renunciation by Jewish youth of its religion and national culture, “the singular, exclusive influence of communist ideology. The mass penetration by Jews in all areas of Russian life and of the Soviet leadership in the first 20 years after the revolution turned not to be constructive for Jews, but harmful.”

Finally, an author in the 1990s writes: “Jews were the élite of the revolution and on the winning side. That’s a peculiar fact of the Russian internationalist socialist revolution. In the course of modernizing, Jewry was politically Bolshevized and socially Sovietized. The Jewish community as an ethnic, religious and national structure

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

disappeared without a trace.” Jewish youth coming to Bolshevism were intoxicated by its new role and influence. For this, others too would have gladly given up their nationality. But this turning from the old ways to internationalism and atheism was not the same as assimilation into the surrounding majority, a centuries-old Jewish fear. This was leaving the old, along with all other youth, to come together and form a new Soviet people. Only a small stream was truly assimilationalist in the old sense, like those people who converted to Orthodox Christianity and wished their own dissolution in the Russian culture. We find one such example in attorney Y. Gurevich, legal defender of metropolitan Venamin during his fatal trial in 1922.

The *Jewish Encyclopedia* writes of Jewish workers in the party and government apparatus of economic, scientific and even military organizations and institutions, that most did not hide their Jewish origins, but they and their families quickly absorbed Russian culture and language and being Jewish lost its cultural content.

Yes, the culture which sustained them suffered, “Soviet Man” was created, but the decades which followed showed that a remnant of Jewish self-awareness was preserved and remained. Even in the flood of the internationalism of the Twenties, mixed marriages (between Jews and Russians or Jews and any non-Jew), as measured from 1924-1926, were only 6.3 percent of the total marriages for Jews in the USSR, including 16.8 percent in the RSFSR, but only 2.8 percent in Byelorussia and 4.5 percent in Ukraine (according to another source, on average in USSR, 8.5; in RSFS percent R, 21 percent in Byelorussia, 3.2 percent and in Ukraine, five percent. Assimilation had only begun.

# THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

## **The Jewish Religion Under Soviet Rule**

And what was the status of the Jewish religion in the new conditions? Bolshevik power was hostile to all religions. During the years of the hardest blows against the Orthodox Church, Jewish religious practice was treated with restraint. In March 1922 *Der Emes* noted that the department of agitprop of the Central Committee would not offend religious feeling. In the Twenties, this tolerance did not extend to Russian Orthodoxy, which the authorities considered one of the main enemies of the Soviet order. Nevertheless, the confiscation of church valuables extended to synagogues as well. E. Yaroslavsky wrote in *Izvestia* an article titled *What Can be Taken from a Synagogue*: “Often rabbis will say there is nothing of value in a synagogue. Usually that is the case. The walls are usually bare. But menorahs are often made of silver. These must be confiscated.” Three weeks before that 16 silver objects were taken from a Jewish preaching house on Spasso-Glinischevsky avenue and in the neighboring choral synagogue 57 silver objects and two of gold. Yaroslavsky further proposes a progressive tax on those who buy costly seats in the synagogue. Apparently, this proposal went nowhere.

However, functionaries from the YevSek demanded of authorities that the same policy applied towards Christianity be carried out towards Judaism. In the Jewish New Year, 1921 the YevSek orchestrated a public trial of the Jewish religion in Kiev. The *Book of Russian Jewry* describes this and other show trials in 1921-1922: there was a court proceeding against a Cheder (a traditional elementary school with instruction in Hebrew) in Vitebsk, against a Yeshiva (a



## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

Jewish school for study of the traditional, texts, the Talmud, the Torah, and the Rabbinical literature) in Rostov and even against Day of Atonement in Odessa. They were intentionally conducted in

Yiddish, as the YevSek explained, so that Jewish Bolsheviks would “judge” Judaism.

Religious schools were closed by administrative order and in December 1920 the Jewish section of the Narkomat of Education issued an encyclical about the liquidation of Cheders and Yeshivas. Nevertheless, large numbers of Cheders and Yeshivas continued teaching semi-legally or completely underground for a long time after that. In spite of the ban on religious education, as a whole the Twenties were rather a liberal period for Jewish religious life in the USSR.

At the request of Jewish laborers, of course, there were several attempts to close synagogues, but this met with bitter opposition from believers. Still during the Twenties, the central synagogues were closed in Vitebsk, Minsk, Gomel, Kharkov, Bobruisk. The central Moscow synagogue on Maroseika managed stay open thanks to the efforts of Rabbi Maze in the face of Dzerzhinsky and Kalinin. In 1926, the choral synagogue in Kiev was closed and children's Yiddish theatre opened in its place. But the majority of synagogues continued to function. In 1927, 1034 synagogues and prayer halls were functioning in Ukraine and the number of synagogues towards the end of the Twenties exceeded the number in 1917.

Authorities attempted to institute Living Synagogues based on the model of the Living Church imposed upon the Russian Orthodox Church. A portrait of Lenin was to be hung in a prominent place of such a synagogue, the authorities brought in red rabbis and communized rabbis.

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

However, they failed to bring about a split among the believers and the vast majority of religious Jews was decisively against the Living Synagogue, bringing the plan of Soviet authorities to naught.

At the end of 1930 a group of rabbis from Minsk was arrested. They were freed after two weeks and made to sign a document prepared by the GPU agreeing that: (1) the Jewish religion was not persecuted in the USSR and, (2) during the entire Soviet era not one rabbi had been shot.

Authorities tried to declare the day of rest to be Sunday or Monday in Jewish areas. School studies were held on the Sabbath by order of the YevSek. In 1929 authorities tried the five-day work week and the six-day work week with the day of rest upon the 5th or 6th day, respectively. Christians lost Sunday and Jews lost the Sabbath. Members of the YevSek rampaged in front of synagogues on holidays and in Odessa broke into the Brodsky Synagogue and demonstratively ate bread in front of those fasting and praying. They instituted community service days during sacred holidays like Yom Kippur. During holidays, especially when the synagogue was closed, they requisitioned Tallies, Torah scrolls, prayer shawls and religious books. Import of matzoh from abroad was sometimes allowed and sometimes forbidden. In 1929, they started taxing matzoh preparation. Larin notes the amazing permission granted to bring matzoh from Königsberg to Moscow for Passover in 1929.

In the Twenties, private presses still published Jewish religious literature. In Leningrad, Hasids managed to print prayer books in several runs, a few thousand copies each while Katzenelson, a rabbi from Leningrad, was able to use the printing-house *Red Agitator*. During 1920s, Jewish calendars were printed and distributed in tens of thousand

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

copies. The Jewish community was the only religious group in Moscow allowed to build religious buildings. A second synagogue was built on Visheslaviz alley nearby Sushchevsky Embankment and a third in Cherkizov. These three synagogues stayed open throughout the Thirties.

But young Jewish writers and poets gleefully wrote about the empty synagogues, the lonely rabbi who had no one to teach and about the boys from the villages who grew up to become the terrible red commissars. And we saw the Russian members of Komsomol rampaging on Easter Sunday, knocking candles and holy bread out of worshippers' hands, tearing the crosses from the cupolas and we saw thousands of beautiful churches broken into a rubble of bricks and we remember the thousands of priests that were shot and the thousands of others who were sent to the camps.

In those years, we all drove God out.

### **Soviet Jewish Intelligentsia in the 1920s**

From the early Soviet years, the path for Jewish intelligentsia and youth was open as wide as possible in science and culture, given Soviet restrictions. (Olga Kameneva, Trotsky's sister, patronized high culture in the very early Soviet years.)

Already in 1919, a large number of Jewish youth went into moviemaking — an art praised by Lenin for its ability to govern the psychology of the masses. Many of them took charge of movie studios, film schools and film crews.

For example, B. Shumyatsky, one of the founders of the Mongolian Republic, and S. Dukelsky were heads of the main department of the movie industry at different times. Impressive works of early Soviet motion cinematography were certainly a Jewish contribution. The *Jewish*

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

*Encyclopedia* lists numerous administrators, producers, directors, actors, script writers and motion picture theorists. Producer Dziga Vertov is considered a classic figure in Soviet, cinema, mostly nonfiction. His works include *Lenin's Truth*, *Go Soviets*, *Symphony of the Donbass* [the Donetsk Basin], and *The Three Songs about Lenin*. (It is less known that he also orchestrated desecration of the holy relics of St. Sergius of Radonezh.)

In the documentary genre, Esther Shub, by tendentious cutting and editing of fragments of old documentaries, produced full-length propaganda movies including *The Fall of Romanovs* (1927) and others, and later glorifying ones. Other famous Soviet names include S. Yutkevitch, G. Kozintsev and L. Trauberg (SVD, New Babel). F. Ermler organized the Experimental Movie Studio. Among notable others are G. Roshal (*The Skotinins*), Y. Raizman (*Hard Labor Camps*, *Craving of Earth* among others.).

By far, the largest figure of Soviet cinematography was Sergei Eisenstein. He introduced the epic spirit and grandeur of huge crowd scenes, tempo, new techniques of editing and emotionality into the art of cinematography. However, he used his gifts as ordered. The worldwide fame of *Battleship Potemkin* was a battering ram for the purposes of the Soviets and in its irresponsibly falsified history encouraged the Soviet public to further curse Czarist Russia. Madeup events, such as the massacre on the Odessa Steps scene and the scene where a crowd of rebellious seamen is covered with a tarpaulin for execution, entered the world's consciousness as if they were facts. First it was necessary to serve Stalin's totalitarian plans and then his nationalistic idea. Eisenstein was there to help.

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

Though the *Jewish Encyclopedia* lists names in the arts by nationality, I must repeat: not in nationalism does one find the main key to the epoch of the early Soviet years, but in the destructive whirlwind of internationalism, estranged from any feeling of nationality or traditions. And here in theater but close to authorities we see the glorious figure of Meyerhold, who became the leading and most authoritarian star of the Soviet theater. He had numerous impassioned admirers but wasn't universally recognized. From late recollections of Tyrkova-Vyazemskaya, Meyerhold appears as a dictator subjugating both actors and playwrights alike to his will by his dogmatism and dry formalism. *Komissarzhevskaya* sensed that "his novelty lacks creative simplicity and ethical and esthetical clarity." He "clipped actor's wings... paid more attention to the frame than to the portrait". He was a steady adversary of Mikhail Bulgakov. Of course, the time was such that artists had to pay for their privileges. Many paid, including Kachalov, Nemirovitch-Danchenko and A. Tairov-Kornblit, the talented producer of the Chamber Theater and a star of that unique early Soviet period. (In 1930, Tairov denounced the Prompartia in the party newspapers.)

Artist Marc Chagall emigrated by 1923. The majority of artists in the Twenties were required to contribute to Soviet mass propaganda. There some Jewish artists who distinguished themselves, beginning with A. Lisitsky who greeted the revolution as "a new beginning for humanity." He joined a number of various committees and commissions, made first banner of all-Russian Central Executive Committee, which was displayed on the Red Square in 1918 by members of government. He created the famous poster "Strike Whites with the Red Wedge," designed numerous Soviet expositions abroad from 1927 on and propaganda

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

albums for the West (“USSR Builds Socialism” etc.). A favorite with the authorities was Isaac Brodsky who drew portraits of Lenin, Trotsky and others including Voroshilov, Frunze and Budenny. After completing his portrait of Stalin he became the leading official portrait artist of the USSR in 1928 and in 1934 was named director of the all-Russian Academy of Arts.

During early years after revolution, Jewish musical life was particularly rich. At the start of century, the first in the world Jewish national school of music in the entire world, which combined both traditional Jewish and contemporary European approaches, was established. The 1920s saw a number of works inspired by traditional Jewish themes and stories, such as *Youth of Abraham* by M. Gnesin, *The Song of Songs* by A. Krein, and *Jewish Rhapsody* by his brother G. Krein. In that age of restrictions, the latter and his son Yulian were sent on an eight-year study trip to Vienna and Paris to “perfect Yulian’s performance.” Jews were traditionally talented in music and many names of future stars were for the first time heard during that period. Many administrators of music appeared also, such as Matias Sokolsky-Greenberg, who was chief inspector of music at Department of Arts of Ministry of Education and a senior editor of ideological Music and Revolution. Later in 1930’s Moses Greenberg, a prominent organizer of musical performances, was director of the State Publishing House in music and chief editor of the Department of Music Broadcasting at the State Radio Studio. There was the Jewish Conservatory in Odessa as well.

Leonid Utesov (Lazar Vaysbeyn) thundered from the stage. Many of his songs were written by A. d’Aktil. A. P. German and Y. Hayt wrote *The March of Soviet Aviation*. This was the origin of Soviet mass singing culture.

# THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

Year after year, the stream of Soviet culture fell more and more under the hand of the government. A number of various state organizations were created such as the State Academic Council, the monopolistic State Publishing House which choked off many private publishing firms and even had its own political commissar, a certain David Chernomordnikov in 1922-23, and the State Commission for Acquisition of Art Pieces (de facto power over artist livelihood). Political surveillance was established. The case of A. K. Glazunov, Rector of the Leningrad Conservatory, will be reviewed below. Of course, Jews were only a part of the forward triumphal march of proletarian culture. In the heady atmosphere of the early Soviet epoch no one noticed the loss of Russian culture and that Soviet culture was driving Russian culture out along with its strangled and might-have-been names.

## **Jewish Opposition Against Stalin**

A vicious battle for the dominance within the Party was waged between Trotsky and Stalin from 1923 to 1927. Later Zinoviev fought for first place, equally confident of his chances. In 1926 Zinoviev and Kamenev, deceived by Stalin, united with Trotsky (the United Opposition) — that is, three of the most visible Jewish leaders turned out on one side. Not surprisingly, many of the lower rank Trotskyites were Jewish. (Agursky cites A. Chiliga, exiled with Trotskyites in the Urals: indeed, the Trotskyites were young Jewish intellectuals and technicians, particularly from Left Bundists.

The opposition was viewed as principally Jewish and this greatly alarmed Trotsky. In March of 1924 he complained to Bukharin that among the workers it is openly stated: “The kikes are rebelling!” and he claimed to have

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

received hundreds of letters on the topic. Bukharin dismissed it as trivial. Then Trotsky tried to bring the question of anti-Semitism to a Politburo session but no one supported him. More than anything, Trotsky feared that Stalin would use popular anti-Semitism against him in their battle for power. And such was partially the case according to Uglanov, then secretary of the Moscow Committee of the CP. "Anti-Semitic cries were heard" during Uglanov's dispersal of a pro-Trotsky demonstration in Moscow November 7, 1927.

Maybe Stalin considered playing the anti-Jewish card against the United Opposition, but his superior political instinct led him away from that. He understood that Jews were numerous in the party at that time and could be a powerful force against him if his actions were to unite them against him. They were also needed in order to maintain support from the West and would be of further use to him personally. He never parted from his beloved assistant Lev Mekhlis, and from the Civil War at Tsaritsyn, his faithful aide Moses Rukhimovitch.

But as Stalin's personal power grew towards the end of the Twenties the number of Jews in the Soviet apparatus began to fall off. It was no accident that he sent Enukidze to take photographs among the Jewish delegates at a workers and peasants conference during the height of the struggle for party dominance.

Yaroslavsky writes in Pravda: "Incidents of anti-Semitism are the same whether they are used against the opposition or used by the opposition in its fight against the party." They are an "attempt to use any weakness, any fissures in the dictatorship of the proletariat... there is nothing more stupid or reactionary than to explain the roots of opposition to the dictatorship of the proletariat as related to the nationality of this or that opposition group member."



## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

At the same Party Congress, the 25th, where the United Opposition was decisively broken, Stalin directed Ordzhonikidze to specifically address the national question in his report to the Central Committee, as if in defense Jews. (Statistics from the report were discussed earlier in this chapter.) “The majority of the apparatus is Russian, so any discussion of Jewish dominance has no basis whatever.” At the 26th Party Congress in 1930 Stalin declared “Great Russian chauvinism” to be the main danger of the national question. Thus, at the end of the Twenties Stalin did not carry out his planned purge of the party and government apparatus of Jews, but encouraged their expansion in many fields, places and institutions.

At the 25th Congress in December 1927, the time had come to address the looming peasant question — what to do with the presumptuous peasantry which had the temerity to ask for manufactured goods in exchange for their grain. Molotov delivered the main report on this topic and among the debaters were the murderers of the peasantry — Schlikhter and YakovlevEpstein.

A massive war against the peasantry lay ahead and Stalin could not afford to alienate any of his reliable allies and probably thought that in this campaign against a disproportionately Slavic population it would be better to rely on Jews than on Russians.

He preserved the Jewish majority in the Gosplan. The commanding heights of collectivization and its theory included, of course, Larin. Lev Kritzman was director of the Agrarian Institute from 1928. As Assistant to the President of the Gosplan in 1931-33 he played a fateful role in the persecution of Kondratev and Chayanov. Yakov Yakovlev-Epstein took charge of People’s Commissariat of Agriculture in 1929. Before that he worked in propaganda field: he was

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

in charge of Head Department of Political Education since 1921, later — in the agitprop division of Central Committee and in charge of press division of Central Committee.

His career in agriculture began in 1923 when during the 13th Party Congress he drafted resolutions on agricultural affairs. And thus he led the “Great Change,” the imposition of collectivization on millions of peasants with its zealous implementers on the ground. A contemporary writer reports: “For the first time ever a significant number of young Jewish communists arrived in rural communities as commanders and lords over life and death. Only during collectivization did the characterization of the Jew as the hated enemy of the peasant take hold, even in those places where Jews had never been seen before”.

Of course, regardless of the percentage of Jews in the party and Soviet apparatus, it would be a mistake to explain the ferocious anti-peasant plan of communism as due to Jewish participation. A Russian could have been found in the place of Yakovlev-Epstein — that’s sufficiently clear from our post-October history.

The cause and consequences of de-kulakization and collectivization were not only social and economic: The millions of victims of these programs were not a faceless mass, but real people with traditions and culture, cut off from their roots and spiritually killed. In its essence, dekulakization was not a socio-economic measure, but a measure taken against a nationality. The strategic blow against the Russian people, who were the main obstacle to the victory of communism, was conceived of by Lenin, but carried out after his death. In those years communism with all its cruelty was directed mostly against Russians. It is amazing that not everything has perished during those days. Collectivization, more than any other policy of the

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

communists, gives the lie to the conception of Stalin's dictatorship as nationalist, i.e. Russian.

Regarding Jewish role in collectivization, it is necessary to remember that Jewish communists participated efficiently and diligently. From a third-wave immigrant who grew up in Ukraine. I remember my father, my mother, aunts, uncles all worked on collectivization with great relish, completing 5-year plans in 4 years and writing novels about life in factories [a mainstream Soviet literary genre in the Twenties.]

In 1927 *Izvestia* declared "There is no Jewish question here. The October revolution gave a categorical answer long ago. All nationalities are equal – that was the answer." However, when the dispossessors entering the peasant huts were not just commissars but Jewish commissars the question still glowered in the distance.

"At the end of the Twenties" writes S. Ettinger, "in all the hardship of life in the USSR, to many it seemed that Jews were the only group which gained from the revolution. They were found in important government positions, they made up a large proportion of university students, it was rumored that they received the best land in the Crimea and have flooded into Moscow."

Half a century later, June 1980, at a Columbia University conference about the situation of Soviet Jewry, I heard scholars describe the marginalized status of Jews in the USSR and in particular how Jews were offered the choice of either emigration or denying their roots, beliefs and culture in order to become part of a denationalized society. *Bah!* That was what was required of *all* peoples in the Twenties under the threat of the Solovki prison camp, and emigration was not an alternative. The "golden era" of the Twenties cries out for a sober appraisal. Those years were filled with the cruelest

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

persecution based upon class distinction, including persecution of children on account of the former life of their parents – a life which the children did not even see. But Jews were not among these children or parents.

The clergy, part of the Russian character, centuries in the making, was hounded to death in the Twenties. Though not majority Jewish, too often the people saw Jews directing the special ecclesiastical departments of the GPU which worked in this area.

A wave of trials of engineers took place from the end of the Twenties through the Thirties. An entire class of older engineers was eliminated. This group was overwhelmingly Russian with a small number of Germans.

Study of Russian history, archeology, and folklore were suppressed — the Russians could not have a past. No one from the persecutors would be accused having their own national interest. (It must be noted that the commission which prepared the decree abolishing the history and the philology departments at Russian universities was made up Jews and non-Jews alike — Goykhbar, Larin, Radek and Ropstein as well as Bukharin, M. Pokrovskii, Skvortsov-Stepanov and Fritche. It was signed into existence by Lenin in March 1921.) The spirit of the decree was itself an example of nationalist hatred: It was the history and language of the Great Russians that was no longer needed. During the Twenties, the very understanding of Russian history was changed — there was none! And the understanding of what a Great Russian is changed — there was no such thing.

And what was most painful, we Russians ourselves walked along this suicidal path. The very period of the Twenties was considered the dawn of liberated culture, liberated from Czarism and capitalism! Even the word “Russian,” such as “I am Russian” sounded like a

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

counterrevolutionary cry which I well remember from my childhood. But without hesitation everywhere was heard and printed “Russopyati”! [a disparaging term for ethnic Russians.]

*Pravda* published the following in a prominent place in 1925 by V. Aleksandrovsky (not known for any other contribution):

*“Rus! Have you rotted,  
fallen and died?  
Well... here’s to your  
eternal memory.  
You shuffle, your crutches  
scraping along,  
Your lips smeared with  
soot from icons,  
Over your  
vast  
expanses the  
raven caws,  
you have  
guarded  
your grave  
dream.  
Old woman — blind and  
stupid...”*

V. Bloom in Moscow Evening could brazenly demand the removal of history’s garbage from city squares: to remove Minin-Pozharsky monument from Red Square, to remove the monument to Russia’s thousand-year anniversary in Novgorod and a statue of St. Vladimir on the hill in Kiev.

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

“Those tons of metal are needed for raw material.” (The ethnic coloring of the new names has already been noted.)

Swept to glory by the political changes and distinguished by personal shamelessness, David Zaslavsky demanded the destruction of the studios of Igor Graybar used to restore ancient Russian art, finding that “reverend artist fathers were trying again to fuse the church and art.”

Russia’s self-mortification reflected in the Russian language with the depth, beauty and richness of meaning were replaced by an iron stamp of Soviet conformity. We have not forgotten how it looked at the height of the decade: Russian patriotism was abolished forever. But the feelings of the people will not be forgotten. Not how it felt to see the Church of the Redeemer blown up by the engineer Dzhevalkin and that the main mover behind this was Kaganovich who wanted to destroy St. Basil’s cathedral as well. Russian Orthodoxy was publicly harassed by warrior atheists led by Gubelman-Yaroslavsky. It is truthfully noted: “That Jewish communists took part in the destruction of churches was particularly offensive. No matter how bad the participation of sons of Russian peasants in the persecution of the church, the part played by each non-Russian was even worse.” This went against the Russian saying: “if you managed to snatch a room in the house, don’t throw God out”.

In the words of A. Voronel, “The Twenties were perceived by the Jews as a positive opportunity while for the Russian people, the decade was a tragedy.”

True, the Western leftist intellectuals regarded Soviet reality even higher; their admiration was not based on nationality but upon ideas of socialism. Who remembers the lightning crack of the firing squad executing 48 food workers for having caused the Great Famine (i.e., rather than Stalin):

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

the wreckers in the meat, fish, conserves and produce trade? Among these unfortunates were not less than ten Jews. What would it take to end the world's enchantment with Soviet power? Dora Shturman attentively followed the efforts of B. Brutskus to raise a protest among Western intellectuals. He found some who would protest – Germans and rightists. Albert Einstein hotheadedly signed a protest, but then withdrew his signature without embarrassment because the “Soviet Union has achieved a great accomplishment” and “Western Europe will soon envy you.” The recent execution by firing squad was an isolated incident. Also, “from this, one cannot exclude the possibility that they were guilty.” Romain Rolland maintained a noble silence. Arnold Zweig barely stood up to the communist rampage. At least he didn't withdraw his signature, but said this settling of accounts was an “ancient Russian method.” And, if true, what then should be asked of the academic Ioffe in Russia who was prompting Einstein to remove his signature? No, the West never envied us and in those “isolated incidents” millions of innocents died.

We'll never discover why this brutality was forgotten by Western opinion. It's not very readily remembered today.

Today a myth is being built about the past to the effect that under Soviet power Jews were always second-class citizens. Or one sometimes hears that there was not the persecution in the Twenties that was to come later. It's very rare to hear an admission that not only did they take part, but there was a certain enthusiasm among Jews as they carried out the business of the barbaric young government. The mixture of ignorance and arrogance which Hannah calls a typical characteristic of the Jewish parvenu filled the government, social and cultural elite. The brazenness and ardor with which all Bolshevik policies were carried out,

# THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

whether confiscation of church property or persecution of bourgeois intellectuals, gave Bolshevik power in the Twenties a certain Jewish stamp.

In the Nineties another Jewish public intellectual, writing of the Twenties said: “In university halls Jews often set the tone without noticing that their banquet was happening against the backdrop of the demise of the main nationality in the country. During the Twenties Jews were proud of fellow Jews who had brilliant careers in the revolution, but did not think much about how that career was connected to the real suffering of the Russian people. Most striking today is the unanimity with which my fellow Jews deny any guilt in the history of 20th century Russia.”

How healing it would be for both nations if such lonely voices were not drowned out. Because it's true. In the Twenties, Jews in many ways served the Bolshevik Moloch not thinking of the broken land and not foreseeing the eventual consequences for themselves. Many leading Soviet Jews lost all sense of moderation during that time, all sense of when it was time to stop.

## **Chapter XIX: In The 1930s**

The 1930s were the decade of an intense industrialized spurt, which crushed the peasantry and altered the life of the entire country. Mere existence demanded adaptation and the development of new skills. But through crippling sacrifices, and despite the many absurdities of the Soviet organizational system, the horrible epic somehow led to the creation of an industrialized power.

Yet the first and second five-year plans came into existence and were carried out not through the miracle of spontaneous generation, nor as a result of the simple violent round-up of large masses of laborers. It demanded many



## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

technical provisions, advanced equipment, and the collaboration of specialists experienced in this technology. All this flowed plentifully from the capitalist West, and most of all from the United States. Not in the form of a gift, of course, and not in the form of generous help. The Soviet communists paid for all of this abundantly with Russia's mineral wealth and timber, with concessions for raw materials markets, with trade areas promised to the West, and with plundered goods from the empire of the Czars. Such deals flowed with the help and approval of international financial magnates, most of all those on Wall Street, in a persistent continuation of the first commercial ties that the Soviet communists developed on the American stock exchanges as early as during the Civil War. The new partnership was strengthened by shiploads of Czarist gold and treasures from the Hermitage.

But wait a second, were we not thoroughly taught by Marx that capitalists are the fierce enemies of proletarian socialism and that we should not expect help from them, but rather a destructive, bloody war? Well, it's not that simple: despite the official diplomatic nonrecognition, trade links were completely out in the open, and even written about in *Izvestia*: "American merchants are interested in broadening of economic ties with the Soviet Union." American unions came out against such an expansion (defending their markets from the products of cheap and even slave Soviet labor.) The Russian-American Chamber of Commerce, created at that time, simply did not want to hear about any political opposition to communism, or to mix politics with business relations.

Anthony Sutton, a modern American scholar, researched the recently-opened diplomatic and financial archives and followed the connections of Wall Street with the

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

Bolsheviks; he pointed to the amoral logic of this long and consistent relationship. From as early as the Marburg plan at the beginning of the 20th century, which was based on the vast capital of Carnegie, the idea was to strengthen the authority of international finance, through global socialization, for control and for forced appeasement. Sutton concluded that: “International financiers prefer to do business with central governments. The banking community least of all wants a free economy and de-centralized authority. Revolution and international finance do not quite contradict each other, if the result of revolution should be to establish a more centralized authority,” and, therefore to make the markets of these countries manageable. And there was a second line of agreement: Bolsheviks and bankers shared an essential common platform — internationalism.

In that light, the subsequent support of collective enterprises and the mass destruction of individual rights by Morgan-Rockefeller was not surprising. In justification of this support, they claimed in Senate hearings: Why should a great industrial country, like America, desire the creation and subsequent competition of another great industrial rival?

Well, they rightly believed that with such an obviously uncompetitive, centralized and totalitarian régime, Soviet Russia could not rival America. Another thing is that Wall Street could not predict the further development of the Bolshevik system, nor its extraordinary ability to control people, working them to the very bone, which eventually led to the creation of a powerful, if misshapen, industry.

But how does this tie in with our basic theme? Because as we have seen, American financiers completely refused loans to pre-revolutionary Russia due to the infringement of the rights of Jews there, even though Russia was always a profitable financial prospect. And clearly, if

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

they were prepared to sacrifice profits at that time then now, despite all their counting on the Soviet markets, the Morgan-Rockefeller Empire would not assist the Bolsheviks if the persecution of the Jews was looming on horizon in the USSR at the start of the 1930s.

That's just the point: for the West, the previously described Soviet oppression of the traditional Jewish culture and of Zionists easily disappeared under the contemporary general impression that the Soviet power would not oppress the Jews, but on the contrary, that many of them would remain at the levers of power.

Certain pictures of the past have the ability to conveniently rearrange themselves in our mind in order to soothe our conscience. And today a perception has formed that in the 1930s the Jews were already forced out of the Soviet ruling élite and had nothing to do with the administration of the country. In the 1980s, we see assertions like this: in the Soviet times, the Jews in the USSR were practically destroyed as a people. They had been turned into a social group, which was settled in the large cities as a social stratum to serve the ruling class.

No. Not only far from serving, the Jews were to a large extent member of the ruling class. And the large cities, the capitals of the constituent Soviet republics, were the very thing the authorities bought off through improved provisioning, furnishing and maintenance, while the rest of the country languished from oppression and poverty. And now, after the shock of the Civil War, after the War Communism, after the NEP and the first five-year plan, it was the peace-time life of the country that was increasingly managed by the government apparatus, in which the role of the Jews was quite conspicuous, at least until 1937-38.

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

In 1936, at the 8th Congress of Soviets of the Soviet Union, Molotov, on orders from Stalin (perhaps to differ from Hitler in the eyes of the West) delivered this tirade: “Our brotherly feelings toward the Jewish people are determined by the fact that they begat the genius and the creator of the ideas of the communist liberation of Mankind, Karl Marx; that the Jewish people, alongside the most developed nations, brought forth countless prominent scientists, engineers, and artists [that undoubtedly had already manifested itself in the Soviet 1930s, and will be even more manifest in the post-war years], and gave many glorious heroes to the revolutionary struggle and in our country *they gave and are still giving* new, remarkable, and talented leaders and managers in all areas of development and defense of the cause of socialism.”

The italics are mine. No doubt, it was said for propaganda purposes. But Molotov’s declaration was appropriate. And the defense of the cause of socialism” during all those years was in the hands of the GPU, the army, diplomacy, and the ideological front. The willing participation of so many Jews in these organs continued in the early and mid-1930s, until 1937/38.

Here we will briefly review – according to contemporary newspapers, later publications, and modern Jewish encyclopedias – the most important posts and names that had emerged mainly in the 1930s. Of course, such a review, complicated by the fact that we know nothing about how our characters identified themselves in regard to nationality, may contain mistakes in individual cases and can in no way be considered comprehensive.

After the destruction of the Trotskyite opposition, the Jewish representation in the party apparatus became noticeably reduced. But that purge of the supreme party

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

apparatus was absolutely not anti-Jewish. Lazar Kaganovich retained his extremely prominent position in the Politburo; he was an ominously merciless individual and, at the same time, a man of notoriously low professional level. Nevertheless, from the mid-1930s he was the Secretary of the Central Committee, and simultaneously a member of the Organizational Bureau of the Central Committee. Only Stalin himself had held both these positions at the same time. And he placed three of his brothers in quite important posts. Mikhail Kaganovich was deputy chair of the Supreme Soviet of the National Economy beginning in 1931; from 1937 he was narkom of the defense industry; later he simultaneously headed the aviation industry. Yuli Kaganovich, passing through the leading party posts in Nizhniy Novgorod (as all the brothers did), became deputy narkom of the foreign trade. Another, absolutely untalented brother, was a big gun in Rostov-onDon.

It reminds me of a story by Saltykov-Shchedrin, where one Vozhd Oshmyanskiy tried to place his brother Lazar in a profitable post. However, both the ethnic Russian opposition factions, that of Rykov, Bukharin and Tomskey, and that of Syrtsov, Ryutin, and Uglanov, were destroyed by Stalin in the beginning of the 1930s with support of the Jewish Bolsheviks; he drew necessary replacements from their ranks. Kaganovich was the principal and the most reliable of Stalin's supporters in the Politburo: he demanded the execution of Ryutin (October 1932-January 1933) but even Stalin wasn't able to manage it then.

The purge of 1930-1933 dealt with the Russian elements in the party. Out of 25 members in the Presidium of the Central Control Commission after the 16th Party Congress in 1930, ten were Jews: A. Solts, "the conscience of the Party" (in the bloodiest years from 1934 to 1938 he

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

was assistant to Vyshinsky, the General Prosecutor of the USSR ); Z. Belenky (one of the three above-mentioned Belenky brothers); A. Goltsman (who supported Trotsky in the debate on trade unions); ferocious Rozaliya Zemlyachka (Zalkind); M. Kaganovich, another of the brothers; the Chekist Trilisser; the militant atheist Yaroslavsky; B. Roizenman; and A. P. Rozengolts, the surviving assistant of Trotsky. If one compares the composition of the party's Central Committee in the 1920s with that in the early 1930s, he would find that it was almost unchanged — both in 1925 as well as after the 16th Party Congress, Jews comprised around one sixth of the membership. In the upper echelons of the Communist Party after the 17th Congress (“The Congress of the Victors”) in 1934, Jews remained at one-sixth of the membership of the Central Committee; in the Party Control Commission — around one third, and a similar proportion in the Revision

Commission of the Central Committee. It was headed for quite a while by M. Vladimirsky. From 1934 Lazar Kaganovich took the reins of the Central Control Commission. Jews made up the same proportion, one third of the members of the Commission of the Soviet Control. For five years filled with upheaval (1934-1939) the deputy General Prosecutor of the USSR was Grigory Leplevsky.

Occupants of many crucial party posts were not even announced in Pravda. For instance, in autumn 1936 the Secretary of the Central Committee of Komsomol (the Union of Communist Youth) was E. Fainberg. The Department of the Press and Publishing of the Central Committee — the key ideological establishment — was managed by B. Tal. Previously, the department was headed by Lev Mekhlis, who had by then shifted to managing Pravda full-time; from 1937

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

Mekhlis became deputy narkom of defense and the head of Political Administration of the Red Army.

We see many Jews in the command posts in provinces: in the Central Asia Bureau, the Eastern Siberia Krai Party Committee (kraikom), in the posts of first secretaries of the obkoms [party committee of oblasts] of the Volga German Republic, the Tatar, Bashkir, Tomsk, Kalinin, and Voronezh oblasts and in many others.

For example, Mendel Khatayevich, a member of the Central Committee from 1930, was consequently secretary of Gomel, Odessa, Tatar, and Dnepropetrovsk obkoms, secretary of the Middle Volga kraikom, and second secretary of the Communist Party of Ukraine. Yakov Chubin was secretary of the Chernigov and Akmolinsk obkoms and of the Shakhtinsk district party committee; later he served in several commissions of the Party Control in Moscow, Crimea, Kursk, and Turkmenia, and from 1937 he was the first secretary of the Central Committee of Turkmenia. There is no need to list all such names, but let's not overlook the real contribution of these secretaries into the Bolshevik cause; also note their striking geographical mobility, as in the 1920s. Reliable cadres were still in much demand and indispensable. And there was no concern that they lacked knowledge of each new locality of which they took charge.

Yet much more power was in the hands of the narkoms. [People's Commissars] In 1936 we see nine Jewish narkoms in the government. Take the worldwide-famous narkom of foreign affairs Litvinov. In the friendly cartoons in *Izvestia*, he was portrayed as a knight of peace with a spear and shield taking a stand against foreign filth. No less remarkable, but only within the limits of the USSR, was the narkom of internal affairs Yagoda; the ascending and all-glorious "Iron Narkom" of railroads, Lazar Kaganovich;

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

foreign trade was headed by A. Rozengolts (before that we saw him in the Central Control Commission); I.Ya. Weitser was in charge of domestic trade; M. Kalmanovich was in charge of *sovkhoses* [state owned farms that paid wages] when he was the foods-commissar from the end of 1917; I.E. Lyubimov was narkom of light industry; G. Kaminskiy was narkom of healthcare; his instructive articles were often published in *Izvestia*. And the abovementioned Z. Belenky was the head of the Commission of the Soviet Control.

In the same Government, we can find many Jewish names among the deputy narkoms in various people's commissariats: finance, communications, railroad transport, water, agriculture, the timber industry, the foodstuffs industry, education, justice. Among the most important deputy narkoms were: Ya. Gamarnik (defense), A. Gurevich (he made a significant contribution to the creation of the metallurgical industry in the country); Semyon Ginzburg was deputy narkom of heavy industry, and later he became narkom of construction, and even later minister of construction of military enterprises.

The famous Great Turning Point took place place from the end of 1929 to the beginning of 1931. Murderous collectivization lay ahead, and at this decisive moment Stalin assigned YakovlevEpshtein as its sinister principal executive. His portraits and photos, and drawings by I. Brodsky, were prominently reproduced in newspapers then and later, from year to year. Together with the already mentioned M. Kalmanovich, he was a member of the very top Soviet of Labor and Defense. (There was hardly anyone apart from Stalin, Molotov, Mikoyan, Ordzhonikidze, Voroshilov in that organ.) In March of 1931, at the 6th Session of Soviets, Yakovlev reported on the progress of collectivization – about the development of *sovkhoses* and



## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

*kolkhozes*, that is, the destruction of the way of life of the people. On this glorious path to the ruination of Russia, among Yakovlev's collaborators, we can see deputy narkom V.G. Feigin, members of the Board of the People's Commissariat of agriculture M.M. Volf, G.G. Roshal, and other experts.

The important organization, the Grain Trust, was attached to the People's Commissariat of agriculture to pump out grain from peasants for the state; the chairman of the board of directors was M.G. Gerchikov, his portraits appeared in *Izvestia*, and Stalin himself sent him a telegram of encouragement. From 1932 the People's Commissariat of Sovkhozes and Kolkhozes with M. Kalmanovich at the helm was separated from the people's commissariat of agriculture. From 1934 the chairman of the national Soviet of Kolkhozes was the same Yakovlev-Epshtein.

The chairman of the Commission of Purveyance was I. Kleiner (who was awarded the Order of Lenin). During the most terrible months of collectivization, M. Kalmanovich was deputy narkom of agriculture. But at the end of 1930 he was transferred into the People's Commissariat of Finance as deputy narkom; he also became chairman of the board of the Gosbank [the State Bank], for in monetary matters a strong will was also much needed. In 1936, Lev Maryasin became chairman of the board of the Gosbank; he was replaced in that post by Solomon Krutikov in 1936. In November 1930, the People's Commissariat of Foreign Trade was created, and A.P. Rozengolts served for seven years as its head. Jews comprised one-third of its board members.

Among them was Sh. Dvoylatsky, who simultaneously served in the Central Commissions on Concessions; in 1934-1936 he became the Soviet trade representative in France. At the end of 1930 the People's Commissariat of Supply was

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

created with A. Mikoyan at the helm; on its board, we see M. Belenky — that is another, actually the fifth, man with the surname Belenky encountered here; soon he himself became the narkom, replacing Mikoyan. In general, in the People's Commissariats of Trade and Supply, the Jewish component was higher than in the upper party echelons — from a quarter to a half. Still let's not overlook the Tsentrosoyuz, the bureaucratic center of Soviet pseudo-cooperation. After Lev Khichuk in the 1920s, it was managed from 1931 to 1937 by I.A. Zelensky, whom we met earlier as a member of the board of the people's commissariat of foodstuffs.

Let me point it out once more: all these examples are for illustrative purposes only. They should not be taken to create the impression that there were no members of other nationalities on all those boards and in the presidiums; of course, there were. Moreover, all the above-mentioned people occupied their posts only for a while; they were routinely transferred between various important positions.

Let's look at transport and communications. First, railroads were managed by M. Rukhimovich. His portraits could be found in the major newspapers of the time; later he became narkom of the defense industry, with M. Kaganovich as his deputy, while the command over railroads was given to L. Kaganovich. There were important changes in the Coal Trust: I. Schwartz was removed from the board and M. Deych was assigned to replace him. T. Rozenoer managed Grozneft [Grozny Oil]. Yakov Gugel headed the construction of the Magnitogorsk metallurgical giant; Yakov Vesnik was the director of the Krivoy Rog Metallurgical industrial complex; and the hell of the Kuznetsk industrial complex with its 200,000 hungry and ragged workers was supervised by S. Frankfurt, and after him by I. Epshtein. The latter was arrested in 1938 but landed on his feet because he was sent

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

to take command over the construction of the Norilsk industrial complex.

The Supreme Soviet of the National Economy still existed, but its significance waned. After Unshlikht, it was headed by A. Rozengolts, and then by Ordzhonikidze, with Jews comprising the majority of its board. At that time, the Gosplan [state planning ministry] gathered strength. In 1931, under the chairmanship of Kuibyshev, Jews comprised more than half of its 18-member board.

Let's now examine the top posts in economy during the last burgeoning year of Stalin's era, 1936. In 1936 *Izvestia* published the complete roster of the board of the people's commissariat of domestic trade. Those 135 individuals had essentially ruled over the entire domestic trade of the USSR, and they were hardly disinterested men. Jews comprised almost 40 percent of this list, including two deputies to the narkom, several trade inspectors, numerous heads of food and manufactured goods trades in the oblasts, heads of consumer unions, restaurant trusts, cafeterias, food supplies and storage, heads of train dining cars and railroad buffets; and of course, the head of Gastronom No.1 in Moscow ("Eliseyevsky") was also a Jew. Naturally, all this facilitated smooth running of the industry in those far from prosperous years. In the pages of *Izvestia* one could read headlines like this: "The management of the Union's Fishing Trust made major political mistakes." As a result, Moisei Frumkin was relieved of his post at the board of the People's Commissariat of Domestic Trade. We saw him in the 1920s as a deputy of the Narkom of Foreign Trade. Comrade Frumkin was punished with a stern reprimand and a warning; comrade Kleiman suffered the same punishment; and comrade Nepryakhin was expelled from the party.

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

Soon after that, *Izvestia* published an addendum to the roster of the People's Commissariat of Heavy Industry with 215 names in it. Those wishing to can delve into it as well. A present-day author thus writes about those people: by the 1930s the children of the déclassé Jewish petty bourgeois succeeded in becoming the commanders of the great construction projects. And so it appeared to those who, putting in 16 hours a day for weeks and months, never leaving the foundation pits, the swamps, the deserts, and taiga that it was their country. However, the author is wrong: it was the blackened hard-workers and yesterday's peasants, who had no respite from toiling in foundation pits and swamps, while the directors only occasionally promenaded there; they mainly spent time in offices enjoying their special provision services ("the bronze foremen"). But undoubtedly, their harsh and strong-willed decisions helped to bring these construction projects to completion, building up the industrial potential of the USSR.

Thus the Soviet Jews obtained a weighty share of state, industrial, and economic power at all levels of government in the USSR.

The personality of B. Roizenman merits particular attention. See for yourself: he received the Order of Lenin in recognition of his exceptional services in the adjustment of the state apparatus to the objectives of the large-scale "offensive for socialism." What secrets, inscrutable to us, could be hidden behind this offensive? We can glance into some of them from the more direct wording: for carrying out special missions of top state importance on the clean-up of state apparatus in the Soviet diplomatic missions abroad.

# THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

## **Jews in Diplomacy, Politics, and Military Affairs**

Now let's look at the state of affairs in diplomacy. The 1920s were examined in the preceding chapter. Now we encounter other important people. For example, in spring of 1930, *Izvestia* reported on page one and under a separate heading that "F.A. Rotshtein, the board member of the People's Commissariat of Internal Affairs, returned from vacation and resumed his duties." Well, didn't they only write this way about Stalin? To the best of my knowledge, neither Ordzhonikidze, nor Mikoyan – other very top functionaries – was honored in such a way. Yet very soon Rotshtein made a slip and his career ended just two months later, in July 1930. With the designation of Litvinov as narkom, Rotshtein was removed from the board (even though, we may remember, he claimed credit for the creation of the British Communist Party.) In the 1930s, at the peak of Litvinov's power, a new generation appeared. The *Jewish Encyclopedia* writes: "there was a notion of 'the Litvinov school of diplomacy'" that included the outstanding personalities of K. Umansky, Ya. Surits, B. Shtein (he was already successful by the beginning of the 1920s) and E. Gnedin (son of Parvus). Ehrenburg added here the name of E. Rubinin. Just as in the 1920s diplomacy attracted a cadre of Jews, so it did through the early and mid-1930s. From the moment, the USSR was accepted into the League of Nations, we see Litvinov, Shtein, Gnedin, and also Brenner, Stashevsky, Marcus, Rozenberg, and Svanidze (a Georgian) as the senior members of the Soviet delegation.

It was these people who represented Soviet Russia at that forum of nations. There were Soviet plenipotentiaries in Europe of Jewish origin: in England — Maisky; in Germany

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

(and later in France)—Ya. Surits; in Italy—B. Shtein (after Kamenev); we also see Jewish plenipotentiaries in Spain, Austria, Romania, Greece, Lithuania, Latvia, Belgium, Norway, and in Asia. For example, the above-mentioned Surits represented the Soviet Union in Afghanistan as early as the Russian Civil War; later, from 1936, B. Skvirsky served in Afghanistan; for many years, he was the unofficial Soviet representative in Washington. In the early and mid-1930s, a great number of Jews successfully continued to work in Soviet trade delegations. Here we find another Belenky, already the sixth individual of that name, B. S. Belenky, who was the trade representative in Italy from 1934 to 1937.

Concerning the Red Army, the aforementioned Israeli researcher, Aron Abramovich, writes that in the 1930s “a significant number of Jewish officers served in the army. There were many of them, in particular in the Revolutionary Military Soviet, in the central administrations of the people’s commissariat of defense, in the general staff, and at lower levels – in the military districts, in the armies, corps, divisions, brigades, and all military units.”

The Jews still played a prominent role in the political organs. The entire Central Political Administration of the Red Army came under command of the trustworthy Mekhlis after the suicide of the trustworthy Gamarnik. Here are several names from the cream of the Political Administration: Mordukh Khorosh was the deputy director of the Political Administration of the Red Army in the 1930s, and later, until his arrest, he was in charge of the Political Administration of the Kiev military district.

From 1929 through to 1937, Lazar Aronshtam headed the political administration of the Belorussian military district, then of the Special Far Eastern Army, and later – of

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

the Moscow military district. Isaak Grinberg was the Senior Inspector of the Political Administration of the Red Army, and later the deputy director of the Political Administration of the Leningrad district.

Boris Ippo (he participated in the pacification of Central Asia during the Civil War as the head of the Political Administration of the Turkestan Front and later of the Central-Asian district) was the head of the political administration of the Caucasus Red Army; and later the director of the Military Political Academy.

The already-mentioned Mikhail Landa from 1930 to 1937 was the chief editor of *Krasnaya Zvezda* [The Red Star, the official newspaper of the Soviet military.)

Naum Rozovsky was a military prosecutor since the Civil War; by 1936 he was the chief military prosecutor of the Red Army.

Gamarnik remained the deputy to Voroshilov, the chairman of the Revolutionary Military Soviet until 1934 (when the organization was disbanded). In the 1930s, in addition to those named in the previous chapter, among the heads of the central administrations of the Red Army, we encounter the following individuals:

\*Abram Volp, the head of the Administrative Mobilization Administration. In the previous chapter he was identified as the chief of staff of the Moscow military district;

\*Semyon Uritsky of the Military Intelligence Administration, until 1937; Boris Feldman – the head of the Central Personnel Administration, and Leontiy Kotlyar — the head of the Central Military Engineering Administration in the pre-war years. Among the commanders of the branches of the military we find

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

\*A. Goltsman, the head of military aviation from 1932. We already saw him in the Central Control Commission, and as a union activist; he died in a plane crash. Among the commanders of the military districts we again see Iona Yakir (Crimean district, and later the important Kiev District), and Lev Gordon (Turkestan district.)

Although we have no data on Jewish representation in the lower ranks, there is little doubt that when a structure, be it a political administration of the army, a supply service, or a party or a commissariat apparatus was headed by a Jew, it was accompanied, as a rule, by a quite noticeable Jewish presence among its staff.

Yet service in the army is not a vice; it can be quite constructive. So, what about our good old GPU-NKVD? A modern researcher, relying on archives, writes: “The first half of the 1930s was characterized by the increasingly important role of Jews in the state security apparatus.” And “on the eve of the most massive repressions the ethnic composition of the supreme command of the NKVD can be understood with the help of the list of decorated Chekists on the occasion of the 20th anniversary of the Cheka-OGPU-NKVD. The list of 407 senior officials published in the central press contained 56 Jews (13.8 percent), and 7 Latvians (1.7 percent).

When the GPU was reformed into the NKVD (1934) with Yagoda at the head, they twice published the names of the supreme commissars of the NKVD (what a rare chance to peek behind a usually impenetrable wall!):

Commissars of State Security of the First Rank:

Ya.S. Agranov (the first deputy to Yagoda),

V.A. Balitsky,

T.D. Deribas,

G.E. Prokovev,



# THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

S.F. Redens,  
L.M. Zakovsky;

of the Second Rank:

L.N. Belsky,  
K.V. Pauker (they were already decorated in 1927 on  
the decennial of the Cheka), M.I. Gay,  
S.A. Goglidze,  
L.B. Zalin,  
Z.B. Katsnelson,  
K.M. Karlson,  
I.M. Leplevsky,  
G.A. Molchanov,  
L.G. Mironov,  
A.A. Slutsky,  
A.M. Shanin, and  
R.A. Pilyar.

Of course, not all of them were Jews, but a good half were. So, the Jewish Chekists were still there; they didn't leave, nor were they forced out of the NKVD, the same NKVD which was devouring the country after the death of Kirov, and which later devoured itself.

A.A. Slutsky was the director of the NKVD's foreign section; that is, he was in charge of espionage abroad. His deputies were Boris Berman and Sergey Shpigelglas. Pauker was a barber from Budapest, who connected with the communists while he was a Russian POW in 1916. Initially, he was in charge of the Kremlin security and later became the head of the operations section of the NKVD. Of course, due to secrecy and the non-approachability of these highly placed individuals, it is difficult to judge them conclusively.

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

Take, for instance, Naum (Leonid) Etingon, who orchestrated the murder of Trotsky and was the organizer of the Cambridge Five espionage ring and who oversaw the nuclear espionage after the war — a true ace of espionage.

Or take Lev Feldbin (he used a catchy pseudonym of Aleksandr Orlov.) A prominent and long-serving Chekist, he headed the economic section of the foreign department of GPU, that is, he supervised all foreign trade of the USSR. He was a trusted agent, of those who were instructed in the shroud of full secrecy on how to extract false confessions from the victims. Many of the NKVD investigators ended up being subordinate to him. And yet he was completely hidden from the public and became famous only later, when he defected to the West. And how many such posts were there?

Or take Mikhail Koltsov-Fridlyand, political advisor to the Republican government of Spain, who took part in some of the major GPU adventures.

M. Berman was assigned as deputy to the Narkom of Internal Affairs Ezhov within three days after the latter was installed on September 27, 1936. Still, Berman remained the director of the GULAG. And along with Ezhov came his handymen. Mikhail Litvin, his long-time associate in the Central Committee of the party, became the director of the personnel department of the NKVD; by May 1937 he rose to the unmatched rank of director of the Secret Political section of the Main Directorate of State Security of the NKVD.

In 1931-36, Henrikh Lyushkov was the deputy director of that section; he deserted to Japan in 1938 and was then killed by a Japanese bullet in 1945 – by the end of the war the Japanese did not want to give him back and had no option but shoot him. In this way, we can extensively describe the careers of each of them. In the same section, Aleksandr Radzivilovsky was an agent for special missions.

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

Another long-time Ezhov colleague, Isaak Shapiro, was Ezhov's personal assistant from 1934, and then he became the director of the NKVD Secretariat, and later was the director of the infamous Special Section of the Main Directorate of State Security of the NKVD.

In December 1936, among the heads of ten sections for secrecy designated only by number, of the Main Directorate of State Security of the NKVD, we see seven Jews:

The Security section (section #1)—K. Pauker;  
Counter-Intelligence (3) — L. Mironov;  
Special section (5)—I. Leplevsky;  
Transport (6)—A. Shanin;  
Foreign  
section  
(7) — A.  
Slutsky;  
Records  
and  
Registrati  
on (8) V.  
Tsesarsky  
; Prisons  
(10)—  
Ya.  
Veinshto  
k.

Over the course of the meat-grinding year of 1937 several other Jews occupied posts of directors of those sections:

A. Zalpeter—Operations section (2);  
Ya. Agranov, followed by  
M. Litvin—Secret Political

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

section (4); A Minaev-Tsikanovsky—Counter-Intelligence (3); and I. Shapiro – Special section.

I named the leadership of the GULAG in my book, *Gulag Archipelago*. Yes, there was a large proportion of Jews among its command. Portraits of the directors of construction of the White Sea-Baltic Canal, which I reproduced from the Soviet commemorative corpus of 1936, caused outrage: they claimed that I have selected the Jews only on purpose. But I did not select them, I've just reproduced the photographs of all the High Directors of the BelBaltlag [White Sea - Baltic Canal camp administration] from that immortal book. Am I guilty that they had turned out to be Jews? Who had selected them for those posts? Who is guilty?

I will now add information about three prominent men, whom I did not know then. Before the BelBaltlag, one Lazar Kogan worked as the head of the GULag; Zinovy Katsnelson was the deputy head of the GULag from 1934 onward; Izrail Pliner was the head of the GULag from 1936, and later he oversaw the completion of construction of the Moscow-Volga Canal (1937).

It can't be denied that History elevated many Soviet Jews into the ranks of the arbiters of the fate of all Russians.

\* \* \*

Never publicized information about events of different times flows from different sources: about the regional Plenipotentiaries of GPU-NKVD in the 1930s before 1937. The names of their offices fully deserved to be written in capital letters, for it was precisely them and

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

not the secretaries of the obkoms, who were the supreme masters of their oblasts, masters of the life and death of any inhabitant, who reported directly only to the central NKVD in Moscow.

The full names of some of them are known, while only initials remain from others; and still of others, we know only their last names. They moved from post to post, between different provinces. (If we could only find the dates and details of their service! Alas, all this was done in secret). And in all of the 1930s, many Jews remained among those provincial lords. According to the recently published data, in the regional organs of State Security, not counting the Main Directorate of State Security, there were 1,776 Jews (7.4 percent of the total members serving). A few Jewish plenipotentiaries are listed here:

In Belorussia – Izrail Leplevsky (brother of the deputy General Prosecutor Grigory Leplevsky, we already saw him in the Cheka; later, he worked in a senior post in the GPU as a

Commissar of State Security of Second Rank; and now we see him as the Narkom of Internal Affairs of Belorussia from 1934 to 1936;

In the Western Oblast – I.M. Blat, he later worked in Chelyabinsk;

In the Ukraine – Z. Katsnelson, we saw him in the Civil War all around the country, from the Caspian Sea to the White Sea. Now he was the deputy head of the GULag; later we see him as Deputy Narkom of Internal Affairs of Ukraine; in 1937, he was replaced by Leplevsky.

We see D.M. Sokolinsky first in Donetsk Oblast and later Vinnitsa Oblast;

L.Ya. Faivilovich and Fridberg – in the Northern Caucasus;

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

M.G. Raev-Kaminsky and Purnis – in Azerbaijan;  
G. Rappoport – in Stalingrad Oblast;  
P.Sh. Simanovsky – in Orlov Oblast;  
Livshits – in Tambov Oblast;  
G.Ya. Abrampolsky – in Gorkov Oblast;  
A.S. Shiyron, supervising the round-up of kulaks in  
Arkhangel Oblast;  
I.Z. Ressin – in the German Volga Republic;  
Zelikman – in Bashkiriya;  
N. Raysky – in Orenburg Oblast;  
G.I. Shklyar – in Sverdlovsk Oblast;  
L.B. Zalin – in  
Kazakhstan; Krukovsky  
– in Central Asia;  
Trotsky – in Eastern  
Siberia, and Rutkovsky  
– in the Northern Krai.

All these high placed NKVD officials were tossed from one oblast to another in exactly the same manner as the secretaries of obkoms. Take, for instance, Vladimir Tsesarsky: was plenipotentiary of the GPU-NKVD in Odessa, Kiev and in the Far East. By 1937 he had risen to the head of the Special section of the Main Directorate of State Security of the NKVD (just before Shapiro).

Or look at S. Mironov-Korol: in 1933-36 he was the head of the Dnepropetrovsk GPUNKVD; in 1937, he was in charge of the Western Siberian NKVD; he also served in the central apparatus of the GPU-NKVD. In the mid-1930s, we see L. Vul as the head of Moscow and later of Saratov Police.

The plenipotentiary in Moscow was L. Belsky (after serving in Central Asia); later, he had risen to the head of the Internal Service Troops of the NKVD. In the 1930s, we see

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

many others: Foshan was in charge of the border troops; Meerson was the head of the Economic Planning section of the NKVD; L.I. Berenzon and later L.M. Abramson headed the finance department of the GULag; and Abram Flikser headed the personnel section of the GULag. All these are disconnected pieces of information, not amenable to methodical analysis. Moreover, there were special sections in each provincial office of the NKVD. Here is another isolated bit of information: Yakov Broverman was the head of Secretariat of the Special Section of the NKVD in Kiev; he later worked in the same capacity in the central NKVD apparatus.

Later, in 1940, when the Soviets occupied the Baltic states of Lithuania, Latvia, and Estonia, the head of the Dvinsk NKVD was one Kaplan. He dealt so harshly with the people there that in 1941, when the Red Army had hardly left and before the arrival of Germans, there was an explosion of public outrage against the Jews.

In the novel by D. P. Vitkovsky, *Half-life*, there is a phrase about the Jewish looks of investigator, Yakovlev (the action is set during Khrushchev's régime.) Vitovsky put it rather harshly so that Jews, who by the end of the 1960s were already on the way of breaking away from communism and in their new political orientation developed sympathy to any camp memoirs, were nonetheless repulsed by such a description.

I remember V. Gershuni asked me how many other Jewish investigators did Vitovsky come across during his 30-year-long ordeal? What an astonishing forgetfulness betrayed by that rather innocent slip! Would not it have been more appropriate to mention not the 30 years but 50 years, or, at least, 40 years? Indeed, Vitovsky might not have encountered many Jewish investigators during his last thirty

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

years, from the end of the 1930s (though they could still be found around even in the 1960s.) Yet Vitovsky was persecuted by the Organs for forty years; he survived the Solovki camp; and he apparently did not forget the time when a Russian investigator was a less frequent sight than a Jewish or a Latvian one.

Nevertheless, Gershuni was right in implying that all these outstanding and not so outstanding posts were fraught with death for their occupants; the more so, the closer it was to 1937-38.

### **Stalin As Jew-Killer**

Our arbiters confidently ruled from their heights and when they were suddenly delivered a blow, it must have seemed to them like the collapse of the universe, like the end of the world. Wasn't there anyone among them before the onslaught who reflected on the usual fate of revolutionaries?

Among the major communist functionaries who perished in 1937-38, the Jews comprise an enormous percentage. For example, a modern historian writes that if from 1 January 1935 to 1 January 1938 the members of this nationality headed more than 50 percent of the main structural units of the central apparatus of the People's Commissariat of Internal Affairs, then by 1 January 1939 they headed only six percent.

Using numerous execution lists that were published over the recent decades, and the biographical tomes of the modern *Russian Jewish Encyclopedia*, we are able to trace to some degree the fates of those outstanding and powerful Chekists, Red commanders, Soviet party officials, diplomats, and others, whom we mentioned in the previous chapters of this book.



## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

Among the Chekists the destruction was particularly overwhelming. The names of those executed are italicized:

Matvey Berman, 1939;  
*Boris Berman*, 1939;  
Iosif Blat, 1937;  
Ya. Veinshtok, 1939;  
Leonid Vul, 1938,  
Mark Gai-Shtoklyand, 1937;  
Semyon Gendin, 1939;  
Benjamin Gerson, 1941;  
Lev Zadov-Zinkovsky, 1938;  
Lev Zalin-Levin, 1940;  
A. Zalpeter, 1939;  
Lev Zakharov-Meyer, 1937;  
N. Zelikman, 1937;

*Lazar Kogan*, 1939;  
Mikhail Koltsov-Fridlyand, 1940;  
Georg Krukovsky, 1938;  
*Israel Leplevsky*, 1938;  
Natan Margolin, 1938;  
A. Minaev-Tsikanovsky, 1939;  
*Lev Mironov-Kagan*, 1938;  
Sergey Mironov-Korol, 1940;  
,  
Mikhail Raev-Kaminsky, 1939;  
Aleksandr Radzivilovsky, 1940;  
Naum Raysky-Lekhtman, 1939;  
Grigoriy Rappoport, 1938;  
Ilya Ressin, 1940;  
A. Rutkovsky;  
*Pinkhus Simanovsky*, 1940;

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

Abram Slutsky, poisoned in 1938;

David Sokolinsky, 1940;

Mikhail Trilisser;

Leonid Fayvilovich, 1936;

Vladimir Tsesarsky, 1940;

Shanin A. Rutkovsky, 1937;

Isaak Shapiro, 1940;

Evsey Shirvindt, 1938;

Grigoriy Shklyar; Sergey

Shpigelglas, 1940;

*Genrikh Yagoda*, 1938.

Nowadays entire directories, containing lists of the highest officials of the Central Apparatus of the Main Directorate of State Security of the NKVD who fell during the Yezhov period of executions and repressions are published. There we see many more Jewish names.

But only accidentally, thanks to the still unbridled glasnost that began in the beginning of the 1990s, we learn about several mysterious biographies formerly shrouded in secrecy. For example, from 1937, professor Grigory Mayranovsky, a specialist in poisons, headed the Laboratory X in the Special Section of Operations Technology of the NKVD, which carried out death sentences through injections with poisons by the direct decision of the government in 1937 and in 1950; the executions were performed in a special prisoner cell at Laboratory X as well as abroad even in the 1960s and 1970s. Mayranovsky was arrested only in 1951; from his cell he wrote to Beria: "Dozens of sworn enemies of the Soviet Union, including all kinds of nationalists, were destroyed by my hand."

And from the astonishing disclosure in 1990 we learned that the famous mobile gas chambers were invented,

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

as it turns out, not by Hitler during the World War II, but in the Soviet NKVD in 1937 by Isai Davidovich Berg, the head of the administrative and maintenance section of the NKVD of Moscow Oblast. Sure, he was not alone in that enterprise, but he organized the whole business. This is why it is also important to know who occupied middle-level posts. It turns out, that I. D. Berg was entrusted with carrying out the sentences of the troika of the

NKVD of Moscow Oblast; he dutifully performed his mission, which involved shuttling prisoners to the execution place. But when three troikas began to work simultaneously in the Moscow Oblast, the executioners became unable to cope with the sheer number of executions. Then they invented a time-saving method: the victims were stripped naked, tied, mouths plugged, and thrown into a closed truck, outwardly disguised as a bread truck. On the road the exhaust fumes were redirected into the prisoner-carrying compartment, and by the time the van arrived to the burial ditch, the prisoners were ready. Well, Berg himself was shot in 1939, not for those evil deeds, of course, but for anti-Soviet conspiracy. In 1956 he was rehabilitated without any problem, though the story of his murderous invention was kept preserved and protected in the records of his case and only recently discovered by journalists.

There are so many individuals with outstanding lives and careers in the list above! Bela Kun, the Butcher of Crimea, himself fell at that time, and with him the lives of twelve Commissars of the communist government of Budapest ended.

However, it would be inappropriate to consider the expulsion of Jews from the punitive organs as a form of persecution. There was no anti-Jewish motive in those events. Notwithstanding, that if Stalin's praetorians valued

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

not only their present benefits and power but also the opinion of the people whom they governed, they should have left the NKVD and not have waited until they were kicked out. Still, this wouldn't have spared many of them death, but surely it would have spared them the stigma. The notion of a purposeful anti-Jewish purge doesn't hold water: according to available data, at the end of the 1930s the Jews were one of the few national minorities, belonging to which did not constitute a "crime" for an NKVD official. There were still no regulations on national and personnel policy in the state security agencies of the kind that were enforced from the end of the 1940s to the early 1950s.

\* \* \*

Many Party activists fell under the destructive wave of 1937-1938. From 1936-37 the composition of the Soviet of People's Commissars began to change noticeably as the purges during the pre-war years ran through the prominent figures in the people's commissariats. The main personage behind collectivization, Yakovlev, had met his bullet; the same happened to his comrades-in-arms, Kalmanovich and Rukhimovich, and many others. The meat-grinder devoured many old "honored" Bolsheviks, such as the long-retired Ryazanov or the organizer of the murder of the Czar Goloshchekin, not to mention Kamenev and Zinoviev. Lazar Kaganovich was spared although, he himself was the "iron broom" in several purges during 1937-38; for example, they called his swift purge of the city of Ivanov the "Black Tornado."

They offer us the following interpretation: "This is a question about the victims of the Soviet dictatorship; they were used by it and then mercilessly discarded when their

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

services became redundant.” What a great argument! So, for twenty years these powerful Jews were really used? Yet weren't they themselves the zealous cogs in the mechanism of that very dictatorship right up to the very time when their services became redundant? Did not they make the great contribution to the destruction of religion and culture, the intelligentsia, and the multi-million peasantries?

A great many Red Army commanders fell under the axe. By the summer of 1938 without exception all commanders of military districts who occupied these posts by June 1937 disappeared without a trace. The Political Administration of the Red Army suffered the highest losses from the terror during the massacre of 1937, after the suicide of Gamarnik. Of the highest political officers of the Red Army, death claimed all 17 army commissars, 25 out of 28 corps commissars, and 34 out of 36 brigade (divisional) commissars. We see a significant percentage of Jews in the nowpublished lists of military chiefs executed in 1937-38.

Grigory Shtern had a very special military career; he advanced along the political officer's path. During the Civil War, he was military commissar at regimental, brigade, and divisional levels. In 1923-25 he was the head of all special detachments in the Khorezm [a shortlived republic after the Bolshevik revolution] and commanded troops during the suppression of rebellions in Central Asia. Until 1926, he was the head of the political administration division. Later he studied at the military academy for senior military officers and thus became eligible for proper military posts; in 1929-34 he was a military advisor to the Republican government in Spain (not to be confused with Manfred Shtern, who also distinguished himself among the Red Spaniards under the alias of “General Kleber”). Later he was the Chief of Staff of the Far Eastern Front and conducted

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

bloody battles at Lake Khasan in 1938 together with Mekhlis, at the same time conspiring against Marshall Blücher, whom he ruined and whose post of the front commander he took over after the arrest of the latter. In March 1939, at the 18th Party Congress, he made this speech: “Together we have destroyed a bunch of good-for-nothings— the Tukhachevskys, Gamarniks, Uborevichs [former Soviet Marshalls] and similar others.” Well, he himself was shot later, in autumn 1941.

Shtern’s comrade-in-arms in aviation, Yakov Smushkevich, also had a head-spinning career. He too began as a political officer (until the mid-1930s); then he studied at the academy for top officers. In 1936-37 he had also fought in Spain, in aviation, and was known as “General Douglas.” In 1939, he was commander of the aviation group at Khalkhin Gol on the ManchurianMongolian border, site of Soviet-Japanese battles won by the Russians. After that he rose to the command of all air forces of the Red Army – the General Inspector of the Air Force. He was arrested in May 1941 and executed in the same year.

The wave of terror spared neither administrators, nor diplomats; almost all of the diplomats mentioned above were executed.

Let’s name those party, military, diplomatic, and managerial figures whom we mentioned before on these pages who now were persecuted. The names of the executed are italicized:

*Samuil Agursky, arrested in 1938;*

*Lazar Aronshtam, 1938;*

*Boris Belenky, 1938;*

*Grigory Belenky, 1938;*

*Zakhar Belenky,1940;*

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

Mark Belenky, 1938;  
Moris Belotsky, 1938;  
German Bitker, 1937;  
Aron Vainshtein, 1938;  
Yakov Vesnik, 1938;  
Izrail Veitser, 1938;  
Abram Volpe, 1937;  
Yan Gamarnik, committed suicide in 1937;  
Mikhail Gerchikov, 1937;  
Evgeny Gnedin, arrested in 1939;  
Philip Goloshchekin, 1941;  
Ya. Goldin, 1938;  
Lev Gordon, arrested in 1939;  
Isaak Grinberg, 1938;  
Yakov Gugel, 1937;  
Aleksandr Gurevich, 1937;  
Sholom Dvoilatsky, 1937;  
Maks Deych, 1937;  
Semyon Dimanshtein, 1938;  
Efim Dreitser, 1936;  
Semyon Zhukovsky, 1940;  
Samuil Zaks, 1937;  
Zinovy Zangvil,  
Isaak Zelensky, 1938;  
*Grigory Zinoviev*, 1936;  
S. Zorin-Gomberg, 1937;  
Boris Ippo, 1937;  
Mikhail Kaganovich, committed suicide in  
expectation of arrest, 1941;  
Moisey Kalmanovich, 1937;  
Grigoriy Kaminsky, 1938;  
Ilya Kit-Viytenko, arrested in 1937 and spent 20  
years in camps;

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

I.M. Kleiner, 1937;  
Evgeniya Kogan, 1938;  
Aleksandr Krasnoshchyokov-Tobinson, 1937;  
Lev Kritsman, 1937;  
Solomon Kruglikov, 1938;  
Vladimir Lazarevich, 1938;  
Mikhail Landa, 1938;  
Ruvim Levin, 1937;  
Yakov Livshits, 1937;  
Moisey Lisovsky, arrested in 1938;  
Frid Markus, 1938;  
Lev Maryasin, 1938;  
Grigory Melnichansky, 1937;  
Aleksandr Minkin-Menson, died in camp in 1955;  
Nadezhda Ostrovskaya, 1937;  
Lev Pechersky, 1937;  
I. Pinson, 1936;  
Iosif Pyatnitsky-Tarshis, 1938;  
Izrail Razgon, 1937;  
Moisey Rafes, 1942;  
Grigory Roginsky, 1939;  
Marsel Rozenberg, 1938;  
Arkady Rozengolts, 1938;  
Naum Rozovsky, 1942;  
Boris Royzenman, 1938;  
E. Rubinin, spent 15 years in camps;  
Yakov Rubinov, 1937;  
Moisey Rukhimovich, 1938;  
Oskar Ryvkin, 1937;  
David Ryazanov, 1938;  
Veniamin Sverdlov, 1939;  
Boris Skvirsky, 1941;  
Iosif Slavin, 1938;



## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

Grigoriy Sokolnikov-Brilliant, killed in prison, 1939;  
Isaak Solts, died in confinement in 1940;  
Naum Sokrin, 1938;  
Lev Sosnovsky, 1937;  
Artur Stashevsky-Girshfeld, 1937;  
Yury Steklov-Nakhamkis, 1941;  
Nikolay Sukhanov-Gimmer, 1940;  
Boris Tal, 1938;  
Semyon Turovsky, 1936;  
Semyon Uritsky, 1937;  
Evgeny Fainberg, 1937;  
Vladimir Feigin, 1937;  
Boris Feldman, 1937;  
Yakov Fishman, arrested in 1937;  
Moisey Frumkin, 1938;  
Maria Frumkina-Ester, died in camp, 1943;  
Leon Khaikis, 1938; Avenir Khanukaev;  
Moisey Kharitonov, died in camp, 1948;  
Mendel Khataevich, 1937;  
Tikhon Khvesin, 1938;  
Iosif Khodorovsky, 1938;  
Mordukh Khorosh, 1937;  
Isay Tsalkovich, arrested in 1937;  
Efim Tsetlin, 1937;  
Yakov Chubin;  
N. Chuzhak-Nasimovich; Lazar  
Shatskin, 1937;  
Akhiy Shilman, 1937;  
Ierokhim Epshtein, arrested in 1938;  
Iona Yakir, 1937;  
Yakov  
Yakovlev-  
Epshtein,

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

1938;  
Grigory  
Shtern,  
1941.

This is indeed a commemoration roster of many top-placed Jews.

Below are the fates of some prominent Russian Jewish socialists, who did not join the Bolsheviks or who even struggled against them.

\*Boris Osipovich Bogdanov (born 1884) was an Odessan, the grandson and son of lumber suppliers. He graduated from the best commerce school in Odessa. While studying, he joined Social Democrat societies. In June 1905, he was the first civilian who got on board the mutinous battleship *Potemkin*, when she entered the port of Odessa; he gave a speech for her crew, urging sailors to join Odessa's labor strike; he delivered letters with appeals to consulates of the European powers in Russia. He avoided punishment by departing for St. Petersburg where he worked in the Social Democratic underground; he was a Menshevik.

He was sentenced to two 2-year-long exiles, one after another, to Solvychevodsk and to Vologda. Before the war, he entered the élite of the Menshevik movement; he worked legally on labor questions. In 1915, he became the secretary of the Labor Group at the Military Industrial Committee, was arrested in January 1917 and freed by the February Revolution. He was a member of the Executive Committee of the Soviet of Workers' and Soldiers' Deputies of Petrograd, and regularly chaired its noisy sessions which attracted thousands of people. From June 1917, he was a member of the Bureau of the All-Russian Central Executive

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

Committee and persistently opposed ongoing attempts of the Bolsheviks to seize power.

After the failed Bolshevik rebellion in July 1917 he accepted the surrender of the squad of sailors besieged in the Petropavlovsk Fortress. After the October coup, in 1918 he was one of the organizers of anti-Bolshevik workers movement in Petrograd. During the Civil War he lived in Odessa. After the Civil War, he tried to restart Menshevik political activity, but at the end of 1920 he was arrested for one year. That was the beginning of many years of unceasing arrests and sentences, exiles and camps, and numerous transfers between different camps — the so-called “Great Road” of so many socialists in the USSR. And all that was just for being a Menshevik in the past and for having Menshevik convictions even though by that time he no longer engaged in politics and during brief respites simply worked in economic posts and just wanted a quiet life; however, he was suspected of economic “sabotage.”

In 1922, he requested permission to emigrate, but shortly before departure was arrested again. First, he was sent to the Solovki prison camp and later exiled to the Pechora camp in the Urals; his sentences were repeatedly extended by three years; he experienced solitary confinement in the Suzdal camp and was repeatedly exiled. In 1931, they attempted to incriminate him in the case of the All-Soviet Bureau of Mensheviks, but he was lucky and they left him alone. Yet he was hauled in again in 1937, imprisoned in the Omsk jail (together with already-imprisoned communists), where he survived non-stop interrogations which sometimes continued without a pause for weeks, at any time of the day or night (there were three shifts of investigators); he served out 7 years in the Kargopol camp (several other Mensheviks were shot there); later he was exiled to Syktyvkar; in 1948,

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

he was again sentenced and exiled to Kazakhstan. In 1956, he was rehabilitated; he died in 1960, a worn-out old man.

\*Boris Davidovich Kamkov-Kats (born 1885) was the son of a country doctor. From adolescence, he was a member of the Socialist Revolutionary Party. Exiled in 1905 to the Turukhan Krai, he escaped. Abroad, he graduated from the Heidelberg University School of Law. He was a participant in the Zimmerwald [Switzerland] Conference of socialists in 1915.

After the February Revolution, he returned to Russia. He was one of the founders of the Left Socialist Revolutionary Party; at the time of the October coup he entered into a coalition with the Bolsheviks. He took part in the dispersal of the Russian Constituent Assembly in January 1918. From April, he urged breaking the alliance with the Bolsheviks; in June he already urged “a revolutionary uprising against them. After the failed rebellion of the Socialist Revolutionaries, he went underground. After a brief arrest in 1920, he was arrested again in 1921, and exiled in 1923. Between exiles he spent two years in prison and experienced the same Great Road. In 1933, he was exiled to Archangel; he was arrested again in 1937 and executed in 1938.

\*Abram Rafailovich Gots (born 1882) was the grandson of a millionaire tea merchant, V.Ya. Visotsky. From the age of 14, he was in the the Socialist Revolutionary movement from the very creation of the SR party in 1901 (his brother Mikhail was the party leader.) From 1906, he was a terrorist, a member of the militant wing of the SRs. From 1907-1915 he was in hard labor camps; he spent some time sitting in the infamous Aleksandrovsky Central. He was a participant of the February Revolution in Irkutsk and later in Petrograd. He was a member of the executive committees of the Soviet of Workers’ and Soldiers’ Deputies of Petrograd

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

and of the Soviet Peasant's Deputies and a member of the Presidium of the All-Russian Central Executive Committee. From 25 October 1917, he headed the anti-Bolshevik Committee for the Salvation of the Motherland and Revolution. During the Civil War, he continued his struggle against Bolsheviks. In 1920, he was arrested; at the trial of the Socialist Revolutionaries in 1922 he was sentenced to death, commuted to five years of imprisonment.

Later he experienced the Great Road of endless new prison terms and exiles. In 1939, he was sentenced to 25 years in the camps and died in one a year later.

Mikhail Yakovlevich Gendelman (born 1881) was an attorney-at-law and a Socialist Revolutionary from 1902. He participated in the February Revolution in Moscow, was a member of the Executive Committee of the Soviet of Soldiers' and Workers' Deputies, a member of the Presidium of the All-Russian Central Executive Committee, and a member of the Central Committee of the Socialist Revolutionary Party. On 25 October 1917, he left the meeting of the 2nd All-Russian Congress of the Soviets in protest against the Bolsheviks. He was elected to the Constituent Assembly and participated in its only session, on 5 January 1918. Later in Samara he participated in the Committee of Members of the Constituent Assembly. He was arrested in 1921; in 1922, he was sentenced to death at the trial of the Socialist Revolutionaries, commuted to 5 years in prison. After numerous prison terms and exiles, he was shot in 1938.

\*Mikhail Isaakovich Liber-Goldman (born 1880) was one of the founders of the Bund (1897), a member of the Central Committee of the General Jewish Labor Bund of Lithuania, Poland and Russia in Emigration; he represented the Bund at the congresses of the Russian Social Democratic

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

Workers' Party. He participated in the revolution of 1905-06. In 1910 he was exiled for three years to Vologda Province, fled soon thereafter and emigrated again. He was a steady and uncompromising opponent of Lenin. He returned to Russia after 1914, and joined the Socialist Defender movement (Defense of the Motherland in War). After the February revolution, he was a member of the Executive Committee of the Petrograd Soviet of Soldiers' and Workers' Deputies, and later he was a member of the Presidium of the All-Russian Central Executive Committee. He left the latter post after the October coup. Then he briefly participated in the Social Democratic Workers' Party of the Mensheviks. He worked on economic positions and was one of the leaders of the Menshevik underground in the USSR. His Great Road arrests and exiles began in 1923. He was arrested again and executed in Alma-Ata in 1937.

For many, there was a similar fate, with repeated sentences and exiles, right up to the climax of 1937-38.

Yet in those years purges swept all over the country, destroying the lives of countless ordinary people, including Jews, people who had nothing to do with politics or authority. Here are some of the Jews who perished:

\*Nathan Bernshtein (born 1876) a music scholar and critic; he taught the history of music and aesthetics and wrote a number of books; arrested in 1937, he died in prison.

\*Matvei Bronshtein (born 1906) a talented theoretical physicist, Doctor of Science, who achieved extraordinary results. He was the husband of Lyudmila K. Chukovskaya. Arrested in 1937, he was executed in 1938.

\*Sergey Ginter (born 1870) an architect and engineer; arrested in 1934, exiled to Siberia, arrested again in 1937 and executed.

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

\*Veniamin Zilbermints (born 1887) a mineralogist and geochemist; specialist on rare elements, he laid the foundation for semi-conductor science. He was persecuted in 1938.

\*Mikhail Kokin (born 1906) an Orientalist, Sinologist and historian, arrested in 1937 and executed.

\*Ilya Krichevsky (born 1885) a microbiologist, immunologist (also trained in physics and mathematics), Doctor of Medical Sciences, founder of a scientific school, chairman of the National Association of Microbiologists; arrested in 1938 and died in 1943.

\*Solomon Levit (born 1894), geneticist; he studied the role of heredity and environment in pathology. Arrested in 1938 and died in prison.

Iokhiel Ravrebe (born 1883), an Orientalist, Judaist, one of the founders of the reestablished Jewish Ethnographic Society in 1920. Accused of creating a Zionist organization, he was arrested in 1937 and died in prison.

\*Vladimir Finkelshtein (born 1896), a chemical physicist, professor, corresponding member of the Ukrainian Academy of Sciences; he had many works in applied electrical chemistry; persecuted in 1937.

\*Ilya Khetsrov (born 1887), a hygienist and epidemiologist; he studied environmental hygiene, protection of water resources, and community hygiene. Arrested in 1938 and executed.

\*Nakhum Schwartz (born 1888), a psychiatrist, studied Jewish psychology. In 1921-23 he taught Hebrew and wrote poetry in Hebrew. Accused of Zionist activity, he was arrested in 1937 and later died in prison.

Here are the fates of the three brothers Shpilrein from Rostov-on-Don. Jan (born 1887) was a mathematician; he applied mathematical methods in electrical and heat

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

engineering, he was professor at the Bauman Moscow State Technical University and later the dean of its Electrical Engineering Department. He was arrested and died in 1937. Isaak (born 1891) was a psychologist, Doctor of Philosophy. In 1927, he became the head of the All-Russian Society of Psychotechnology and Applied Psychophysiology; he performed extensive psychological analysis of professions and optimization of working environment. He was arrested in 1935 and later executed. Emil (born 1899) was a biologist, the dean of the Biology Department of Rostov University. He was shot in 1937.

\*Leonid Yurovsky (born 1884) Doctor of Political Economy, one of the authors of the monetary reform of 1922-24. A close friend to A.V. Chayanov and N.D. Kondratiev [prominent Russian scientists], he was arrested in 1930, freed in 1935, then arrested again in 1937 and executed.

\* \* \*

Despite the overwhelming percentage of high-placed, “aristocratic” Jews, who fell under Stalin’s axe, the free Western press did not perceive the events as specifically the persecution of Jews: the Jews were massacred simply because of their abundance in the top tiers of the Soviet hierarchy. Indeed, in 1939 we read such a stipulation in the collection of works *Evreysky Mir* [Jewish World]: “No doubt that the Jews in the USSR have numerous opportunities, which they did not have before the revolution, and which they do not have even now in some democratic countries. They can become generals, ministers, diplomats, professors, the most high-ranking and the most servile aristocrats.” Opportunities but in no way rights, because in the absence of such rights, Yakir, Garmanik, Yagoda, Zinoviev, Radek,



## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

Trotsky and the rest fell from their heights and lost their very lives. Still, no nationality enjoyed such a right under the communist dictatorship; it was all about the ability to cling to power.

The long-time devoted socialist, emigrant S. Ivanovich (S.O. Portugeis), admitted: “Under the Czars, the Jews were indeed restricted in their right of living; yet their right to live was incomparably greater than than under Bolshevism.” Indeed. However, at the same time, despite being perfectly aware of collectivization, he writes that the “awkward attempts to establish socialism in Russia took the heaviest toll from the Jews”; that “the scorpions of Bolshevism did not attack any other people with such brutal force as they attacked Jews.”

Yet during the Great Plague of dekulakization, it was not thousands but millions of peasants who lost both their right of living and the right to live. And yet all the Soviet pens (with so many Jews among them) kept complete silence about this cold-blooded destruction of the Russian peasantry. In unison with them, the entire West was silent.

Could it be really out of the lack of knowledge? Or was it for the sake of protecting the Soviet régime? Or was it simply because of indifference? Why, this is almost inconceivable: 15 million peasants were not simply deprived of entering the institutes of higher learning or of the right to study in graduate school, or to occupy nice posts — no! They were dispossessed and driven like cattle out of their homes and sent to certain death in the taiga and tundra. And the Jews, among other passionate urban activists, enthusiastically took the reins of the collectivization into their hands, leaving behind them persistent evil memory.

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

And who had raised their voices in defense of the peasants then?

And now, in 1932-33, in Russia and Ukraine – on the very outskirts of Europe, five to six million people died from hunger! And the free press of the free world maintained utter silence. And even if we take into account the extreme Leftist bias of the contemporary Western press and its devotion to the socialist “experiment” in the USSR, it is still impossible not to be amazed at the degree to which they could go to be blind and insensitive to the sufferings of even tens of millions of fellow humans.

If you don't see it, your heart doesn't cry.

During the 1920s, the Ukrainian Jews departed from their pro-Russian-statehood mood of 1917-1920, and by the end of the 1920s the Jews are among Ukrainian chauvinists and separatists, wielding enormous influence there—but only in the cities. We can find such a conclusion: the destruction of Ukrainian-language culture in 1937 was in part aimed against Jews, who formed a genuine union with Ukrainians for the development of local culture in Ukrainian language. Nevertheless, such a union in cultural circles could not soften the attitudes of the wider Ukrainian population toward Jews. We have already seen in the previous chapter how in the course of collectivization a considerable number of Jewish communists functioned in rural locales as commanders and lords over life and death. This placed a new scar on Ukrainian-Jewish relations, already tense for centuries. And although the famine was a direct result of Stalin's policy, and not only in Ukraine—it brutally swept across the Volga Region and the Urals—the suspicion widely arose among Ukrainians that the entire Ukrainian famine was the work of the Jews. Such an interpretation has long existed, and the Ukrainian émigré

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

press adhered to it until the 1980s. Some Ukrainians are convinced that 1933 was the revenge of the Jews for the times of Khmel'nitsky. [A 17th century Cossack leader who conducted bloody anti-Jewish pogroms in Ukraine].

Don't expect to reap wheat where the weed was sown. The supreme authority of so many Jews along with only a small number of Jews being touched by the grievances which afflicted the rest of population could lead to all sorts of interpretations.

Jewish authors who nervously kept an eye on anti-Semitism in the USSR did not notice this trampled ash, however, and made rather optimistic conclusions. For instance, Solomon Schwartz writes: "From the start of the 1930s, anti-Semitism in the Soviet Union quickly abated", and "in the mid-1930s it lost the character of a mass phenomenon ... anti-Semitism reached an all-time low point." He explains this, in part, as the result of the end of the NEP (the New Economic Policy) and thereby the disappearance of Jewish businessmen and petty Jewish merchants. Later, forced industrialization and lightning-fast collectivization, which he favorably compares with a kind of shock therapy, i.e., treatment of mental disorders with electric shocks, was of much help. In addition, he considers that in those years the ruling communist circles began to struggle with Great-Russian "chauvinism." (Well, they did not begin; they just continued the policy of Lenin's intolerance). Schwartz soundly notes that the authorities were "persistently silent about anti-Semitism, in order to avoid the impression that the struggle against Great-Russian chauvinism is a struggle for the Jews."

In January 1931, first the *New York Times*, and later the entire world press published a sudden and ostentatious announcement by Stalin to the Jewish Telegraph Agency:

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

“The Communists, as consistent internationalists, cannot help but be an irreconcilable and sworn enemy of anti-Semitism. In the USSR, anti-Semitism is strictly prosecuted by law as a phenomenon deeply hostile to the Soviet order. Active anti-Semites are punished, according to the laws of the USSR, with the death penalty.”

See, he addressed the democratic West and did not mind specifying the punishment. And it was only one nationality in the USSR that was set apart by being granted such a protection. And world opinion was completely satisfied with that. But characteristically, the announcement by the Leader was not printed in the Soviet press (because of his cunning reservations); it was produced for export and he hid this position from his own citizens; in the USSR, it was only printed at the end of 1936. Then Stalin sent Molotov to make a similar announcement at the Congress of Soviets.

A contemporary Jewish author, erroneously interpreting Molotov’s speech, suggests that speaking on behalf of the government he threatened to punish “anti-Semitic feelings” with death. Feelings! No, Molotov did not mention anything like that; he did not depart from Stalin’s policy of persecuting “active anti-Semites.” We are not aware of any instance of death penalty in the 1930s for anti-Semitism, but people were sentenced for it according to the Penal Code. (People whispered that before the revolution the authorities did not punish as harshly even for libels against the Czar.)

But now S. Schwartz observes a change: “In the second half of the 1930s, these sentiments people’s hostility toward Jews became much more prevalent, particularly in the major centers, where the Jewish intelligentsia and semi-intelligentsia were concentrated. Here again the legend about Jewish domination gradually began to come back to life, and

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

they began to spread exaggerated notions about the role of Jews in the middle and top ranks of government.”

Well, whether or not it was really a legend, he immediately attempted to explain it, though in a quite naïve manner, suggesting the same old excuse that the Jewish intelligentsia and semiintelligentsia simply had almost no other source of livelihood under Soviet conditions except the government service.

This is so shameful to read. What oppression and despair! See, they had almost no other sources of livelihood, only privileged ones. And the rest of population was absolutely free to toil on kolkhoz fields, to dig pits, and to roll barrows at the great construction projects of the Five-Year Plans.

In official policy, nothing had changed in the 1930s in the Jewish Question from the time of the revolution; no official hostility toward Jews existed. Indeed, they used to dream and proclaim about the impending end of all national conflicts.

And the foreign Jewish circles did not and could not sense any oppression of the Jews in the USSR. In the article *The Jews and the Soviet Dictatorship*, S. Ivanovich wrote: “Abroad, many believe that there is no anti-Semitism in Russia, and on that basis, they are favorably disposed toward the Soviet authorities. But in Russia they know that this is not true.” However, Jews “pray for the long-life of the Soviet regime and are strongly afraid of its demise,” for “Stalin protects them from pogroms and hopefully would protect them in future.” The author sympathizes with such an opinion, although he considers it flawed: “If the Bolshevik dictatorship falls, no doubt there will be wild anti-Semitic ravages and violence. The fall of the Soviet regime would be a catastrophe for the Jews, and any friend of the Jewish

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

people should reject such a prospect with horror”; yet at the same time he remarks that the Soviet dictatorship is already embarrassed by the Judeophilia and Jewish dominance attributed to it.

The resolution on Stalin’s report at the 16th Party Congress provided the general political direction for the 1930s, calling for an energetic struggle against chauvinism, and primarily against the Great Russian chauvinism. The Party language was easily understood by all. And for several more years this struggle was enthusiastically carried on. Yet what kind of Stalinist madness was it? By that time there was no trace left of the Great Russian chauvinism. Stalin was not able to envision the immediate future [of WWII] – when only Russian patriotism would save him from imminent doom.

Then they have already started to sound the alarm about the danger of any rebirth of Russian patriotism. In 1939, S. Ivanovich claimed to notice a trend of this dictatorship returning to some national traditions of Moscovite Russ and Imperial Russia; he caustically cited several stamps that entered popular discourse around that time such as the love for the Motherland, national pride etc.

See, this is where the mortal danger for Russia lurked then, immediately before Hitler’s assault – in that ugly Russian patriotism!

This alarm did not leave the minds of Jewish publicists for the next half century, even when they looked back at that war, when mass patriotism blazed up, at the war which saved Soviet Jewry.

So, in 1988 we read in an Israeli magazine: “Vivid traditions of the Black Hundreds were the foundation of vivifying Soviet patriotism, which blossomed later, during the Great Patriotic War.” [The official Russian designation for the Eastern front in WWII].

# THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

Looking back at that war of 1941-1945, let's admit that this is a highly ungrateful judgment.

So, even the purest and most immaculate Russian patriotism has no right to exist – not now, not ever?

Why is it so? And why it is that Russian patriotism is thus singled out?

## **Liquidating the Jewish Intelligentsia**

An important event in Jewish life in the USSR was the closing of the YevSek at the Central Committee of the All-Russian Communist Party of Bolsheviks in 1930. Though in accord with the Soviet blueprint, this act blocked any separate development of a Jewish society having national, cultural, and individual Jewish autonomy. From now on Jewish cultural development lay within the Soviet mainstream.

In 1937-38 the leading Yevseks – Dimanshtein, Litvakov, Frumkina-Ester and their associates Motl Kiper, Itskhok Sudarsky, Aleksandr Chemerissky – who, in words of Yu.

Margolina, “in the service of the authorities carried out the greatest pogrom against Jewish culture,” were arrested and soon executed. Many Yevseks, occupying governing positions in the central and local 275 departments of the Society for Settling Toiling Jews on the Land (OZET) and in the Jewish community, Jewish cultural and educational structures, also fell under the juggernaut. In 1936-39, the majority of them were persecuted. The poisonous atmosphere of 1930s now reached these levels too. During open public meetings, they began to accuse and expose prominent Jewish communists, who at some time before were members either of the Bund or of the Zionist Socialist

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

Party, or even of Poale-Zion, all of which were crippled under the Soviet regime. Was there anyone, who's past the Bolsheviks did not try to criminalize?

“Who have you been before...?” In 1938 *Der Emes* was closed also.

What about education? Right up to 1933 the number of Jewish schools and Jewish students in them increased despite the early (1920s) critique of nationalistic over-zealousness in the actions of the Yevseks on the forced transition of Jewish education into Yiddish. From 1936 to 1939 a period of accelerated decline and even more accelerated inner impoverishment of the schools in Yiddish was noted. After 1936-37 the number of Jewish schools began to decline quickly even in Ukraine and Belorussia; the desire of parents to send their children to such schools had diminished. Education in Yiddish was seen as less and less prestigious; there was an effort to give children an education in the Russian language. Also, from the second half of the 1930s the number of institutions of higher education lecturing in Yiddish began to decline rapidly; almost all Jewish institutions of higher education and technical schools were closed by 1937-38.

At the start of 1930s the Jewish scientific institutes at the academies of science of Ukraine and Belorussia were closed; in Kiev the Institute of Jewish Proletarian Culture fell into desolation. And soon after this, arrests followed. Mikhail Kokin of the Leningrad Institute of Philosophy, literature and History was executed; Iokhiel Rabrebe, formerly of the Petrograd Institute of Higher Jewish Studies, who in the 1930s headed the Jewish Section of the Public Library, was sentenced to 8 years and died in the transit camp.

Persecutions spread to writers in Yiddish: Moyshe Kulbak was persecuted in 1937; Zelik Akselrod, in 1940;



## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

Abram Abchuk, a teacher of Yiddish and a critic, in 1937; writer Gertsl Bazov, was persecuted in 1938. Writer I. Kharik and critic Kh. Dunets were persecuted also.

Still, literature in Yiddish was actively published until the end of the 1930s. Jewish publishers were working in Moscow, Kiev, and Minsk. Yet what kind of literature was it? In the 1930s the overwhelming majority of works were written stereotypically, in accordance with the unshakable principles of socialist realism. Literature in Yiddish from the 1930s up to June 1941 was marked by the cult of Stalin. Unbridled flattery for Stalin flowed from the bosom of Jewish poetry.

Itsik Feder managed to light up even official propaganda with lyrical notes. These monstrous sayings are ascribed to his pen: “You betrayed your father — this is great!”, and “I say ‘Stalin’ but envision the sun.”

Most of these writers, who zealously tried to please Stalin, were arrested ten years later. But some of them, as mentioned above, had already drawn this lot. Similarly, the ideological press of official communist doctrine signified for many Jewish artists and sculptors a complete break up, quite often tragic, with the national Jewish traditions. (Still, what culture in the USSR was not touched by this?) So,

it comes as little surprise that the overwhelming majority of Jewish theaters devoted much attention to propaganda performances. This included all 19 aforementioned professional Yiddish theaters and numerous independent collectives, studios, and circles.

Concerning Hebrew culture which preserved the national traditions: it was by now conclusively banished and went underground.

It has already been mentioned that the Zionist underground was crushed by the beginning of the 1930s.

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

Many Zionists were already rounded up, but still many others were accused of the Zionist conspiracy. Take Pinkhas Dashevsky (from Chapter 8) – in 1933 he was arrested as a Zionist. Pinkhas Krasny was not a Zionist but was listed as such in his death sentence. He was former Minister of Petliura's Directorate, emigrated but later returned into the USSR. He was executed in 1939. Volf Averbukh, a Poale-Zionist from his youth, left for Israel in 1922, where he collaborated with the communist press. In 1930, he was sent back to the USSR, where he was arrested.

Most of the semi-legal cheder schools and yeshivas were shut down around that time. Arrests rolled on from the late 1920s in the Hasidic underground. Yakov-Zakharia Maskalik was arrested in 1937, Abrom-Levik Slavin was arrested in 1939. By the end of 1933, 237 synagogues were closed, that is, 57 percent of all existing in the first years of Soviet authority. In the mid-1930s, the closure of synagogues accelerated. From 1929, the authorities began to impose excessive tax on matzo baking. In 1937, the Commission on the Questions of Religions at the Central Executive Committee of the USSR prohibited baking matzo in Jewish religious communities. In 1937-38 the majority of clergy of the Jewish religious cult were persecuted. There were no rabbis in the majority of still-functioning synagogues.

In 1938 a "hostile rabbinical nest" was discovered in the Moscow Central Synagogue; the rabbis and a number of parishioners were arrested. The Rabbi of Moscow, Shmuel-Leib Medalia, was arrested and executed in 1938. (His son, Moishe Medalia, was arrested at the same time). In 1937, the Rabbi of Saratov, Iosif Bogatin, was arrested.

### **Birobidzhan**

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

In the early 1930s, when the Jewish religion was restricted in the USSR, the closing of thousands of Orthodox Christian churches and the destruction of many of them rolled along throughout the entire country. They especially hurried to liberate Soviet Moscow from the church; Boris Iofan was in charge of that reconstruction. In that bitter and hungry year of devastating breakdown throughout the country, they promoted projects for a grand Palace of Soviets in place of the Cathedral of Christ the Savior. *Izvestia* reports: “So far, eleven projects are presented at the exhibition. Particularly interesting among them are the works of architects Fridman, B. Iofan, Bronshtein, and Ladovsky.”

Later, the arrests reached the architects as well. The move toward settling the toiling Jews on the land gradually became irrelevant for Soviet Jews. The percentage of Jewish settlers abandoning lands given to them remained high. In 1930-32, the activity of foreign Jewish philanthropic organizations such as Agro-Joint, OKG, and EKO in the USSR, had noticeably decreased. And although in 1933-38 it had still continued within the framework of new restrictive agreements, in 1938 the activity ceased completely. In the first half of 1938, first the OZET and then the Committee for Settling the Toiling Jews on the Land (KomZET) were dissolved. The overwhelming majority of remaining associates of these organizations, who were still at liberty, were persecuted. By 1939, the Central Committee of the Communist Party of Ukraine decided to liquidate the artificially-created national Jewish districts and boroughs.

Nonetheless, the idea of a Jewish colony in Birobidzhan was not abandoned in the 1930s and was even actively advanced by government. In order to put spirit into the masses, the authorities staged the Second All-Union

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

Congress of the OZET in Moscow in December 1930. By the end of 1931, the general population of that oblast was 45,000 with only 5,000 Jews among them, although whole villages with homes were built for their settlement and access roads were laid, sometimes by inmates from the camps nearby; for example, the train station of Birobidzhan was constructed in this manner. Yet non-Jewish colonization of the region went faster than Jewish colonization.

In order to set matters right, in autumn of 1931 the Presidium of the Central Executive Committee of the RSFSR decreed that another 25,000 Jews should be settled in Birobidzhan during the next two years, after which it would be possible to declare it the Jewish Autonomous Republic. However, in the following years the number of Jews who left exceeded the number of Jews arriving, and by the end of 1933, after six years of colonization, the number of settled Jews amounted only to 8,000; of them only 1,500 lived in rural areas, i.e. worked in kolkhozes; that is, the Jews comprised less than one-fifth of all kolkhoz workers there. (There is also information that the land in the Jewish kolkhozes was fairly often tilled by hired Cossacks and Koreans). The oblast could not even provide enough agricultural products for its own needs.

Nevertheless, in May 1934, when the non-Jewish population had already reached 50,000, Birobidzhan was loudly declared a Jewish Autonomous Oblast. It still did not qualify for the status of a republic.

Thus, there was no national enthusiasm among the Jewish masses, which would ease the overcoming of the enormous difficulties inherent in such colonization. There was no industry in Birobidzhan, and the economic and social structure of the settlers resembled that of contemporary Jewish towns and *shtetls* in Ukraine and Belorussia. This was

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

particularly true for the city of Birobidzhan, especially considering the increased role of the Jews in the local administrative apparatus. Culture in Yiddish had certainly developed in the autonomous oblast – there were Jewish newspapers, radio, schools, a theater named after Kaganovich (its director was the future author E. Kazakevich), a library named after Sholem Aleichem, a museum of Jewish culture, and public reading facilities. Perets Markish had published the exultant article, *A People Reborn*, in the central press. (In connection with Birobidzhan, let's note the fate of the demographer Ilya Veitsblit. His position was that the policy of recruitment of poor urban Jews in order to settle them in rural areas should end; "There are no declassé individuals among the Jews, who could be suitable for

Birobidzhan." He was arrested in 1933 and likely died in prison).

Yet the central authorities believed that that the colonization should be stimulated even further; and from 1934 they began a near compulsory recruitment among Jewish artisans and workers in the western regions, that is, among an urban population without a slightest knowledge of agriculture. The slogan rang out: "The entire USSR builds the Jewish Autonomous Oblast!" – meaning that recruitment of non-Jewish cadres is needed for quicker development. The ardent

Yevsek Dimanshtein wrote that "we do not aim to create a Jewish majority in the Jewish Autonomous Oblast as soon as possible; this would contradict to the principles of internationalism."

But despite all these measures, during the next three years only another 11,000 were added to the eight or nine thousand Jews who were already living there; still, most of

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

newcomers preferred to stay in the oblast capital closer to its railroad station and looked-for opportunities to escape. Yet as we know, Bolsheviks may not be defeated or dispirited. So, because of dissatisfaction with the KomZET, in 1936 the Central Executive Committee of the USSR decided to partially delegate the overseeing of Jewish resettlement in the Jewish Autonomous

Oblast to the resettlement department of the NKVD. In August of 1936, the Presidium of the Central Executive Committee of the USSR proclaimed that “For the first time in the history of the Jewish people, their ardent desire to have their own homeland has been realized and their own national statehood has been established.” And now they began planning the resettlement of 150,000 more Jews to Birobidzhan.

Looking back at it, the Soviet efforts to convert the Jews to agriculture suffered the same defeat as the Czarist efforts a century before.

In the meantime, the year 1938 approached. KomZET was closed, OZET was disbanded, and the main Yevseks in Moscow and the administrators of the Jewish Autonomous Oblast were arrested. Those Birobidzhan Jews who could left for the cities of the Far East or for Moscow. According to the 1939 Census, the general population of the Jewish Autonomous Oblast consisted of 108,000 people; however, the number of Jews there remained secret. The Jewish population of Birobidzhan was still low. Presumably, eighteen Jewish kolkhozes still existed, of 40-50 families each, but in those kolkhozes, they conversed and corresponded with the authorities in Russian. Yet what could Birobidzhan have become for Jews? Just forty-five years later, the Israeli General Beni Peled emphatically explained why neither Birobidzhan nor Uganda could give the Jewish

# THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

people a sense of connection with the land: “I simply feel that I am not ready to die for a piece of land in

Russia, Uganda, or New Jersey!”

This sense of connection, after thousands of years of estrangement, was restored by Israel.

## **Jewish Demographics in the 1930s**

The migration of Jews to the major cities did not slow down in the 1930s. The *Jewish Encyclopedia* reports that, according to the Census of 1926, there were 131,000 Jews in Moscow; in 1933, there were 226,500; and in 1939, there were 250,000 Jews. As a result of the massive resettlement of Ukrainian Jews, their share among Moscow Jewry increased to 80 percent. In the *Book on Russian Jewry* (1968), we find that in the 1930s up to a half-million Jews were counted among government workers, sometimes occupying prominent posts, primarily in the economy. The author also reports, that in the 1930s up to a half-million Jews became involved in industry, mainly in manual labor. On the other hand, Larin provides another figure, that among the industrial workers there were only 2.7 percent Jews or 200,000 or 2.5 times less than the first estimate. The flow of Jews into the ranks of office workers grew constantly. The reason for this was the mass migration to cities, and also the sharp increase of the educational level, especially of Jewish youth. The Jews predominantly lived in the major cities, did not experience artificial social restrictions so familiar to their Russian peers, and, it needs to be said, they studied devotedly, thus preparing masses of technical cadres for the Soviet future.

Let's glance into statistical data: in 1929 the Jews comprised 13.5 percent of all students in the higher

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

educational institutions in the USSR; in 1933—12.2 percent; in 1936—13.3 percent of all students, and 18 percent of graduate students (with their share of the total population being only 1.8 percent); from 1928 to 1935, the number of Jewish students per 1,000 of the Jewish population rose from 8.4 to 20.4 while per 1,000 Belorussians there were 2.4 students, and per 1,000 Ukrainians – 2.0; and by 1935 the percentage of Jewish students exceeded the percentage of Jews in the general population of the country by almost seven times, thus standing out from all other peoples of the Soviet Union.

G.V. Kostirchenko, who researched Stalin's policies on Jews, comments on the results of the 1939 census: "After all, Stalin could not disregard the fact that at the start of 1939 out of every 1,000 Jews, 268 had a high school education, and 57 out of 1,000 had higher education." Among Russians the figures were, respectively, 81 and six per 1,000. It is no secret that successful completion of higher education or doctoral studies allowed individuals to occupy socially prestigious positions in the robustly developing Soviet economy of the 1930s.

However, in *The Book on Russian Jewry* we find that "without exaggeration, after Ezhov's purges, not a single prominent Jewish figure remained at liberty in Soviet Jewish society, journalism, culture, or even in the science."

Well, it was absolutely not like that, and it is indeed a gross exaggeration. Still, the same author, Grigory Aronson, in the same book, only two pages later says summarily about the 1930s, that the Jews were not deprived of general civil rights. They continued to occupy posts in the state and party apparatus, and there were quite a few Jews in the diplomatic corps, in the general staff of the army, and among the



## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

professors in the institutions of higher learning. Thus, we enter into the year 1939.

The voice of Moscow was that of the People's Artist, Yury Levitan – “the voice of the USSR,” that incorruptible prophet of our Truth, the main host of the radio station of the Comintern and a favorite of Stalin. Entire generations grew up, listening to his voice: he read Stalin's speeches and summaries of Sovinformburo [the Soviet Information Bureau], and the famous announcements about the beginning and the end of the war.

In 1936 Samuil Samosud became the main conductor of the Bolshoi Theatre and served on that post for many years. Mikhail Gnesin continued to produce music in the style of modern European music and in the style of the so-called New Jewish music; Gnesin's sisters successfully ran the music school, which developed into the outstanding Musical Institute. The ballet of Aleksandr Krein was performed in the Mariinsky and Bolshoi theatres. Well, Krein distinguished himself by his symphony *Rhapsody*, that is, a Stalin speech set to music. Krein's brother and nephew flourished also. A number of brilliant musicians rose to national and later to international fame: Grigory Ginzburg, Emil Gilels, Yakov Zak, Lev Oborin, David Oistrakh, Yakov Flier and many others. Many established theatre directors, theatre and literary critics, and music scholars continued to work without hindrance.

Examining the culture of the 1930s, it is impossible to miss the extraordinary achievements of the songwriter composers. Isaak Dunaevsky, a founder of genres of operetta and mass song in Soviet music, composed easily digestible songs routinely glorifying the Soviet way of life (*The March of Merry Lads*, 1933; *The Song of Kakhovka*, 1935; *The Song About Homeland*, 1936; *The Song of Stalin*, 1936,

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

etc.). Official propaganda on the arts declared these songs the embodiment of the thoughts and feelings of millions of Soviet people. Dunaevsky's tunes were used as the identifying melody of Moscow Radio. He was heavily decorated for his service: he was the first of all composers to be awarded the Order of the Red Banner of Labour and elected to the Supreme Soviet of the USSR in the notorious year 1937. Later he was also awarded the Order of Lenin. He used to preach to composers that the Soviet people do not need symphonies.

Matvey Blanter and the brothers Daniil and Dmitry Pokrass were famous for their complacent hit song *If War Strikes Tomorrow* (“we will instantly crush the enemy”) and for their earlier hit the *Budyonny March*. There were many other famous Jewish songwriters and composers in 1930s and later: Oskar Feltsman, Solovyev-Sedoy, Ilya Frenkel, Mikhail Tanich, Igor Shaferan, Yan Frenkel and Vladimir Shainsky, etc. They enjoyed copy numbers in the millions, fame, royalties — come on, who dares to name those celebrities among the oppressed? And after all, alongside the skillfully written songs, how much blaring Soviet propaganda did they churn out, confusing, brainwashing, and deceiving the public and crippling good taste and feelings?

What about movie industry? The modern Israeli *Jewish Encyclopedia* states that in the 1930s “the main role of movies was to glorify the successes of socialism; a movie's entertainment value was minimal.” Numerous Jewish filmmakers participated in the development of standards of a unified and openly ideological film industry, conservative in form and obsessively didactic. Many of them were already listed in the previous chapter; take, for example, D. Vertov's *Symphony of the Donbass*, 1931, released immediately after the Industrial Party Trial.

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

Here are a few of the then-celebrated names: F. Ermler (*The Coming, The Great Citizen, Virgin Soil Upturned*), S. Yutkevich (*The Coming, The Miners*), the famous Mikhail Romm (*Lenin in October, Lenin in 1918*), L. Arnshtam (*Girlfriends, Friends*), I. Trauberg (*The Son of Mongolia, The Year 1919*), A. Zarkhi and I. Kheifits (*Hot Days, Ambassador of the Baltic*).

Obviously, filmmakers were not persecuted in the 1930s, though many cinematography, production and film distribution managers were arrested; two high-ranking bosses of the central management of the cinema industry, B. Shumyatsky and S. Dukelsky, were even shot.

In the 1930s, Jews clearly comprised a majority among filmmakers. So, who was really the victim – deceived viewers, whose souls were steamrolled with lies and rude didactics, or the filmmakers, who forged documentaries, biographies and produced pseudo-historical and essentially unimportant propaganda films, characterized by phony monumentality and inner emptiness? The *Jewish Encyclopedia* adds sternly: “Huge numbers of Jewish operators and directors were engaged in making popular science, educational, and documentary films, in the most official sphere of the Soviet cinematography, where adroit editing helped to produce a “genuine documentary” out of a fraud.

For example, R. Karmen, did it regularly without scruples. (He was a glorified Soviet director, producer of many documentaries about the civil war in Spain and the Nuremberg Trials; he made the anniversary-glorifying film *The Great Patriotic War, Vietnam*, and a film about Cuba; he was a recipient of three USSR State Prizes, the Stalin Prize and the Lenin Prize; he held the titles of the People’s Artist of the USSR and the Hero of the Socialist Labor.

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

Let's not forget filmmaker Konrad Wolf, the brother of the famous Soviet spy, Marcus Wolf.

No, the official Soviet atmosphere of 1930s was absolutely free of ill will toward Jews. And until the war, the overwhelming majority of Soviet Jewry sympathized with the Soviet ideology and sided with the Soviet regime. "There was no Jewish Question indeed in the USSR before the war – or almost none"; then the "open anti-Semites were not yet in charge of newspapers and journals; they did not control personnel departments." (The truth is quite the opposite. Many such positions were occupied by Jews).

Sure, then Soviet culture consisted of Soviet patriotism, i.e., of producing art in accordance with directives from above. Unfortunately, many Jews were engaged in that pseudocultural sphere and some of them even rose to supervise the Russian language culture. In the early 1930s, we see B.M. Volin-Fradkin at the head of the Main Administration for Literary and Publishing Affairs (GlavLit), the organ of official censorship, directing the development of the culture. Many of the GlavLit personnel were Jewish. For example, in GlavLit, from 1932 to 1941 we see A.I. Bendik, who would become the Director of the Book Palace during the war. Emma Kaganova, the spouse of Chekist Pavel Sudoplatov was trusted to manage the activities of informants among the Ukrainian intelligentsia. After private publishers were abolished, a significant contribution to the organization and management of Soviet government publishers was made by S. Alyansky, M. Volfson, I. Ionov (Bernshtein), A. Kantorovich, B. Malkin, I. Berite, B. Feldman, and many others. Soon all book publishing was centralized in the State Publishing House and there was no other place for an author to get his work published.

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

The Jewish presence was also apparent in all branches of the printed propaganda works of the clumsy caricaturist Boris Efimov could be found in the press everyday (he produced extremely filthy images of Western leaders; for instance, he had portrayed Nicholas II in a crown carrying a rifle, trampling corpses). Every two to three days, sketches of other dirty satirists, like G. Riklin, the piercingly caustic D. Zaslavsky, the adroit Radek, the persistent Sheinin and the brothers Tur, appeared in press. A future writer L. Kassil wrote essays for *Izvestia*. There were many others: R. Karmen, T. Tess, Kh. Rappoport, D. Chernomordikov, B. Levin, A. Kantorovich, and Ya. Perelman.

These names I found in *Izvestia* only, and there were two dozen more major newspapers feeding the public with blatant lies. In addition, there existed a whole sea of ignoble mass propaganda brochures saturated with lies. When they urgently needed a mass propaganda brochure devoted to the Industrial Party Trial (such things were in acute demand for all of the 1930s), one B. Izakson knocked it out under the title: "*Crush the Viper of Intervention!*" Diplomat E. Gnedin, the son of Parvus, wrote lying articles about the "incurable wounds of Europe" and the imminent death of the West. He also wrote a rebuttal article, Socialist Labor in the Forests of the Soviet North, in response to Western "slanders" about the allegedly forced labor of camp inmates felling timber. When in the 1950s Gnedin returned from a camp after a long term (though, it appears, not having experienced tree felling himself), he was accepted as a venerable sufferer and no one reminded him of his lies in the past.

In 1929-31 Russian, historical science was destroyed; the Archaeological Commission, the Northern Commission, Pushkin House, the Library of the Academy of Sciences were

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

all abolished, traditions were smashed, and prominent Russian historians were sent to rot in camps. (How much did we hear about that destruction?) Third and fourth-rate Russian historians then surged in to occupy the vacant posts and brainwash us for the next half a century. Sure, quite a few Russian slackers made their careers then, but Jewish ones did not miss their chance.

Already in the 1930s, Jews played a prominent role in Soviet science, especially in the most important and technologically-demanding frontiers, and their role was bound to become even more important in the future. By the end of 1920s, Jews comprised 13.6 percent of all scientists in the country; by 1937 their share increased to 17.6 percent; in 1939 there were more than 15,000 or 15.7 percent Jewish scientists and lecturers in the institutions of higher learning.

In physics, member of the Academy A. F. Ioffe nurtured a highly successful school. As early as 1918, he founded the Physical-Technical Institute in Petrograd. Later, fifteen affiliated scientific centers were created; they were headed by Ioffe's disciples. His former students worked in many other institutes, in many ways determining the scientific and technological potential of the Soviet Union.

(However, repressions did not bypass them. In 1938, in the Kharkov Physics Technological Institute, six out of eight heads of departments were arrested: Vaisberg, Gorsky, Landau, Leipunsky, Obreimov, Shubnikov; a seventh—Rueman—was exiled; only Slutskin remained).

The name of Semyon Aisikovich, the constructor of Lavochkin fighter aircraft, was long unknown to the public. Names of many other personalities in military industry were kept secret as well. Even now we do not know all of them. For instance, M. Shkud oversaw development of powerful radio stations, yet there were surely others, whom we do not

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

know, working on the development of no less powerful jammers.

Numerous Jewish names in technology, science and its applications prove that the flower of several Jewish generations went into these fields. Flipping through the pages of biographical tomes of the *Russian Jewish Encyclopedia*, which only lists the Jews who were born or lived in Russia, we see an abundance of successful and gifted people with real accomplishments (which also means the absence of obstacles to career entry and advancement in general).

Of course, scientists had to pay political tribute too. Take, for example, the First National Conference for the Planning of Science in 1931. Academician Ioffe stated that “modern capitalism is no longer capable of a technological revolution,” it is only possible as a result of a social revolution, which has “transformed the once barbaric and backward Russia into the Socialist Union of Republics.” He praised the leadership of the proletariat in science and said that science can be free only under Soviet stewardship. Militant philosopher E. Ya. Kolman (one of main ideologists of Soviet science in the 1930s; he fulminated against the Moscow school of mathematics) asserted that “We should introduce labor discipline in the sciences, adopt collective methods, socialist competition, and shock labor methods; he said that science advances “thanks to the proletarian dictatorship,” and that each scientist should study Lenin’s Materialism and Empirico-criticism.

Academician A.G. Goldman (Ukraine) enthusiastically chimed in: “The academy now became the leading force in the struggle for the Marxist dialectic in science!”

The *Jewish Encyclopedia* summarizes: “At the end of 1930s, the role of the Jews in the various spheres of the

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

Soviet life reached its apogee for the entire history of the Soviet regime.”

According to the 1939 census, 40 percent of all economically active Jews were state employees. Around 364,000 were categorized among the intelligentsia. Of them, 106,000 were engineers or technologists, representing 14 percent of all professionals of this category countrywide; 139,000 were managers at various levels, 7 percent of all administrators in the USSR; 39,000 doctors, or slightly less than 27 percent of all doctors; 38,000 teachers, or more than 3 percent of all teachers; more than 6,500 writers, journalists, and editors; more than 5,000 actors and filmmakers; more than 6,000 musicians; a little less than 3,000 artists and sculptors; and more than 5,000 lawyers.

In the opinion of the *Encyclopedia*, such impressive representation by a national minority, even in the context of official internationalism and brotherhood of the peoples of the USSR, created the prerequisites for the backlash by the state.

\* \* \*

During his political career, Stalin often allied with Jewish leaders of the Communist Party and relied on many Jewish back-benchers. By the mid-1930s he saw in the example of Hitler all the disadvantages of being a self-declared enemy of the Jews. Yet he likely harbored hostility toward them (his daughter's memoirs support this), though even his closest circle was probably unaware of it. However, struggling against the Trotskyites, he of course realized this aspect as well — his need to further get rid of the Jewish influence in the party. And sensing the war, he perhaps was also grasping that proletarian internationalism alone would



## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

not be sufficient and that the notion of the homeland, and even the Homeland, would be much needed.

S. Schwartz lamented about anti-revolutionary transformation of the party as the “unprecedented purge of the ruling party, the virtual destruction of the old party and the establishment of a new Communist Party under the same name in its place – new in social composition and ideology.” From 1937 he also noted a “gradual displacement of Jews from the positions of power in all spheres of public life.” Among the old Bolsheviks who were involved in the activity before the party came to power, and especially among those with the prerevolutionary involvement, the percentage of Jews was noticeably higher than in the party on average; in younger generations, the Jewish representation became even smaller. As a result of the purge, almost all important Jewish communists left the scene.

Lazar Kaganovich was the exception. Still, in 1939, after all the massacres, the faithful communist Zemlyachka was made the deputy head of the Soviet of People’s Commissars, and S. Dridzo-Lozovsky was assigned the position of Deputy to the Narkom of Foreign Affairs. And yet, in the wider picture, Schwartz’s observations are reasonable as was demonstrated above.

S. Schwartz adds that in the second half of 1930s Jews were gradually barred from entering institutions of higher learning which were preparing specialists for foreign relations and foreign trade, and were barred from military educational institutions. The famous defector from the USSR, I. S. Guzenko, shared rumors about a secret percentage quota on Jewish admissions to the institutions of higher learning which was enforced from 1939. In the 1990s, they even wrote that

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

Molotov, taking over the People's Commissariat of Foreign Affairs in the spring of 1939, publicly announced during the general meeting with the personnel that he "will deal with the synagogue here," and that he began firing Jews on the very same day. (Still, Litvinov was quite useful during the war in his role as Soviet ambassador to the U.S. They say that upon his departure from the U.S. in 1943 he even dared to pass a personal letter to Roosevelt suggesting that Stalin had unleashed an anti-Semitic campaign in the USSR).

By the mid-1930s the sympathy of European Jewry toward the USSR had further increased. Trotsky explained it in 1937 on his way to Mexico: "The Jewish intelligentsia turns to the

Comintern not because they are interested in Marxism or Communism, but in search of support against aggressive [German] anti-Semitism." Yet it was this same Comintern that approved the Molotov-Ribbentrop Pact, the pact that dealt a mortal blow to the East European Jewry!

In September 1939, hundreds of thousands of Polish Jews fled from the advancing German armies, fleeing further and further east and trying to head for the territory occupied by the Red Army. For the first two months, they succeeded because of the favorable attitude of the Soviet authorities. The Germans quite often encouraged this flight. But at the end of November the Soviet government closed the border.

In different areas of the front things took shape differently. In some areas, the Soviets would not admit Jewish refugees at all; in other places, they were welcomed but later sometimes sent back to the Germans. Overall, it is believed that around 300,000 Jews managed to migrate from the Western to the Eastern Poland in the first months of the war, and later the Soviets evacuated them deeper into the USSR. They demanded that Polish Jews register as Soviet

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

citizens, but many of them did not rush to accept Soviet citizenship: after all, they thought, the war would soon be over, and they would return home, or go to America, or to Palestine. (Yet in the eyes of the Soviet regime they thereby immediately fell under the category of “suspected of espionage,” especially if they tried to correspond with relatives in Poland.) Still, we read in the *Chicago Sentinel* that the Soviet Union gave refuge to 90 percent of all European Jewish refugees fleeing from Hitler.

According to the January 1939 census, 3,020,000 Jews lived in the USSR. Now, after occupation of the Baltics, annexation of a part of Poland, and taking in Jewish refugees, approximately two million more Jews were added, giving a total of around 5 million. Before 1939, the Jews were the seventh largest people in the USSR number-wise; now, after annexation of all Western areas, they became the fourth largest people of the USSR, after the three Slavic peoples, Russian, Ukrainian, and Belorussian. The mutual non-Aggression Pact of 23 August 1939 between the Third Reich and the Soviet Union evoked serious fear about the future of Soviet Jewry, though the policy of the Soviet Union toward its Jewish citizens was not changed. And although there were some reverse deportations, overall, the legal status of Jewish population remained unchanged during the 20 months of the Soviet-German collaboration. With the start of war in Poland, Jewish sympathies finally crystallized and Polish Jews, and the Jewish youth in particular, met the advancing Red Army with exulting enthusiasm. Thus, according to many testimonies (including M. Agursky’s one), Polish Jews, like their co-ethnics in Bessarabia, Bukovina and Lithuania, became the main pillar of the Soviet regime, supporting it tooth and nail.

# THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

Yet how much did these East European Jews know about what was going on in the USSR?

They unerringly sensed that a catastrophe was rolling at them from Germany, though still not fully or clearly recognized, but undoubtedly a catastrophe. And so, the Soviet welcome appeared to them to embody certain salvation.

## Chapter XX: In the Camps Of GULAG

If I hadn't been there, it wouldn't be possible for me to compose this chapter.

Before the camps I thought that "one should not notice nationalities," that there are no nationalities, there is only humankind.

But when you are sent into the camp, you find it out that if you are of a lucky nationality then you are a fortunate man. You are provided for. You have survived! But if you are of a *common* nationality – well then, no offence...

Because nationality is perhaps the most important trait that gives a prisoner a chance to be picked into the life-saving corps of "*Idiots*."

[Translator's note: from Russian "придурок" - a fool or idiot. This is an inmate slang term to denote other inmates who didn't do common labor but managed to obtain positions with easy duties, usually pretending to be incapable of doing hard work because of poor health.]

Every experienced camp inmate can confirm that ethnic proportions among *Idiots* were very different from those in the general camp population. Indeed, there were virtually no Pribalts among Idiots, regardless of their actual number in the camp (and there were many of them); there were always Russians, of course, but in incomparably smaller proportion than in the camp on average (and those

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

were often selected from *orthodox* members of the Party); on the other hand, some others were noticeably concentrated – Jews, Georgians, Armenians; and Azeris also ended there in higher proportions, and, to some extent, Caucasian mountaineers also.

Certainly, none of them can be blamed for that. Every nation in the Gulag did its best in crawling to achieve survival, and the smaller and nimbler it was, the easier it was to accomplish. And again, Russians were the very last nation in their own Russian camps, like they were in the German *Kriegsgefangenenlagern*.

Yet it is not us who could have blamed them, but it is they – Armenians, Georgians, highlanders, who would have been in their right to ask us: “Why did you establish these camps? Why do you force us to live in your state? Do not hold us and we will not land here and occupy these so-attractive *Idiotic* positions! But while we are your prisoners – *à la guerre comme à la guerre.*”

But what about Jews? For Fate interwove Russian and Jews, perhaps forever, which is why this book is being written.

Before that, before this very line, there will be readers who have been in the camps and who haven’t been, who will be quick to contest the truth of what I say here. They will claim that many Jews were forced to take part in *common* labor activities. They will deny that there were camps where Jews were the majority among *Idiots*. They will indignantly reject that nations in the camps were helping each other selectively, and, therefore, at the expense of others.

Some others will not consider themselves as distinct “*Jews*” at all, perceiving themselves as Russians in everything. Besides, even if there *was* overrepresentation of Jews on key camp positions, it was absolutely

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

unpremeditated, wasn't it? The selection was exclusively based on merit and personal talents and abilities to do business. Well, who is to blame if Russians lack business talents?

There will be also those who will passionately assert directly opposite: that it was Jews who suffered worst in the camps. This is exactly how it is understood in the West: in Soviet camps, nobody suffered as badly as Jews. Among the letters from readers of *Ivan Denisovich* there was one from an anonymous Jew: "You have met innocent Jews who languished in camps with you, and you obviously not once witnessed their suffering and persecution. They endured double oppression: imprisonment and enmity from the rest of inmates. Tell us about these people!"

And if I wished to *generalize* and state that the life of Jews in camps was especially difficult, then I would be allowed to do so and wouldn't be peppered with admonitions for unjust ethnic generalizations. But in the camps, where I was imprisoned, it was the other way around – the life of Jews, to the extent of possible generalization, *was* easier.

Semen Badash, my campmate from Ekibastuz, recounts in his memoirs how he had managed to settle – later, in a camp at Norilsk – in the medical unit: Max Minz asked a radiologist Laslo Newsbaum to solicit for Badash before a free head of the unit. He was accepted. But Badash at least finished three years of medical school before imprisonment. Compare that with other nurses – Genkin, Gorelik, Gurevich (like one of my pals, L. Kopelev from Unzlag) – who never before in their lives had anything to do with medicine.

Some people absolutely seriously write like this: A. Belinkov was thrown into the most despicable category of *Idiots* (and I am tempted inappropriately to add "and languishers" here, though the *Languishers* were the social

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

antipodes of *Idiots* and Belinkov never was among the *Languishers*). – To be thrown into the group of *Idiots*! – what’s an expression! To be diminished by being accepted into the ranks of gentlemen? And here goes the justification: “To dig soil? But at the age of 23 he not only never did it – he never saw a shovel in his life.” Well then, the little Jew had no other choice but to become an *Idiot*.

Or read what Levitin-Krasnov wrote about one Pinsky, a literature expert, that he was a nurse in the camp. Which means that he, on the camp scale, has landed on his feet. However, Levitin presents this as an example of the greatest humiliation possible for a professor of the humanities.

Or take prisoner who survived, Lev Razgon, a journalist and not a medic at all, who was heavily punished afterwards. But from his story in *Ogonek* (1988) we find that he used to be a medic in the camp’s medical unit, and moreover an *unescorted* medic. (From other his stories we can figure out that he also worked as a senior controller at a horrible timber logging station. But there is not a single story from which we can conclude that he ever participated in *common* labor.) Or a story of Frank Dikler, a Jew from faraway Brazil: he was imprisoned and couldn’t speak Russian, of course, and guess what? He had pull in the camp, and he has become a chief of the medical unit’s kitchen – a truly magnificent treasure! Or Alexandr Voronel, who was a political youngster when he landed in the camps, says that immediately after getting in the camp, he was “readily assisted by other Jewish inmates, who had not a slightest idea about my political views.” A Jewish inmate, responsible for running the bathhouse (a very important *Idiot* as well) spotted him instantly and “ordered him to come if he needs any help”; a Jew from prisoner security (also an *Idiot*) told

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

another Jew, a brigadier: “There are two Jewish guys, Hakim, don’t allow them to get in trouble.” And the brigadier gave them strong protection. Other thieves, especially “elders,” approved of him: You are so right, Hakim! You support your own kin! Yet we, Russians, are like wolves to each other.

And let’s not forget that even during camp imprisonment, by virtue of a common stereotype regarding all Jews as businessmen, many of them were getting commercial offers, sometimes even when they didn’t actively look for such enterprises. Take, for instance, M. Hafez. He emphatically notes: “What a pity that I can’t describe you those camp situations. There are so many rich, beautiful stories! However, the ethical code of a reliable Jew seals my mouth. You know even the smallest commercial secret should be kept forever. That’s the law of the Tribe.”

A Lett, Ane Bernstein, one of my witnesses from *Archipelago*, thinks that he managed to survive in the camps only because in times of hardship he asked the Jews for help and that the Jews, judging by his last name and nimble manners, mistook him for their Tribesman and always provided assistance. He says that in all his camps Jews always constituted the upper crust, and that the most important free employees were also Jews (Shulman – head of special department, Greenberg – head of camp station, Kegels – chief mechanic of the factory), and, according to his recollections, they also preferred to select Jewish inmates to staff their units.

This particular Jewish national contract between free bosses and inmates is impossible to overlook. A free Jew was not so stupid to actually see an Enemy of the People or an evil character preying on the people’s property in an imprisoned Jew (unlike what a dumb-headed Russian saw in another Russian.) He in the first place saw a suffering



## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

Tribesman – and I praise them for this sobriety! Those who know about the historic terrific Jewish mutual supportiveness would understand that a free Jewish boss simply could not indifferently watch Jewish prisoners flounder in starvation and die, and not help. But I am unable to imagine a free Russian employee who would save and promote his fellow Russian prisoners to the privileged positions only because of their nationality. Though we lost 15 million people during collectivization, we are still numerous. You can't care about everyone, and nobody would even think about it.

Sometimes, when such a team of Jewish inmates smoothly bands together and are no longer impeded by the ferocious struggle for survival, they can engage in extraordinary activities. An engineer named Abram Zisman tells us: “In Novo-Archangelsk camp, in our spare time, we decided to count how many Jewish pogroms occurred over the course of Russian history. We managed to excite the curiosity of our camp command on this question (they had a peaceful attitude toward us.) The *Nachlag* [camp commander] was captain Gremin (N. Gershel, a Jew, son of a tailor from Zhlobin.) He sent an inquiry to the archives of the former Interior Department requesting the necessary information, and after eight months we received an official reply that 76 Jewish pogroms occurred between 1811 and 1917 on the territory of Russia with the number of victims estimated at approximately 3,000. (That is, the total number of those who suffered in any way.) The author reminds us that during one six-month period in medieval Spain more than twenty thousand Jews were killed.

A plot-like atmosphere emanates from the recollections of Josef Berger, a communist, about a highly-placed snitch named Lev Ilyich Inzhir. A former Menshevik, arrested in 1930, he immediately began collaborating with

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

the GPU, fearing reprisals against his family and the loss of his apartment in the center of Moscow. He helped to prepare the Menshevik trial of 1931, falsely testified against his best friends, was absolved and immediately appointed as a chief accountant of Belomorstroi. During the *Yezhovschina* he was a chief accountant of the GULAG enjoying the complete trust of his superiors and with connections to the very top NKVD officials. (Inzhir recalled one Jewish NKVD veteran who interlarded his words with aphorisms from Talmud.) He was arrested later again, this time in the wave of anti-Yezhov purges following the Malignant Dwarf's fall. However, Inzhir's former colleagues from the GULAG favorably arranged his imprisonment. At this point he turned into an explicit snitch and provocateur, and other inmates suspected that the plentiful parcels he was receiving were not from his relatives but directly from the Third Department. Nevertheless, later in 1953 in the Tayshet camp, he was sentenced to an additional jail term, this time being accused of Trotskyism and of concealing his sympathies for the State of Israel from the Third Department.

Of worldwide infamy, BelBallag absorbed hundreds of thousands of Russians, Ukrainian and Middle Asian peasants between 1931 and 1932. Opening a newspaper issue from August 1933 dedicated to the completion of the canal between the White and Baltic seas, we find a list of awardees. Lower ranking orders and medals were awarded to concreters, steelfixers, etc, but the highest degree of decoration, the Order of Lenin, was awarded to eight men only, and we can see large photographs of each. Only two of them were actual engineers, the rest were the chief commanders of the canal (according to Stalin's understanding of personal contribution.) And whom do we see here? Genrikh Yagoda, head of NKVD. Matvei Berman,

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

head of GULAG. Semen Firin, commander of BelBaltlag (by that time he was already the commander of Dmitlag, where the story will later repeat itself), Lazar Kogan, head of construction (later he will serve the same function at Volgocanal.) Jacob Rapoport, deputy head of construction. Naftaly Frenkel, chief manager of the labor force of Belomorstroi (and the evil demon of the whole Archipelago).

And all their portraits were enlarged and reprinted again in the solemnly shameful book *Belomorcanal* – a book of huge Scriptural size, like some revelation anticipating advent of the Millenarian Kingdom.

And then I reproduced these six portraits of villains in *Archipelago*, borrowing them from their own exhibition and without any prior editing, showing everybody who was originally displayed. Oh my God, what a worldwide rage surged around me! *How dare I?! This is antiSemitism!* I am a branded and screwed anti-Semite. At best, to reproduce these portraits was

“national egotism” – i.e. Russian egotism! And they dared to say it despite what follows immediately on the next pages of *Archipelago*: how docilely Kulak lads were freezing to death under their barrows. One wonders, where were their eyes in 1933 when it was printed for the very first time? Why weren't they so indignant then?

Let me repeat what I professed once to the Bolsheviks: one should be ashamed of evil and hideous atrocity not when it is disclosed to public, but when it is done.

A particular conundrum exists with respect to the personality of Naftaly Frenkel, that tireless demon of *Archipelago*: how to explain his strange return from Turkey in 1920s? He successfully got away from Russia with all his capital after the first harbingers of revolution. In Turkey he attained a secure, rich and unconstrained social standing, and

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

he never harbored any Communist ideas. And yet he returned? To come back and become a toy for the GPU and for Stalin, to spend several years in imprisonment himself, but in return to accomplish the most ruthless oppression of imprisoned engineers and the extermination of hundreds of thousands of the deKulakized? What could have motivated his insatiable evil heart? I am unable to imagine any possible reason except vengeance toward Russia. If anyone can provide an alternative explanation, please do so.

What else could be revealed by someone with a thorough understanding of the structure of the camp command? The head of the First Department of Belomorstroi was one Wolf; the head of the Dmitrov section of Volgocanal was Bovshover. The finance division of Belomorstroi was headed by L. Berenzon, his deputies were A. Dorfman, the already mentioned Inzhir, Loevetsky, Kagner, Angert. And how many of the other humbler posts remain unmentioned? Is it really reasonable to suppose that Jews were digging soil with shovels and racing their handbarrows and dying under those barrows from exhaustion and emaciation? Well, view it as you wish. A. P. Skripnikova and D. P. Vitkovsky, who were there, told me that Jews were overrepresented among *Idiots* during construction of Belomorcanal, and they did not roll barrows and did not die under them.

And you could find highly-placed Jewish commanders not only at BelBaltlag. Construction of the Kotlas-Vorkuta railroad was headed by Moroz (his son married Svetlana Stalina); the special officer-in-charge of GULAG in the Far East was Grach. These are only a few of the names, which resurfaced accidentally. If former inmate Thomas Sgovio, an American national, didn't write to me, I wouldn't be aware of the head of the Chai-Uryinsk Mining Administration on Kolyma between 1943-44 (at the depths

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

of the Patriotic War): “Half-colonel Arm was a tall black-haired Jew with a terrible reputation... His orderly was selling ethanol to everybody, 50 grams for 50 rubles. Arm had his own personal tutor of English, a young American, arrested in Karelia. His wife was paid a salary for an accountant’s position, but she didn’t work. Her job was actually performed by an inmate in the office.” (A common practice revealing how families of GULAG commanders used to acquire additional incomes.)

Or take another case: during the age of *glasnost*, one Soviet newspaper published a story about the dreadful GULAG administration that built a tunnel between Sakhalin and the mainland. It was called the Trust of Arais. Who was that comrade Arais? I have no idea. But how many perished in his mines and in the unfinished tunnel?

Sure, I knew a number of Jews (they were my friends) who carried all the hardships of common labor. In *Archipelago*, I described a young man, Boris Gammerov, who quickly found his death in the camp. (While his friend, the writer Ingal, was made an accountant from the very first day in the camp, although his knowledge of arithmetic was very poor.) I knew Volodya Gershuni, an irreconcilable and incorruptible man. I knew Jog Masamed, who did common labor in the hard labor camp at Ekibastuz *on principle*, though he was called upon to join the *Idiots*. Besides, I would like to list here a teacher Tatyana Moiseevna Falike, who spent 10 years drudging, she said, like a beast of burden. And I also would like to name here a geneticist Vladimir Efroimson, who spent 13 out of his 36 months of imprisonment (one out of his two terms) doing common labor. He also did it on principle, though he also had better options. Relying on parcels from home (one cannot blame him for that), he picked the hand-barrow precisely because

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

there were many Jews from Moscow in that Jezkazgan camp, and they were used to settling well, while Efroimson wanted to dispel any grudge toward Jews, which was naturally emerging among inmates. And what did his brigade think about his behavior? “He is a black sheep among Jews; would a real Jew roll a barrow?” He was similarly ridiculed by Jewish *Idiots* who felt annoyed that he “flaunted himself” to reproach them. In the same vein, another Jew, Jacov Davydovich Grodzensky, who also beavered in the common category, was judged by others: “Is he really a Jew?”

It is so symbolic! Both Efroimson and Grodzenskiy did those right and best things, which could be only motivated by the noblest of Jewish appeals, to honestly share the common lot, and they were not understood by either side! They are always difficult and derided – the paths of austerity and dedication, the only ones that can save humanity.

I try not to overlook such examples, because all my hopes depend on them.

Let’s add here a valiant Gersh Keller, one of the leaders of Kengir uprising in 1954 (he was 30 years old when executed). I also read about Yitzhak Kaganov, commander of an artillery squadron during the Soviet-German war. In 1948, he was sentenced to 25 years for Zionism. During 7 years of imprisonment he wrote 480 pieces of poetry in Hebrew, which he memorized without writing them down.

During his third trial (July 10, 1978), after already serving two terms, Alexander Ginsburg, was asked a question “What is your nationality?” and replied: “Inmate!” That was a worthy and serious response, and it angered the tribunal. But he deserved it for his work for the Russian Public Relief Fund, which provided assistance to families of political prisoners of *all nationalities*, and by his manly

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

vocation. This is what we are – a genuine breed of prisoners, regardless of nationality.

However, my camps were different, – spanning from the “great” Belomor to the tiny 121st camp district of the 15th OLP of Moscow’s UITLK (which left behind a not inconspicuous semicircular building at Kaluga’s gate in Moscow). Out there, our entire life was directed and trampled by three leading *Idiots*: Solomon Solomonov, a chief accountant; David Burstein, first an “educator” and later a work-assigning clerk; and Isaac Bershader. (Earlier, in exactly the same way, Solomonov and Bershader ruled over the camp at the Moscow Highway Institute, MHI.) Note that all this happened under auspices of a Russian camp commander, one ensign Mironov.

All three of them came up before my eyes, and to get positions for them, in each case their Russian predecessors were instantly removed from the posts. Solomonov was sent in first; he confidently seized a proper position and quickly got on the right side of the ensign. (I think, using food and money from outside.) Soon after that the wretched Bershader was sent in from MHI with an accompanying note “to use him only in the common labor category” (a quite unusual situation for a domestic criminal, which probably meant substantial delinquency). He was about fifty years old, short, fat, with a baleful glare. He walked around condescendingly inspecting our living quarters, with the look of a general from the head department.

The senior proctor asked him: “What is your specialty?” – “Storekeeper”. – “There is no such specialty” – “Well, I am a storekeeper”. – “Anyway, you are going to work in the common labor brigade”. For two days, he was sent there. Shrugging his shoulders, he went out, and, upon entering the work zone, he used to seat himself on a stone

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

and rest respectably. The brigadier would have hit him, but he quailed – the newcomer was so self-confident, that anyone could sense power behind him. The camp's storekeeper, Sevastyanov, was depressed as well. For two years he was in charge of the combined provision and sundry store. He was firmly established and lived on good terms with the brass, but now he was chilled: everything is already settled! Bershader is a

“storekeeper by specialty”!

Then the medical unit discharged Bershader from the labor duties on grounds of “poor health” and after that he rested in the living quarters. Meanwhile, he probably got something from outside. And within less than a week Sevastyanov was removed from his post, and Bershader was made a storekeeper (with the assistance of Solomonov). However, at this point it was found that the physical labor of pouring grain and rearranging boots, which was done by Sevastyanov singlehandedly, was also contraindicated for Bershader. So he was given a henchman, and Solomonov's bookkeeping office enlisted the latter as service personnel. But it was still not a sufficiently abundant life. The best looking proudest woman of the camp, the swan-like lieutenant-sniper M. was bent to his will and forced to visit him in his store-room in the evenings. After Burstein showed himself in the camp, he arranged to have another camp beauty, A. S., to come to his cubicle.

Is it difficult to read this? But they were by no means troubled how it looked from outside. It even seemed as if they thickened the impression on purpose. And how many such little camps with similar establishments were there all across the Archipelago?

And did Russian *Idiots* behave in the same way, unrestrained and insanely!? Yes. But within every other



## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

nation it was perceived socially, like an eternal strain between rich and poor, lord and servant. However, when an alien emerges as a “master over life and death” it further adds to the heavy resentment. It might appear strange – isn’t it all the same for a worthless negligible, crushed, and doomed camp dweller surviving at one of his dying stages? Isn’t it all the same who exactly seizes the power inside the camp and celebrates crow’s picnics over his trench-grave? As it turns out, it is not. These things have been etched into my memory inerasably.

In my play *Republic of Labor*, I presented some of the events that happened in that camp on Bolshaya Kaluzhskaya 30. Understanding the impossibility of depicting everything like it was in reality, because it would be inevitably considered as incitement of anti-Jewish sentiment (as if that trio of Jews was not inflaming it in real life, caring little about consequences) I withheld the abominably greedy Bershader. I concealed Burstein. I recomposed the profiteer Rosa Kalikman into an amorphous Bella of eastern origin, and retained the only Jew, accountant Solomonov, exactly like he was in life.

So, what about my loyal Jewish friends after they perused the play? The play aroused extraordinarily passionate protests from V. L. Teush. He read it not immediately but when *Sovremennik* had already decided to stage it in 1962, so the question was far from scholarly. The Teushes were deeply injured by the figure of Solomonov. They thought it was dishonest and unjust to show such a Jew (despite that in the real life, in the camp, he was exactly as I showed him) in the age of oppression of Jews. (But then, it appears to me that such age is *everlasting*? When have our Jews *not* been oppressed?) Teush was alarmed and extremely agitated, and put forward an ultimatum that if I did not

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

remove or at least soften up the image of Solomonov, then all our friendship will be ruined and he and his wife will no longer be able to keep my manuscripts. Moreover, they prophesized that my very name will be irretrievably lost and blemished if I leave Solomonov in the play. Why not make him a Russian? They were astonished. Is it so important that he be a Jew? (But if it doesn't matter, why did Solomonov select Jews to be *Idiots*?)

I took a chill pill: a sudden censorial ban, no less weighty than the official Soviet prohibition, had emerged from an unanticipated direction. However, the situation was soon resolved by the official prohibition forbidding *Sovremennik* to stage the piece.

And there was another objection from Teush: "Your Solomonov has anything but a Jewish personality. A Jew always behaves discreetly, cautiously, suppliantly, and even cunningly, but from whence comes this pushy impudence of jubilant force? This is not true, it cannot happen like this!"

However, I remember not this Solomonov alone, and it was *exactly* like that! I saw many things in the 1920s and 1930s in Rostov-on-Don. And Frenkel acted similarly, according to the recollections of surviving engineers. Such a slip of a triumphant power into insolence and arrogance is the most repelling thing for those around. Sure, it is usually the behavior of the worst and rudest – but this is what becomes imprinted in memory. (Likewise, the Russian image is soiled by the obscenities of our villains.)

All these blandishments and appeals to avoid writing about the things like they were are undistinguishable from what we heard from the highest Soviet tribunes: about anti-defamation, about socialist realism – to write like it should be, not like it was. As if a creator is capable of forgetting or

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

creating his past anew! As if the full truth can be written in parts, including only what is pleasing, secure and popular.

And how meticulously all the Jewish characters in my books were analyzed with every personal feature weighted on apothecary scales. But the astonishing story of Grigory M., who did not deliver the order to retreat to a dying regiment because he was frightened (*GULAG Archipelago*, v. 6, Ch. 6) – was not noticed. It was passed over without a single word! And *Ivan Denisovich* added insult to injury: there were such sophisticated sufferers but I put forward a boor! For instance, during Gorbachev's *glasnost*, the emboldened Asir Sandler published his camp memoirs. "After first perusal, I emphatically rejected *One Day In The Life Of Ivan Denisovich*... the main personage was Ivan Denisovich, a man with minimal spiritual needs, focused only on his mundane troubles, and Solzhenitsyn turned him into the national image." (Exactly like all wellmeaning communists were grumbling at that time!) While Solzhenitsyn preferred not to notice the true intelligentsia, the determinant of domestic culture and science. Sandler was discussing this with Miron Markovich Etlis (both of whom used to be *Idiots* in medical unit). And Etlis added:

"The story is significantly distorted, placed upside down."

"Solzhenitsyn failed to emphasize the intelligent part of our contingent. Self-centered reflections [of Ivan Denisovich] about himself... that patience... that pseudo-Christian attitude toward others." And in 1964 Sandler was lucky to relieve his feelings in conversation with Ehrenburg himself. And the latter affirmatively nodded when Sandler mentioned his "extremely negative" feeling toward my novelette.

However, not a single Jew reproached me that Ivan Denisovich, in essence, attends to Cesar Markovich as a servant, albeit with good feelings.

# THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

# THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

## Chapter XXI: During the Soviet-German War

After Kristallnacht in November 1938 the German Jews lost their last illusions about the mortal danger they were facing. With Hitler's campaign in Poland, the deadly storm headed East. Yet nobody expected that the beginning of the Soviet-German War would move Nazi politics to a new level, toward total physical extermination of Jews.

While they naturally expected all kinds of hardship from the German conquest, Soviet Jews could not envision the indiscriminate mass killings of men and women of all ages – one cannot foresee such things. Thus, the terrible and inescapable fate befell those who remained in the German-occupied territories without a chance to resist. Lives ended abruptly. But before their death, they had to pass through either initial forced relocation to a Jewish ghetto, or a forced labor camp, or to gas vans, or through digging one's own grave and stripping before execution.

The Russian *Jewish Encyclopedia* gives many names of the Russian Jews who fell victims to the Jewish Catastrophe; it names those who perished in Rostov, Simferopol, Odessa, Minsk, Belostok, Kaunas, and Narva. There were prominent people among them. The famous historian S.M. Dubnov spent the entire inter-war period in exile. He left Berlin for Riga after Hitler took power. He was arrested during the German occupation and placed in a ghetto; in December 1941, he was included into a column of those to be executed. From Vilna, historian Dina Joffe and director of the Jewish Gymnasium Joseph Yashunskiy were sent to concentration camps (both were killed in Treblinka in 1943.)

Rabbi Shmuel Bepalov, head of the Hasidim movement in Bobruisk, was shot in 1941 when the city was

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

captured by the Germans. Cantor Gershon Sirota, whose performance had once caught the attention of Nicholas II and who performed yearly in St. Petersburg and Moscow, died in 1941 in Warsaw. There were two brothers Paul and Vladimir Mintz: Paul, the elder, was a prominent Latvian politician, the only Jew in the government of Latvia. Vladimir was a surgeon, who had been entrusted with the treatment of Lenin in 1918 after the assassination attempt. From 1920 he lived in Latvia.

In 1940 the Soviet occupation authorities arrested Paul Mintz and placed him in a camp in Krasnoyarsk Krai, where he died early on. The younger brother lived in Riga and was not touched. He died in 1945 at Büchenwald. Sabina Shpilreyn, a doctor of medicine, psychoanalyst and a close colleague of Carl Jung, returned to Russia in 1923 after working in clinics in Zurich, Munich, Berlin and Geneva; in 1942, she was shot along with other Jews by Germans in her native Rostovon-Don. (In Chapter 19, we wrote about the deaths of her three scientist brothers during Stalin's terror.)

Yet many were saved from death by evacuation in 1941 and 1942. Various Jewish wartime and postwar sources do not doubt the dynamism of this evacuation. For example, in *The Jewish World*, a book written in 1944, one can read: "The Soviet authorities were fully aware that the Jews were the most endangered part of the population, and despite the acute military needs in transport, thousands of trains were provided for their evacuation." In many cities Jews were evacuated first, although the author believes that the statement of the Jewish writer David

Bergelson that approximately 80 percent of Jews were successfully evacuated is an exaggeration. Bergelson wrote: "In Chernigov, the pre-war Jewish population was estimated at 70,000 people and only 10,000 of them remained by the

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

time the Germans arrived. In Dneipropetrovsk, out of the original Jewish population of 100,000 only 30,000 remained when the Germans took the city. In Zhitomir, out of 50,000 Jews, no less than 44,000 lefts”

In the Summer 1946 issue of the bulletin *Hayasa* E.M. Kulisher wrote: “There is no doubt that the Soviet authorities took special measures to evacuate the Jewish population or to facilitate its unassisted flight. Along with the state personnel and industrial workers, Jews were given priority in the evacuation. The Soviet authorities provided thousands of trains specifically for the evacuation of Jews.”

Also, as a safer measure to avoid bombing raids, Jews were evacuated by thousands of haywagons, taken from *kolkhozes* and *sovkhozes* [collective farms] and driven over to railway junctions in the rear. B.T. Goldberg, a son-in-law of Sholem Aleichem and then a correspondent for the Jewish newspaper *Der Tog* from New York, after a 1946-1947 winter trip to the Soviet Union wrote an article about the wartime evacuation of Jews (*Der Tog*, February 21, 1947). His sources in Ukraine, Jews and Christians, the military and evacuees, all stated that the policy of the authorities was to give the Jews a preference during evacuation, to save as many of them as possible so that the Nazis would not destroy them. And Moshe Kaganovich, a former Soviet partisan, in his by then foreign memoirs (1948) confirms that the Soviet government provided for the evacuation of Jews all available vehicles in addition to trains, including trains of haywagons – and the orders were “to evacuate first and foremost the citizens of Jewish nationality from the areas threatened by the enemy.” (Note that S. Schwartz and later researchers dispute the existence of such orders, as well as the general policy of Soviet authorities to evacuate Jews as such.)

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

Nevertheless, both earlier and later sources provide fairly consistent estimates of the number of Jews who were evacuated or fled without assistance from the German-occupied territories. Official Soviet figures are not available; all researchers complain that the contemporaneous statistics are at best approximate.

Let us rely then on the works of the last decade. A demographer M. Kupovetskiy, who used formerly unavailable archival materials and novel techniques of analysis, offers the following assessment. According to the 1939 census, 3,028,538 Jews lived in the USSR within its old (that is, pre-1939-1940) boundaries. With some corrections to this figure and taking into account the rate of natural increase of the Jewish population from September 1939 to June 1941 (he analyzed each territory separately), this researcher suggests that at the outbreak of the war approximately 3,080,000 Jews resided within the old USSR borders. Of these, 900,000 resided in the territories which would not be occupied by Germans, and at the beginning of the war 2,180,000 Jews (Eastern Jews) resided in the territories later occupied by the Germans. There is no exact data regarding the number of Jews who fled or were evacuated to the East before the German occupation. Though based on some studies we know that approximately 1,000,000 1,100,000 Jews managed to escape from the Eastern regions later occupied by Germans.

There was a different situation in the territories incorporated into the Soviet Union only in 1939-1940, and which were rapidly captured by the Germans at the start of the Blitzkreig. The lightning-speed German attack allowed almost no chance for escape; meanwhile the Jewish population of these buffer zones numbered 1,885,000 (Western Jews) in June 1941. And only a small number of



## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

these Jews managed to escape or were evacuated. It is believed that the number is about 10-12 percent. Thus, within the new borders of the USSR, by the most optimistic assessments, approximately 2,226,000 Jews (2,000,000 Eastern, 226,000 Western Jews) escaped the German occupation and 2,739,000 Jews (1,080,000 Easterners and 1,659,000 Westerners) remained in the occupied territories.

Evacuees and refugees from the occupied and threatened territories were sent deep into the rear, with the majority of Jews resettled beyond the Ural Mountains, in particular in Western Siberia and also in Kazakhstan, Uzbekistan and Turkmenistan. The materials of the Jewish AntiFascist Committee (EAK) contain the following statement: “At the beginning of the Patriotic War about one and half million Jews were evacuated to Uzbekistan, Kazakhstan and other Central Asian Republics.”

This figure does not include the Volga, the Ural and the Siberian regions. However, the *Jewish Encyclopedia* argues that a 1,500,000 figure is a great exaggeration. Still, there was no organized evacuation into Birobidzhan, and no individual refugees relocated there, although, because of the collapse of Jewish *kolkhozes*, the vacated housing there could accommodate up to 11,000 families. At the same time, the Jewish colonists in the Crimea were evacuated so much ahead of time that they were able to take with them all livestock and farm implements; moreover, it is well-known that in the spring of 1942, Jewish colonists from Ukraine established *kolkhozes* in the Volga region. How? Well, the author calls it the irony of Nemesis: they were installed in place of German colonists who were exiled from the German Republic of the Volga by Soviet government order starting on August 28, 1941.

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

As already noted, all the cited wartime and postwar sources agree in recognizing the energy and the scale of the organized evacuation of Jews from the advancing German army. But the later sources, from the end of the 1940s, began to challenge this. For example, we read in a 1960s source: “*a planned evacuation of Jews as the most endangered part of the population did not take place anywhere in Russia*” (italicized as in the source.) And twenty years later we read this: after the German invasion of the Soviet Union, “contrary to the rumors that the government allegedly evacuated Jews from the areas under imminent threat of German occupation, no such measures had ever taken place. The Jews were abandoned to their fate. When applied to the citizen of Jewish nationality, the celebrated proletarian internationalism was a dead letter.” This statement is inaccurate and completely unfair.

Still, even those Jewish writers, who deny the beneficence of the government with respect to Jewish evacuation, do recognize its magnitude. Due to the specific social structure of the Jewish population, the percentage of Jews among the evacuees should have been much higher than the percentage of Jews in the urban population. And indeed it was. The Evacuation Council was established on June 24, 1941, just two days after the German invasion (Shvernik was the chairman and Kosygin and Pervukhin were his deputies.) Its priorities were announced as the following: to evacuate first and foremost the state and party agencies with personnel, industries, and raw materials along with the workers of evacuated plants and their families, and young people of conscription age. Between the beginning of the war and November 1941, around 12 million people were evacuated from the threatened areas to the rear.

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

This number included, as we have seen, 1,000,000 to 1,100,000 Eastern Jews and more than 200,000 Western Jews from the soon-to-be-occupied areas. In addition, we must add to this figure a substantial number of Jews among the people evacuated from the cities and regions of the Russian Soviet Federated Socialist Republic (RSFSR, that is, Russia proper) that never fell to the Germans (in particular, those from Moscow and Leningrad).

Solomon Schwartz states: “The general evacuation of state agencies and industrial enterprises with a significant portion of their staff (often with families) was in many places very extensive. Thanks to the social structure of Ukrainian Jewry with a significant percentage of Jews among the middle and top civil servants, including the academic and technical intelligentsia and the substantial proportion of Jewish workers in Ukrainian heavy industry, the share of Jews among the evacuees was larger than their share in the urban (and even more than in the total) population.” The same was true for Byelorussia. In the 1920s and early 1930s it was almost exclusively Jews, both young and old, who studied at various courses, literacy classes, in day schools, evening schools and shift schools. This enabled the poor from Jewish villages to join the ranks of industrial workers. Constituting only 8.9 percent of the population of Byelorussia, Jews accounted for 36 percent of the industrial workers of the republic in 1930.

“The rise of the percentage of Jews among the evacuees,” continues S. Schwartz, “was also facilitated by the fact that for many employees and workers the evacuation was not mandatory. Therefore, many, mostly non-Jews, remained where they were.” Thus, even the Jews, who did not fit the criteria for mandatory evacuation had better chances to evacuate. However, the author also notes that “no

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

government orders or instructions on the evacuation specifically of Jews or reports about it ever appeared in the Soviet press. There simply were no orders regarding the evacuation of Jews specifically. It means that there was no purposeful evacuation of Jews.”

Keeping in mind the Soviet reality, this conclusion seems ill-grounded and, in any case, formalistic. Indeed, reports about mass evacuation of the Jews did not appear in the Soviet press. It is easy to understand why. First, after the pact with Germany, the Soviet Union suppressed information about Hitler’s policies towards Jews, and when the war broke out, the bulk of the Soviet population did not know about the mortal danger the German invasion posed for Jews. Second, and this was probably the more-important factor – German propaganda vigorously denounced Judæo-Bolshevism and the Soviet leadership undoubtedly realized that they gave a solid foundation to this propaganda during the 1920s and 1930s, so how could they now declare openly and loudly that the *foremost* government priority must be to save Jews? This could only have been seen as playing into Hitler’s hands.

Therefore, there were no public announcements that among the evacuees Jews were overrepresented. The evacuation orders did not mention Jews, yet during the evacuation the Jews were not discriminated against; on the contrary they were evacuated by all available means, but in silence, without press coverage inside the USSR. However, propaganda for foreign consumption was a different matter. For example, in December 1941, after repulsing the German onslaught on Moscow, Radio Moscow—not in the Russian language, of course, but in Polish, and on the next day, five more times in German, compared the successful Russian winter counteroffensive with the Maccabean miracle and told the German-speaking listeners repeatedly that “precisely

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

during Hanukkah week”, the 134th Nuremberg Division, named after the city where the racial legislation originated was destroyed. In 1941-42 the Soviet authorities readily permitted worshippers to overfill synagogues in Moscow, Leningrad, and Kharkov and to openly celebrate the Jewish Passover of 1942.

We cannot say that the domestic Soviet press treated German atrocities with silence. Ilya Ehrenburg and others (like the journalist Kriger) got the go-ahead to maintain and inflame hatred towards Germans throughout the entire war and not without mentioning the burning topic of Jewish suffering, yet without a special stress on it. Throughout the war Ehrenburg thundered, that “the German is a beast by nature”, calling for “sparing not even unborn Fascists” (meaning the murder of pregnant German women), and he was checked only at the very end, when the war reached the territory of Germany and it became clear that the Army had embraced only too well the party line of unbridled revenge against all Germans.

However, there is no doubt that the Nazi policy of extermination of the Jews, its predetermination and scope, was not sufficiently covered by the Soviet press, so that even the Jewish masses in the Soviet Union could hardly realize the extent of their danger. Indeed, during the entire war, there were few public statements about the fate of Jews under German occupation.

Stalin in his speech on Nov. 6, 1941 (the 24th anniversary of the October Revolution) said: “The Nazis are as eager to organize medieval Jewish pogroms as the Czarist regime was. The Nazi Party is the party of medieval reaction and the Black-Hundred pogroms.” “

As far as we know”, an Israeli historian writes, “it was the only case during the entire war when Stalin publicly

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

mentioned the Jews.” On January 6, 1942, in a note of the Narkomindel [People’s Commissariat of Foreign Affairs] composed by Molotov and addressed to all states that maintained diplomatic relations with the Soviet Union, the Jews are mentioned as one of many suffering Soviet nationalities, and shootings of Jews in Kiev, Lvov, Odessa, KamenetzPodolsk, Dnepropetrovsk, Mariupol, Kerch were highlighted and the numbers of victims listed. The terrible massacre and pogroms were inflicted by German invaders in Kiev, the capital of Ukraine. A significant number of Jews, including women and children, were rounded up; before the execution all of them were stripped naked and beaten and then shot by sub-machine guns. Many mass murders occurred in other Ukrainian cities, and these bloody executions were directed in particular against unarmed and defenseless Jews from the working class. On December 19, 1942, the Soviet government issued a declaration that mentioned Hitler’s special plan for total extermination of the Jewish population in the occupied territories of Europe and in Germany itself; although relatively small, the Jewish minority of the Soviet population suffered particularly hard from the savage bloodthirstiness of the Nazi monsters. But some sources point out that this declaration was somewhat forced; it came out two days after a similar declaration was made by the western Allies, and it was not republished in the Soviet press as was always done during newspaper campaigns. In 1943, out of seven reports of the Extraordinary State Commission for investigation of Nazi atrocities (such as extermination of Soviet prisoners of war and the destruction of cultural artifacts of our country), only one report referred to murders of Jews – in the Stavropol region, near Mineralnye Vody.<sup>29</sup> And in March 1944 in Kiev, while

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

making a speech about the suffering endured by Ukrainians under occupation, Khrushchev *did not mention Jews at all*.

Probably this is true. Indeed, the Soviet masses did not realize the scale of the Jewish Catastrophe. Overall, this was our common fate – to live under the impenetrable shell of the USSR and be ignorant of what was happening in the outside world. However, Soviet Jews could not be all that unaware about the events in Germany.

In the mid-thirties the Soviet press wrote a lot about German anti-Semitism. A novel by Leon Feichtwanger, *The Oppenheim Family*, and the movie based on the book, as well as another movie, *Professor Mamlock*, clearly demonstrated the dangers that Jews were facing. Following the pogroms of Kristallnacht, *Pravda* published an editorial “The Fascist Butchers and Cannibals” in which it strongly condemned the Nazis: “The whole civilized world watches with disgust and indignation the vicious massacre of the defenseless Jewish population by German fascists. With the same feelings the Soviet people watch the dirty and bloody events in Germany. In the Soviet Union, along with the capitalists and landowners, all sources of anti-Semitism have been wiped out.”

Then, throughout the whole November, *Pravda* printed daily on its front pages reports such as Jewish pogroms in Germany, “Beastly vengeance on Jews”, “The wave of protests around the world against the atrocities of the fascist thugs.” Protest rallies against anti-Jewish policies of Hitler were held in Moscow, Leningrad, Kiev, Tbilisi, Minsk, Sverdlovsk, and Stalin. *Pravda* published a detailed account of the town hall meeting of the Moscow intelligentsia in the Great Hall of the Conservatory, with speeches given by A.N. Tolstoy, A. Korneychuk, L. Sobolev; People’s Artists [a Soviet title signifying prominence in the

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

Arts] A.B. Goldenweiser and S.M. Mikhoels, and also the text of a resolution adopted at the meeting: “We, the representatives of the Moscow intelligentsia ... raise our voice in outrage and condemnation against the Nazi atrocities and inhuman acts of violence against the defenseless Jewish population of Germany. The fascists beat up, maim, rape, kill and burn alive in broad daylight people who are guilty only of belonging to the Jewish nation.” The next day, on November 29, under the headline “Soviet intelligentsia is outraged by Jewish pogroms in Germany”, *Pravda* produced the full coverage of rallies in other Soviet cities.

However, from the moment of the signing of the Ribbentrop-Molotov Pact in August of 1939, not only criticism of Nazi policies but also any information about persecution of the Jews in European countries under German control vanished from the Soviet press. A lot of messages were reaching the Soviet Union through various channels—intelligence, embassies, Soviet journalists— An important source of information was Jewish refugees who managed to cross the Soviet border.

However, the Soviet media, including the Jewish press, maintained silence. When the SovietGerman War started and the topic of Nazi anti-Semitism was raised again, many Jews considered it to be propaganda, argues a modern scholar, relying on the testimonies of the Catastrophe survivors, gathered over a half of century. Many Jews relied on their own life experience rather than on radio, books and newspapers. The image of Germans did not change in the minds of most Jews since WWI. And back then the Jews considered the German regime to be one of the most tolerant to them.

Many Jews remembered that during the German occupation in 1918, the Germans treated Jews better than



## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

they treated the rest of the local population, and so the Jews were reassured. As a result, in 1941, a significant number of Jews remained in the occupied territories voluntarily. And even in 1942, according to the stories of witnesses, the Jews in Voronezh, Rostov, Krasnodar, and other cities waited for the front to roll through their city and hoped to continue their work as doctors and teachers, tailors and cobblers, which they believed were always needed. The Jews could not or would not evacuate for purely material reasons as well.

While the Soviet press and radio censored the information about the atrocities committed by the occupiers against the Jews, the Yiddish newspaper *Einigkeit* (Unity), the official publication of the Jewish Anti-Fascist Committee (EAK), was allowed to write about it openly from the summer of 1942. Apparently, the first step in the establishment of EAK was a radiomeeting in August 1941 of representatives of the Jewish people (S. Mikhoels, P. Marques, J. Ohrenburg, S. Marshak, S. Eisenstein and other celebrities participated.) For propaganda purposes, it was broadcast to the US and other Allied countries. The effect on the Western public surpassed the most optimistic expectations of Moscow. In the Allied countries the Jewish organizations sprang up to raise funds for the needs of the Red Army. Their success prompted the Kremlin to establish a permanent Jewish Committee in the Soviet Union. Thus began the seven-year-long cooperation of the Soviet authorities with global Zionism.

The development of the Committee was a difficult process, heavily dependent on the attitudes of government. In September 1941, an influential former member of the Bund, Henryk Ehrlich, was released from the prison to lead that organization. In 1917, Ehrlich had been a member of the notorious and then omnipotent Executive Committee of the

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

Petrograd Soviet. Later, he emigrated to Poland where he was captured by the Soviets in 1939.

He and his comrade, Alter, who also used to be a member of the Bund and was also a native of Poland, began preparing a project that aimed to mobilize international Jewish opinion, with heavier participation of foreign rather than Soviet Jews. Polish Bund members were intoxicated by their freedom and increasingly acted audaciously. Evacuated to Kuibyshev [Samara] along with the metropolitan bureaucracy, they contacted Western diplomatic representatives, who were relocated there as well, suggesting, in particular, to form a Jewish Legion in the USA to fight on the Soviet-German front. The things have gone so far that the members of the Polish Bund began planning a trip to the West on their own". In addition, both Bund activists presumptuously assumed (and did not hide it) that they could liberally reform the Soviet political system. In December 1941, both overreaching leaders of the Committee were arrested. Ehrlich hanged himself in prison; Alter was shot.

Yet during the spring of 1942, the project of the Jewish Anti-Fascist Committee was revived, and a meeting of the representatives of Jewish people was called forth again. A Committee was elected, although this time exclusively from Soviet Jews. Solomon Mikhoels became its Chairman and Shakhno Epstein, Stalin's eye in Jewish affairs and a former fanatical Bundist and later a fanatical Chekist, became its Executive Secretary. Among others, its members were authors

David Bergelson, Peretz Markish, Leib Kvitko, and Der Nistor; scientists

Lina Shtern and Frumkin, a member of the Academy. Poet Itzik Fefer became the Vice President.

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

(The latter was a former Trotskyite who was pardoned because he composed odes dedicated to Stalin; he was an important NKVD agent, and, as a proven secret agent, he was entrusted with a trip to the West.)

The task of this Committee was the same: to influence international public opinion, and to appeal to the Jews all over the world but in practice it appealed primarily to the American Jews building up sympathy and raising financial aid for the Soviet Union. (And it was the main reason for Mikhoels' and Fefer's trip to the United States in summer 1943, which coincided with the dissolution of Comintern. It was a roaring success, triggering rallies in 14 cities across the US: 50,000 people rallied in New York City alone. Mikhoels and Fefer were received by former Zionist leader Chaim Weizmann and by Albert Einstein.<sup>43</sup>) Yet behind the scenes the Committee was managed by Lozovskiy-Dridzo, the Deputy Head of the Soviet Information Bureau (Sovinformbureau); the Committee did not have offices in the Soviet Union and could not act independently; in fact, it was not so much a fundraising tool for the Red Army as an arm of proSoviet propaganda abroad.

### **Jews in the Soviet Military**

Some Jewish authors argue that from the late 1930s there was a covert but persistent removal of Jews from the highest ranks of Soviet leadership in all spheres of administration. For instance, D. Shub writes that by 1943 not a single Jew remained among the top leadership of the NKVD, though there were still many Jews in the Commissariat of Trade, Industry and Foods. There were also quite a few Jews in the Commissariat of Public Education and in the Foreign Office. A modern researcher reaches a

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

different conclusion based on archival materials that became available in 1990s: during the 1940s, the role of Jews in punitive organs remained highly visible, coming to the end only in the postwar years during the campaign against cosmopolitanism.

However, there are no differences of opinion regarding the relatively large numbers of Jews in the top command positions in the Army. The *Jewish World* reported that in the Red Army now [during the war], there are over a hundred Jewish generals and it provided a small randomly picked list of such generals, not including generals from the infantry. There were 17 names (ironically, Major-General of Engineering Service Frenkel Naftaliy Aronovich of GULAG was also included). A quarter of a century later, another collection of documents confirmed that there were no less than a hundred Jewish generals in the middle of the war and provided additional names.

However, the volume unfortunately omitted the Super-General Lev Mekhlis—the closest and most trusted of Stalin’s henchmen from 1937 to 1940; from 1941, he was the Head of Political Administration of the Red Army. Ten days after the start of the war, Mekhlis arrested a dozen of the highest generals of the Western Front. He is also infamous for his punitive measures during the Soviet-Finnish War and then later at Kerch in the Crimea.

The *Short Jewish Encyclopedia* provides an additional list of fifteen Jewish generals. Recently, an Israeli researcher has published a list of Jewish generals and admirals (including those who obtained the rank during the war). Altogether, there were 270 generals and admirals! This is not only “not a few”—this is an immense number indeed. He also notes four wartime narkoms (people’s commissars): in addition to Kaganovich, these were Boris Vannikov

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

(ammunition), Semien Ginzburg (construction), Isaac Zaltzman (tank industry) and several heads of main military administrations of the Red Army; the list also contains the names of four Jewish army commanders, commanders of 23 corps, 72 divisions, and 103 brigades.

“In no army of the Allies, not even in the USA’s, did Jews occupy such high positions, as in the Soviet Army”, Dr. I. Arad writes. No, the displacement of Jews from the top posts during the war did not happen. Nor had any supplanting yet manifested itself in general aspects of Soviet life. In 1944 (in the USA) a famous Socialist, Mark Vishnyak, stated that “not even hardcore enemies of the USSR can say that its government cultivates anti-Semitism.” *Back then* it was undoubtedly true.

According to *Einigkeit* (from February 24, 1945, almost at the end of the war), 63,374 Jews were awarded orders and medals for courage and heroism in combat and 59 Jews became the Heroes of the Soviet Union. According to the Warsaw Yiddish language newspaper *Volksstimme* in 1963 the number of the Jews awarded military decorations in WWII was 160,772, with 108 Heroes of the Soviet Union among them. In the early 1990s, an Israeli author provided a list of names with dates of confirmation, in which 135 Jews are listed as Heroes of the Soviet Union and 12 Jews are listed as the full chevaliers of the Order of Glory. We find similar information in the three-volume *Essays on Jewish Heroism*. And finally, the latest archival research (2001) provides the following figures: “throughout the war 123,822 Jews were awarded military decorations”; thus, among all nationalities of the Soviet Union, the Jews are in fifth place among the recipients of decorations, after Russians, Ukrainians, Byelorussians and Tatars.

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

I. Arad states that “anti-Semitism as an obstacle for Jews in their military careers, in promotion to higher military ranks and insignia did not exist in the Soviet Army during the war.” Production on the home front for the needs of the war was also highly rewarded. A huge influx of Soviet Jews into science and technology during the 1930s had borne its fruit during the war. Many Jews worked on the design of new types of armaments and instrumentation, in the manufacturing of warplanes, tanks, and ships, in scientific research, construction and development of industrial enterprises, in power engineering, metallurgy, and transport. For their work from 1941 to 1945 in support of the front, 180,000 Jews were awarded decorations. Among them were scientists, engineers, administrators of various managerial levels and workers, including more than two hundred who were awarded the Order of Lenin; nearly three hundred Jews were awarded the Stalin Prize in science and technology. During the war, 12 Jews became Heroes of Socialist Labor, eight Jews became full members of the Academy of Science in physics and mathematics, chemistry and technology, and thirteen became MemberCorrespondents of the Academy.

\* \* \*

Many authors, including S. Schwartz, note that “the role of Jews in the war was systematically concealed” along with a deliberate policy of “silence about the role of Jews in the war”. He cites as a proof the works of prominent Soviet writers such as K. Simonov (*Days and Nights*) and V. Grossman (*The People Is Immortal*) where “among a vast number of surnames of soldiers, officers, political officers and others, there is not a single Jewish name.” Of course, this was due to censoring restrictions, especially in case of

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

Grossman. (Later, military personnel with Jewish names re-appeared in Grossman's essays.) Another author notes that postcards depicting a distinguished submarine commander, Israel Fisanovich, were sold widely throughout the Soviet Union. Later, such publications were extended; and an Israeli researcher lists another 12 Jews, Heroes of the Soviet Union, whose portraits were mass reproduced on postal envelopes.

Even through I'm a veteran of that war, I have not researched it through books much, nor was I collecting materials or have written anything about it. But I saw Jews on the front. I knew brave men among them. For instance, I especially want to mention two fearless antitank fighters: one of them was my university friend Lieutenant Emanuel Mazin; another was young ex-student soldier Borya Gammerov (both were wounded in action.) In my battery among 60 people two were Jews. Sergeant Ilya Solomin, who fought very well through the whole war, and Private Pugatch, who soon slipped away to the Political Department. Among twenty officers of our division one was a Jew – Major Arzon, the head of the supply department. Poet Boris Slutsky was a real soldier. He used to say: "I'm full of bullet holes." Major Lev Kopelev, even though he served in the Political Department of the Army (responsible for counter-propaganda aimed at enemy troops,) fearlessly threw himself in every possible fighting melee.

A former "Mifliyetz" Semyon Freylih, a brave officer, remembers: "The war began. So I was off to the draft board and joined the army without graduating from the University, as we felt ashamed not to share the hardships of millions." Or take Lazar Lazarev, later a well-known literary critic, who as a young man fought at the front for two years until both his hands were mauled: "It was our duty and we would have been ashamed to evade it. It was life, the only

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

possible one under the circumstances, the only decent choice for the people of my age and education.”

Boris Izrailevich Feinerman wrote in 1989 in response to an article in *Book Review*, that as a 17-year-old, he volunteered in July 1941 for an infantry regiment; in October, his both legs were wounded and he was taken prisoner of war; he escaped and walked out of the enemy's encirclement on crutches – then of course he was imprisoned for “treaso’” – but in 1943 he managed to get out of the Soviet camp by joining a penal platoon; he fought there and later became a machine gunner of the assault infantry unit in a tank regiment and was wounded two more times.

We can find many examples of combat sacrifice in the biographical volumes of the most recent *Russian Jewish Encyclopedia*. Shik Kordonskiy, a commander of a mine and torpedo regiment, smashed his burning plane into an enemy cargo ship; he was posthumously made a Hero of the Soviet Union. Wolf Korsunsky, navigator of an air regiment, became a Hero of the Soviet Union too. Victor Hasin, a Hero of the Soviet Union squadron commander participated in 257 air skirmishes, personally shot down a number of the enemy's airplanes, destroyed another 10 on the ground; he was shot down over enemy occupied territory, and spent several days reaching and crossing the front lines. He died in hospital from his wounds. One cannot express it better! The *Encyclopedia* contains several dozens names of Jews who died in combat.

Yet, despite these examples of unquestioned courage, a Jewish scholar bitterly notes “the widespread belief in the army and in the rear that Jews avoided the combat units.” This is a noxious and painful spot. But, if you wish to ignore the painful spots, do not attempt to write a book about ordeals that were endured together. In history, mutual national



## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

perceptions do count. During the last war, anti-Semitism within Russia increased significantly. Jews were accused of evasion of military service and in particular of evasion of front line service. It was often said about Jews that instead of fighting, they stormed the cities of Alma-Ata and Tashkent.

Here is a testimony of a Polish Jew who fought in the Red Army: "In the army, young and old had been trying to convince me that there was not a single Jew on the front. 'We've got to fight for *them*.' I was told in a friendly manner: 'You're crazy. All your people are safely sitting at home. How come you are here on the front?'"

I. Arad writes: "Expressions such as 'we are at the front, and the Jews are in Tashkent', 'one never sees a Jew at the front line' could be heard among soldiers and civilians alike." I can personally testify that yes, one could hear this among the soldiers on the front. And right after the war—who has not experienced that?—a painful feeling remained among our Slavs that *our* Jews could have acted in that war in a more self-sacrificing manner, that among the lower ranks on the front the Jews could have been more represented. These feelings are easy to blame (and they are blamed indeed) on unwarranted Russian anti-Semitism. However, many sources blame that on the German propaganda digested by our public. What a people! They are good only to absorb propaganda, be it Stalin's or Hitler's, and they are good for nothing else! Now half a century passed. Isn't it time to unscramble the issue?

There are no official data available on the ethnic composition of the Soviet Army during the Second World War. Therefore, most studies on Jewish participation in the war provide only estimates, often without citation of sources or explanation of the methods of calculation. However, we can say that the 500,000 figure had been firmly established

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

by 1990s through simple, bald assertion and constant repetition until the half-million Jewish soldiers figure has simply become accepted as fact. The Jewish people supplied the Red Army with nearly 500,000 soldiers. Of course they did. Or as is sometimes stated, “During World War II, 550,000 Jews served in the Red Army.” The *Short Jewish Encyclopedia* notes that “only in the field force of the Soviet Army alone there were over 500,000 Jews,” and “these figures do not include Jewish partisans who fought against Nazi Germany.” The same figures are cited in *Essays on Jewish Heroism*, in Abramovich’s book *In the Deciding War* and in other sources. No evidence of any kind is provided for this figure; it is simply accepted.

We came across only one author who attempted to justify his assessment by providing readers with details of his reasoning. It was an Israeli researcher, I. Arad, in his the above cited book on the Catastrophe.

Arad concludes that the total number of Jews who fought in the ranks of the Soviet Army against the German Nazis was no less than 420,000-430,000. He includes in this number the thousands of Jewish partisans who fought against the German invaders in the woods. They were later incorporated into the regular army in 1944 after the liberation of Western Byelorussia and Western Ukraine. At the same time, Arad believes that during the war approximately 25,00030,000 Jewish partisans operated in the occupied areas of the Soviet Union.

The Israeli *Encyclopedia* in the article “Anti-Nazi Resistance” provides a lower estimate: in the Soviet Union, more than 15,000 Jews fought against the Nazis in the underground organizations and partisan units.

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

In his calculations, Arad assumes that the proportion of mobilized Jews was the same as the average percentage of mobilized for the entire population of USSR during the war, i.e., 13.013.5 percent. This would yield 390,000-405,000 Eastern Jews (out of the total of slightly more than 3 million), save for the fact that in certain areas of Ukraine and Byelorussia, the percentage of Jewish population was very high; these people were not mobilized because the region was quickly captured by the Germans.

However, the author assumes that in general the mobilization shortfall of the Eastern Jews was small and that before the Germans came, the majority of males of military age were still mobilized, and thus he settles on the number of 370,000-380,000 Eastern Jews who served in the army. Regarding Western Jews, Arad reminds us that in 1940 in Western Byelorussia and Western Ukraine, during the mobilization of conscripts whose year of birth fell between of 1919 and 1922, approximately 30,000 Jewish youths were enlisted, but the Soviet government considered the soldiers from the newly annexed western regions as unreliable; therefore, almost all of them were transferred to the Labor Army after the war began.

By the end of 1943, the process of re-mobilization of those who were previously transferred into the Labor Army began, and there were Jews among them. The author mentions that 6,000 to 7,000 Western Jewish refugees fought in the national Baltic divisions. By adding the Jewish partisans incorporated into the army in 1944, the author concludes: "We can establish that at least 50,000 Jews from the territories annexed to the USSR, including those mobilized before the war, served in the Red Army." Thus I. Arad comes to the overall number of 420,000-430,000 Jews in military service between 1941 and 1944.

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

According to Arad, the number of 500,000 soldiers commonly used in the sources would imply a *general base* (500,000 conscripts taken out of the entire Jewish population) of 3,700,000-3,850,000 people. According to the above-mentioned sources, the maximum estimate for the total number of Eastern and Western Jews who escaped the German occupation was 2,226,000, and even if we were to add to this *base* all 1,080,000 Eastern Jews who remained under the occupation, as though they had had time to supply the army with all the people of military age right before the arrival of the Germans – which was not the case – the base would still lack a half-million people. It would have also meant that the success of the evacuation, discussed above, was strongly underestimated.

There is no such contradiction in Arad's assessment. And though its individual components may require correction, overall, it surprisingly well matches with the hitherto unpublished data of the Institute of the Military History, derived from the sources of the Central Archive of the Ministry of Defense. According to that data, the numbers of mobilized personnel during the Great Patriotic War were as follows:

Russians - 19,650,000 Ukrainians – 5,320,000

Byelorussians – 964,000 Tartars – 511,000

Jews – 434,000 Kazakhs – 341,000 Uzbeks – 330,000

Others – 2,500,000<sup>77</sup>

Thus, contrary to the popular belief, the number of Jews in the Red Army in WWII was proportional to the size of mobilization base of the Jewish population. The fraction of Jews that participated in the war in general matches their proportion in the population.

So then, were the people's impressions of the war really prompted by anti-Semitic prejudice? Of course, by the

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

beginning of the war, a certain part of the older and middle-aged population still bore scars from the 1920s and 1930s. But a huge part of the soldiers were young men who were born at the turn of the revolution or after it; their perception of the world differed from that of their elders dramatically. Compare: during the First World War, in spite of the spy mania of the military authorities in 1915 against the Jews who resided near the front lines, there was no evidence of anti-Semitism in the Russian army. In 1914, out of 5 million Russian Jews, by the beginning of WWI, about 400,000 Jews were inducted into the Russian Imperial Army, and by the end of war in 1917 this number reached 500,000". This means that at the outbreak of the war *every twelfth* Russian Jew fought in the war, while by the end, one out of ten. And in World War II, every *eighth or seventh*.

So, what was the matter? It can be assumed that the new disparities inside the army played their role with their influences growing stronger and sharper as one moved closer to the deadly frontline. In 1874 Jews were granted equal rights with other Russian subjects regarding universal conscription, yet during WWI until the February Revolution, Czar Alexander II's law which stipulated that Jews could not advance above the rank of petty officer (though it did not apply to military medics) was still enforced. Under the Bolsheviks, the situation had changed radically, and during the WWII, as the Israeli *Encyclopedia* summarizes, compared to other nationalities of the Soviet Union, Jews were disproportionately represented among the senior officers, mainly because of the higher percentage of college graduates among them. According to I. Arad's evaluation, the number of Jews-commissars and political officers in various units during the war was relatively higher than number of Jews on other Army positions; at the very least,

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

the percentage of Jews in the political leadership of the army was three times higher than the overall percentage of Jews among the population of the USSR during that period.

In addition, of course, Jews were among the head professionals of military medicine among the heads of health departments on several fronts. Twenty-Six Jewish generals of the Medical Corps and nine generals of the Veterinary Corps were listed in the Red Army. Thirtythree Jewish generals served in the Engineering Corps. Of course, Jewish doctors and military engineers occupied not only high offices: among the military medical staff there were many Jews (doctors, nurses, orderlies). Let us recall that in 1926 the proportion of Jews among military doctors was 18.6 percent while their proportion in the male population was 1.7 percent, and this percentage could only increase during the war because of the large number of female Jewish military doctors: traditionally, a high percentage of Jews in the Soviet medicine and engineering professions naturally contributed to their large number in the military units.

However undeniably important and necessary for *final* victory these services were, what mattered is that not everybody could survive to see it. Meanwhile an ordinary soldier, glancing back from the frontline, saw all too clearly that even the second and third echelons behind the front were also considered participants in the war: all those deep-rear headquarters, suppliers, the whole Medical Corps from medical battalion to higher levels, numerous behind-the-lines technical units and, of course, all kinds of service personnel there, and, in addition, the entire army propaganda machine, including touring ensembles, entertainment troupes – they all were considered war veterans and, indeed, it was apparent to everyone that the concentration of Jews was much higher there than at the front lines.

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

Some write that among Leningrad's veteran-writers, the Jews comprised by most cautious and perhaps understated assessment 31 percent – that is, probably more. Yet how many of them were editorial staff? As a rule, editorial offices were situated 10-15 kilometers behind the frontline, and even if a correspondent happened to be at the front during hostilities, nobody would have forced him to hold the position, he could leave immediately, which is a completely different psychology. Many trumpeted their status as front-liners, but writers and journalists are guilty of it the most. Stories of prominent ones deserve a separate dedicated analysis. Yet how many others, not prominent and not famous *front-liners*, settled in various newspaper publishing offices at all levels – at fronts, armies, corps and divisions?

Here is one episode. After graduating from the machine gun school, Second Lieutenant Alexander Gershkowitz was sent to the front. But, after a spell at the hospital, while catching up with his unit, at a minor railroad station he sensed the familiar smell of printing ink, followed it – and arrived at the office of a division-level newspaper, which serendipitously was in need of a front-line correspondent. And his fate had changed. (But what about catching up with his infantry unit?) In this new position, he traveled thousands of kilometers of the war roads. Of course, military journalists perished in the war as well.

Musician Michael Goldstein, who “got the white ticket” (not fit) because of poor vision, writes of himself: “I always strove to be at the front, where I gave thousands of concerts, where I wrote a number of military songs and where I often dug trenches.”

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

Often? Really? A visiting musician and with a shovel in his hands? As a war veteran, I say—an absolutely incredible picture.

Or here is another amazing biography. Eugeniy Gershuni in the summer of 1941 volunteered for a militia unit, where he soon organized a small pop ensemble. Those, who know about these unarmed and even non-uniformed columns marching to certain death, would be chilled. Ensemble, indeed! In September 1941, Gershuni with his group of artists from the militia was posted to Leningrad's Red Army Palace, where he organized and headed a troopentertainment circus. The story ends on May 9, 1945, when Gershuni's circus threw a show on the steps of the Reichstag in Berlin.

Of course, the Jews fought in the infantry and on the frontline. In the middle of the 1970s, a Soviet source provides data on the ethnic composition of two hundred infantry divisions between

January 1, 1943 and January 1, 1944 and compares it to the population share of each nationality within the pre-September 1939 borders of the USSR. During that period, Jews comprised respectively 1.5 percent and 1.28 percent in those divisions, while their proportion in the population in 1939 was 1.78 percent. Only by the middle of 1944, when mobilization began in the liberated areas, did the percentage of Jews fall to 1.14 percent because almost all Jews in those areas were exterminated.

It should be noted here that some audacious Jews took an even more fruitful and energetic part in the war outside of the front. For example, the famous "Red Orchestra" of Trepper and Gurevich spied on Hitler's regime from within until the fall of 1942, passing to the Soviets extremely important strategic and tactical information. Both spies were



## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

arrested and held by the Gestapo until the end of the war; then, after liberation, they were arrested and imprisoned in the USSR—Trepper for 10 years and Gurevich for 15 years.

Here is another example: a Soviet spy, Lev Manevich, was ex-commander of a special detachment during the Civil War and later a long-term spy in Germany, Austria, and Italy. In 1936, he was arrested in Italy, but he managed to communicate with Soviet intelligence even from the prison. In 1943, while imprisoned in the Nazi camps under the name of Colonel Starostin, he participated in the anti-fascist underground. In 1945, he was liberated by the Americans but died before returning to the USSR (where he could have easily faced imprisonment.) Only 20 years later, in 1965, was he awarded the title of Hero of the Soviet Union posthumously.

One can also find very strange biographies, such as Mikhail Scheinman's. Since the 1920s he served as a provincial secretary of the Komsomol; during the most rampant years of the Union of Militant Atheists he was employed at its headquarters; then he graduated from the Institute of Red Professors and worked in the press department of the Central Committee of the VKPb. In 1941, he was captured by the Germans and survived the entire war in captivity – a Jew and a high-level commissar at that! And despite categorical evidence of his culpability from SMERSH's [Translator's note: a frontline counter-intelligence organization, literally, "Death to Spies"] point of view, how could he possibly survive if he was not a traitor? Others were imprisoned for a long time for lesser crimes. Yet nothing happened, and in 1946 he was already safely employed in the Museum of the History of Religion and then in the Institute of History at the Academy of Science.

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

Yet such anecdotal evidence cannot make up a convincing argument for either side and there are no reliable and specific statistics nor are they likely to surface in the future.

Recently, an Israeli periodical has published some interesting testimony. When a certain Jonas Degen decided to volunteer for a Komsomol platoon at the beginning of the war, another Jewish youth, Shulim Dain, whom Jonas invited to come and join him, replied “that it would be really fortunate if the Jews could just watch the battle from afar since this is not their war, though namely this war may inspire Jews and help them to rebuild Israel. When I am conscripted to the army, I’ll go to war. But to volunteer? Not a chance.”

And Dain was not the only one who thought like this; in particular, older and more experienced Jews may have had similar thoughts. And this attitude, especially among the Jews devoted to the eternal idea of Israel, is fully understandable. And yet it is baffling, because the advancing enemy was the arch enemy of the Jews, seeking above all else to annihilate them. How could Dain and like-minded individuals remain neutral? Did they think that the Russians had no other choice but to fight for their land anyway?

One modern commentator (I know him personally – he is a veteran and a former camp inmate) concludes: “Even among the older veterans these days I have not come across people with such clarity of thought and depth of understanding as Shulim Dain (who perished at Stalingrad) possessed: two fascist monsters interlocked in deadly embrace. Why should we participate in that?” Of course, Stalin’s regime was not any better than Hitler’s. But for the *wartime Jews*, these two monsters could not be equal! If *that other* monster won, what could then have happened to the

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

Soviet Jews? Wasn't *this* war the personal Jewish war? Wasn't it their *own Patriotic War* – to cross swords with the deadliest enemy in the entire Jewish history? And those Jews who perceived the war as their own and who did not separate their fate from that of Russians, those like Freylikh, Lazarev and Fainerman, whose thinking was opposite to Shulim Dain's, they fought selflessly.

God forbid, I do not explain Dain's position as Jewish cowardice. Yes, the Jews demonstrated survivalist prudence and caution throughout the entire history of the Diaspora, yet it is this history that explains these qualities. And during the Six-Day War and other Israeli wars, the Jews have proven their outstanding military courage.

Taking all that into consideration, Dain's position can only be explained by a relaxed feeling of dual citizenship – the very same that back in 1922, Professor Solomon Lurie from Petrograd considered as one of the main sources of anti-Semitism (and its explanation) – a Jew living in a particular country belongs *not only to that* country, and his loyalties become inevitably split in two. The Jews have always harbored nationalist attitudes, but the object of their nationalism was Jewry, not the country in which they lived. Their interest in *this* country is partial. After all, they – even if many of them only unconsciously – saw ahead looming in the future *their very own* nation of Israel.

\* \* \*

And what about the rear? Researchers are certain about the growth of anti-Semitism during the war. The curve of anti-Semitism in those years rose sharply again, and anti-Semitic manifestations by their intensity and prevalence dwarfed the anti-Semitism of the second half of the 1920s.

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

During the war, anti-Semitism become commonplace in the domestic life in the Soviet deep hinterland.

During evacuation, so-called domestic anti-Semitism, which had been dormant since the establishment of the Stalinist dictatorship in the early 1930s, was revived against the background of general insecurity and breakdown and other hardships and deprivations, engendered by the war. This statement refers mainly to Central Asia, Uzbekistan, and Kazakhstan, especially when the masses of wounded and disabled veterans rushed there from the front, and exactly there the masses of the evacuated Jews lived, including Polish Jews, who were torn from their traditional environment by deportation and who had no experience of Soviet kolkhozes.

Here are the testimonies of Jewish evacuees to Central Asia recorded soon after the war: “The low labor productivity among evacuated Jews served in the eyes of the locals as a proof of allegedly characteristic Jewish reluctance to engage in physical labor. The intensification of [antiSemitic] attitudes was fueled by the Polish refugees’ activity on the commodity markets. Soon they realized that their regular incomes from the employment in industrial enterprises, kolkhozes, and cooperatives would not save them from starvation and death. To survive, there was only one way

– trading on the market or speculation; therefore, it was the Soviet reality that drove Polish Jews to resort to market transactions whether they liked it or not. The non-Jewish population of Tashkent was ill-disposed toward the Jewish evacuees from Ukraine. Some said, ‘Look at these Jews. They always have a lot of money.’” Then there were incidents of harassment and insults of Jews, threats against them, throwing them out of bread queues. Another group of

# THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

Russian Jews, mostly bureaucrats with a considerable amount of cash, inspired the hostility of the locals for inflating the already high market prices.

The author proceeds confidently to explain these facts thus: Hitler's propagandea reaches even here, and he is not alone in reaching such conclusions.

What a staggering revelation! How could Hitler's propagandea victoriously reach and permeate all of Central Asia when it was barely noticeable at the front with all those rare and dangerous-to-touch leaflets thrown from airplanes, and when all private radio receiver sets were confiscated throughout the USSR?

No, the author realizes that there was yet another reason for the growth of anti-Semitic attitudes in the districts that absorbed evacuees *en masse*. There the antagonism between the general mass of the provincial population and the privileged bureaucrats from the country's central cities manifested itself in a subtle form. Evacuation of organizations from those centers into the hinterland provided the local population with an opportunity to fully appreciate the depth of social contrast.

## **Anti-Semitism in the German-Occupied Territories**

Then there were those populations that experienced the German invasion and occupation, for instance, the Ukrainians. Here is testimony published in March 1945 in the bulletin of the Jewish Agency for Palestine: "The Ukrainians meet returning Jews with hostility. In Kharkov, a few weeks after the liberation, Jews do not dare to walk alone on the streets at night. There have been many cases of beating up Jews on the local markets. Upon returning to their homes,

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

Jews often found only a portion of their property, but when they complained in courts, Ukrainians often perjured themselves against them.” (The same thing happened everywhere; besides it was useless to complain in court anyway: many of the returning non-Jewish evacuees found their old places looted as well.) There are many testimonies about hostile attitudes towards Jews in Ukraine after its liberation from the Germans. As a result of the German occupation, anti-Semitism in all its forms has significantly increased in all social strata of Ukraine, Moldova and Lithuania.

Indeed, *here*, in these territories, Hitler’s anti-Jewish propaganda did work well during the years of occupation, and yet the main point was the same: that under the Soviet regime the Jews had merged with the ruling class – and so a secret German report from the occupied territories in

October 1941 states that “the animosity of the Ukrainian population against Jews is enormous. They view the Jews as informants and agents of the NKVD, which organized the terror against the Ukrainian people.”

Generally speaking, early in the war, Germany’s plan was to create an impression that it was not Germans but the local population that began extermination of the Jews; S. Schwartz believes that, unlike the reports of the German propaganda press, “the German reports not intended for publication are reliable.” He profusely quotes a report by SS Standartenführer F. Shtoleker to Berlin on the activities of the SS units under his command (operating in the Baltic states, Byelorussia and in some parts of the RSFSR) for the period between the beginning of the war in the East and October 15, 1941: “Despite facing considerable difficulties, we were able to direct local anti-Semitic forces toward organization of anti-Jewish pogroms within several hours

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

after arrival of German troops. It was necessary to show that it was a natural reaction to the years of oppression by Jews and communist terror. It was equally important to establish for the future as an undisputed and provable fact that the local people have resorted to the most severe measures against Bolsheviks and Jews on their own initiative, without demonstrable evidence for any guidance from the German authorities.”

The willingness of the local population for such initiatives varied greatly in different occupied regions. In the tense atmosphere of the Baltics, the hatred of Jews reached a boiling point at the very moment of Hitler’s onslaught against Soviet Russia on June 22, 1941. The Jews were accused of collaboration with the NKVD in the deportation of Baltic citizens. The *Israeli Encyclopedia* quotes an entry from the diary of Lithuanian physician E. Budvidayte-Kutorgene:

“All Lithuanians, with few exceptions, are unanimous in their hatred of Jews.”

Yet, the Standartenführer reports that “to our surprise, it was not an easy task to induce a pogrom there.” This was achieved with the help of Lithuanian partisans, who exterminated 1,500 Jews in Kaunas during the night of June 26 and 2,300 more in the next few days; they also burned the Jewish quarter and several synagogues. Mass executions of the Jews were conducted by the SS and the Lithuanian police on October 29 and November 25, 1941. About 19,000 of the 36,000 Jews of Kaunas were shot in the Ninth Fort. In many Lithuanian cities and towns, all of the Jewish population was exterminated by local Lithuanian police under German control in the autumn of 1941. It was much harder to induce the same self-cleaning operations and pogroms in Latvia, reports the Standartenführer, because there the entire national

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

leadership, especially in Riga, was destroyed or deported by the Bolsheviks. Still, on July 4, 1941, Latvian activists in Riga set fire to several synagogues into which the Jews had been herded. About 2,000 died; in the first days of occupation, locals assisted in executions by the Germans of several thousand Jews in the Bikernieki forest near Riga, and in late October and in early November in the shootings of about 27,000 Jews at a nearby railway station Rumbula. In Estonia, with a small number of Jews in the country, it was not possible to induce pogroms, reports the officer.

Estonian Jews were destroyed without pogroms. In Estonia, about 2,000 Jews remained. Almost all male Jews were executed in the first weeks of the occupation by the Germans and their Estonian collaborators. The rest were interned in the concentration camp Harku near Tallinn, and by the end of 1941 all of them were killed.

But the German leadership was disappointed in Byelorussia. S. Schwartz: "The failure of the Germans to draw sympathy from the broad masses of locals to the cause of extermination of Jews is completely clear from secret German documents. The population invariably and consistently refrains from any independent action against the Jews. Still, according to eyewitnesses in Gorodok in the Vitebsk oblast, when the ghetto was liquidated on Oct. 14, 1941, the "*Polizei* were worse than the Germans"; and in Borisov, the Russian police (it follows in the report that they were actually imported from Berlin) destroyed within two days [October 20 and 21, 1941] 6,500 Jews. Importantly, the author of the report notes that the killings of Jews were not met with sympathy from the local population: Who ordered that. How is it possible? Now they kill the Jews, and when will be our turn? What have these poor Jews done? They



## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

were just workers. The really guilty ones are, of course, long gone.

And here is a report by a German trustee, a native Byelorussian from Latvia: “In Byelorussia, there is no Jewish question. For them, it’s a purely German business, not Byelorussian. Everybody sympathizes with and pities the Jews, and they look at Germans as barbarians and murderers of the Jews [*Judenhenker*]: a Jew, they say, is a human being just like a Byelorussian.”

In any case, S. Schwartz writes that “there were no national Byelorussian squads affiliated with the German punitive units, though there were Latvian, Lithuanian, and ‘mixed’ squads; the latter enlisted some Byelorussians as well.”

The project was more successful in Ukraine. From the beginning of the war, Hitler’s propaganda incited the Ukrainian nationalists (Bandera’s Fighters) to take revenge on the Jews for the murder of Petliura by Schwartzbard. The organization of Ukrainian Nationalists of BanderaMelnik (OUN) did not need to be persuaded: even before the Soviet-German War, in April 1941, it adopted a resolution at its Second Congress in Krakow, in which paragraph 17 states: “The Yids in the Soviet Union are the most loyal supporters of the ruling Bolshevik regime and the vanguard of Moscow imperialism in Ukraine. The Organization of Ukrainian Nationalists considers the Yids as the pillar of the Moscow-Bolshevik regime, while educating the masses that Moscow is the main enemy.”

Initially, the Bandera irregulars allied with the Germans against the Bolsheviks. During the whole of 1940 and the first half of 1941, the OUN leadership was preparing for a possible war between Germany and the USSR. Then the main base of the OUN was the

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

Generalgouvernement, i. e., the Nazi-occupied Poland. Ukrainian militias were being created there, and lists of suspicious persons, with Jews among them, were compiled. Later these lists were used by Ukrainian nationalists to exterminate Jews.

Mobile units for the East Ukraine were created and battalions of Ukrainian Nationalists, “Roland” and “Nakhtigal”, were formed in the German Army. The OUN arrived in the East [of Ukraine] together with the frontline German troops. During the summer of 1941 a wave of Jewish pogroms rolled over Western Ukraine with participation of both Melnyk’s and of Bandera’s troops. As a result of these pogroms, around 28,000 Jews were killed. Among OUN documents, there is a declaration by J. Stetzko (who in July 1941 was named the head of the Ukrainian government): “The Jews help Moscow to keep Ukraine in slavery, and therefore, I support extermination of the Yids and the need to adopt in Ukraine the German methods of extermination of Jewry.”

In July, a meeting of Bandera’s OUN leaders was held in Lvov, where, among other topics, policies toward Jews were discussed. There were various proposals: to build the policy on the principles of Nazi policy before 1939. There were proposals to isolate Jews in ghettos. But the most radical proposal was made by Stepan Lenkavskiy, who stated: “Concerning the Jews we will adopt all the measures that will lead to their eradication.” And until the relations between the OUN and the Germans deteriorated (because Germany did not recognize the self-proclaimed Ukrainian independence) there were many cases, especially in the first year when Ukrainians directly assisted the Germans in the extermination of Jews. Ukrainian auxiliary police, recruited by the Germans mainly in Galicia and Volhynia, played a

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

special role. In Uman in September 1941, Ukrainian city police under command of several officers and sergeants of the SS shot nearly 6,000 Jews; and in early November 6 km outside Rovno, the SS and Ukrainian police slaughtered 21,000 Jews from the ghetto.

However, S. Schwartz writes: "It is impossible to figure out which part of the Ukrainian population shared an active anti-Semitism with a predisposition toward pogroms. Probably quite a large part, particularly the more cultured strata, did not share these sentiments. As for the original part of the Soviet Ukraine within the pre-September 1939 Soviet borders, no evidence for the spontaneous pogroms by Ukrainians could be found in the secret German reports from those areas." In addition, Tatar militia squads in the Crimea were exterminating Jews also.

Regarding indigenous Russian regions occupied by the Germans, the Germans could not exploit anti-Russian sentiments and the argument about Moscow's imperialism was unsustainable; and the argument for any Judæo-Bolshevism, devoid of support in local nationalism, largely lost its appeal; among the local Russian population only relatively few people actively supported the Germans in their anti-Jewish policies of extermination.

A researcher on the fate of Soviet Jewry concludes: the Germans in Lithuania and Latvia had a tendency to mask their pogromist activities, bringing to the fore extermination squads made up of pogromists emerging under German patronage from the local population; but In Byelorussia, and to a considerable extent even in Ukraine and especially in the occupied areas of the RSFSR, the Germans did not succeed as the local population had mostly disappointed the hopes pinned on it - and there the Nazi exterminators had to proceed openly.

# THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

## **Einsatzgruppen**

Hitler's plan for the military campaign against the Soviet Union (Operation Barbarossa) included *special tasks* to prepare the ground for political rule, with the character of these tasks stemming from the all-out struggle between the two opposing political systems. In May and June 1941, the Supreme Command of the Wehrmacht issued more specific directives, ordering execution without trial of persons suspected of hostile action against Germany (and of political commissars, partisans, saboteurs and Jews in any case) in the theater of Barbarossa.

To carry out *special tasks* in the territory of the USSR, four special groups (*Einsatzgruppen*) were established within the Security Service (SS) and the Secret Police (Gestapo), that had operational units (*Einsatzkommando*) numerically equal to companies. The *Einsatzgruppen* advanced along with the front units of the German Army, but reported directly to the Chief of Security of the Third Reich, Reinhard Heydrich.

*Einsatzgruppe* A (about 1000 soldiers and SS officers under the command of SS Standartenführer Dr. F. Shtoleker) of Army Group North operated in Lithuania, Latvia, Estonia, and the Leningrad and Pskov oblasts. Group B (655 men, under the command of Brigadenführer A. Neveu) was attached to Army Group Centre, which was advancing through Byelorussia and the Smolensk Oblast toward Moscow. Group C (600, Standartenführer E. Rush) was attached to Army Group South and operated in the Western and Eastern Ukraine. Group D (600 men under the command of SS Standartenführer Prof. O. Ohlendorf) was attached to

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

the 11th Army and operated in Southern Ukraine, the Crimea, and in the Krasnodar and Stavropol regions.

Extermination of Jews and commissars (“carriers of the Judæo-Bolshevik ideology”) by the Germans began from the first days of the June 1941 invasion, though they did so somewhat chaotically and with an extremely broad scope. In other German-occupied countries, elimination of the Jewish population proceeded gradually and thoroughly. It usually started with legal restrictions, continued with the creation of ghettos and introduction of forced labor and culminated in deportation and mass extermination. In Soviet Russia, all these elements were strangely intermingled in time and place. In each region, sometimes even within one city, various methods of harassment were used. There was no uniform or standardized system. Shooting of Jewish prisoners of war could happen sometimes right upon capture and sometimes later in the concentration camps; civilian Jews were sometimes first confined in ghettos, sometimes in forced-labor camps, and in other places they were shot outright on the spot, and still in other places the gas vans were used. As a rule, the place of execution was an anti-tank ditch, or just a pit.

The numbers of those exterminated in the cities of the Western USSR by the winter of 1941 (the first period of extermination) are striking: according to the documents, in Vilnius out of 57,000 Jews who had lived there about 40,000 were killed; in Riga out of 33,000 – 27,000; in Minsk out of the 100,000-strong ghetto – 24,000 were killed (there the extermination continued until the end of occupation); in Rovno out of 27,000 Jews - 21,000 were killed; in Mogilev about 10,000 Jews were shot; in Vitebsk - up to 20,000; and near Kiselevich village nearly 20,000 Jews from Bobruisk were killed; in Berdichev - 15,000.

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

By late September, the Nazis staged a mass extermination of Jews in Kiev. On September 26, they distributed announcements around the city requiring all Jews, under the penalty of death, to report to various assembly points. And Jews, having no other option but to submit, gathered obediently, if not trustingly, altogether about 34,000; and on September 29 and 30, they were methodically shot at Babi Yar, putting layer upon layers of corpses in a large ravine. Hence there was no need to dig any graves—a giant hecatomb! According to the official German announcement, not questioned later, 33,771 Jews were shot over the course of two days. During the next two years of the Kiev occupation, the Germans continued shootings in their favorite and so *convenient* ravine. It is believed that the number of the executed – not only Jews – had reached, perhaps, 100,000.

The executions at Babi Yar have become a symbol in world history. People shrug at the cold-blooded calculation, the business-like organization, so typical for the 20th century that crowns humanistic civilization: during the savage Middle Ages people killed each other *en masse* only in a fit of rage or in the heat of battle.

It should be recalled that within a few kilometers from Babi Yar, in the enormous Darnitskiy camp, tens of thousands Soviet prisoners of war, soldiers and officers, died during the same months: yet we do not commemorate it properly, and many are not even aware of it. The same is true about the more than two million Soviet prisoners of war who perished during the first years of the war.

The Catastrophe persistently raked its victims from all the occupied Soviet territories.

In Odessa on October 17, 1941, on the second day of occupation by German and Romanian troops, several

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

thousand Jewish males were killed, and later, after the bombing of the Romanian Military Office, the total terror was unleashed: about 5,000 people, most of them Jews and thousands of others, were herded into a suburban village and executed there. In November, there was a mass deportation of people into the Domanevskiy District, where about 55,000 Jews were shot in December and January of 1942. In the first months of occupation, by the end of 1941, 22,464 Jews were killed in Kherson and Nikolayev; 11,000 in Dnepropetrovsk; 8,000 in Mariupol' and almost as many in Kremenchug; about 15,000 in Kharkov's Drobytsky Yar; and more than 20,000 in Simferopol' and Western Crimea.

By the end of 1941, the German High Command had realized that the blitz had failed and that a long war loomed ahead. The needs of the war economy demanded a different organization of the home front. In some places, the German administration slowed down the extermination of Jews in order to exploit their manpower and skills. As the result, ghettos survived in large cities like Riga, Vilnius, Kaunas, Baranovichi, Minsk, and in other, smaller ones, where many Jews worked for the needs of the German war economy.

Yet the demand for labor that prolonged the existence of these large ghettos did not prevent resumption of mass killings in other places in the spring of 1942: in Western Byelorussia, Western Ukraine, Southern Russia and the Crimea, 30,000 Jews were deported from the Grodno region to Treblinka and Auschwitz; Jews of Polesia, Pinsk, Brest-Litovsk, and Smolensk were eradicated. During the 1942 summer offensive, the Germans killed local Jews immediately upon arrival: the Jews of Kislovodsk, Pyatigorsk and Essentuki were killed in antitank ditches near Mineralni'ye Vody; thus, died evacuees to Essentuki from Leningrad and Kishinev. Jews of Kerch and Stavropol were

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

exterminated as well. In Rostov-on-Don, recaptured by the Germans in late July 1942, all the remaining Jewish population was eradicated by August 11.

In 1943, after the battles of Stalingrad and Kursk, the outcome of the war became clear. During their retreat, the Germans decided to exterminate all remaining Jews. On June 21, 1943

Himmler ordered the liquidation of the remaining ghettos. In June 1943, the ghettos of Lvov, Ternopol, and Drohobych were liquidated. After the liberation of Eastern Galicia in 1944, only 10,000 to 12,000 Jews were still alive, which constituted about 2 percent of all Jews who had remained under occupation. Able-bodied Jews from ghettos in Minsk, Lida, and Vilnius were transferred to concentration camps in Poland, Estonia, and Latvia, while the rest were shot. Later, during the summer, 1944 retreat from the Baltics, some of the Jews in those camps were shot, and some were moved into camps in Germany (Stutthof et al.).

Destined for extermination, Jews fought for survival: underground groups sprang up in many ghettos to organize escapes. Yet after a successful breakout, a lot depended on the local residents—that they not betray the Jews, provide them with non-Jewish papers, shelter and food. In the occupied areas, Germans sentenced those helping Jews to death. But everywhere, in all occupied territories, there were people who helped the Jews. Yet there were few of them. They risked their lives and the lives of their families. There were hundreds, maybe thousands of such people. But the majority of local populations just watched from a distance. In Byelorussia and the occupied territories of the RSFSR, where local populations were not hostile to the remaining



## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

Jews and where no pogroms ever occurred, the local population provided still less assistance to Jews

than in Europe or even in Poland, the country of widespread, traditional, folk anti-Semitism. (Summaries of many similar testimonies can be found in books by S. Schwartz and I. Arad.) They plausibly attribute this not only to the fear of execution but also to the habit of obedience to authorities (developed over the years of Soviet rule) and to not meddling in the affairs of others.

Yes, we have been so downtrodden, so many millions have been torn away from our midst in previous decades, that any attempt at resistance to government power was foredoomed, so now Jews as well could not get the support of the population.

But even well-organized Soviet underground and guerrillas directed from Moscow did little to save the doomed Jews. Relations with the Soviet guerrillas were an especially acute problem for the Jews in the occupied territories. Going into the woods, i.e., joining up with a partisan unit, was a better lot for Jewish men than waiting to be exterminated by the Germans. Yet hostility to the Jews was widespread and often acute among partisans, and there were some Russian detachments that did not accept Jews on principle. They alleged that Jews cannot and do not want to fight", writes a former Jewish partisan Moshe Kaganovich. A non-Jewish guerilla recruit was supplied with weapons, but a Jew was required to provide his own, and sometimes it was traded down. There is pervasive enmity to Jews among partisans in some detachments anti-Semitism was so strong that the Jews felt compelled to flee from such units.

For instance, in 1942 some two hundred Jewish boys and girls fled into the woods from the ghetto in the shtetl of Mir in Grodno oblast, and there they encountered anti-

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

Semitism among Soviet guerrillas, which led to the death of many who fled; only some of them were able to join guerrilla squads. Or another case: A guerrilla squad under the command of Ganzenko operated near Minsk. It was replenished mainly with fugitives from the Minsk ghetto, but the growing number of Jews in the unit triggered anti-Semitic clashes – and then the Jewish part of the detachment broke away. Such actions on the part of the guerrillas were apparently spontaneous, not directed from the center. According to Moshe Kaganovich, from the end of 1943 the influence of more-disciplined personnel arriving from the Soviet Union had increased and the general situation for the Jews had somewhat improved. However, he complains that when a territory was liberated by the advancing regular Soviet troops and the partisans were sent to the front (which is true, and everybody was sent indiscriminately), it was primarily Jews who were sent – and that is incredible.

However, Kaganovich writes that Jews were sometimes directly assisted by the partisans. There were even partisan attacks on small towns in order to save Jews from ghettos and concentration camps, and the Russian partisan movement helping fleeing Jews to cross the front lines. And in this way they smuggled across the frontline many thousands of Jews who were hiding in the forests of Western Byelorussia escaping the carnage. A partisan force in the Chernigov region accepted more than five hundred children from Jewish family camps in the woods, protected them and took care of them.

After the Red Army liberated Sarny (on Volyn), several squads broke the front and sent Jewish children to Moscow. S. Schwartz believes that these reports are greatly exaggerated. But they are based on real facts, and they merit attention. Jewish family camps originated among the Jewish

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

masses fleeing into the woods and there were many thousands of such fugitives. Purely Jewish armed squads were formed specifically for the protection of these camps. (Weapons were purchased through third parties from German soldiers or policemen.) Yet how to feed them all? The only way was to take food as well as shoes and clothing, both male and female, by force from the peasants of surrounding villages. The peasant was placed between the hammer and the anvil. If he did not carry out his assigned production minimum, the Germans burned his household and killed him as a partisan. On the other hand, guerrillas took from him by force all they needed – and this naturally caused spite among the peasants: they are robbed by Germans and robbed by guerrillas—and now in addition even the Jews rob them? And the Jews even take away clothes from their women?

In the spring of 1943, partisan Baruch Levin came to one such family camp, hoping to get medicines for his sick comrades. He remembers: “Tuvia Belsky seemed like a legendary hero to me. Coming from the people, he managed to organize a 1,200-strong unit in the woods. In the worst days when a Jew could not even feed himself, he cared for the sick, elderly and for the babies born in the woods.”

Levin told Tuvia about Jewish partisans: “We, the few survivors, no longer value life. Now the only meaning of our lives is revenge. It is our duty – to fight the Germans, wipe out all of them to the last one. I talked for a long time; I offered to teach Belsky’s people how to work with explosives, and all other things I have myself learned. But my words, of course, could not change Tuvia’s mindset. ‘Baruch, I would like you to understand one thing. It is precisely because there are so few of us left, it is so important

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

for me that the Jews survive. And I see this as my purpose; it is the most important thing for me.”

And the very same Moshe Kaganovich, as late as in 1956, wrote in a book published in Buenos Aires, in peacetime, years after the devastating defeat of Nazism, shows, according to S. Schwartz, a really bloodthirsty attitude toward the Germans, an attitude that seems to be influenced by the Hitler plague. He glorifies putting German prisoners to Jewish death by Jewish partisans according to the horrible Nazi examples, or excitedly recalls the speech by a commander of a Jewish guerrilla unit given before the villagers of a Lithuanian village who were gathered and forced to kneel by partisans in the square after a punitive raid against that village whose population had actively assisted the Germans in the extermination of Jews (several dozen villagers were executed during that raid).” S. Schwartz writes about this with a restrained but clear condemnation.

Yes, a lot of things happened. Predatory killings call for revenge, but each act of revenge, tragically, plants the seeds of new retribution in the future.

### **Soviet Jewish Losses During the War**

The different Jewish sources variously estimate the total losses among Soviet Jews during the Second World War (within the post-war borders). “How many Soviet Jews survived the war?” asks S. Schwartz and offers this calculation: 1,810,000-1,910,000 (excluding former refugees from the Western Poland and Romania, now repatriated.) The calculations imply that the number of Jews by the end of the war was markedly lower than two million and much lower than the almost universally accepted number of three

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

million. So, the *total* number of losses according to Schwarz was 2,800,000-2,900,000.

In 1990 I. Arad provided his estimate: “During the liberation of German-occupied territories the Soviet Army met almost no Jews. Out of the 2,750,000-2,900,000 Jews who remained under the Nazi rule in 1941 in the occupied Soviet territories, almost all died.” To this figure Arad suggests adding “about 120,000 Jews – Soviet Army soldiers who died on the front, and about 80,000 shot in the POW camps”, and “tens of thousands of Jews who died during the siege of Leningrad, Odessa and other cities, and in the deep rear because of harsh living conditions in the evacuation.”

Demographer M. Kupovetskiy published several studies in the 1990s, where he used newly available archival materials, made some corrections to older data and employed an improved technique for ethnodemographic analysis. His result was that the general losses of Jewish population within the postwar USSR borders in 1941-1945 amounted to 2,733,000 (1,112,000 Eastern and 1,621,000 Western Jews), or 55 percent of 4,965,000 - the total number of Jews in the USSR in June 1941. This figure, apart from the victims of Nazi extermination, includes the losses among the military and the guerrillas, among civilians near the front line, during evacuation and deportation, as well as the victims of Stalin’s camps during the war. (However, the author notes, that quantitative evaluation of each of these categories within the overall casualty figure is yet to be done.) Apparently, the *Short Jewish Encyclopedia* agrees with this assessment as it provides the same number.

The currently accepted figure for the total losses of the Soviet population during the Great Patriotic War is 27,000,000 (if the method of demographic balance is used, it is 26,600,000) and this may still be underestimated.

# THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

We must not overlook what that war was for the Russians. The war rescued not only their country, not only Soviet Jewry, but also the entire social system of the Western world from Hitler. This war exacted such sacrifice from the Russian people that its strength and health have never since fully recovered. That war overstrained the Russian people. It was yet another disaster on top of those of the Civil War and de-kulakization - and from which the Russian people have almost run dry.

## **The Jewish Catastrophe**

The ruthless and unrelenting Catastrophe, which was gradually devouring Soviet Jewry in a multitude of exterminating events all over the occupied lands, was part of a greater Catastrophe designed to eradicate the entire European Jewry. As we examine only the events in Russia, the Catastrophe as a whole is not covered in this book. Yet the countless miseries having befallen on both our peoples, the Jewish and the Russian, in the 20th century, and the unbearable weight of the lessons of history and gnawing anxiety about the future, make it impossible not to share, if only briefly, some reflections about it, reflections of mine and others, and impossible not to examine how the high Jewish minds look at the Catastrophe from the historical perspective and how they attempt to encompass and comprehend it.

It is for a reason that the Catastrophe is always written with a capital letter. It was an epic event for such an ancient and historical people. It could not fail to arouse the strongest feelings and a wide variety of reflections and conclusions among the Jews. In many Jews, long ago assimilated and distanced from their own people, the Catastrophe reignited a

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

more distinct and intense sense of their Jewishness. Yet for many, the Catastrophe became a proof that God is dead. If He had existed, He certainly would never have allowed Auschwitz. Then there is an opposite reflection:

Recently, a former Auschwitz inmate said: “In the camps, we were given a new Torah, though we have not been able to read it yet.”

An Israeli author states with conviction: “The Catastrophe happened because we did not follow the Covenant and did not return to our land. We had to return to our land to rebuild the Temple.” Still, such an understanding is achieved only by a very few, although it does permeate the entire Old Testament.

Some have developed and still harbor a bitter feeling: “Once, humanity turned away from us. We weren’t a part of the West at the time of the Catastrophe. The West rejected us, cast us away. We are as upset by the nearly absolute indifference of the world and even of nonEuropean Jewry to the plight of the Jews in the fascist countries as by the Catastrophe in Europe itself. What a great guilt lies on the democracies of the world in general and especially on the Jews in the democratic countries! The pogrom in Kishinev was an insignificant crime compared to the German atrocities, to the methodically implemented plan of extermination of millions of Jewish lives; and yet Kishinev pogrom triggered a bigger protest. Even the Beilis Trial in Kiev attracted more worldwide attention.”

But this is unfair. After the world realized the essence and the scale of the destruction, the Jews experienced consistent and energetic support and passionate compassion from many nations. Some contemporary Israelis recognize this and even warn their compatriots against any such

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

excesses: Gradually, the memory of the Catastrophe ceased to be just a memory. It has become the ideology of the Jewish state. The memory of the Catastrophe turned into a religious devotion, into the state cult. The State of Israel has assumed the role of an apostle of the cult of the Catastrophe, the role of a priest who collects routine tithes from other nations. And woe to those who refuse to pay that tithe! And in conclusion: The worst legacy of Nazism for Jews is the Jew's role of a supervictim.

Here is a similar excerpt from yet another author: “the cult of the Catastrophe has filled a void in the souls of secular Jews, from being a reaction to an event of the past, the trauma of the Catastrophe has evolved into a new national symbol, replacing all other symbols. And this mentality of the Catastrophe is growing with each passing year; if we do not recover from the trauma of Auschwitz, we will never become a normal nation.”

Among the Jews, the sometimes-painful work of re-examining the Catastrophe never ceases. Here is the opinion of an Israeli historian, a former inmate of a Soviet camp: “I do not belong to those Jews who are inclined to blame the evil *goyim* for our national misfortunes while casting ourselves as poor lambs or toys in the hands of others. Anyway not in the 20th century! On the contrary, I fully agree with Hannah Arendt that the Jews of our century were equal participants in the historical games of the nations and the monstrous Catastrophe that befell them was the result of not only evil plots of the enemies of mankind, but also of the huge fatal miscalculations on the part of the Jewish people themselves, their leaders and activists.”

Indeed, Hannah Arendt was “searching for the causes of the Catastrophe also in Jewry itself. Her main argument is that modern anti-Semitism was one of the consequences of



## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

the particular attitudes of the Jews towards the state and society in Europe; the Jews turned out to be unable to evaluate power shifts in a nation state and growing social contradictions. In the late 1970s, we read in Dan Levin's book: "On this issue, I agree with Prof. Branover who believes that the Catastrophe was largely a punishment for our sins, including the sin of leading the communist movement. There is something in it."

Yet no such *noticeable* movement can be observed among world Jewry. To a great many contemporary Jews such conclusions appear insulting and blasphemous. To the contrary: the very fact of the Catastrophe served as a moral justification for Jewish chauvinism. Lessons of the Second World War have been learned exactly contrariwise. The ideology of Jewish nationalism has grown and strengthened on this soil. This is terribly sad. A feeling of guilt and compassion towards the nation-victim has become an indulgence, absolving the sin unforgivable for all others. It is hence coming the moral permissibility of public appeals not to mix one's own ancient blood with the alien blood.

In the late 1980s, a Jewish publicist from Germany wrote: "Today, the moral capital of Auschwitz is already spent." One year later, she stated: "Solid moral capital gained by the Jews because of Auschwitz seems to be depleted"; the Jews "can no longer proceed along the old way by raising pretensions to the world. Today, the world already has the right to converse with the Jews as it does with all others ... the struggle for the rights of Jews is no more progressive than a struggle for the rights of all other nations. It is high time to break the mirror and look around. We are not alone in this world."

It would have been equally great for Russian minds to elevate themselves to similarly decent and benevolent self-

# THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

criticism, especially in making judgments about Russian history of the 20th century – the brutality of the Revolutionary period, the cowed indifference of the Soviet times and the abominable plundering of the post-Soviet age. And to do it despite the unbearable burden of realization that it was we Russians who ruined our history – through our useless rulers but also through our own worthlessness – and despite the gnawing anxiety that this may be irredeemable – to perceive the Russian experience as possibly a punishment from the Supreme Power.

## **Chapter XXII: From the End of the War to Stalin's Death**

At the beginning of the 1920s the authors of a collection of articles titled *Russia and the Jews* foresaw that “all these bright perspectives” (for the Jews in the USSR) looked so bright only “if one supposes that the Bolsheviks would want to protect us. But will they? Can we assume that the people who in their struggle for power betrayed everything, from the Motherland to communism, would remain faithful to us even when it stops benefiting them?”

However, during so favorable a time to them as the 1920s and 1930s the great majority of Soviet Jews chose to ignore this sober warning or simply did not hear it. Yet the Jews with their contribution to the Russian Revolution should have expected that one day the inevitable recoil of revolution would hit even them, at least during its ebb. The postwar period became the years of deep disappointments and adversity for Soviet Jews. During Stalin's last eight years, Soviet Jewry was tested by persecutions of “cosmopolitans,” the loss of positions in science, arts and press, the crushing of the Jewish Anti-Fascist Committee

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

(EAK) with the execution of its leadership and, finally, by the Doctors' Plot.

By the nature of a totalitarian regime, only Stalin himself could initiate the campaign aimed at weakening the Jewish presence and influence in the Soviet system. Only he could make the first move. Yet because of the rigidity of Soviet propaganda and Stalin's craftiness, not a single sound could be uttered nor a single step made in the open. We have seen already that Soviet propaganda did not raise any alarm about the annihilation of Jews in Germany during the war; indeed, it covered up those things, obviously being afraid of appearing pro-Jewish in the eyes of its own citizens.

The disposition of the Soviet authorities towards Jews could evolve for years without ever really surfacing at the level of official propaganda. The first changes and shuffles in the bureaucracy began quite inconspicuously at the time of growing rapprochement between Stalin and Hitler in 1939. By then Litvinov, a Jewish Minister of Foreign Affairs, was replaced by Molotov (an ethnic Russian) and a cleansing of the Ministry of Foreign Affairs (NKID) was underway. Simultaneously, Jews were barred from entrance into diplomatic schools and military academies. Still, it took many more years before the disappearance of Jews from the NKID and the sharp decline of their influence in the Ministry of Foreign Trade became apparent.

Because of the intrinsic secrecy of all Soviet inner party moves, only very few were aware of the presence of the subtle anti-Jewish undercurrents in the Agitprop apparatus by the end of 1942 that aimed to push out Jews from the major art centers such as the Bolshoi Theatre, the Moscow Conservatory, and the Moscow Philharmonic, where according to the note which Alexandrov, Head of Agitprop, presented to the Central Committee in the summer of 1942,

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

“everything was almost completely in the hands of non-Russians” and “Russians had become an ethnic minority” (accompanied by a detailed table to convey particulars.) Later, there had been attempts to begin national regulation of cadres from the top down, which essentially meant pushing out Jews from the managerial positions. By and large, Stalin regulated this process by either supporting or checking such efforts depending on the circumstances.

The wartime tension in the attitudes toward Jews was also manifested during post-war repatriation. In Siberia and Central Asia, wartime Jewish refugees were not welcomed by the local populace, so after the war they mostly settled in the capitals of Central Asian republics, except for those who moved back, not to their old *shtetls* and towns, but into the larger cities.

The largest returning stream of refugees went to Ukraine, where they were met with hostility by the local population, especially because of the return of Soviet officials and the owners of desirable residential property. This reaction in the formerly occupied territories was also fueled by Hitler’s incendiary propaganda during the Nazi occupation. Khrushchev, the head of Ukraine from 1943 (when he was First Secretary of the Communist Party and at the same time Chairman of the Council of People’s Commissars of Ukraine), not only said nothing on this topic in his public speeches, treating the fate of Jews during the occupation with silence, but he also upheld the secret instruction throughout Ukraine not to employ Jews in positions of authority.

According to the tale of an old Jewish Communist, Ruzha-Godes, who survived the entire Nazi occupation under a guise of being a Pole named Khelminskaya and was later denied employment by the long-awaited communists

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

because of her Jewishness, Khrushchev stated clearly and with his peculiar frankness: “In the past, the Jews committed many sins against the Ukrainian people. People hate them for that. We don’t need Jews in our Ukraine. It would be better if they didn’t return here. They would better go to Birobidzhan. This is Ukraine. And, we don’t want Ukrainian people to infer that the return of Soviet authority means the return of Jews.”

In the early September 1945, a Jewish major of the NKVD was brutally beaten in Kiev by two members of the military. He shot both of them dead. This incident caused a large-scale massacre of Jews with five fatalities. There are documented sources of other similar cases.

*Sotsialistichesky Vestnik* wrote that “Jewish national feelings (which were exacerbated during the war) overreacted to the numerous manifestations of anti-Semitism and to the even more common indifference to anti-Semitism.”

This motif is so typical — almost as much as anti-Semitism itself, the indifference to anti-Semitism was likely to cause outrage. Yes, preoccupied by their own miseries, people and nations often lose compassion for the troubles of others. And the Jews are not an exception here. A modern author justly notes: “I hope that I, as a Jew who found her roots and place in Israel, would not be accused of apostasy if I point out that in the years of our terrible disasters, the Jewish intellectuals did not raise their voices in defense of the deported nations of Crimea and the Caucasus.”

After the liberation of Crimea by the Red Army in 1943, talks started among circles of the Jewish élite in Moscow about a rebirth of the Crimean project of 1920s, i.e., about resettling Jews in Crimea. The Soviet government did

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

not discourage these aspirations, hoping that American Jews would be more generous in their donations for the Red Army. It is quite possible that Mikhoels and Feffer [heads of the Jewish Anti-Fascist Committee, EAK], based on a verbal agreement with Molotov, negotiated with American Zionists about financial support of the project for Jewish relocation to Crimea during their triumphal tour of the USA in summer of 1943. The idea of a Crimean Jewish Republic was also backed by Lozovsky, the then-powerful Assistant Minister of Foreign Affairs.

The EAK had yet another project for a Jewish Republic—to establish it in the place of the former Volga German Autonomous Soviet Socialist Republic (where, as we have seen in previous chapters, Jewish settlements were established in the wake of the exile of the Germans). Ester Markish, widow of EAK member Perets Markish, confirms that he presented a letter concerning transferring the former German Republic to the Jews.

In the Politburo, Molotov, Kaganovich and Voroshilov were the most positively disposed to the EAK. And, according to rumors, some members of the Politburo were inclined to support this Crimean idea. On February 15, 1944, Stalin was forwarded a memorandum about that plan which was signed by Mikhoels, Feffer and Epshtein. (According to P. Sudoplatov, although the decision to expel the Tatars from Crimea had been made by Stalin earlier, the order to carry it out reached Beria on February 14, so the memorandum was quite timely.

That was the high point of Jewish hopes. G. V. Kostirenko, a researcher of this period, writes: the leaders of the EAK plunged into euphoria. They imagined (especially after Mikhoels' and Feffer's trip to the West) that with the necessary pressure, they could influence and steer their

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

government's policy in the interests of the Soviet Jews, just like the American Jewish élite does it.

But Stalin did not approve the Crimean project. It did not appeal to him because of the strategic importance of the Crimea. The Soviet leaders expected a war with America and probably thought that in such case the entire Jewish population of Crimea would sympathize with the enemy. It is reported that at the beginning of the 1950s some Jews were arrested and told by their MGB [Ministry for State Security, a predecessor of KGB] investigators: "You are not going to stand against America, are you? So, you are our enemies." Khrushchev shared those doubts, and ten years later he stated to a delegation of the Canadian Communist Party that was expressing particular interest in the Jewish question in the USSR: "Crimea should not be a center of Jewish colonization, because in case of war it will become the enemy's bridgehead." Indeed, the petitions about Jewish settlement in Crimea were very soon used as a proof of "state treason" on the part of the members of the EAK.

By the end of WWII, the authorities again revived the idea of Jewish resettlement in Birobidzhan, particularly Ukrainian Jews. From 1946 to 1947 several organized echelons and a number of independent families were sent there, totaling up to 5-6 thousand persons. However, quite a few returned disillusioned. This relocation movement withered by 1948.

Later, with a general turn of Stalin's politics, arrests among the few Birobidzhan Jewish activists started. (They were accused of artificial inculcation of Jewish culture into the nonJewish population and of course, espionage and of having planned Birobidzhan's secession in order to ally with Japan). This was the de facto end of the history of Jewish colonization in Birobidzhan. At the end of the 1920s there

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

were plans to re-settle 60,000 Jews there by the end of the first five-year planning period. By 1959 there were only 14,000 Jews in Birobidzhan, less than 9 percent of the population of the region.

However, in Ukraine the situation had markedly changed in favor of Jews. The government was engaged in the fierce struggle with Bandera's separatist fighters and no longer catered to the national feelings of Ukrainians. At the end of 1946, the Communist Party started a covert campaign against anti-Semitism, gradually conditioning the population to the presence of Jews among authorities in different spheres of the national economy. At the same time, in the beginning of

1947, Kaganovich took over for Khrushchev as the official leader of Ukrainian Communist Party.

The Jews were promoted in the party as well, of which a particular example was the appointment of a Jew as Secretary of Zhitomir Obkom.

However, the attitudes of many Jews towards this government and its new policies were justifiably cautious. Soon after the end of the war, when the former Polish citizens began returning to Poland, many non-Polish Jews hastily seized this opportunity and relocated there.

(What happened after that in Poland is yet another story: a great overrepresentation of Jews occurred in the post-war puppet Polish government, among managerial elites and in the Polish KGB, which would again result in miserable consequences for the Jews of Poland. After the war, other countries of Eastern Europe saw similar conflicts: the Jews had played a huge role in economic life of all these countries, and though they lost their possessions under Hitler, after the war when the restitution laws were introduced they affected very large numbers of new owners. Upon their return Jews



## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

demanded the restoration of their property and enterprises that were not nationalized by Communists and this created a new wave of hostility towards them.)

Meanwhile, during these very years the biggest event in world Jewish history was happening — the state of Israel was coming into existence. In 1946-47, when the Zionists were at odds with Britain Stalin, perhaps out of anti-British calculation and or opportunistically hoping to get a foothold there, took the side of the former. During all of 1947 Stalin, acting through Gromyko in the UN, actively supported the idea of the creation of an independent Jewish state in Palestine and supplied the Zionists with a critical supply of Czechoslovak-made weapons. In May 1948, only two days after the Israeli declaration of nationhood, the USSR officially recognized that country and condemned hostile actions of Arabs.

However, Stalin miscalculated to what extent this support would reinvigorate the national spirit of Soviet Jews. Some of them implored the EAK to organize a fundraiser for the Israeli military, others wished to enlist as volunteers, while still others wanted to form a special Jewish military division.

Amid this burgeoning enthusiasm, Golda Meir arrived in Moscow in September of 1948 as the first ambassador of Israel and was met with unprecedented joy in Moscow's synagogues and by Moscow's Jewish population in general. Immediately, as the national spirit of Soviet Jews rose and grew tremendously because of the Catastrophe, many of them began applying for relocation to Israel. Apparently, Stalin had expected that. Yet it turned out that many of his citizens wished to run away en masse into, by all accounts, the pro-Western State of Israel. There the influence and prestige of the United States grew, while the USSR was

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

at the same time losing support of Arab countries. (Nevertheless, the cooling of relations with Israel was mutual. Israel more and more often turned towards American Jewry which became its main support.)

Probably because he was frightened by such a schism in the Jewish national feelings, Stalin drastically changed policies regarding Jews from the end of 1948 and for the rest of his remaining years. He began acting in his typical style — quietly but with determination, he struck to the core, but with only tiny movements visible on the surface.

Nevertheless, while the visible tiny ripples hardly mattered, Jewish leaders had many reasons to be concerned, as they felt the fear hanging in the air. The then editor of the Polish Jewish newspaper *Folkshtimme*, Girsh Smolyar, recalled the panic that seized Soviet communist Jews after the war. Emmanuel Kazakevitch and other Jewish writers were distressed. Smolyar had seen on Ehrenburg's table “a mountain of letters — literally a scream of pain about current anti-Jewish attitudes throughout the country.”

Yet Ehrenburg knew his job very well and carried it out. (As became known much later, it was exactly then that the pre-publication copy of the *Black Book* compiled by I. Ehrenburg and B. Grossman, which described the mass killings and suffering of the Soviet Jews during the Soviet German war, was destroyed.) In addition, on September 21, 1948, as a counterbalance to Golda Meir's triumphal arrival, *Pravda* published a large article commissioned by Ehrenburg which stated that the Jews are not a nation at all and that they are doomed to assimilate. This article created dismay not only among Soviet Jews, but also in America. With the start of the Cold War, the discrimination against the Jews in the Soviet Union became one of the main anti-Soviet trump cards of the West. As was

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

the inclination in the West towards various ethnic separatist movements in the USSR, a sympathy that had never previously gained support among Soviet Jews.

However, the EAK, which had been created to address war-time issues, continued gaining influence. By that time, it listed approximately 70 members, had its own administrative apparatus, a newspaper and a publishing house. It functioned as a kind of spiritual and physical agent of all Soviet Jews before the CK (Central Committee) of the VKPb (all-Russian Communist Party of Bolsheviks), as well as before the West. EAK executives were allowed to do and to have a lot — a decent salary, an opportunity to publish and collect royalties abroad, to receive and to redistribute gifts from abroad and, finally, to travel abroad. EAK became the crystallization center of an initially elitist and upper-echelon and then of a broadly growing Jewish national movement, a burgeoning symbol of Jewish national autonomy. For Stalin, the EAK became a problem which had to be dealt with.

He started with the most important figure, the Head of the Soviet Information Bureau (Sovinformburo), Lozovsky, who, according to Feffer (who was vice-chairman of EAK since July 1945), was the spiritual leader of the EAK and knew all about its activities and was its head for all practical purposes. In the summer of 1946, a special auditing commission from Agitprop of the CK [of the VKPb] inspected Sovinformburo and found that “the apparatus is polluted ... there is an intolerable concentration of Jews.” Lozovsky was ejected from his post of Assistant Minister of Foreign Affairs (just as Litvinov and Maisky had been) and in summer of 1947 he also lost his post as of Head of the Sovinformburo.

After that, the fate of the EAK was sealed. In September of 1946, the auditing commission from the

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

Central Committee concluded that the EAK “instead of leading a rigorous offensive ideological war against the Western and above all Zionist propaganda supports the position of bourgeois Zionists and the Bund and in reality it fights for the reactionary idea of a united Jewish nation.” In 1947, the Central Committee stated, that “the work among the Jewish population of the Soviet Union is not a responsibility” of the EAK. The EAK’s job was to focus on the “decisive struggle against aggression by international reactionaries and their Zionist agents.” However, these events coincided with the pro-Israel stance of the USSR and the EAK was not dissolved.

On the other hand, EAK Chairman Mikhoels, who was the informal leader of Soviet Jewry, had to shed his illusions about the possibility of influencing the Kremlin’s national policy via influencing the Dictator’s relatives. Here, the suspicion fell mostly on Stalin’s son—in-law Grigory Morozov. However, the most active help to the EAK was provided by Molotov’s wife, P.S. Zhemchyzhina, who was arrested in the beginning of 1949, and Voroshilov’s wife, Ekaterina Davidovna (Golda Gorbman), a fanatic Bolshevik, who had been expelled from the synagogue in her youth.

Abakumov reported that Mikhoels was suspected of gathering private information about the Leader. Overall, according to the MGB he “demonstrated excessive interest in the private life of the Head of the Soviet Government,” while leaders of the EAK gathered materials about the personal life of J. Stalin and his family at the behest of U.S. Intelligence. However, Stalin could not risk an open trial of the tremendously influential Mikhoels, so Mikhoels was murdered in January 1948 under the guise of an accident. Soviet Jewry was shocked and terrified by the demise of their spiritual leader.

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

The EAK was gradually dismantled after that. By the end of 1948 its premises were locked up, all documents were taken to Lubyanka, and its newspaper and the publishing house were closed. Feffer and Zuskin, the key EAK figures, were secretly arrested soon afterwards and these arrests were denied for a long time. In January 1949 Lozovsky was arrested, followed by the arrests of a number of other notable members of the EAK in February. They were intensively interrogated during 1949, but in 1950 the investigation stalled. All this coincided in accord with Stalin's understanding of balance with the annihilation of the Russian nationalist tendencies in the leadership of the Leningrad government — the so-called “anti-party group of KuznetsovRodionovPopkov,” but those developments, their repression and the significance of those events were largely overlooked by historians even though about two thousand party functionaries were arrested and subsequently executed in 1950 in connection with the Leningrad Affair.

In January 1948, Stalin ordered Jews to be pushed out of Soviet culture. In his usual subtle and devious manner, the order came through a prominent editorial in *Pravda*, seemingly dealing with a petty issue, about one anti-Party group of theatrical critics. A more assertive article in *Kultura i Zhizn* followed on the next day. The key point was the decoding of the Russian pennames of Jewish celebrities. “In the USSR many Jews camouflage their Jewish origins with such artifice, so that it is impossible to figure out their real names,” explains the editor of a modern Jewish journal.

This article in *Pravda* had a long but obscure pre-history. In a 1946 report of the Central Committee it was already noted that out of twenty-eight highly publicized theatrical critics, only six were Russians. It implied that the majority of the rest were Jews. Smelling trouble, but still

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

supposing themselves to be vested with the highest trust of the Party, some theatrical critics, confident of victory, openly confronted Fadeev in November 1946. Fadeev was the all-powerful Head of the Union of Soviet Writers and Stalin's favorite. And so they suffered a defeat. Then the case stalled for a long time and only resurfaced in 1949.

The campaign rolled on through the newspapers and party meetings. G. Aronson, researching Jewish life in Stalin's era writes: "The goal of this campaign was to displace Jewish intellectuals from all niches of Soviet life. Informers were gloatingly revealing their pen-names. It turned out that E. Kholodov is actually Meyerovich, Jakovlev is Kholtsman, Melnikov is Millman, Jasny is Finkelstein, Vickorov is Zlochevsky, Svetov is Sheidman and so on. *Literaturnaya Gazeta* worked diligently on these disclosures."

Undeniably, Stalin hit the worst-offending spot, the one that highly annoyed the public. However, Stalin was not so simple as to just blurt out "the Jews." From the first push at the groups of theatrical critics flowed a broad and sustained campaign against the "cosmopolitans" (with their Soviet inertial dim-wittedness they overused this innocent term and spoiled it.) Without exception, all "cosmopolitans" under attack were Jews. They were being discovered everywhere. Because all of them were loyal Soviet citizens never suspected of anything antiSoviet, they survived the great purges by Yezhov and Yagoda. Some were very experienced and influential people, sometimes eminent in their fields of expertise. The exposure of "cosmopolitans" then turned into a ridiculous, even idiotic glorification of Russian primacy in all and every area of science, technology and culture. Yet the "cosmopolitans" usually were not being arrested but instead were publicly humiliated, fired from

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

publishing houses, ideological and cultural organizations, from TASS, from Glavlit, from literature schools, theaters, orchestras; some were expelled from the party and publication of their works was often discouraged.

And the public campaign was expanding, spreading into new fields and compromising new names. Anti-Jewish cleansing of “cosmopolitans” was conducted in the research institutes of the Academy of Science: Institute of Philosophy (with its long history of internecine feuding between different cliques), the institutes of Economy, Law, in the Academy of Social Sciences at the CK of the VKPb, in the School of Law, and then it spread to the office of Public Prosecutor.

Thus, in the Department of History at MGU (Moscow State University), even a longstanding faithful communist and falsifier, I. I. Minz, member of the Academy, who enjoyed Stalin’s personal trust and was awarded with Stalin Prizes and concurrently chaired historical departments in several universities, was labeled the head of cosmopolitans in Historical Science. After that numerous scientific posts at MGU were “liberated” from his former students and other Jewish professors. Purges of Jews from technical fields and the natural sciences were gradually gaining momentum. The end of 1945 and all of 1946 were relatively peaceful for the Jews of this particular social group.

L. Mininberg studied Jewish contributions in Soviet science and industry during the war:  
“In 1946, the first serious blow since the end of the war was dealt to the administration and a big case was fabricated. Its principal victims were mainly Russians ... there were no Jews among them,” though investigation reports contained testaments against Israel Solomonovitch Levin, director of the Saratov Aviation Plant. He was accused on the charge

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

that during the Battle for Stalingrad, two aviation regiments were not able to take off because of manufacturing defects in the planes produced by the plant. The charge was real, not made-up by the investigators. However, Levin was neither fired nor arrested. In 1946, B.L. Vannikov, L.M. Kaganovich, S.Z. Ginzburg, L.Z. Mekhlis all kept their Ministry posts in the newly formed government. Almost all Jewish former deputy ministers also retained their positions as assistants to ministers. The first victims among the Jewish technical élite appeared only in 1947.

In 1950, academic A. F. Ioffe was forced to retire from the post of Director of the Physical Engineering Institute, which he organized and headed since its inception in 1918. In 1951, 34 directors and 31 principal engineers of aviation plants had been fired. This list contained mostly Jews. If in 1942 there were nearly forty Jewish directors and principal engineers in the Ministry of General Machine-Building (Ministry of Mortar Artillery) then only three remained by 1953. In the Soviet Army, the Soviet authorities persecuted not only Jewish generals, but lower ranking officers working on the development of military technology and weaponry were also removed.

Thus, the purging campaigns spread over to the defense, airplane construction, and automobile industries (though they did not affect the nuclear branch), primarily removing Jews from administrative, directorial and principal engineering positions; later purging was expanded onto various bureaucracies. Yet the genuine, ethnic denominator was never mentioned in the formal paperwork. Instead, the sacked officials faced charges of economic crimes or having relatives abroad at a time when conflict with the USA was expected, or other excuses were used.



## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

The purging campaigns rolled over the central cities and across the provinces. The methods of these campaigns were notoriously Soviet, in the spirit of 1930s: a victim was inundated in a vicious atmosphere of terror and as a result often tried to deflect the threat to himself by accusing others.

By repeating the tide of 1937, albeit in a milder form, the display of Soviet power reminded the Jews that they had never become truly integrated and could be pushed aside at any moment. “We do not have indispensable people!” However, Lavrenti Beria was tolerant of Jews. At least, in appointments to positions in government.

Pushing Jews out of prestigious occupations that were crucial for the ruling élite in the spheres of manufacturing, administration, cultural and ideological activities, as well as limiting or completely barring the entrance of Jews into certain institutions of higher education, gained enormous momentum in 1948-1953. Positions of any importance in the KGB, party apparatus, and military were closed to the Jews, and quotas were in place for admission into certain educational institutions and cultural and scientific establishments. Through its fifth item [i.e., the question about nationality] Soviet Jews were oppressed by the very same method used in the Proletarian Questionnaire, other items of which were so instrumental in crushing the Russian nobility, clergy, intellectuals and all the rest of the “former people” since the 1920s.

“Although the highest echelon of the Jewish political elite suffered from administrative perturbations, surprisingly it was not as bad as it seemed,” concludes G. V. Kostyrchenko. “The main blow fell on the middle and the most numerous stratum of the Jewish élite, officials and also journalists, professors and other members of the creative intelligentsia. ... It was these, so to say, nominal Jews — the

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

individuals with nearly complete lack of ethnic ties — who suffered the brunt of the cleansing of bureaucracies after the war.”

However, speaking of scientific cadres, the statistics are these: at the end of the 1920s there were 13.6 percent Jews among scientific researchers in the country, in 1937 — 17.5 percent, and by 1950 their proportion slightly decreased to 15.4 percent (25,125 Jews among 162,508 Soviet researchers). S. Margolina, looking back from the end of the 1980s concludes that, despite the scale of the campaign, after the war, “the number of highly educated Jews in high positions always remained disproportionately high.” But, in contrast with the former times of happiness, it certainly had decreased. A.M. Kheifetz recalls a memoir article of a member of the Academy, Budker, one of the fathers of the Soviet A-bomb where he described how they were building the first Soviet Abomb — being exhausted from the lack of sleep and fainting from stress and overwork — and it is precisely those days of persecution of “cosmopolitans” that were the most inspired and the happiest in his life.

In 1949 among Stalin Prize laureates no less than 13 percent were Jews, just like in the previous years. By 1952 there were only 6 percent. Data on the number of Jewish students in USSR were not published for nearly a quarter of century, from the pre-war years until 1963. We will examine those in the next chapter.

The genuine Jewish culture that had been slowly reviving after the war was curtailed and suppressed in 1948-1951. Jewish theatres were no longer subsidized and the few remaining ones were closed, along with book publishing houses, newspapers and bookstores. In 1949, the international radio broadcasting in Yiddish was also discontinued.

# THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

In the military, by 1953 almost all Jewish generals and approximately 300 colonels and lieutenant colonels were forced to resign from their positions.

## **Overt Perscution of Jews Begins**

As the incarcerated Jewish leaders remained jailed in Lubyanka for over three years, Stalin slowly and with great caution proceeded in dismantling the EAK. He was very well aware what kind of international storm would be triggered by using force. (Luckily, though, he acquired his first nuclear bomb in 1949.) On the other hand, he fully appreciated the significance of unbreakable ties between world Jewry and America, his enemy since his rejection of the Marshall Plan.

Investigation of EAK activities was reopened in January 1952. The accused were charged with connections to the Jewish nationalist organizations in America, with providing information regarding the economy of the USSR to those organizations and also with plans of repopulating Crimea and creating a Jewish Republic there. Thirteen defendants were found guilty and sentenced to death: S. A. Lozovsky, I. S. Ysefovich, B. A. Shimeliovich, V. L. Zuskin, leading Jewish writers D.R. Bergelson, P. D. Marshik, L. M. Kvitko, I. S. Feffer, D. N. Gofshtein, and also L. Y. Talmi, I. S. Vatenberg, C. S. Vatenberg — Ostrovsky, and E. I. Teumin. They were secretly executed in August.

Ehrenburg, who was also a member of the EAK, was not even arrested. (He assumed it was pure luck.) Similarly, the crafty David Zaslavsky survived also. And even after the execution of the Jewish writers, Ehrenburg continued to reassure the West that those writers were still alive and writing. The annihilation of the Jewish Antifascist Committee went along with similar secret “daughter” cases;

# THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

110 people were arrested, 10 of them were executed and five died during the investigation.

In autumn of 1952 Stalin went into the open as arrests among Jews began, such as arrests of Jewish professors of medicine and among members of literary circles in Kiev in October 1952. This information immediately spread among Soviet Jews and throughout the entire world. On October 17th, *Voice of America* broadcast about mass repressions among Soviet Jews. Soviet Jews were frozen by mortal fear.

Soon afterwards in November in Prague, a show trial of Slansky, the Jewish First Secretary of the Czechoslovak Communist Party, and several other top state and party leaders took place in a typically loud and populist Stalinist-type entourage. The trial was openly anti-Jewish with naming “world leading” Jews such as Ben Gurion and Morgenthau, and placing them in league with American leaders Truman and Acheson. The outcome was that eleven were hanged, eight Jews among them. Summing up the official version, K. Gotwald said: “This investigation and court trial disclosed a new channel through which treason and espionage permeated the Communist Party. This is Zionism.”

## **The “Doctors’ Plot”**

At the same time, since summer of 1951, the development of the Doctors’ Plot was gaining momentum. The case included the accusation of prominent physicians, doctors to the Soviet leadership, for the criminal treatment of state leaders. For the secret services, such an accusation was nothing new, as similar accusations had been made against Professor D. D. Pletnev and physicians L. G. Levin and I. N. Kazakov already during the Bukharin trial in 1937. At that

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

time, the gullible Soviet public gasped at such utterly evil plots. No one had any qualms about repeating the same old scenario.

Now we know much more about the Doctors' Plot. Initially it was not entirely an anti-Jewish action; the prosecution list contained the names of several prominent Russian physicians as well. In essence, the affair was fueled by Stalin's generally psychotic state of mind, with his fear of plots and mistrust of the doctors, especially as his health deteriorated. By September 1952 prominent doctors were arrested in groups. Investigations unfolded with cruel beatings of suspects and wild accusations; slowly it turned into a version of a spying-terroristic plot connected with foreign intelligence organizations— "American hirelings," "saboteurs in white coats," "bourgeois nationalism" — all indicating that it was primarily aimed at Jews.

Robert Conquest in *The Great Terror* follows this particular tragic line of involvement of highly placed doctors. In 1935, the false death certificate of Kuibyshev was signed by doctors G. Kaminsky, I. Khodorovsky, and L. Levin. In 1937, they signed a similarly false death certificate of Ordzhonikidze. They knew so many deadly secrets — could they expect anything but their own death?

Conquest writes that Dr. Levin had cooperated with the Cheka since 1920. "Working with Dzerzhinsky, Menzhinsky, and Yagoda, he was trusted by the head of such an organization. It is factually correct to consider Levin a member of Yagoda's circle in the NKVD." Further, we read something sententious: "Among those outstanding doctors who in 1937 moved against [Professor of Medicine] Pletnev and who had signed fierce accusative resolutions against him, we find the names of M. Vovsi, B. Kogan and V. Zelenin, who in their turn were subjected to torture by the

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

MGB in 1952-53 in connection with the ‘case of doctor-saboteurs,’ as well as two other doctors, N. Shereshevky and V. Vinogradov who provided a pre-specified death certificate of Menzhinsky.”

On January 3, 1953 *Pravda* and *Izvestia* published an announcement by TASS about the arrest of a group of doctor-saboteurs. The accusation sounded like a grave threat for Soviet Jewry. At the same time, by a degrading Soviet custom, prominent Soviet Jews were forced to sign a letter to *Pravda* with the most severe condemnation of the wiles of the Jewish bourgeois nationalists and their approval of Stalin’s government. Several dozen signed the letter. (Among them were Mikhail Romm, D. Oistrakh, S. Marshak, L. Landau, B. Grossman, E. Gilels, I. Dunayevsky and others. Initially Ehrenburg did not sign it — he found the courage to write a letter to Stalin: “to ask your advice.” His resourcefulness was unsurpassed indeed. To Ehrenburg, it was clear that “there is no such thing as the Jewish nation” and that assimilation is the only way and that Jewish nationalism

“inevitably leads to betrayal.” Yet the letter that was offered to him to sign could be invidiously inferred by the “enemies of our country.” He concluded that “I myself cannot resolve these questions,” but if “leading comrades will let me know that my signature is desired and useful for protecting our homeland and for peace in the world, I will sign it immediately.”

The draft of that statement of loyalty was painstakingly prepared in the administration of the Central Committee and eventually its style became softer and more respectful. However, this letter never appeared in the press. Possibly because of the international outrage, the Doctors’

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

Plot apparently began to slow down in the last days of Stalin. After the public announcement, the Doctors' Plot created a huge wave of repression of Jewish physicians all over the country. In many cities and towns, the offices of State Security began fabricating criminal cases against Jewish doctors. They were afraid to even go to work, and their patients were afraid to be treated by them.

After the "cosmopolitan" campaign, the menacing growl of "people's anger" in reaction to the Doctors' Plot utterly terrified many Soviet Jews, and a rumor arose (and then got rooted in the popular mind) that Stalin was planning a mass eviction of Jews to the remote parts of Siberia and North — a fear reinforced by the examples of postwar deportation of entire peoples. In his latest work G. Kostyrchenko, a historian and a scrupulous researcher of Stalin's Jewish policies, very thoroughly refutes this myth of deportation, proving that it had never been confirmed, either then or subsequently by any facts, and even in principle such a deportation would not have been possible.

But it is amazing how bewildered were those circles of Soviet Jews, who were unfailingly loyal to the Soviet-Communist ideology. Many years later, S. K. told me: "There is no single action in my life that I am as ashamed of as my belief in the genuineness of the Doctors' Plot of 1953! — that they, perhaps involuntarily, were involved a foreign conspiracy..."

An article from the 1960s states that "in spite of a pronounced anti-Semitism of Stalin's rule many Jews prayed that Stalin stayed alive, as they knew through experience that any period of weak power means a slaughter of Jews. We were well aware of the quite rowdy mood of the fraternal nations toward us."

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

On February 9th, a bomb exploded at the Soviet embassy in Tel Aviv. On February 11, 1953, the USSR broke off diplomatic relations with Israel. The conflict surrounding the Doctors' Plot intensified due to these events.

And then Stalin went wrong, and not for the first time, right? He did not understand how the thickening of the plot could threaten him personally, even within the secure quarters of his inaccessible political Olympus. The explosion of international anger coincided with the rapid action of internal forces, which may possibly have done away with Stalin. It could have happened through Beria (for example, according to Avtorhanov's version.)

After a public communiqué about the Doctors' Plot Stalin lived only 51 days. The release from custody and the acquittal of the doctors without trial were perceived by the older generation of Soviet Jews as a repetition of the Purim miracle: Stalin had perished on the day of Purim, when Esther saved the Jews of Persia from Haman.

On April 3, all the surviving accused in the Doctors' Plot were released. It was publicly announced the next day.

And yet again it was the Jews who pushed frozen history forward.

### **Chapter XXIII: Before the Six-Day War**

On the next day after Stalin's death, on March 6, 1953, the MGB (Ministry of State Security) ceased to exist, albeit only formally, as Beria incorporated it into his own Ministry of Interior Affairs (MVD). This move allowed him to disclose the abuses by the MGB, including those of the still publicly unannounced MGB Minister, Ignatiev (who secretly replaced Abakumov.) It seems that after 1952 Beria



# THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

was losing Stalin's trust and had been gradually pushed out by Ignatiev-Ryumin during the Doctors' Plot. Thus, by force of circumstances, Beria became a magnet for the new anti-Stalin opposition. And now on April 4, just a month after Stalin's death, he enjoyed enough power to dismiss the Doctors' Plot and accuse Ryumin of its fabrication. Three months later diplomatic relations with Israel were restored.

All this reinvigorated hope among Soviet Jews that the rise of Beria could be very promising for them. However, Beria was soon ousted and executed. Yet because of the usual Soviet inertia, with the death of Stalin many of the previously fired Jews were reinstated in their former positions. During the period called the *thaw*, many old Zionists were released from the camps. During the post-Stalin period, the first Zionist groups started to emerge, initially at local levels.

## **The Khrushchev Period**

Yet once again things began to turn unfavorably for the Jews. In March 1954, the Soviet Union vetoed the UN Security Council attempt to open the Suez Canal to Israeli ships. At the end of 1955, Khrushchev declared a pro-Arab, anti-Israel turn of Soviet foreign policy. In February 1956, in his famous report at the 20th Party Congress, Khrushchev, while speaking profusely about the massacres of 1937-1938, did not point any attention to the fact that there were so many Jews among the victims; he did not name Jewish leaders executed in 1952; and when speaking of the Doctors' Plot, he did not stress that it was specifically directed against the Jews.

It is easy to imagine the bitter feelings this aroused among the Jews. They swept Jewish communist circles abroad and even the leadership of those Communist parties,

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

where Jews constituted a significant percentage of members (such as in the Canadian and U.S. Communist parties.) In April 1956 in Warsaw, under the communist régime (though with heavy Jewish influence), the Jewish newspaper *Volksstimme* published a sensational article, listing the names of Jewish cultural and social celebrities who perished from 1937-1938 and from 1948-1952. Yet at the same time the article also condemned the capitalist enemies, Beria's period and welcomed the return of Leninist national policy.

The article in *Volksstimme* had unleashed a storm. International communist organizations and Jewish social circles loudly began to demand an explanation from the Soviet leaders. Throughout 1956, foreign visitors to the Soviet Union openly asked about Jewish situation there, and particularly why the Soviet government had not yet abandoned the dark legacy of Stalinism on the Jewish question? It became a recurrent theme for the foreign correspondents and visiting delegations of fraternal communist parties. Actually, that could be the reason for the loud denunciation in the Soviet press of the betrayal of communism by Howard Fast, an American writer and former enthusiastic champion of communism. Meanwhile, hundreds of Soviet Jews from different cities in one form or another participated in meetings of resurgent Zionist groups and coteries; old Zionists with connections to relatives or friends in Israel were active in those groups.

In May 1956, a delegation from the French Socialist Party arrived in Moscow. Particular attention was paid to the situation of Jews in the Soviet Union. Khrushchev found himself in a hot corner – now he could not afford to ignore the questions, yet he knew, especially after experiencing postwar Ukraine, that the Jews were not likely to be returned to their high social standing like in 1920s and 1930s. He

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

replied: “In the beginning of the revolution, we had many Jews in executive bodies of party and government. After that, we have developed new cadres. If Jews wanted to occupy positions of leadership in our republics today, it would obviously cause discontent among the local people. If a Jew, appointed to a high office, surrounds himself with Jewish colleagues, it naturally provokes envy and hostility toward all Jews.” (The French publication *Socialist Herald* called Khrushchev’s point about surrounding himself with Jewish colleagues “strange and false.”) In the same discussion, when Jewish culture and schools were addressed, Khrushchev explained that “if Jewish schools were established, there probably would not be many prospective students. The Jews are scattered all over the country. If the Jews were required to attend a Jewish school, it certainly would cause outrage. It would be understood as a kind of a ghetto.”

Three months later, in August 1956, a delegation of the Canadian Communist Party visited the USSR, and it stated outright that it had a special mission to achieve clarity on the Jewish question. Thus, in the postwar years, the Jewish question was becoming a central concern of the western communists. Khrushchev rejected all accusations of anti-Semitism as a slander against him and the party. He named a number of Soviet Jews to important posts, he even mentioned his Jewish daughter-in-law, but then he quite suddenly switched to the issue of good and bad features of each nation and pointed out several negative features of Jews, among which he mentioned their political unreliability. Yet he neither mentioned any of their positive traits, nor did he talk about other nations.

In the same conversation, Khrushchev expressed his agreement with Stalin’s decision against establishing a Crimean Jewish Republic, stating that such Jewish

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

colonization of the Crimea would be a strategic military risk for the Soviet Union. This statement was particularly hurtful to the Jewish community. The Canadian delegation insisted on publication of a specific statement by the Central Committee of Communist Party of the Soviet Union about the sufferings of Jews, but it was met with firm refusal, since were such a pronouncement issued other nations and republics, which also suffered from Bolshevik crimes against their culture and intelligentsia, would ask with astonishment why this statement covers only Jews? (S. Schwartz dismissively comments: “The pettiness of this argumentation is striking.”)

Yet it did not end at that. Secretly, influential foreign Jewish communists tried to obtain explanations about the fate of the Jewish cultural élite, and in October of the same year, twenty-six Western progressive Jewish leaders and writers appealed publicly to Prime-Minister Bulganin and President Voroshilov, asking them to issue a public statement about injustices committed against Jews and the measures the government had designed to restore the Jewish cultural institutions. Yet during both the interregnum of 1953-1957 and then in Khrushchev’s period, the Soviet policies toward Jews were inconsistent, wary, circumspect and ambivalent, thus sending signals in all directions.

In particular, the summer of 1956, which was filled with all kinds of social expectations in general, had also become the apogee of Jewish hopes. One Surkov, the head of the Union of Writers, in a conversation with a communist publisher from New York City mentioned plans to establish a new Jewish publishing house, theater, newspaper and quarterly literary magazine; there were also plans to organize a countrywide conference of Jewish writers and cultural celebrities. It also noted that a commission for reviving the

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

Jewish literature in Yiddish had been already established. In 1956, many Jewish writers and journalists gathered in Moscow again. The Jewish activists later recalled that the optimism inspired in all of us by the events of 1956 did not quickly fade away. Yet the Soviet government continued with its meaningless and aimless policies, discouraging any development of an independent Jewish culture. It is likely that Khrushchev himself was strongly opposed to it.

And then came new developments the Suez Crisis, where Israel, Britain and France allied in attacking Egypt (“Israel is heading to suicide,” formidably warned the Soviet press). After that came the Hungarian Uprising, with its anti-Jewish streak which has been nearly completely concealed by historians, resulting, perhaps from the overrepresentation of Jews in the Hungarian KGB. Could this be also one of the reasons, even if a minor one, for the complete absence of Western support for the rebellion? Of course, at this time the West was preoccupied with the Suez Crisis. And yet wasn’t it a signal to the Soviets suggesting that it would be better if the Jewish theme be kept hushed?

Then, a year later, Khrushchev finally overpowered his highly placed enemies within the party and, among others, Kaganovith was cast down.

Could it really be such a big deal? The latter was not the only one ousted and even then he was not the principal figure among the dethroned; and he was definitely not thrown out because of his Jewishness. Yet from the Jewish point of view, his departure symbolized the end of an era. Some looked around and counted the Jews who disappeared not only from the ruling sections of the party, but also from the leading governmental circles. It was time to pause and ponder thoroughly – what did the Jews really think about *such* new authorities?

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

David Burg, who emigrated from the USSR in 1956, came upon a formula on how the Jews should treat the Soviet rule. (It proved quite useful for the authorities): “To some, the danger of anti-Semitism from below seems greater than the danger of anti-Semitism from above. Though the government oppresses us, it nevertheless allows us to exist. If, however, a revolutionary change comes, then during the inevitable anarchy of the transition period we will simply be exterminated.

Therefore, let’s hold on to the government no matter how bad it is.”

We repeatedly encountered similar sentiments in the 1930s—that the Jews should support Bolshevik power in the USSR because without it their fate would be even worse. And now, even though the Soviet power had further deteriorated, the Jews had no other choice but hold on to it as before.

The Western world and particularly the United States always heeded such recommendations, even during the most strained years of the Cold War. In addition, socialist Israel was still full of communist sympathizers and could forgive the Soviet Union a lot for its role in the defeat of Hitler. Yet how then could Soviet anti-Semitism be interpreted? In this aspect, the recommendation of D. Burg stood up to the acute “social demand” – to move emphasis from the anti-Semitism of the Soviet government to the anti-Semitism of the Russian people – that everpresent curse. So now some Jews even fondly recalled the long-disbanded YevSek [the Jewish Section of the Central Committee, dismantled in 1930 when Dimanshtein and its other leaders were shot.] Even though back in the 1920s it seemed overly proCommunist, the YevSek was to certain extent a guardian of Jewish national interests an organ that produced some positive work as well.

# THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

## A Mild Thaw

In the meantime, Khrushchev's policy remained equivocal; it is reasonable to assume that though Khrushchev himself did not like Jews, he did not want to fight against them, realizing the international political counter-productivity of such an effort. In 1957-1958, Jewish musical performances and public literary clubs were authorized and appeared in many cities countrywide. For example, in 1961, Jewish literary soirees and Jewish song performances were attended by about 300,000 people. Yet at the same time, the circulation of Warsaw's *Volksstimme* was discontinued in the Soviet Union, thus cutting the Soviet Jews off from an outside source of Jewish information.

In 1954, after a long break, Sholom Aleichem's *The Adventures of Mottel* was again published in Russian, followed by several editions of his other books and their translations into other languages; in 1959 a large edition of his collected works was produced as well. In 1961 in Moscow, the Yiddish magazine *Sovetish Heymland* was established (though it strictly followed the official policy line.) Publications of books by Jewish authors who were executed in Stalin's time were resumed in Yiddish and Russian, and one even could hear Jewish tunes on the broadcasts of the All-Soviet Union radio.

By 1966, about one hundred Jewish authors were writing in Yiddish in the Soviet Union, and almost all of the named authors simultaneously worked as Russian language journalists and translators, and many of them worked as teachers in the Russian schools. However, the Jewish theater did not re-open until 1966. In that year S. Schwartz defined the Jewish situation in the USSR as "cultural orphanhood."

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

Yet another author bitterly remarks: “The general lack of enthusiasm and interest from the wider Jewish population toward those cultural undertakings cannot be explained solely by official policies.” With rare exceptions, during those years the Jewish actors performed in half-empty halls. Books of Jewish writers were not selling well.

Similarly ambivalent, but more hostile policies of the Soviet authorities in Khrushchev’s period were implemented against the Jewish religion. It was a part of Khrushchev’s general antireligious assault; it is well known how devastating it was for the Russian Orthodox Church.

Since the 1930s, not a single theological school functioned in the USSR. In 1957 a yeshiva, a school for training rabbis, opened in Moscow. It accommodated only 35 students, and even those were being consistently pushed out under various pretexts such as withdrawal of residence registration in Moscow. Printing of prayer books and manufacturing of religious accessories was hindered. Up to 1956, before the Jewish Passover matzoh was baked by stateowned bakeries and then sold in stores. Beginning in 1957, however, baking of matzoh was obstructed and since 1961 it was banned outright almost everywhere. One day, the authorities would not interfere with receiving parcels with matzah from abroad, another day, they stopped the parcels at the customs, and even demanded recipients to express in the press their outrage against the senders. In many places, synagogues were closed down. In 1966, only 62 synagogues were functioning in the entire Soviet Union.

Yet the authorities did not dare to shut down the synagogues in Moscow, Leningrad, Kiev and in the capitals of the republics. In the 1960s, there used to be extensive worship services on high holidays with large crowds of 10,000 to 15,000 on the streets around synagogues. C.



## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

Schwartz notes that in the 1960s Jewish religious life was in severe decline, yet he largemindedly reminds us that it was the result of the long process of secularization that began in Russian Jewry in the late 19th Century. (The process, which, he adds, has also succeeded in extremely non-communist Poland between the First and Second World Wars.) Judaism in the Soviet Union lacked a united control center; yet when the Soviet authorities wanted to squeeze out a political show from the leading rabbis for foreign policy purposes, be it about the wellbeing of Judaism in the USSR or outrage against the nuclear war, the government was perfectly able to stage it. The Soviet authorities had repeatedly used Jewish religious leaders for foreign policy goals. For example, in November 1956 a group of rabbis issued a protest against the actions of Israel during the Suez War.

Another factor, which aggravated the status of Judaism in the USSR after the Suez War, was the growing fashionability of what was termed the struggle against Zionism. Zionism, being, strictly speaking, a form of socialism, should naturally have been seen as a true brother to the party of Marx and Lenin. Yet after the mid-1950s, the decision to secure the friendship of the Arabs drove the Soviet leaders toward persecution of Israel.

However, for the Soviet masses Zionism was a distant, unfamiliar and abstract phenomenon. Therefore, to flesh out this struggle, to give it a distinct embodiment, the Soviet government presented Zionism as a caricature composed of the characteristic and eternal Jewish images. The books and pamphlets allegedly aimed against Zionism also contained explicit antiJudaic and anti-Jewish messages. If in the Soviet Union of 1920-1930s Judaism was not as brutally persecuted as the Russian Orthodox Christianity, then in 1957 a foreign socialist commentator noted how that

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

year signified a decisive intensification of the struggle against Judaism, the turning point in the struggle against the Jewish religion, and that the character of struggle betrays that it is directed not only against Judaism, but against the Jews in general.

There was one stirring episode: in 1963 in Kiev, the Ukrainian Academy of Sciences published 12,000 copies of a brochure *Unadorned Judaism* in Ukrainian, yet it was filled with such blatant anti-Jewish caricatures that it provoked a large-scale international outcry, joined even by the communist friends (who were financially supported by Moscow), such as the leaders of the American and British communist parties, newspapers *L'Humanite*, *L'Unita*, as well as a pro-Chinese communist newspaper from Brussels, and many others. The UN Human Rights Commission demanded an explanation from its Ukrainian representative. The World Jewish Cultural Association called for the prosecution of the author and the cartoonist. The Soviet side held on for awhile, insisting that except for the drawings, "the book deserves a generally positive assessment." Finally, even *Pravda* had to admit that it was indeed "an ill-prepared brochure" with "erroneous statements and illustrations that may offend feelings of religious people or be interpreted as anti-Semitic," a phenomenon that, "as is universally known, does not and cannot exist in our country." Yet at the same time *Izvestia* stated that although there were certain drawbacks to the brochure, "its main idea is no doubt right."

There were even several arrests of religious Jews from Moscow and Leningrad – accused of espionage [conversations during personal meetings in synagogues] for a capitalistic state [Israel] with synagogues allegedly used as

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

fronts for various criminal activities – to scare others more effectively.

\* \* \*

Although there were already no longer any Jews in the most prominent positions, many still occupied influential and important second-tier posts (though there were exceptions. For example, Veniamin Dymshits smoothly ran Gosplan (the State Planning Committee) from 1962, while being at the same time the Deputy Chairman of the Council of Ministers of USSR and a member of Central Committee from 1961 to 1964). At one time Jews were joining NKVD and the MVD in such numbers that even now, after all purges of the 1930s, a few individuals miraculously remained, such as the famous Captain Joffe in a camp in Mordovia.

According to the USSR Census of 1959, 2,268,000 Jews lived in the Soviet Union. (Yet there were caveats regarding this figure: Everybody knows that there are more Jews in the Soviet Union than the Census ever showed, as on the Census day, a Jew states his nationality not according to his passport, but any nationality he wishes.) Of those, 2,162,000 Jews lived in the cities, i.e., 95.3 percent of total population – much more than 82 percent in 1926 or 87 percent in 1939.<sup>37</sup> And if we glance forward into the 1970 Census, the observed increase in the number of Jews in Moscow and Leningrad is apparently caused not by natural growth but by migration from other cities (in spite of all the residential restrictions). Over these 11 years, at least several thousand Jews relocated to Kiev. The concentration of Jews in the large cities had been increasing for many decades.

These figures are very telling for those who know about the differences in living standards between the urban

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

and the rural populations in the Soviet Union. G. Rosenblum, the editor of the prominent Israeli newspaper *Yedioth Ahronoth*, recalls an almost anecdotal story by Israeli Ambassador to Moscow Dr. Harel about his tour of the USSR in the mid-1960s. In a large *kolkhoz* near Kishinev he was told that the Jews who work there want to meet him. The Israeli was very happy that there were Jews in the *kolkhoz* (love of agriculture - a good sign for Israel). He recounts:

“Three Jews came to meet me. One was a cashier, another the editor of the *kolkhoz*’s wall newspaper, and the third one was a kind of economic manager. I couldn’t find any other. So, what the Jews used to do [i.e. before], they are still doing.” In other words, avoiding any physical outdoor labor with their hands, just like they did for so many centuries under the Czars.

G. Rosenblum confirms this: “Indeed, the Soviet Jews in their masses did not take to the physical work.” L. Shapiro concludes, “Conversion of Jews to agriculture ended in failure despite all the efforts of public Jewish organizations and the assistance of the state.” What successive Czars failed to accomplish, to change the very heart and soul and personality of an entire people, the power of the Soviet state could never accomplish either.

In Moscow, Leningrad and Kiev – the cities enjoying the highest living and cultural standards in the country, the Jews, according to the 1959 Census, constituted 3.9 percent, 5.8 percent, and 13.9 percent of the population, respectively, which is quite a lot, considering that they accounted only for 1.1 percent of the entire population of the USSR.

So it was that this extremely high concentration of Jews in urban areas – 95 percent of all Soviet Jews lived in the cities – that made the system of prohibitions and

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

restrictions particularly painful for them. (As we mentioned in the previous chapter, this system was outlined back in the early 1940s.) And although the restrictive rules have never been officially acknowledged and officials stoutly denied their existence, these rules and restrictions very effectively barred the Jews from many spheres of action, professions and positions.

Some recall a disturbing rumor circulating then among the Jews: allegedly, Khrushchev said in one of his unpublished speeches that “as many Jews will be accepted into the institutions of higher education as work in the coal mines.” Perhaps, he really just blurted it out in his usual manner, because such balancing was never carried out. Yet by the beginning of 1960s, while the absolute number of Jewish students increased, their relative share decreased substantially when compared to the pre-war period: if in 1936 the share of Jews among students was 7.5 times higher than that in the total population, then by 1960s it was only 2.7 times higher. These new data on the distribution of students in higher and secondary education by nationality were published for the first time (in the post-war period) in 1963 in the statistical annual report, *The National Economy of the USSR*, and a similar table was annually produced up to 1972.

In terms of the absolute number of students in institutions of higher education and technical schools in the 1962-1963 academic year, Jews were fourth after the three Slavic nations (Russians, Ukrainians, Byelorussians), with 79,300 Jewish students in institutions of higher education out of a total 2,943,700 students (2.69 percent). In the next academic year 1963-1964, the number of Jewish students increased to 82,600, while the total number of students in the USSR reached 3,260,700 (2.53 percent). This share remained

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

almost constant until the 1969/1970 academic year; 101,000 Jewish students out of total 4,549,900. Then the Jewish share began to decline and in 1972-1973 it was 1.91 percent: 88,500 Jewish students out of total 4,630,246. (This decline coincided with the beginning of the Jewish immigration to Israel.)

The relative number of Jewish scientists also declined in 1960s, from 9.5 percent in 1960 to 6.1 percent in 1973. During those same years, there were tens of thousands Jewish names in the Soviet art and literature, including 8.5 percent of writers and journalists, 7.7 percent of actors and artists, more than 10 percent of judges and attorneys, and about 15 percent doctors. Traditionally, there were always many Jews in medicine, yet consider the accursed Soviet psychiatry, which in those years began locking up healthy people in mental institutions.

And who were those psychiatrists? Listing the Jewish occupations, M.I. Heifetz writes: “Psychiatry is a Jewish monopoly. A friend, a Jewish psychiatrist, told me just before my arrest; we began to get Russians only recently and even then as the result of an order.” *[Translator’s note: admission into medical residency training was regulated at local and central levels; here author indicates that admission of ethnically Russian doctors into advanced psychiatry training was mandated from the higher levels.]* He provides examples: the Head Psychiatrist of Leningrad, Professor Averbukh, who rendered his expertise to the KGB in the Big House; in Moscow there was famous Luntz; in the Kaluga Hospital there was Lifshitz and his Jewish gang. When Heifetz was arrested and his wife began looking for a lawyer with a clearance, that is with permission from the KGB to work on

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

political cases, she did not find a single Russian among them, as all such lawyers were Jews.

In 1956, Furtseva, then the First Secretary of Moscow Gorkom (the City's Party Committee), complained that in some offices Jews constitute more than half of the staff. I have to note for balance that *in those* years the presence of Jews in the Soviet apparatus was not detrimental. The Soviet legal machinery was in its essence stubbornly and hardheartedly antihuman, skewed against any man in need, be it a petitioner or just a visitor. So it often happened that the Russian officials in Soviet offices, petrified by their power, looked for any excuse triumphantly to turn away a visitor. In contrast, one could find much more understanding in a Jewish official and resolve an issue in a more humane way.

L. Shapiro provides examples of complaints that in the national republics, the Jews were pushed out and displaced from the bureaucratic apparatus by native intelligentsia – yet it was a common and officially-mandated system of preferences in the ethnic republics [to affirm the local cadres], and Russians were displaced just as well.

This reminds me of an example from contemporary American life. In 1965, the New York Division of the American Jewish Committee had conducted a four-months-long unofficial interview of more than a thousand top officials in New York City banks. Based on its results, the American Jewish Committee mounted a protest because less than 3 percent of those surveyed were Jews, though they constituted one quarter of the population of – that is, the Committee demanded *proportional representation*. Then the chairman of the Association of Banks of New York responded that banks, according to law, do not hire on the basis of race, creed, color or national origin and do not keep

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

records of such categories (that would be our accursed fifth article, the requirement in the Soviet internal passport - nationality.) Interestingly, the same American Jewish Committee had conducted a similar study about the ethnic composition of management of the fifty largest U.S. public utility services two years before, and in 1964 it in similar vein it studied industrial enterprises in the Philadelphia region.

Yet let us return to the Soviet Jews. Many Jewish emigrants loudly advertised their former activity in the periodical-publishing and film-making industries back in the USSR. In particular, we learn from a Jewish author that “it was due to his [Syrokomskiy’s] support that all top positions in *Literaturnaya Gazeta* became occupied by Jews.”

Yet twenty years later we read a different assessment of the time: “The new anti-Semitism grew stronger and by the second half of the 1960s it already amounted to a developed system of discreditation, humiliation and isolation of the entire people.”

So how can we reconcile such conflicting views? How can we reach a calm and balanced assessment?

Then from the high spheres inhabited by economic barons there came alarming signals, signals that made the Jews nervous. To a certain extent, Jewish activity in the Soviet Union concentrated in the specific fields of economy along a characteristic pattern, well-known to Jewish sociologists. By then, at the end of 1950s, Nikita [Khrushchev] suddenly realized that the key spheres of the Soviet economy were plagued by rampant theft and fraud. (“Suddenly realized” it. Yes, I wrote those words with a straight face.—AS) In 1961, an explicitly anti-Semitic campaign was initiated against the theft of socialist property. Beginning in 1961, a number of punitive decrees of the Supreme Soviet of the USSR were passed. The first one dealt



## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

with foreign currency speculations, another – with bribes, and still another later introduced capital punishment for the aforementioned crimes, at the same time lawlessly applying the death penalty retroactively, for the crimes committed before those decrees were issued (as, for example, the case of J. Rokotov and B. Faybishenko.) Executions started in the very first year. During the first nine trials, eleven individuals were sentenced to death. Among them were perhaps, six Jews.

*The Jewish Encyclopedia* states it more specifically, In 1961-1964, thirty-nine Jews were executed for economic crimes in the RSFSR and seventy-nine – in Ukraine, and forty-three Jews in other republics. In these trials, the vast majority of defendants were Jews. (The publicity was such that the court reports indicated the names and patronymics of the defendants, which was the normal order of pleadings, yet it was getting absolutely clear from that that they were Jews.)

Next, in a large court trial in Frunze in 1962, nineteen out of forty-six defendants were apparently Jewish. There is no reason to think that this new policy was conceived as a system of anti-Jewish measures. Yet immediately upon enforcement, the new laws acquired distinct anti-Jewish flavor—the author of the quote obviously points out to the publication of the full names of defendants, including Jewish ones; other than that, neither the courts, nor the government, nor the media made any generalizations or direct accusations against the Jews. And even when *Sovetskaya Kyrgyzia* wrote that “they occupied different posts, but they were closely linked to each other,” it never clarified the begged question *how* were they linked? The newspaper treated this issue with silence, thus pushing the reader to the thought that the nucleus of the criminal organization was composed of the closely linked individuals. Yet closely linked by what? By

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

their Jewishness. So the newspaper emphasized the Jews in this case. Yet people can be closely linked by any illegal transaction, greed, swindling or fraud. And, amazingly, nobody argued that those individuals could be innocent (though they could have been innocent). Yet to *name* them was equal to Jew-baiting.

Next, in January 1962, came the Vilnius case of speculators in foreign currency. *All* eight defendants were Jews (during the trial, non-Jewish members of the political establishment involved in the case escaped public naming – a usual Soviet trick). This time, there was an explicit anti-Jewish sentiment from the prosecution: The deals were struck in a synagogue, and the arguments were settled with the help of wine.

S. Schwartz is absolutely convinced that this legal and economic harassment was nothing else but rampant anti-Semitism, yet he completely disregards the tendency of Jews to concentrate their activity in the specific spheres of economy. Similarly, the entire Western media interpreted this as a brutal campaign against Jews, the *humiliation and isolation of the entire people*; Bertrand Russell sent a letter of protest to Khrushchev and got a personal response from the Soviet leader. After that, the Soviet authorities apparently had second thoughts when they handled the Jews.

In the West, the official Soviet anti-Semitism began to be referred to as the most pressing issue in the USSR, ignoring any number of more acute issues) and the most proscribed subject. (Though there were numerous other proscribed issues such as forced collectivization, or the surrender of three million Red Army soldiers in the year of 1941 alone, or the murderous nuclear experimentation on our own Soviet troops on the Totskoye range in 1954.) Of course, after Stalin's death, the Communist Party avoided explicit

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

anti-Jewish statements. Perhaps, they practiced incendiary invitation-only meetings and briefings; that would have been very much in the Soviet style. Solomon Schwartz rightly concludes: “Soviet anti-Jewish policy does not have any sound or rational foundation. The strangulation of Jewish cultural life appears puzzling. How can such bizarre policy be explained?”

Still, when all living things in the country were being choked, could one really expect that such vigorous and agile people would escape a similar lot? To that, the Soviet foreign policy agendas of 1960s added their weight: the USSR was designing an anti-Israel campaign. Thus, they came up with a convenient, ambiguous and indefinite term of anti-Zionism, which became a sword of Damocles hanging above the entire Jewish population of the country. Campaigning against Zionism in the press became a sort of impenetrable shield as its obvious anti-Semitic nature became unprovable. Moreover, it sounded menacing and dangerous – “Zionism is the instrument of the American imperialism.” So the Jews had to prove their loyalty in one way or other, to somehow convince the people around them that they had no connection to their own Jewishness, especially to Zionism.

The feelings of ordinary Jews in the Soviet Union became the feelings of the oppressed as vividly expressed by one of them: “Over the years of persecution and vilification, the Jews developed a certain psychological complex of suspicion to any contact coming from non-Jews. In everything they are ready to see implicit or explicit hints against their nationality. The Jews can never publicly declare their Jewishness, and it is formally accepted that this should be kept silent, as if it was a vice, or a past crime.”

An incident in Malakhovka in October 1959 added substantially to that atmosphere. On the night of October 4,

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

in Malakhovka, a settlement half an hour from Moscow with 30,000 inhabitants, about 10 percent of whom were Jews, the roof of the synagogue caught fire along with the house of the Jewish cemetery keeper, and the wife of the keeper died in the fire. On the same night, leaflets were scattered and posted across Malakhovka: “Away with the Jews in commerce! We saved them from the Germans yet they became arrogant so fast that the Russian people do not understand any longer who’s living on whose land.”

Growing depression drove some Jews to such an extreme state of mind as that described by D. Shturman: some Jewish philistines developed a hatred toward Israel, believing it to be the generator of anti-Semitism in Soviet politics. I remember the words of one successful Jewish teacher: “One good bomb dropped on Israel would make our life much easier.” Yet that was an ugly exception indeed. In general, the rampant anti-Zionist campaign triggered a consolidation of the sense of Jewishness in people and the growth of sympathy towards Israel as the outpost of the Jewish nation.

There is yet another explanation of the social situation in those years: yes, under Khrushchev, fear for their lives had become a thing of the past for Soviet Jews, but the foundations of new anti-Semitism had been laid, as the young generation of political establishment fought for caste privileges, seeking to occupy the leading positions in arts, science, commerce, finance, etc. There the new Soviet aristocracy encountered Jews, whose share in those fields was traditionally high. The social structure of the Jewish population, which was mainly concentrated in the major centers of the country, reminded the ruling élite of their own class structure.

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

Doubtless, such encounters did take place; it was an epic “crew change” in the Soviet ruling establishment, switching from a Jewish élite to the Russian one. It clearly resulted in antagonism, and I remember those conversations among the Jews during Khrushchev’s era— they were full of not only ridicule, but also of bad insults against the ex-villagers, the “muzhiks” who infiltrated the establishment.

Yet altogether all the various social influences combined with the great prudence of the Soviet authorities by 1965 led to a dramatic alleviation of both the prevalence and the acuteness of modern Soviet anti-Semitism, which became far diminished from what had been observed during the war and the first post-war years. It appears that a marked attenuation, maybe even a complete dying out of the attitude is happening. Overall, in the 1960s the Jewish world view was rather positive. This is what we consistently hear from different authors. (Contrast this to what we just read, that “the new anti-Semitism grew in strength in the 1960s.”) The same opinion was expressed again twenty years later – “Khrushchev’s era was one of the most peaceful periods of the Soviet history for the Jews.”

In 1956-1957, many new Zionist societies sprang up in the USSR, bringing together young Jews who previously did not show much interest in Jewish national problems or Zionism. An important impetus for the awakening of national consciousness among Soviet Jews and for the development of a sense of solidarity with the State of Israel was the Suez Crisis of 1956. Later, the International Youth Festival in Moscow, 1957 became a catalyst for the revival of the Zionist movement in the USSR among a certain portion of Soviet Jews. Between the festival and the Six-Day War [1967], Zionist activity in the Soviet Union was gradually expanding. Contacts between Soviet Jews and the Israeli Embassy

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

became more frequent and less dangerous. Also, the importance of Jewish *samisdat* increased dramatically.

During the so-called Khrushchev *thaw* period (the end of 1950s to the beginning of the 1960s) Soviet Jews were spiritually re-energized; they shook off the fears and distress of the previous age of the Doctors' Plot and the persecution of the "cosmopolitans." It even became *fashionable* in the metropolitan society to be a Jew; the Jewish motif entered *samisdat* and the poetic soirées then so popular among the young. Rimma Kazakova even ventured to declare her Jewish identity from the stage. Yevtushenko quickly caught the air and expressed it in 1961 in his *Babi Yar*, proclaiming himself a Jew in spirit. His poem (and the courage of *Literaturnaya Gazeta*) was a literary trumpet call for all of Soviet and world Jewry. Yevtushenko recited his poem during a huge number of poetic soirées, always accompanied by a roar of applause. After a while Shostakovich, who often ventured into Jewish themes, set Yevtushenko's poem into his 13th Symphony. Yet its public performance was limited by the authorities. *Babi Yar* spread among Soviet and foreign Jewries as a reinvigorating and healing blast of air, a truly revolutionary act in the development of the social consciousness in the Soviet Union; it became the most significant event since the dismissal of the Doctors' Plot. In 1964-65 Jewish themes returned into popular literature; take, for example, *Summer in Sosnyaki* by Anatoliy Rybakov or the *Diary of Masha Rolnik*, written apparently under heavy influence of *Diary of Anne Frank*.

After the ousting of Khrushchev from all his posts, the official policy towards Jews was softened somewhat. The struggle against Judaism abated and nearly all restrictions on baking matzoh were abolished. Gradually, the campaign against economic crimes faded away too. Yet the Soviet

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

press unleashed a propaganda campaign against Zionist activities among the Soviet Jews and their connections to the Israeli Embassy.

All these political fluctuations and changes in the Jewish policies in the Soviet Union did not pass unnoticed, but served to awaken the Jews.

In the 1959 Census, only 21 percent of Jews named Yiddish as their first language (in 1926 it was 72 percent.) Even in 1970s they used to say that Russian Jewry, which was in the past the most Jewish Jewry in the world, became the least Jewish. The current state of Soviet society is fraught with destruction of Jewish spiritual and intellectual potential. Or as another author put it: Jews in the Soviet Union were neither allowed to assimilate, nor were they allowed to be Jews.

Yet Jewish identity was never subdued during the entire Soviet period. In 1966 the official mouthpiece *Sovetish Heymland* claimed that “even assimilated Russian-speaking Jews still retain their unique character, distinct from that of any other segment of population.” Not to mention the Jews of Odessa, Kiev, and Kharkov, who sometimes were even snooty about their Jewishness to the extent that they did not want to befriend a *goy*.

Scientist Leo Tumerman (already in Israel in 1977) recalls the early Soviet period, when he used to reject any nationalism. Yet now, looking back at those years: “I am surprised to notice what I had overlooked then: despite what appeared to be my full assimilation into the Russian life, the entire circle of my close and intimate friends at that time was Jewish.” The sincerity of his statement is certain – the picture is clear. Such things were widespread and I witnessed similar situations quite a few times, and Russians people did not mind such behavior at all.

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

Another Jewish author notes: in the USSR non-religious Jews of all walks of life hand in hand defended the principle of racial purity. He adds: "Nothing could be more natural. People for whom the Jewishness is just an empty word are very rare, especially among the unassimilated Jews."

Natan Sharansky's testimonial, given shortly after his immigration to Israel, is also typical: "Much of my Jewishness was instilled into me by my family. Although our family was an assimilated one, it nevertheless was Jewish. My father, an ordinary Soviet journalist, was so fascinated with the revolutionary ideas of happiness for all and not just for the Jews, that he became an absolutely loyal Soviet citizen." Yet in 1967 after the Six-Day War and later in 1968 after Czechoslovakia, "I suddenly realized an obvious difference between myself and non-Jews around me a kind of a sense of the fundamental difference between my Jewish consciousness and the national consciousness of the Russians."

And here is another very thoughtful testimonial (1975): "The efforts spent over the last hundred years by Jewish intellectuals to reincarnate themselves into the Russian national form were truly titanic. Yet it did not give them balance of mind; on the contrary, it rather made them feel the bitterness of their bi-national existence more acutely. They have an answer to the tragic question of Aleksandr Blok: 'My Russia, my life, are we to drudge through life together?' To that question, to which a Russian as a rule gives an unambiguous answer, a member of Russian Jewish intelligentsia used to reply (sometimes after self-reflection): No, not together. For the time being, yes, side by side, but not together. A duty is no substitute for Motherland."



## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

And so the Jews felt free from obligations at all sharp turns of Russian history. Fair enough. One can only hope for all Russian Jews to get such clarity and acknowledge this dilemma.

Yet usually the problem in its entirety is blamed on anti-Semitism: excluding them from everything genuinely Russian, our anti-Semitism simultaneously bars them from all things Jewish. Anti-Semitism is terrible not because of what it *does to the Jews* (by imposing restrictions on them), but because of what it *does with the Jews* by turning them into neurotic, depressed, stressed, and defective human beings.

Still, those Jews, who had fully woken up to their identity, were very quickly, completely, and reliably cured from such a morbid condition. Jewish identity in the Soviet Union grew stronger as they went through the historical ordeals predestined for Jewry by the 20th Century. First, it was the Jewish Catastrophe during the Second World War, although as a result of official Soviet muffling and obscuring, Soviet Jewry only comprehended its full scope later.

Another push was given by the campaign against “cosmopolitans” in 1949-1950. Then there was a very serious threat of a massacre by Stalin, eliminated by his timely death. And with Khrushchev’s *thaw* and after it, later in the 1960s, Soviet Jewry quickly awoke spiritually, already sensing its unique identity.

During the second half of the 1950s, the growing sense of bitterness, spread over large segments of Soviet Jewry, led to consolidation of the sense of national solidarity. But only in the late 1960s did a very small but committed group of scientists (Note: they were not humanitarians; the most colorful figure among them was Alexander Voronel) begin rebuilding of

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

Jewish national consciousness in Russia. And then against the nascent national consciousness of Soviet Jews, the Six-Day War suddenly broke out and instantly ended in what might have seemed a miraculous victory. Israel has ascended in their minds and Soviet Jews awoke to their spiritual and consanguineous kinship with Israel.

But the Soviet authorities, furious at Nasser's disgraceful defeat, immediately attacked Soviet Jews with the thundering campaign against the "Judeo-Zionist-Fascism," insinuating that all the Jews were Zionists and claiming that the global conspiracy of Zionism is the expected and *inevitable product of the entirety of Jewish history, Jewish religion, and the resultant Jewish national character*, and because of the consistent pursuit of the ideology of racial supremacy and apartheid, Judaism turned out to be a very convenient religion for securing world dominance. The campaign on television and in the press was accompanied by a dramatic termination of diplomatic relations with Israel. The Soviet Jews had many reasons to fear. It looked like it was going to come to calls for a pogrom.

But underneath this scare a new and already unstoppable explosion of Jewish national consciousness was growing and developing. Bitterness, resentment, anger, and the sense of social insecurity were accruing for a final break up which would lead to complete severing of all ties with this country and this society – to emigration.

The victory of the Israeli Army contributed to the awakening of national consciousness among the many thousands of almost completely assimilated Soviet Jews. The process of national revival has begun. The activity of Zionist groups in cities all across the country surged. In 1969, there were attempts to create a united Zionist Organization in the USSR. An increasing number of Jews applied to emigrate to

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

Israel. And the numerous refusals to grant exit visas led to the failed attempt to hijack an airplane on June 15, 1970. The following Dymshits-Kuznetsov hijacking affair can be considered a historic landmark in the fate of Soviet Jewry.

# THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

## Chapter XXIV: Breaking Away From Bolshevism

At the beginning of the 20th century, Europe imagined itself to be on the threshold of worldwide enlightenment. No one could have predicted the strength with which nationalism would explode in that very century among all nations of the world. One hundred years later, it seems nationalist feelings are not about to die soon (the very message that international socialists have been trying to drum into our heads for the whole century,) but instead are gaining strength.

Yet, does not the multi-national nature of humanity provide variety and wealth? Erosion of nations surely would be an impoverishment for humanity, the entropy of the spirit. And centuries of the histories of national cultures would then turn into irredeemably dead and useless antics. The logic that it would be easier to manage such a uniform mankind fails by its petty reductionism.

However, the propaganda in the Soviet empire harped non-stop in an importunately triumphant manner about the imminent withering away and amalgamation of nations, proclaiming that no national question exists in our country, and that there is certainly no Jewish question.

Yet why should not the Jewish question exist — the question of the unprecedented threethousand-year-old existence of the nation, scattered all over the earth, yet spiritually soldered together despite all notions of the state and territoriality, and at the same time influencing the entire world history in the most lively and powerful way? Why should there not be a Jewish Question, given that all national questions come up at one time or other, even the Gagauz Question? [A small

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

Christian Turkic people who live in the Balkans and Eastern Europe.]

Of course, no such silly doubt could ever arise, if the Jewish Question were not the focus of many different political games.

The same was true for Russia, too. In pre-revolutionary Russian society, as we saw, it was the *omission* of the Jewish question that was considered anti-Semitic. In fact, in the mind of the Russian public the Jewish question — understood as the question of civil rights or civil equality — developed into perhaps the central question of the whole Russian public life of that period, and certainly into the central node of the conscience of every individual, its acid test.

With the growth of European socialism, all national issues were increasingly recognized as merely regrettable obstacles to that great doctrine; all the more was the Jewish question, directly attributed to capitalism by Marx, considered a bloated hindrance. Mommsen wrote that in the circles of “Western-Russian socialist Jewry,” as he put it, even the slightest attempt to discuss the Jewish question was branded as reactionary and anti-Semitic. (This was even before the Bund.)

Such was the iron standard of socialism inherited by the USSR. From 1918 the communists forbade (under threat of imprisonment or death) any separate treatment or consideration of the Jewish Question (except sympathy for their suffering under the Czars and positive attitudes for their active role in communism.) The intellectual class voluntarily and willingly adhered to the new canon while others were required to follow it.

This cast of thought persisted even through the Soviet-German war as if, even then, there was not any

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

particular Jewish question. And even up to the demise of the USSR under Gorbachev, the authorities used to repeat hard-headedly: no, there is no Jewish question, no, no, no! (It was replaced by the Zionist question.)

Yet already by the end of the World War II, when the extent of the destruction of the Jews under Hitler had dawned on the Soviet Jews, and then through Stalin's "anti-cosmopolitan" campaign of the late 1940s, the Soviet intelligentsia realized that the Jewish question in the USSR does exist! And the pre-revolutionary understanding — that it is central to Russian society and to the conscience of every individual and that it is the true measure of humanity — was also restored.

In the West it was only the leaders of Zionism who confidently talked from the late 19th century about the historical uniqueness and everlasting relevance of the Jewish question (and some of them at the same time maintained robust links with diehard European socialism.) And then the emergence of the state of Israel and the consequent storms around it added to the confusion of naive socialist minds of Europeans.

Here I offer two small but at the time quite stirring and typical examples. In one episode of the so-called "Dialogue Between the East and the West" show (a clever Cold-War-period programme, where Western debaters were opposed by Eastern-European officials or novices who played off official nonsense for their own sincere convictions), in the beginning of 1967 a Slovak writer, Ladislav Mnacko, properly representing the socialist East, wittily noted that he never in his life had any conflict with the communist authorities, except one case when his driver's license was suspended for a traffic violation. His French opponent angrily said that at least in one other case, surely

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

Mnacko should be in the opposition: when the uprising in neighboring Hungary was drowned in blood. But no, the suppression of Hungarian uprising neither violated the peace of Mnacko's mind, nor did it force him to say anything sharp or impudent. Then a few months passed after the "dialogue" and the Six-Day War broke out. At that point the Czechoslovak Government of Novotny, all loyal Communists, accused Israel of aggression and severed diplomatic relations with it. And what happened next? Mnacko — a Slovak married to a Jew — who had calmly disregarded the suppression of Hungary before, now was so outraged and agitated that he left his homeland and as a protest went to live in Israel.

The second example comes from the same year. A famous French socialist, Daniel Meyer, at the moment of the Six-Day War had written in *Le Monde*, that henceforth he is: 1) ashamed to be a *socialist* — because of the fact that the Soviet Union calls itself a socialist country (well, when the Soviet Union was exterminating not only its own people but also other *socialists* he was not ashamed); 2) ashamed of being French (obviously due to the wrong political position of de Gaulle); and, 3) ashamed to be a *human* (wasn't that too much?) and ashamed of all except being a Jew.

We are ready to accept both Mnacko's outrage and Meyer's anger, yet we would like to point out at the extreme intensity of their feelings — given the long history of their obsequious condoning of communism. Surely, the intensity of their feelings is also an aspect of the Jewish question in the 20th century.

So in what way did the Jewish question not exist?

If one listened to American radio broadcasts aimed at the Soviet Union from 1950 to the 1980s, one might conclude that there was no other issue in the Soviet Union as important

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

as the Jewish question. At the same time in the United States, where the Jews can be described as the most privileged minority and where they gained an unprecedented status, the majority of American Jews still claimed that hatred and discrimination by their Christian compatriots was a grim fact of modern life; yet because it would sound incredible if stated aloud, then the Jewish question does not exist and to notice it and talk about it is unnecessary and improper.

We have to get used to talking about Jewish question not in a hush and fearfully, but clearly, articulately and firmly. We should do so not overflowing with passion, but sympathetically aware of both the unusual and difficult Jewish world history and centuries of our Russian history that are also full of significant suffering. Then the mutual prejudices, sometimes very intense, would disappear and calm reason would reign.

Working on this book, I can't help but notice that the Jewish question has been omnipresent in world history and it never was a national question in the narrow sense like all other national questions, but was always — maybe because of the nature of Judaism? — interwoven into something much bigger.

### **The Jews Abandon Bolshevism**

When in the late 1960s I mused about the fate of the communist regime and felt that yes, it is doomed, my impression was strongly supported by the observation that so many Jews had already abandoned it.

There was a period when they persistently and in unison supported the Soviet régime, and at that time the future definitely belonged to it. Yet now the Jews started to defect from it, first the thinking individuals and later the



## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

Jewish masses. Was this not a sure sign that the years of the Soviet rule are numbered? Yes, it was.

So when exactly did it happen that the Jews, once such a reliable backbone of the regime, turned into almost its greatest adversary?

Can we say that the Jews always struggled for freedom? No, for too many of them were the most zealous communists. Yet now they turned their backs on it. And without them, the ageing Bolshevik fanaticism had not only lost some of its fervor, it actually ceased to be fanatical at all, rather it became lazy in the Russian way.

After the Soviet-German War, the Jews became disappointed by communist power: it turned out that they were worse off than before. We saw the main stages of this split. Initially, the support of the newborn state of Israel by the USSR had inspired the Soviet Jews. Then came the persecution of the “cosmopolitans” and the mainly Jewish intelligentsia (not the philistine masses yet) began to worry: communism pushes the Jews aside? Oppresses them? The terrible threat of massacre by Stalin overwhelmed them as well — but it was short-lived and miraculously disappeared very soon. During the interregnum, following Stalin’s death and then under Khrushchev, Jewish hopes were replaced by dissatisfaction and the promised stable improvement failed to materialize.

And then the Six-Day War broke out with truly biblical force, rocking both Soviet and world Jewry, and the Jewish national consciousness began to grow like an avalanche. After the Six-Day War, much was changed. The action acquired momentum. Letters and petitions began to flood Soviet and international organizations. National life was revived: during the holidays it became difficult to get

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

into a synagogue, underground societies sprang up to study Jewish history, culture and Hebrew.

And then there was that rising campaign against Zionism” already linked to imperialism, and so the resentment grew among the Jews toward that increasingly alien and abominable and dull Bolshevism — *where did such a monster come from?*

Indeed, for many educated Jews the departure from communism was painful as it is always difficult to part with an ideal — after all, was it not a great and perhaps inevitable planetary experiment initiated in Russia in 1917; an experiment, based on ancient attractive and obviously high ideas, not all of which were faulty and many still retain their beneficial effect to this day? Marxism requires educated minds.

Many Jewish political writers strongly favored the term Stalinism — a convenient form to justify the earlier Soviet régime. It is difficult to part with the old familiar and sweet things, if it is really possible at all.

There have been attempts to increase the influence of intellectuals on the ruling élite. Such was the *Letter to the XXIII Congress* (of the Communist Party) by G. Pomerants (1966). The letter asked the Communist Party to trust the “scientific and creative intelligentsia,” that “desires not anarchy but the rule of law ... that wants not to destroy the existing system but to make it more flexible, more rational, more humane” and proposed to establish an advisory think tank, which would *generally* consult the executive leadership of the country. The offer remained unanswered.

And many souls long ached for such a wasted opportunity with such a glorious past.

But there was no longer any choice . And so the Soviet Jews split away from communism. And now, while

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

deserting it, they turned against it. And that was such a perfect opportunity — they could themselves, with expurgatory repentance, acknowledge their formerly active and cruel role in the triumph of communism in Russia.

Yet almost none of them did (I discuss the few exceptions below.) The above-mentioned collection of essays, *Russia and the Jews*, so heartfelt, so much needed and so timely when published in 1924 was fiercely denounced by Jewry. And even today, according to the opinion of the erudite scholar, Shimon Markish: “these days, nobody dares to defend those hook-nosed and burry commissars because of fear of being branded pro-Soviet, a Chekist, a God-knows-what else.... Yet let me say in no uncertain terms: the behavior of those Jewish youths who joined the Reds is a thousand times more understandable than the reasons of the authors of that collection of works.”

Still, some Jewish authors began to recognize certain things of the past as they really were, though in the most cautious terms: “It was the end of the role of the Russian-Jewish intelligentsia that developed in the prewar and early postwar years and that was — to some degree sincerely — a bearer of Marxist ideology and that professed, however timidly and implicitly and contrary to actual practice, the ideals of liberalism, internationalism and humanism.” A bearer of Marxist ideology? Yes, of course. The ideals of internationalism? Sure. Yet liberalism and humanism? True, but only after Stalin’s death, while coming to senses. However, very different things can be inferred from the writings of the majority of Jewish publicists in the late Soviet Union. Looking back to the very year of 1917, they find that under communism there was nothing but Jewish suffering! “Among the many nationalities of the Soviet Union, the Jews have *always* been stigmatized as the least reliable element.”

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

What an incredibly short memory one should have to state such things in 1983. *Always?* What about the 1920s? And the 1930s? To assert that they were *then* considered the *least reliable*?! Is it really possible to forget everything so completely?

“If one takes a bird’s-eye view of the entire history of the Soviet era, then the latter appears as one gradual process of destruction of the Jews.” Note — the *entire* history! We investigated this in the previous chapters and saw that even without taking into account Jewish over-representation in the top Soviet circles, there had been a period of well-being for many Jews with mass migration to cities, open access to higher education and the blossoming of Jewish culture. The author proceeds with a reservation: “Although there were certain fluctuations, the overall trend continued.

Soviet power, destroying all nationalities, generally dealt with the Jews in the most brutal way.” Another author considers a disaster even the early period when Lenin and the Communist Party called upon the Jews to help with state governance, and the call was heard, and the great masses of Jews from the *shtetls* of the hated Pale moved into the capital and the big cities, closer to the avant-garde of the Revolution; he states that “... the formation of the Bolshevik régime that had turned the greater part of Jews into déclassé, impoverished and exiled them and destroyed their families” was a catastrophe for the “majority of the Jewish population.”

Well, that depends on one’s point of view. And the author himself later notes: in the 1920s and 1930s, the “children of déclassé Jewish petty bourgeois were able to graduate from the technical institutes and metropolitan universities and to become commanders of the great developments.” Then his reasoning becomes vague: “in the

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

beginning of the century the main feature of Jewish activity was a fascination with the idea of building a new fair society”— yet the army of revolution “consisted of plain rabble — all those who were nothing, [a quote from *The Internationale*].” Then, after the consolidation of the régime “that rabble decided to implement their motto and to become all [also a quote from *The Internationale*, and finished off their own leaders.... And so in the kingdom of rabble unlimited totalitarianism was established.” And, in this context, the Jews had nothing to do with it, except that they were among the victimized leaders. And the purge continued for four decades until the mid-1950s; then the last bitter pill, according to the scenario of disappointments was prescribed to the remaining “charmed” Jews. Again we see the same angle: the entirety of Soviet history was one of unending oppression and exclusion of the Jews.

Yet now they wail in protest in unison: “We did not elect this régime!”

Or even “it is not possible to cultivate a loyal Soviet élite among them [the Jews].” Oh my God, was not this method working flawlessly for 30 years, and only later coming undone? So where did all those glorious and famous names whom we’ve seen in such numbers come from? And why were their eyes kept so tightly shut that they couldn’t see the essence of Soviet rule for thirty to forty years? How is it that that their eyes were opened only now? And what opened them?

Well, it was mostly because of the fact that now that power had suddenly turned around and began pushing the Jews not only out of its ruling and administrative circles, but out of cultural and scientific establishments also. “The disappointment was so fresh and sore, that we did not have the strength, nor the courage to tell even our children about

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

it.” And what about the children? For the great majority of them the main motivation was the same — graduate school, career, and so on. Yet soon they would have to examine their situation more closely.

\* \* \*

In the 197s we see examples of rather amazing agreement of opinion, unthinkable for the past half a century.

For instance, Shulgin wrote in 1929: “We must acknowledge our past. The flat denial claiming that the Jews are to blame for nothing — neither for the Russian Revolution, nor for the consolidation of Bolshevism, nor for the horrors of the communism — is the worst way possible.... It would be a great step forward if this groundless tendency to blame all the troubles of Russia on the Jews could be somewhat differentiated. It would be already great if any contrasts could be found.”

Fortunately, such contrasts, and even more — comprehension, and even remorse — were voiced by some Jews. And combined with honest mindx and rich life experience, they were quite clear. And this brings hope.

Here’s Dan Levin, an American intellectual who immigrated to Israel: “It is no accident, that none of the American writers who attempted to describe and explain what happened to Soviet

Jewry, has touched this important issue — the Jewish responsibility for the communism.... In Russia, the people’s anti-Semitism is largely due to the fact that the Russians perceive the Jews as the cause of all the evil of the revolution. Yet American writers — Jews and ex-Communists ... do not want to resurrect the ghosts of the past. However, oblivion is a terrible thing.”

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

Simultaneously, another Jewish writer, an émigré from the Soviet Union, published: “The experience of the Russian (Soviet) Jewry, in contrast to that of the European Jewry, whose historical background is the experience of a collision with the forces of *outer* evil, requires a look not from inside out but rather of introspection and inner self-examination. In this reality, we saw only one Jewish spirituality — that of the Commissar — and its name was Marxism.” Or he writes about “our young Zionists who demonstrate so much contempt toward Russia, her rudeness and savagery, contrasting all this with the worthiness of the ancient Jewish nation. I saw pretty clearly, that those who today sing hosanna to Jewry, glorifying it in its entirety (without the slightest sense of guilt or the slightest potential to look inside), yesterday were saying: ‘I wouldn’t be against the Soviet régime, if it was not anti-Semitic, ’ and two days ago they beat their breasts in ecstasy: `Long live the great brotherhood of nations! Eternal Glory to the Father and Friend, the genius Comrade Stalin! ’”

But today, when it is clear how many Jews were in the iron Bolshevik leadership, and how many more took part in the ideological guidance of a great country onto the wrong track — should the question not arise among modern Jews as to some sense of responsibility for the actions of *those* Jews? It should be asked in general: shouldn’t there be a kind of moral responsibility — not a joint liability, yet the responsibility *to remember and to acknowledge*? For example, modern Germans accept liability to Jews directly, both morally and materially, as perpetrators are liable to the victims: for many years they have paid compensation to Israel and personal compensation to surviving victims.

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

So what about Jews? When Mikhail Kheifets, whom I repeatedly cite in this work, after having been through labor camps, expressed the grandeur of his character by repenting on behalf of his people for the evil committed by the Jews in the Soviet Union in the name of communism, he was bitterly ridiculed.

The whole educated society, *the cultured circle*, had genuinely failed to notice any *Russian* grievances in the 1920s and 1930s; they didn't even assume that *such* could exist — yet they instantly recognized the Jewish grievances as soon as those emerged. Take, for example, Victor Perelman, who after emigrating published an anti-Soviet Jewish journal *Epoch and We* and who served the régime in the filthiest place, in Chakovsky's *Literaturnaya Gazeta* — until the Jewish question had entered his life. Then he opted out.

At a higher level, they generalized it as the crash of illusions about the integration of Jewry into the Russian social movements, about making any change in Russia.

Thus, as soon as the Jews recognized their explicit antagonism to the Soviet regime, they turned into its intellectual opposition — in accord to their social role. Of course, it was not them who rioted in Novocherkassk, or created unrest in Krasnodar, Alexandrov, Murom, or Kostroma. Yet the filmmaker Mikhail Romm plucked up his heart and, during a public speech, unambiguously denounced the “anti-cosmopolitan” campaign — and that became one of the first samisdat documents (and Romm himself, who in so timely a manner rid himself of his ideological impediments, became a kind of spiritual leader for the Soviet Jewry, despite his films *Lenin in October* (1937), *Lenin in 1918* (1939), and despite being a fivefold winner of the Stalin Prize.)



## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

And after that the Jews had become reliable supporters and intrepid members of the “democratic” and “dissident” movements. Looking back from Israel at the din of Moscow, another witness reflected: “A large part of Russian democrats (if not the majority) are of Jewish origin.... Yet they do not identify themselves as Jews and do not realize that their audience is also mostly Jewish.” And so, the Jews once again became the Russian revolutionaries, shouldering the social duty of the Russian intelligentsia, which the Jewish Bolsheviks so zealously helped to exterminate during the first decade after the revolution; they had become the true and genuine nucleus of the new public opposition. And so, yet again no progressive movement was possible without Jews.

Who had halted the torrent of false political (and often semi-closed) court trials? Alexander Ginzburg, and then Pavel Litvinov and Larisa Bogoraz did. I would not exaggerate if I claim that their appeal to world public opinion in January 1968, delivered not through unreliable samisdat, but handed fearlessly to the West in front of Cheka cameras, had been a milestone of Soviet ideological history. Who were those seven brave souls who dragged their leaden feet to Lobnoye Mesto [a stone platform in Red Square] on Aug. 25, 1968? They did it not for the greater success of their protest, but to wash the name of Russia from the Czechoslovak disgrace by their sacrifice. Four out of the seven were Jews. (Remember, that the percentage of Jews in the population of the country then was less than 1%) We should also remember Semyon Gluzman, who sacrificed his freedom in the struggle against the “nuthouses” [dissidents were sometimes incarcerated in psychiatric clinics]. Many Jewish intellectuals from Moscow were among the first punished by the Soviet régime.

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

Yet very few dissidents ever regretted the past of their Jewish fathers. P. Litvinov never mentioned his grandfather's role in Soviet propaganda. Neither would we hear from V. Belotserkovsky how many innocents were slaughtered by his Mauser-toting father. Communist Raisa Lert, who became a dissident late in life, was proud of her membership in *that* party even after *The Gulag Archipelago*; the party she had joined in good faith and enthusiastically" in her youth; the party to which she had "wholly devoted herself" and from which she herself had suffered, yet nowadays it is "not the same" party anymore. Apparently she did not realize how appealing the early Soviet terror was for her.

After the events of 1968, Sakharov joined the dissident movement without a backward glance. Among his new dissident preoccupations were many individual cases; in particular, personal cases of Jewish refuseniks [those overwhelming Jewish dissidents who requested but were refused the right to emigrate from the Soviet Union.] Yet when he tried to expand the business (as he had innocently confided to me, not realizing all the glaring significance of what he said), Gelfand, a member of the Academy of Science, told him that "we are tired of helping these people to resolve their problems," while another member, Zeldovich, said: "I'm not going to sign any petition on behalf of victims of any injustice — I want to retain the ability to protect those who suffer for their nationality." Which means to protect the Jews only.

There was also a purely Jewish dissident movement, which was concerned only with the oppression of the Jews and Jewish emigration from the Soviet Union (more about it later.)

# THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

\* \* \*

A transformation in public consciousness often pushes forward outstanding individuals as representatives, symbols and spokesmen of the age. So, in the 1960s Alexander Galich became such a typical and accurate representative of the processes and attitudes in the Soviet intellectual circles. (“Galich is a pen name, explains N. Rubinstein. It is made of syllables of his real name — Ginsburg *Alexander Arkadievich*. Choosing a pen name is a serious thing. Actually, I assume that the author was aware that, apart from being just a combination of syllables, “Galich” is also the name of an ancient Russian city from the very heart of Slavic history.) Galich enjoyed the general support of Soviet intelligentsia; tape recordings of his guitar performances were widely disseminated; and they have almost become the symbol of the social revival of the 1960s expressing it powerfully and vehemently. The opinion of the *cultural circle* was unanimous: “the most popular people’s poet,” the “bard of modern Russia.”

Galich was 22 when the Soviet-German War broke out. He says that he was exempt from military service because of poor health; he then moved to Grozny, where he “unexpectedly and easily became the head of the literature section of the local Drama Theatre”; he also “organized a theater of political satire”; then he was evacuated through Krasnovodsk to Chirchik near Tashkent; in 1942, he moved from there to Moscow with a front-line theatrical company under formation and spent the rest of the war with that company.

He recalled how he worked on hospital trains, composing and performing couplets for wounded soldiers; how they were drinking spirits with a trainmaster. “All of us,

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

each in his own way, worked for the great common cause: we were defending our Motherland.” After the war, he became a well-known Soviet scriptwriter (he worked on many movies) and a playwright—ten of his plays were staged by many theaters in the Soviet Union and abroad. All that was in 1940s and 1950s, in the age of general spiritual stagnation, well, he could not step out of line, could he? He even made a movie about Chekists, and was awarded for his work.

Yet in the early 1960s, Galich abruptly changed his life. He found courage to forsake his successful and well-off life and “walk into the square.” It was after that that he began performing guitar-accompanied songs to people gathering in private Moscow apartments. He gave up open publishing, though it was of course not easy: “It was great to read a name on the cover, not just someone else’s, but mine!”

Surely, his anti-régime songs, keen, acidic, and and morally demanding, were of benefit to society, further destabilizing public attitudes. In his songs, he mainly addressed Stalin’s later years and beyond; he usually did not deplore the radiant past of the age of Lenin (except one instance:

At his best, he calls society to moral cleansing, to resistance (*Gold-Digger’s Waltz, I Choose Liberty, Ballad of the Clean Hands, Our Fingers Are Blotted from The Questionnaires, Every Day Silent Trumpets Glorify Thoughtful Vacuity.*) Sometimes he sang the hard truth about the past.

*In vain had our infantry  
perished in 1943, to no  
avail.*

# THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

sometimes Red myths, singing about poor persecuted communists

*There was a time —  
Almost a third of the  
inmates came from the  
Central Committee, there  
was a time when for the red  
color They added ten years  
[to the sentence.]*

Once he touched dekulakization:

*Disenfranchised ones  
were summoned in first.*

Yet his main blow was against the current establishment:

*There are fences in the country; behind fences live  
the leaders.*

He was justly harsh there; however, he oversimplified the charge by attacking their privileged way of life only: here they eat, drink, rejoice. The songs were embittering, but in a narrow-minded way, almost like the primitive Red Proletarian propaganda of the past. Yet when he was switching his focus from the leaders to “the people,” his characters were almost entirely boobies, fastidious men, rabble and rascals — a very limited selection.

He had found a precise point of perspective for himself, perfectly in accord with the spirit of the time: he

# THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

impersonalized himself with all those people who were suffering, persecuted and killed.

*I was a GI [Gulag inmate, which he was not]  
And as a GI I'll die,  
We, GIs, are dying in  
battle.*

Yet with his many songs narrated from the first person of a former camp inmate, he made a strong impression that he was an inmate himself.

*And that other inmate was  
me myself.*

*I  
froze  
like a  
horse  
shoe  
in a  
sleigh  
trail  
Into  
ice  
that I  
picke  
d with  
a  
hamm  
er  
pick.  
After  
all,*

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

*wasn'  
t it me  
who  
spent  
Twent  
y  
years  
in  
those  
camp  
s.*

*As the numbers [personal  
inmate number tattooed on  
the arm] We died, we died.  
From the camp we were  
sent right to the front!*

Many believed that he was a former camp inmate and they have tried to find from Galich when and where he had been in camps.

So how did he address his past, his longstanding participation in the stupefying official Soviet lies? That's what had struck me the most: singing with such accusatory pathos, he had never expressed *a single word of his personal remorse, not a word of personal repentance*. Nowhere! Didn't he realize that when he sang: "Oh Party's Iliad! What a giftwrapped groveling!", he sang about himself? And when he crooned: "If you sell the unction" as though referring to somebody else, did it occur to him that he himself was selling unction for half of his life. Why on earth would he not renounce his pro-official plays and films? No! "We did not sing glory to executioners!" Yet, as the matter of fact, he did. Perhaps he did realize it or he gradually came to the

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

realization, because later, no longer in Russia, he said: “I was a well-off screenwriter and playwright and a well-off Soviet flunky. And I have realized that I could no longer go on like that. Finally, I have to speak loudly, speak the truth.”

But then, in the Sixties, he intrepidly turned the pathos of civil rage, for instance, to the refutation of the Gospel commandments (do not judge, lest ye be judged):

*No, I have  
cont  
emp  
t for  
the  
very  
esse  
nce  
Of  
this  
for  
mul  
a of  
exis  
tenc  
e!*

And then, relying on the sung miseries, he confidently tried on a prosecutor’s robe: “I was not elected. But I am the judge!” And so he grew so confident, that in the lengthy *Poem about Stalin (The Legend of Christmas)*, where he in bad taste imagined Stalin as Christ, and presented the key formula of his agnostic mindset — his really famous, the clichéd-quotes, and so harmful lines:



# THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

*D  
o  
n  
,  
t  
b  
e  
a  
f  
r  
a  
i  
d  
o  
f  
f  
i  
r  
e  
a  
n  
d  
d  
h  
e  
l  
l  
,  
A  
n  
d  
f  
e  
a  
r  
o  
n*

# THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

*l  
y  
h  
i  
m  
W  
h  
o  
s  
a  
y  
s  
:  
I  
k  
n  
o  
w  
t  
h  
e  
r  
i  
g  
h  
t  
w  
a  
y  
!*

But Christ *did* teach us the right way. What we see here in Galich's words is just boundless intellectual anarchism that muzzles any clear idea, any resolute offer. Well, we can always run as a thoughtless (but *pluralistic*) herd, and probably we'll get somewhere.

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

Yet the most heartrending and ubiquitous keynote in his lyrics was the sense of Jewish identity and Jewish pain (“Our train leaves for Auschwitz today and every day.”). Other good examples include the poems *By the Rivers of Babylon* and *Kadish*. Or take this: “My six-pointed star, burn it on my sleeve and on my chest.” Similar lyrical and passionate tones can be found in the *The Memory of Odessa* (“I wanted to unite Mandelstam and Chagall.”).

*Yo  
ur  
kin  
sm  
an  
an  
d  
you  
r  
cas  
t-  
off  
Yo  
ur  
last  
sin  
ger  
of  
the  
Ex  
od  
us.*

As he addressed the departing Jews.

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

The Jewish memory imbued him so deeply that even in his non-Jewish lyrics he casually added expressions such as: “Not a hook-nosed”; “not a Tatar, not a Yid”; “you are still not in Israel, dodderer?” and even Arina Rodionovna Pushkin’s nanny, immortalized by the poet in his works lulls him in Yiddish. Yet he doesn’t mention a single prosperous or non-oppressed Jew, a well-off Jew in a good position, for instance, in a research institute, editorial board, or in commerce — such characters didn’t even make a passing appearance in his poems. A Jew is always either humiliated, or suffering, or imprisoned and dying in a camp. Take his famous lines:

*You are not to  
be  
chamberlains,  
the Jews ...  
Neither the  
Synod, nor  
the Senate is  
for you You  
belong in  
Solovki and  
Butyrki.*

[the latter two being political prisons]

What a short memory they have — not only Galich, but his whole audience who were sincerely, heartily taking in these sentimental lines! What about those twenty years, when Soviet Jewry was not nearly in the Solovki, when so many of them did parade as chamberlains and in the Senate!?

They have forgotten it. They have sincerely and completely forgotten it. Indeed, it is so difficult to remember bad things about yourself.

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

And inasmuch as among the successful people milking the régime there were supposedly no Jews left, but only Russians, Galich's satire, unconsciously or consciously, hit the Russians, all those Klim Petroviches and Paramonovs; all that social anger invoked by his songs targeted them, through the stressed "russopyaty" [derogatory term for Russians] images and details, presenting them as informers, prison guards, profligates, fools or drunks. Sometimes it was more like a caricature, sometimes more of a contemptuous pity (which we often indeed deserve, unfortunately):

*Greasy long hair hanging  
down,*

*The guest started  
"Yermak"*

[A song about the Cossack  
leader and Russian folk hero]

*hHe cackles like a cock*

*Enough to make a  
preacher swear*

*And he wants to chat*

*About the salvation of  
Russia.*

Thus, he pictured the Russians as always drunk, not distinguishing kerosene from vodka, not interested in anything except drinking, idle, or simply lost, or foolish individuals. Yet he was considered a *folk* poet.

And he didn't image a single Russian hero-soldier, workman, or intellectual, not even a single decent camp inmate (he assigned the role of the main camp inmate to himself,) because, you know, all those "prison-guard seed"

# THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

camp bosses are Russians. And here he wrote about Russia directly:

*Every liar is a Messiah!  
And just dare you to ask*

*B*

*r*

*o*

*t*

*h*

*e*

*r*

*s*

*,*

*h*

*a*

*d*

*t*

*h*

*e*

*r*

*e*

*e*

*v*

*e*

*n*

*b*

*e*

*e*

*n*

*A*

*n*

*y*

*R*

# THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

*u  
s  
i  
n  
R  
u  
s  
s  
i  
a  
?*

*It is abrim with filth.*

And then, desperately:

*B  
u  
t  
s  
o  
m  
e  
w  
h  
e  
r  
e  
,  
p  
e  
r  
h  
a  
p*

# THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

s  
,  
S  
h  
e  
d  
o  
e  
s  
e  
x  
i  
s  
t  
!  
?

*That invisible Russia,*

W  
h  
er  
e  
u  
n  
d  
er  
th  
e  
te  
n  
d  
er  
sk  
ie  
s



# THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

*E  
v  
er  
y  
o  
n  
e  
s  
h  
a  
re  
s*

*G  
o  
d  
,  
s  
w  
o  
r  
d  
a  
n  
d  
b  
r  
e  
a  
d  
.  
I  
p  
r  
a*

# THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

*y  
t  
h  
e  
e  
:  
H  
o  
l  
d  
o  
n  
!  
B  
e  
a  
l  
i  
v  
e  
i  
n  
d  
e  
c  
a  
y  
,*

*S  
o  
i  
n  
t  
h*

# THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

*e  
h  
e  
a  
r  
t  
,  
a  
s  
i  
n  
K  
i  
t  
e  
z  
h  
,  
I  
c  
o  
u  
l  
d  
h  
e  
a  
r  
y  
o  
u  
r  
b  
e*

# THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

l  
l  
s  
!

So, with the new opportunity and the lure of emigration, Galich was torn between the submerged Kitezh, a legendary Russian invisible city, and today's filth:

*It's the same vicious  
circle,  
The same old story, the  
ring,  
Which cannot be either  
closed, or open!*

He left with the words: "I, a Russian poet, cannot be separated from Russia by the fifth article. [The requirement in the Soviet internal passport - "nationality"]

Yet some other departing Jews drew from his songs a seed of aversion and contempt for Russia, or at least, the confidence that it is right to break away from her. Heed a voice from

Israel: "We said goodbye to Russia. Not without pain, but forever. Russia still holds us tenaciously. But in a year, ten years, a hundred years we'll escape from her and find our own home. Listening to Galich, we once again recognize that it is the right way."

# THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

## Chapter XXV: Accusing Russia

The Jewish break from the Soviet communism was doubtless a movement of historical significance. In the 1920s and 1930s, the fusion of the Soviet Jewry and Bolshevism seemed permanent. Then suddenly, they diverge? What a joy!

Of course, as is always true for both individuals and nations, it is unreasonable to expect words of remorse from Jews regarding their past involvement. But I absolutely could not expect that the Jews, while deserting Bolshevism, rather than expressing even a sign of repentance or at least some embarrassment, instead angrily turned on the Russian people: it is the Russians who had ruined democracy in Russia (i.e., in February 1917), it is the Russians who are guilty of support of this regime from 1918 on.

Sure, they claim, it is we (the Russian people) who are the guilty! Actually, it was earlier than 1918 – the dirty scenes of the radiant February Revolution were tale-telling. Yet the neophyte anti-communists were uncompromising – from now on everyone must accept that they have always fought against this regime, and no one should recall that it used to be their favorite and should not mention how well they had once served this tyranny. Because it was the “natives” who created, nurtured and cared for it: “The leaders of the October Coup were the followers rather than the leaders.”

Really? The New Iron Party was made up of the “followers?” They simply voiced the dormant wishes of the masses and worked to implement them. They did not break with the grassroots. “The October coup was a disaster for Russia. The country could evolve differently.... Then in the stormy anarchy of the February Revolution Russia saw the

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

signs of law, freedom and respect for human dignity by the state, but they all were swept away by the people's wrath." Here is a more recent dazzling treatment of Jewish participation in Bolshevism: "The Bolshevism of Lenin and Russian Social Democratic Workers' Party of Bolsheviks was just an intellectual and civilized form of plebian Bolshevism. Should the former fail, the latter, much more dreadful, would prevail." Therefore, "by widely participating in the Bolshevik Revolution, providing it with cadres of intellectuals and organizers, the Jews saved Russia from total mob rule. They came out with the most humane of possible forms of Bolshevism." Alas, "just as the rebellious people had used the Party of Lenin to overthrow the democracy of intellectuals [when did that exist?] the pacified people used Stalin's bureaucracy to get rid of everything still harboring free intellectual spirit."

Sure, sure: the guilt of the intelligentsia for the subsequent dismal events of Russian history is greatly exaggerated. And in the first place, the intelligentsia is liable to itself, and by no means to the people. On the contrary, it would be nice if the people realized their guilt before the intelligentsia. Indeed, the totalitarian rule in its essence and origin is that of the people. This is a totalitarian country because such was the choice of Russian people.

It is all because the "Tatar's wild spirit captured the soul of Orthodox Russia," that is, the "Asian social and spiritual structure, inherited by the Russians from the Mongols is stagnant and incapable of development and progress." (Well, Lev Gumilev also developed a theory that instead of the Tatar yoke, there was a friendly alliance of Russians and Tatars. However, Russian folklore, in its many proverbs referring to Tatars as to enemies and oppressors,

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

provided an unambiguous answer to that question. Folklore does not lie; it is not pliant like a scientific theory.) Therefore, “the October coup was an unprecedented breakthrough of the Asian essence of Russians.”

For those who want to tear and trample Russian history, Chaadayev is the favorite theoretician (although he is undoubtedly an outstanding thinker.) First samisdat and later émigré publications carefully selected and passionately quoted his published and unpublished texts which suited their purposes. As to the unsuitable quotations and to the fact that the main opponents of Chaadayev among his contemporaries were not Nicholas I and Benckendorff, but his friends – Pushkin, Vyazemsky, Karamzin, and Yazikov – these facts were ignored.

In the early 1970s, the hate against all things Russian was gathering steam. Derogatory expressions about Russian culture entered samisdat and contemporary slang. “Human pigsty” – so much contempt for Russia as being spoiled material was expressed in the anonymous samisdat article signed by “S. Telegin” (G. Kopylov)! Regarding the forest fires of 1972, the same Telegin cursed Russia in a samisdat leaflet: “So, the Russian forests burn? It serves Russia right for all her evil-doing!!”

“The entire people consolidate into the reactionary mass” (G. Pomerants). Take another sincere confession: “The sound of an accordion [the popular Russian national instrument] drives me berserk; the very contact with these masses irritates me.” Indeed, love cannot be forced. “Jews, Jewish destiny is just the rehash of the destiny of intelligentsia in this country, the destiny of her culture; the Jewish orphanage symbolizes loneliness because of the collapse of the traditional faith in the people. What a transformation happened between the 19th and mid-20th

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

century with the eternal Russian problem of “the people”! By now they view “the people” as an indigenous mass, apathetically satisfied with its existence and its leaders. And by the inscrutable providence of Fate, the Jews were forced to live and suffer in the cities of their country. To love these masses is impossible; to care about them – unnatural. The same Khazanov (by then still in the USSR) reasoned: “The Russia which I love is a Platonic idea that does not exist in reality. The Russia which I see around me is abhorrent. She is a unique kind of Augean stables with her mangy inhabitants. There will come a day of shattering reckoning for all she is today.

Indeed, there will be a day of reckoning, though not for the state of adversity that had fallen on Russia much earlier.

\* \* \*

In the 1960s, many among intelligentsia began to think and talk about the situation in the USSR, about its future and about Russia itself. Due to strict government censorship, these arguments and ideas were mentioned only in private or in mostly pseudonymous *samisdat* articles. But when Jewish emigration began, the criticisms of Russia openly and venomously spilled across the free Western world, as it formed one of the favorite topics among the émigrés and was voiced so loudly that often nothing else could be heard.

In 1968, Arkady Belinkov fled abroad. He was supposedly a fierce enemy of the Soviet régime and not at all of the Russian people. Wasn't he? Well, consider his article *The Land of Slaves, The Land of Masters* in *The New Bell*, a collection he edited himself. And at what did he direct his



## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

wrath? (It is worth considering that the article was written back in the USSR and the author did not have enough courage to accuse the régime itself.) Belinkov does not use the word *Soviet* even once, instead preferring a familiar theme: eternally enslaved Russia, “freedom for our homeland is worse than gobbling broken glass” and in Russia “they sometimes hang the wrong people, sometimes the wrong way, and never enough.”

Even in the 1820s “it was much evident that in the process of evolution, the population of Russia would turn into a herd of traitors, informers, and torturers. It was the Russian fear to prepare warm clothes and to wait for a knock at the door” – note that even here it was not the “Soviet fear.” (Yet who before the Bolshevik revolution had ever waited for a knock on the door in the middle of the night?) “The court in Russia does not judge, it already knows everything. Therefore, in Russia, it only condemns.” (Was it like that even during the Alexandrine reforms?

And what about juries and magistrates? Hardly a responsible, balanced judgment!)

Indeed, so overwhelming is the author’s hate and so bitter his bile that he vilifies such great Russian writers as Karamzin, Zhukovsky, Tyutchev and even Pushkin, not to mention Russian society in general for its insufficient revolutionary spirit: “A pathetic society of slaves, descendants of slaves and ancestors of slaves ... the cattle trembling from fear and anger, Rectum-pipers, shuddering at the thought of possible consequences ... the Russian intelligentsia always been willing to help stifle freedom.”

Well, if, for Belinkov, it was all masked anti-Soviet sentiments, a sly wink, then why did he not rewrite it abroad?

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

If Belinkov actually thought differently, then why print it in this form?

No, that is the way he thought and what he hated.

So was this how dissident Jews repudiated Bolshevism?

Around the same time, at the end of the 1960s, a Jewish collection about the USSR was published in London. It included a letter from the USSR: "In the depths of the inner labyrinths of the Russian soul, there is always a pogromist.... A slave and a thug dwell there too." Belotserkovsky happily repeats someone else's joke: "The Russians are a strong nation, except for their heads. Let all these Russians, and Ukrainians growl drunkenly with their wives, gobble vodka and get happily misled by communist lies without us. They were crawling on all fours worshipping wood and stone when we gave them the God of Abraham, Isaac and Jacob."

"Oh, if only you would have held your peace! This would have been regarded as your wisdom." (Job 13:5).

(Let us note that any insulting judgment about the Russian soul in general or about the Russian character generally does not give rise to the slightest protest or doubt among civilized people. The question of daring to judge nations as one uniform and faceless whole does not arise. If someone does not like all things Russian or feels contempt for them, or even expresses in progressive circles the belief that "Russia is a cesspool," this is no sin in Russia and it does not appear reactionary or backward. And no one immediately appeals to presidents, prime ministers, senators, or members of Congress with a reverent cry, "What do you think of such incitement of ethnic hatred?" We've said worse of ourselves since the 19th century and right up to the revolution. We have a rich tradition of this.)

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

Then we learn of “semi-literate preachers of their religion,” and that “Russian Orthodoxy hasn’t earned the credence of intellectuals” (from Telegin.) The Russians “so easily abandoned the faith of their forefathers, indifferently watched how their temples were destroyed in front of their eyes.” Oh, here is a guess: “Perhaps, the Russian people only temporarily submitted to the power of Christianity?” (That is for 950 years!) “And they only waited for the moment to get rid of it,”— that is, for the revolution? How much ill will must accumulate in someone’s heart to utter something like that!

Even Russian publicists often slipped into this trap of distorted consciousness. The eminent early emigrant journalist S. Rafalsky, perhaps even a priest’s son, wrote that “Orthodox Holy Russia allowed its holy sites to be easily crushed.” Of course, the groans of those mowed down by Chekists’ machine guns during Church riots in 1918 were not heard in Paris. There have been no uprisings since. I would like to have seen this priest’s son try to save the sacred sites in the 1920s himself.

Sometimes it is stated bluntly: “Russian Orthodoxy is a Hottentot religion.” (Grobman). Or, “idiocy perfumed by Rublev, Dionysius and Berdyaev”; the idea of the restoration”of traditional Russian historical orthodoxy “scares many.... This is the darkest future possible for the country and for Christianity.” Or, as novelist F. Gorenshtein said: “Jesus Christ was the Honorary Chairman of the Union of the Russian People [pre-revolutionary Russian Nationalist organization], whom they perceived as a kind of universal ataman [Cossack chieftain].” Don’t make it too sharp – you might chip the blade!

However, one must distinguish from such open rudeness that velvet-soft samisdat philosopher-essayist

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

Grigory Pomerants who worked in those years. Presumably, he rose above all controversies – he wrote about the fates of nations in general, about the fate of the intelligentsia generally; he suggested that nowadays no such thing as the people exists, save perhaps Bushmen.

I read him in 1960s samisdat saying: “The people are becoming more and more vapid broth and only we, the intelligentsia, remain the salt of the earth ... Solidarity of the intelligentsia across the borders is a more real thing than the solidarity of the intelligentsia and its people.”

It sounded very modern and wise. And yet, in Czechoslovakia in 1968 it was precisely the unity of the intelligentsia with the “vapid broth” of its non-existent people that created a spiritual stronghold long unheard of in Europe. The presence of two-thirds of a million Soviet troops couldn't break their spirit; it was their communist leaders who eventually gave in. And 12 years later, the same thing happened in Poland.

In his typically ambiguous manner of constructing endless parallel arguments that never merge into a clear logical construct, Pomerants never explicitly addressed the national question. He extensively dwelt on the Diaspora question, in the most abstract and general manner, not specifying any nation, hovering aloft in relativism and agnosticism. He glorified the Diaspora:

“Everywhere, we are not exactly strangers. Everywhere, we are not exactly natives ... An appeal to one faith, tradition and nation flies in the face of another.” He complained: “According to the rules established for the Warsaw students, one can love only one nation, but what if I am related by blood to this country, but love others as well?”

This is a sophisticated bait-and-switch. Of course, you can love not only one, but ten or more countries and

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

nations. However, you can belong to and be a son of only one motherland, just as you can only have one mother.

To make the subject clearer, I want to describe the letter exchange I had with the Pomerants couple in 1967. By that year, my banned novel *The First Circle* circulated among the samisdat – and among the first who had sent me their objections were G. S. Pomerants and his wife, Z. A. Mirkin. They said that I hurt them by my inept and faulty handling of the Jewish question, and that I had irreparably damaged the image of Jews in the novel – and thus my own image. How did I damage it? I thought I had managed to avoid showing those cruel Jews who reached the heights of power during the early Soviet years. But Pomerants' letters abounded with undertones and nuances, and they accused me of insensitivity to Jewish pain.

I replied to them, and they replied to me. In these letters we also discussed the right to judge entire nations, even though I had done no such thing in my novel. Pomerants suggested to me then – and to every writer in general as well as to anyone who offers any personal, psychological or social judgment – that we should behave and reason as if no nation has ever existed in the world, not only to abstain from judging them as a whole but to ignore every man's nationality. What is natural and excusable for Ivan Denisovich (to see Cesar Markovich as a non-Russian) – is a disgrace for an intellectual, and for a Christian (not a baptized person but a Christian) is a great sin: “There is no Hellene and no Jew for me.”

What an elevated point of view. May God help us all reach it one day. After all, without it, would not the meaning of united humanity, and so Christinaity, have been useless?

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

Yet we have already been aggressively convinced once that there are no nations, and were instructed to quickly destroy our own, and we madly did it back then. In addition, regardless of the argument, how can we portray specific people without referring to their nationality? And if there are no nations, are there no languages? But no writer can write in any language other than his native one. If nations would wither away, languages would die also.

One cannot eat from an empty bowl.

I noticed that it was more often Jews than any others who insisted that we pay no attention to nationality! What does nationality have to do with anything? What national characteristics, what national character are you talking about?

And I was ready to shake hands on that: “I agree! Let’s ignore it from now on...”

But we live in our unfortunate century, when perhaps the first feature people notice in others for some reason is exactly their nationality. And I swear, Jews are the ones who distinguish and closely monitor it most jealously and carefully. Their own nation....

Then, what should we do with the fact – you have read about it above – that Jews so often judge Russians precisely in generalized terms, and almost always to condemn? The same

Pomerants writes about “the pathological features of the Russian character,” including their “internal instability.” And he is not concerned that he judges the entire nation. Imagine if someone spoke of “pathological features of the Jewish character?” What would happen then?

The Russian “masses” allowed all the horrors of Oprichnina to happen just as they later allowed Stalin’s death camps. (See, the Soviet internationalist bureaucratic élite

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

would have stopped them if not for this dull mas.) More sharply still, “Russian Nationalism will inevitably end in an aggressive pogrom,” meaning that every Russian who loves his nation already has the potential for being a pogromist.

We can but repeat the words of that Chekhov character: “Too early!”

Most remarkable was how Pomerants’s second letter to me ended. Despite his previously having so insistently demanded that it is not proper to distinguish between nations, in that large and emotionally charged letter, (written in a very angry, heavy hand), he delivered an ultimatum on how I could still save my disgusting *The First Circle*. The offered remedy was this: to turn Gerasimovich [the hero] into a Jew! So a Jew would commit the novel’s greatest act of spiritual heroism! “It is absolutely not important that Gerasimovich had been drawn from a Russian prototype,” says our indifferent-to-nations author (*italics added*). In truth, he did give me an alternative: if I still insisted on leaving Gerasimovich Russian, then I must add an equally powerful image of a noble, self-sacrificing Jew to my story. And if I would not follow any of his advice, Pomerants threatened to open a public campaign against me. (I ignored it at this point.)

Notably, he conducted this one-sided battle (calling it “our polemic”) first in foreign journals, and when it became possible in the Soviet magazines, often repeating and reprinting the same articles, although taking care each time to exorcise the blemishes his critics had picked up the last time. In the course of this he uttered another pearl of wisdom: there was only one Absolute Evil in the world and it was Hitlerism – in this regard, our philosopher was not a relativist, not at all. But as to communism, this former prisoner of the camps and by no means a communist himself,

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

suddenly proclaims that communism is *not* an unquestionable evil (and even “some spirit of democracy surrounded the early Cheka”) and he does so harder and harder over the years, reacting to my intransigence towards communism. On the other hand, hard core anticommunism is undoubtedly evil, especially if it builds upon the Russian nationalism (which, as he had reminded us earlier, cannot be separated from pogroms.)

That is where Pomerants’s smooth high-minded and “non-national” principles led. Given such a skewed bias, can mutual understanding between Russians and Jews be achieved?

“You mark the speck in your brother’s eye, but ignore the plank in your own.”

In those same months when I corresponded with Pomerants, some liberal hand in the Leningrad Regional Party Committee copied a secret memorandum signed by Shcherbakov, Smirnov, and Utekhin on the matter of alleged “destructive Zionist activity in the city” with “subtle forms of ideological subversion.” My Jewish friends asked me “How should we deal with this?”

“It is clear, how,” I replied before even reading the paper. Openness! Publish it in *samisdat*! Our strength is transparency and publicity! But my friends hesitated: “We cannot do it just like that because it would be misunderstood.”

After reading the documents, I understood their anxiety. From the reports, it was clear that the youth’s literary evening at the Writers’ House on January 30, 1968 had been politically honest and brave – the government with its politics and ideology had been both openly and covertly ridiculed. On the other hand, the speeches had clear national emphases (perhaps, the youth there were mostly Jewish);



## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

they contained explicit resentment and hostility, and even perhaps contempt for Russians and longing for Jewish spirituality. It was because of this that my friends were wary of publishing the document in samisdat.

I was suddenly struck by how true these Jewish sentiments were. "Russia is reflected in the window glass of a beer stand," the poet Ufland had supposedly said there. How horrifyingly true! It seemed that the speakers accused the Russians, not directly but by allusions, of crawling under counters of beer pubs and of being dragged from the mud by their wives; that they drink vodka until unconscious, they squabble and steal....

We must see ourselves objectively, see our fatal shortcomings. Suddenly, I grasped the Jewish point of view; I looked around and I was horrified as well: Dear God, where we, the Jews? Cards, dominoes, gazing at TV? What cattle, what animals surround us! They have neither God nor spiritual interests. And so much feeling of hurt from past oppression rises in your soul.

Only it is forgotten that the real Russians were killed, slaughtered and suppressed, and the rest were stupefied, embittered, and driven to the extremes by Bolshevik thugs, and not without the zealous participation of the fathers of today's young Jewish intellectuals. Modern-day Jews are irritated by those mugs who have become the Soviet leadership since the 1940s, but they irritate us as well. However, the best among us were killed, not spared.

"Do not look back!" – Pomerants lectured us later in his samisdat essays; do not look back like Orpheus who lost Eurydice this way.

Yet we have already lost more than Eurydice. We were taught since the 1920s to throw away the past and jump

# THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

on board modernity. But the old Russian proverb advises – go ahead but always look back.

We must look back. Otherwise, we would never understand anything.

\* \* \*

Even if we had tried not to look back, we would always be reminded that the core Russian issue is in fact the inferiority complex of the spiritless leaders of the people that has persisted throughout its long history, and this very complex pushed the Russian Czarist government towards military conquests. An inferiority complex is disease of mediocrity. Do you want to know why the Revolution of 1917 happened in Russia? Can you guess? Yes, the same inferiority complex caused a revolution in Russia. (Oh, immortal Freud, is there nothing he hasn't explained?)

They even stated that Russian socialism was a direct heir of Russian autocracy – precisely a direct one, it goes without saying. And, almost in unison, “there is direct continuity between the Czarist government and communism ... there is qualitative similarity. What else could you expect from Russian history, founded on blood and provocations?”

In a review of Agursky's interesting book, *Ideology of National Bolshevism*, we find that “in reality, traditional, fundamental ideas of the Russian national consciousness began to penetrate into the practice and ideology of the ruling party very early. The party ideology was transformed as early as the mid-1920s.”

Really? Already in the mid-1920s? How come we missed it at the time? Wasn't it the same mid-1920s when the very words “Russian,” and “I am Russian” had been

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

considered counterrevolutionary? I remember it well. But, you see, even back then, in the midst of persecution against all that was Russian and Orthodox, the party ideology “began in practice to be persistently guided by the national idea; outwardly preserving its internationalist disguise, Soviet authorities actually engaged in the consolidation of the Russian state.” Of course!

“Contrary to its internationalist declarations, the revolution in Russia has remained a national affair. This Russia, upturned by revolution, continued to build the people’s state.”

People’s state? How dare they say that, knowing of the Red Terror, of the millions of peasants killed during collectivization, and of the insatiable GULAG?

No, Russia is irrevocably condemned for all her history and in all her forms. Russia is always under suspicion, the Russian idea without anti-Semitism seems to be no longer an idea and not even the Russian one. Indeed, “hostility towards culture is a specific Russian phenomenon; how many times have we heard that they are supposedly the only ones in the whole world who have preserved purity and chastity, respecting God in the middle of their native wilderness?” The greatest soulful sincerity has supposedly found shelter in this crippled land. This soulful sincerity is being presented to us as a kind of national treasure, a unique product like caviar.

Yes, make fun of us Russians; it is for our own good. Unfortunately, there is some truth to these words. But while expressing them, do not lapse into such hatred. Having long been aware of the terrifying decline of our nation under the communists, it was precisely during those 1970s that we gingerly wrote about a hope of revival of our morals and culture. But strangely enough, the contemporary Jewish

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

authors attacked the idea of Russian revival with a relentless fury, as if (or because?) they feared that Soviet culture would be replaced by the Russian one. “I am afraid that the new dawn of this doomed country would be even more repugnant than its current [1970-1980s] decline.”

Looking back from the “democratic” 1990s, we can agree that it was a prophetic declaration. Still, was it said with compassion or with malice?

And here is even more: “Beware, when someone tells you to love your homeland: such love is charged with hatred.... Beware of stories that tell you that in Russia, Russians are the worst off, that Russians suffered the most, and that the Russian population is dwindling” – sure, as we all know, this is a lie! “Be careful when someone tells you about that great statesman ... who was assassinated.” (i.e. Stolypin) Is that also a deception? No, it is not a deception: “Not because the facts are incorrect” – nevertheless, do not accept even these true facts: “Be careful, be aware!”

There is something extraordinary in this stream of passionate accusations. Who would have guessed during the fiery 1920s that after the enfeeblement and downfall of that “beautiful” (i.e., communist) regime in Russia, those Jews, who themselves had suffered much from communism, who seemingly cursed it and ran away from it, would curse and kick not communism, but Russia itself – blast her from Israel and from Europe, and from across the ocean? There are so many, such confident voices ready to judge Russia’s many crimes and failings, her inexhaustible guilt towards the Jews – and they so sincerely believe this guilt to be inexhaustible almost all of them believe it! Meanwhile, their own people are coyly cleared of any responsibility for their participation in Cheka shootings, for sinking the barges and their doomed human cargo in the White and Caspian seas, for their role in

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

collectivization, the Ukrainian famine and in all the abominations of the Soviet administration, for their talented zeal in brainwashing the “natives.” This is not contrition. We, brothers or strangers, need to share that responsibility.

It would have been cleanest and healthiest to exchange  
contrition for everything committed.

I will not stop calling on the Russians to do that. And I am inviting the Jews to do the same. To repent not for Trotsky, Kamenev and Zinoviev; they are known and anyway can be brushed aside, with “they were not real Jews!” Instead, I invite Jews to look honestly into the oppressive depths of the early Soviet system, at all those “invisible” characters such as Isai Davidovich Berg, who created the infamous gas wagon which later brought so much affliction on the Jews themselves, and I call on them to look honestly on those many much more obscure bureaucrats who had pushed papers in the Soviet apparatus, and who had never appeared in light.

However, the Jews would not be Jews if they all behaved the same. So other voices were heard.

As soon as the great exodus of Jews from the USSR began there were Jews who – fortunately for all, and to their honor – while remaining faithful to Judaism, went above their own feelings and looked at history from that vantage point. It was a joy to hear them, and we hear them still. What hope for the future it gives! Their understanding and support are especially valuable in the face of the violently thinned and drastically depleted ranks of Russian intelligentsia.

A melancholy view, expressed at end of 19th century, comes to mind: “Every country deserves the Jews it has.”

It depends where you look.

If it were not for voices from the third wave of emigration and from Israel, one would despair of dialogue

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

and of possibility for mutual understanding between Russians and Jews. Roman Rutman, a cybernetics worker, had his first article published in the émigré samisdat in 1973. It was a bright, warm story of how he first decided to emigrate and how it turned out – and even then he showed distinct warmth towards Russia. The title was illustrative: “A bow to those who has gone and my brotherhood to those who remain.” Among his very first thoughts during his awakening was “Are we Jews or Russians?”; and among his thoughts on departure there was “Russia, crucified for mankind.”

Next year, in 1974, in an article *The Ring of Grievances*, he proposed to revise some established ideas on the Jewish question and “to recognize the risk of overemphasizing these ideas. There were three:

(1) “The unusual fate of the Jewish people made them a symbol of human suffering”;

(2) “A Jew in Russia has always been a victim of unilateral persecution”; and (3) “Russian society is indebted to the Jewish people.” He quoted a phrase from *The Gulag Archipelago*: “During this war we discovered that the worst thing on earth is to be a Russian,” and recognized that the phrase is not artificial or empty, that it is based on war losses, on the revolutionary terror before that, on hunger, on the wanton destruction of both the nation’s head – its cognitive élite, and its feet, the peasantry. Although modern Russian literature and democratic movements preach about the guilt of Russian society before Jews, the author himself prefers to see the circle of grievances instead of the saccharine sentimentality about the troubles and talents of the Jewish people. To break this circle of grievances one must pull at it from both sides.

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

Here it is – a thoughtful, friendly and calm voice.

And over these years, we many times heard the firm voice of Michael Kheifetz, a recent GULAG prisoner. “A champion of my people, I cannot but sympathize with the nationalists of other peoples.” He had the courage to call for Jewish repentance: “The experience of the German people, who have not turned away from their horrifying and criminal past, and who never tried to lay the blame for Nazism on some other culprits, on strangers, etc. but, instead constantly cleansed itself in the fire of national repentance, and thus created a German state that for the first time was admired and respected by all mankind; this experience should, in my opinion, become a paragon for the peoples that participated in the crimes of Bolshevism, including the Jews. We Jews must honestly analyze the role we played in other nations’ affairs, the role so extraordinarily foretold by Z. Jabotinsky.” M. Kheifetz demonstrated a truly noble soul when he spoke of “the genuine guilt of assimilated Jews before the native peoples of those countries where they live, the guilt, which cannot and must not allow them to live comfortably in the Diaspora.” About Soviet Jewry of the 1920s and 1930s he said: “Who if not us, their bitterly remorseful descendants, has the right to condemn them for this historic mistake [zealous participation in building communism] and the settling of historical scores with Russia for the Pale of Settlement and the pogroms?” (Kheifetz also mentioned that B. Penson and M. Korenblit, who had served labor camp terms along with him, shared his views.) Almost simultaneously with the words of Kheifetz, by then already an emigrant, Feliks Svetov vividly called out for Jewish repentance from inside the Soviet Union in a samisdat novel *Open The Doors To Me*. It was no accident that F. Svetov, due to his Jewish perceptivity and intelligence, was one of

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

the first to recognize the beginning of Russian religious revival.

Later, during a passionate discourse surrounding the dispute between Astafiev and Edelman, Yuri Shtein described “our Ashkenazi-specific personality traits, formed on the basis of our belief of belonging to the chosen people and an insular, small town mentality. Hence, there is a belief in the infallibility of our nation and our claim to a monopoly on suffering. It is time for us to see ourselves as a normal nation, worthy but not faultless, like all the other peoples of the world. Especially now, that we have our own independent state and have already proved to the world that Jews can fight and plow better than some more populous ethnic groups.”

During the left liberal campaign against V. Astafiev, V. Belov, and V. Rasputin, literary historian Maria Shneyerson, who after emigrating continued to love Russia dearly and appreciate Russian problems, offered these writers her enthusiastic support.

In the 1970s, a serious, competent, and forewarning book on the destruction of the environment in the USSR under communism was published in the West. Written by a Soviet author, it was naturally published under a pseudonym, B. Komarov. After some time, the author emigrated and we learned his name – Zeev Wolfson. We discovered even more: that he was among the compilers of the album of destroyed and desecrated churches in Central Russia.

Few active intellectuals remained in the defeated Russia, but friendly, sympathetic Jewish forces supported them. With this shortage of people and under the most severe persecution by the authorities, our Russian Public Foundation was established to help victims of persecution; I



## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

donated all my royalties for *The Gulag Archipelago* to this fund; and, starting with its first talented and dedicated manager, Alexander Ginzburg, there were many Jews and half-Jews among the Fund's volunteers. (This gave certain intellectually blind extreme Russian nationalists sufficient reason to brand our Foundation as being "Jewish.")

Similarly, M. Bernshtam, then Y. Felshtinsky and D.Shturman were involved in our study of modern Russian history.

In the fight against communist lies, M. Agursky, D.Shturman, A. Nekrich, M. Geller, and A. Serebrennikov distinguished themselves by their brilliant, fresh, and fair-minded journalism. We can also recall the heroism of the American professor Julius Epstein and his service to Russia. In self-centered, always self-righteous, and never regretful of any wrongdoings America, he single-handedly revealed the mystery of Operation Keelhaul, how after the end of the war and from their own continent, Americans handed over to Stalinist agents and therefore certain death hundreds and thousands of Russian Cossacks, who had naively believed that since they reached the "land of the free they had been saved.

All these examples should encourage sincere and mutual understanding between Russians and Jews, if only we would not shut it out by intolerance and anger.

Alas, even the mildest remembrance, repentance, and talk of justice elicits severe outcries from the self-appointed guardians of extreme nationalism, both Russian and Jewish. "As soon as Solzhenitsyn had called for national repentance" – meaning among Russians, and the author didn't mind that – "here we are! Our own people are right there in the front line." He did not mention any name specifically but he

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

probably referred to M. Kheifetz. “See, it turns out that we are more to blame, we helped to install ... no, not helped, but simply established the Soviet régime ourselves. We were disproportionately present in various organs.”

Those who began to speak in a voice of remorse were furiously attacked in an instant. “They prefer to extract from their hurrah-patriotic gut a mouthful of saliva” – what a style and nobility of expression! – “and to thoroughly spit on all ancestors, to curse Trotsky and Bagritsky, Kogan, and Dunaevsky.” “M. Kheifetz invites us to purge ourselves in the fire of national repentance.”

And what a thrashing F. Svetov received for the autobiographical hero of his novel: “A book about conversion to Christianity will contribute not to an abstract search for repentance, but to a very specific anti-Semitism. This book is anti-Semitic.” Yes, and what is there to repent? the indefatigable David Markish angrily exclaims. Svetov’s hero sees a betrayal in the fact that “we desert the country, leaving behind a deplorable condition which is entirely our handiwork: it is we, as it turns out, who staged a bloody revolution, shot the father-czar, befouled and raped the Orthodox Church and in addition, founded the GULAG Archipelago,” isn’t that right? First, these comrades Trotsky, Sverdlov, Berman, and Frenkel are not at all related to the Jews. Second, the very question about someone’s collective guilt is wrong. (As to blaming Russians, you see, it is a different thing altogether: it was always acceptable to blame them *en masse*, from the times of the elder Philotheus.)

David’s brother, Sh. Markish reasons as follows, “as to the latest wave of immigrants from Russia, whether in Israel or in the U.S., they do not exhibit real Russophobia, but a selfhatred that grows into direct anti-Semitism is obvious in them only too often.”

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

See, if Jews repent – it is anti-Semitism. (This is yet another new manifestation of that prejudice.)

“The Russians should realize their national guilt. The idea of national repentance cannot be implemented without a clear understanding of national guilt. The guilt is enormous, and there is no way to shift it on to others. This guilt is not only about the things of past, it is also about the vile things Russia commits now, and will probably continue committing in the future,” as Shragin wrote in the early 1970s.

Well, we too tirelessly call the Russians to repent; without penitence, we will not have a future. After all, only those who were directly affected by communism recognized its evils. Those who were not affected tried not to notice the atrocities and later on to forget and forgive them, to the extent that now they do not even understand what to repent of. (Even more so those who themselves committed the crimes.)

Every day we are burning with shame for our unsettled people.

And we love it too. And we do not envision our lives without it.

And yet, for some reason, we have not lost all faith in it.

Still, is it absolutely certain that you had no part in our great guilt, in our unsuccessful history? Here, Shimon Markish referred to Jabotinsky’s 1920s article. “Jabotinsky several times on different occasions observed that Russia is a foreign country to us, our interest in her should be detached, cool, though sympathetic; her anxiety, grief and joy are not ours, and our feelings are foreign to her too.” Markish added: “That’s also my attitude towards Russian worries.” And he invites us to “call a spade a spade. However, regarding this delicate point even free western

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

Russians are not awesomely courageous.... I prefer to deal with enemies.”

Yet this sentence should be divided into two: is it the case that to call a spade a spade and to speak frankly mean being an enemy? Well, there is a Russian proverb: do not love the agreeable; love the disputers.

I invite all, including Jews, to abandon this fear of bluntness, to stop perceiving honesty as hostility. We must abandon it historically! Abandon it forever!

In this book, I call a spade a spade. And at no time do I feel that in doing so it is being hostile to the Jews. I have written more sympathetically than many Jews write about Russians.

The purpose of this book, reflected even in its title, is this: we should understand each other, we should recognize each other's standpoint and feelings. With this book, I want to extend a handshake of understanding – for all our future. But we must do so mutually!

This interweaving of Jewish and Russian destinies since the 18th century which has so explosively manifested itself in the 20th century, has a profound historical meaning, and we should not lose it in the future. Here, perhaps, lies the Divine Intent which we must strive to unravel – to discern its mystery and to do what must be done.

And it seems obvious that to know the truth about our shared past is a moral imperative for Jews and Russians alike.

# THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

## Chapter XXVI: The Beginning of Exodus

The Age of Exodus, as Jews themselves would soon name it, began rather silently. Its start can be traced to a December 1966 article in *Izvestia*, where the Soviet authorities magnanimously approved “family reunification,” and under this banner the Jews were given the right to leave the USSR. And then, half a year later, the historic Six-Day War broke out. Like any epic, this Exodus began with a miracle. And as it should be in an epic, three miracles were revealed to the Jews of Russia – to the Exodus generation: the miracle of the foundation of Israel, “the miracle of the Purim 1953” (that is, Stalin’s death), and the miracle of the joyous, brilliant, intoxicating victory of 1967. The Six-Day War gave a strong and irreversible push to the ethnic consciousness of the Soviet Jews and delivered a blow to the desire of many to assimilate. It created among Jews a powerful motivation for national self-education and the study of Hebrew (within a framework of makeshift centers) and gave rise to pro-emigration attitudes.

How did the majority of Soviet Jews perceive themselves by the end of the 1960s, on the eve of Exodus? No, those who retrospectively write of a constant feeling of oppression and stress do not distort their memories: “Hearing the word *Jew*, they cringe, as if expecting a blow. They themselves use this sacramental word as rarely as possible, and when they do have to say it, they force the word out as quickly as possible and in a suppressed voice, as if they were seized by the throat. Among such people there are those who are gripped by the eternal incurable fear ingrained in their mentality.” Or take a Jewish author who wrote of spending her entire professional life worrying that her work would be rejected only because of her nationality. Despite having an

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

apparently higher standard of living than the general population, many Jews still harbored this sense of oppression.

Indeed, cultivated Jews complained more of cultural rather than economic oppression. Dora Shturman wrote: “The Soviet Jews are trying to retain their presence in the Russian culture. They struggle to retain the Russian culture in their inner selves. When the Russian Jews, whose interests are chained to Russia, are suddenly deprived – even if only on paper or in words – of their right to engage in the Russian life, to participate in the Russian history, as if they were interlopers or strangers, they feel offended and bewildered. With the appearance of Tamisdat [a Russian neologism for dissident self-published (samisdat) literature, published outside the USSR, from the Russian word, ‘tam’, meaning ‘there’ or ‘out there’) and samisdat, the xenophobia felt by some Russian authors toward Jews who sincerely identified themselves as Russians manifested itself for the first time in many years, not only on the street level and on the level of state bureaucracy, but appeared on the élite intellectual level, even among dissidents. Naturally, this surprised Jews who identified with Russians. Galich: “Many people brought up in the 1920s, 1930s and 1940s used to regard themselves as Russians from their earliest years, in fact from birth, and indeed they share all their values and thoughts with the Russian culture.”

Another author drew the portrait of “the average modern Russian Jew,” who “would serve this country with good faith and fidelity. He had carefully examined and identified his own flaws. He had become aware of them, and now he tries to get rid of them. He has stopped arms flourishing. (?) He has gotten rid of his national peculiarities of speech which were carried over into Russian, At some

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

point he would aspire to become equal with the Russians, to be indistinguishable from them.” And so: “You might not hear the word ‘Jew’ for years on end. Perhaps, many have even forgotten that you are a Jew. Yet you can never forget it yourself. It is this silence that always reminds you who you are. It creates such an explosive tension inside you, that when you do hear the word ‘Jew,’ it sounds like fate’s blow.”

This is a very telling account. The same author describes the cost of this transformation into a Russian. “He had left behind too much” and become spiritually impoverished. “Now, when he needs those capacious, rich and flexible words, he can’t find them. When he looks for but can’t find the right word, something dies inside him,” he had lost “the melodic intonation of Jewish speech” with all its “gaiety, playfulness, mirth, tenacity, and irony.”

Of course, these exquisite feelings did not worry each Soviet Jew; it was the lot of the tiniest minority among them, the top cultural stratum, those who genuinely and persistently tried to identify with Russians. It was them who G. Pomeranz spoke about (though he made a generalization for the whole intelligentsia): “Everywhere, we are not quite out of place. Everywhere, we are not quite in our place. We have become something like non-Israeli Jews, the people of the air, who lost all their roots in their mundane existence.” Very well put.

A. Voronel develops the same theme: “I clearly see all the sham of their [Jews’] existence in Russia today.”

If there’s no merging, there will always be alienation. Nathan Sharansky often mentioned that from a certain point he started to feel being different from the others in Russia. During the Dymshits–Kuznetsov hijacking affair trial in December 1970, L. Hnoh openly stated what he had apparently nurtured for quite a while: “It became unbearable for me to live in a country I don’t regard as my own.”

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

What integrity of mind and courage of word!

So it was this feeling that grew among the Soviet Jews, and now increasingly among the broad Jewish masses. Later, in 1982, another Jewish journalist put it like this: “I am a stranger. I am a stranger in my own country which I love abstractly but fear in reality.”

In the beginning of the 1970s, in a conversation with L.K. Chukovskaya she told me (I made a note at the time): “This Exodus was forced on Jewry. I pity those whom the Russians made feel Jewish. The Soviet Jews have already lost their sense of Jewishness and I consider this artificial awakening of their national sense to be specious.”

This was far from the truth. Despite the fact that she socialized with many Jews from both capitals, Chukovskaya was mistaken. This Jewish national awakening was not artificial or forced; it was an absolutely natural and even necessary milestone of Jewish history. It was the sudden realization that “one can say ‘Jew’ proudly!”

Another Jewish publicist reflected on the experience of his generation of young people in the USSR: “So what are we – the grandchildren and heirs of that cruel experiment, who broke through the shell and hatched here in Israel – what are we to say about our fathers and grandfathers? Should we blame them that they didn’t raise us in Jewish way? Yet our very sense of Jewishness was in great part the result of their (as well as our) failures, catastrophes and despair. So let us appreciate this past. Is it up to us to throw stones at the shattered skulls of the romantics of yesterday?”

This sincerely and honestly expressed intergenerational connection to the fathers and grandfathers, who were so enthusiastic in the early Soviet years, greatly supplements the whole picture. (You can read between the



## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

lines the author's rejection of the benefits and advantages of the new class that has replaced those 'romantics.')

A samisdat article properly pointed out: "The opinion that the current rise in Jewish ethnic consciousness among assimilated Soviet Jews is just a reaction to the re-emergence of anti-

Semitism seems deeply mistaken. What we have here is more likely a coincidence."

Different contemporaries described the development of their Jewish self-identification somewhat differently. Some wrote that "nearly everyone agreed that nothing was happening in the 1960s" in the sense of national revival, though "after the war of 1967 things began to change." Yet it was the plane hijacking incident that led to the breakthrough. Others suggest that Jewish groups were already forming in the mid-1960s in Leningrad, Moscow, and Riga, and that by the end of the decade a Jewish underground center was established in Leningrad. Yet what kind of conspiracy could it be? Makeshift centers to study Hebrew and Jewish history were formed, and not really for study of Hebrew, but rather for the socialization of people who wished to study it. The actual language usually was learnt not beyond two to three hundred words. As a rule, all participants were state functionaries, and, like their entire milieu, far removed from the Jewish religion and national traditions alike.

The Jews of the 1960s had only a vague conception of Zionism. And yet, "we felt ourselves to be sufficiently Jewish, and saw no need whatsoever for any sort of additional Jewish educational remedy." In response to the barrage of anti-Israeli propaganda, the inner sympathy towards Jewry and to Israel grew. "Even if we were told then that Israel had abandoned Judaism, it would make no

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

difference for us.” And then the movement began to transform from an underground to a mass, open parlour phenomenon. Still, then nobody believed in the possibility of emigration, at least in our time, yet everyone considered a quite real possibility of ending up in a camp. Alas, it is too short a step from conspiracy to devilry. I saw this in the Jewish movement of the 1970s, after the trials in Leningrad.

Thus, the return to Jewish culture started and continued without counting on emigration and initially did not affect the everyday life of the participants. I’m not sure that Aliyah [return to Israel] began because of Zionists, as those first Zionist groups were too weak for this. To a certain extent, it was the Soviet government that triggered the process by raising a tremendous noise around the Six-Day War. The Soviet press painted the image of a warlike invincible Jew, and this image successfully offset the inferiority complex of the Soviet Jews.

But “hide your ‘Judaic terror’ from your co-workers’ eyes, from your neighbors’ ears!” At first, there was a deep fear: “these scraps of paper, bearing your contact details, were as if you were signing a sentence for yourself, for your children, for your relatives.” Yet soon “we ceased whispering, we began to speak aloud, to prepare and celebrate the Jewish holidays and study history and Hebrew.” And already from the end of 1969 the Jews by the tens and hundreds began signing open letters to the public abroad. They demanded to be “released” to Israel. Soviet Jewry, separated from world Jewry, trapped in the melting pot of the despotic Stalinist empire was seemingly irredeemably lost for Jewry – and yet suddenly the Zionist movement was reborn and the ancient Moses’ appeal trumpeted again: “Let my people go!”

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

In 1970 the whole world began to talk about Russian Jews. They rose, they became determined. There is only one barrier separating them from their dream – the barrier of governmental prohibition. To break through, to breach it, to fly through it was their only wish. “Flee from Northern Babylon!” was the behest of the arrested plane hijackers, the group led by E. Kuznetsov and M. Dymshits. In December 1970 during their trial in Leningrad they weren’t silent, they didn’t evade, they openly declared that they wanted to steal a plane to fly it across the border to Israel. Remember, they faced the death sentence! Their confessions were in essence the declarations of Zionism. A few months later in May 1971, there was a trial of the Zionist organizations of Leningrad, soon followed by similar trials in Riga and Kishinev.

These trials, especially the two Leningrad trials, became the new powerful stimulus for the development of the Jewish ethnic consciousness. A new samisdat journal, *The Jews in the USSR*, began to circulate soon afterwards, in October 1972. It vividly reported on the struggle for the legalization of emigration to Israel and covered the struggle for the right to freely develop Jewish culture in the USSR.

But even at this point only a minority of Jews were involved in the nascent emigration movement. It seems that the life was easier for the Soviet Jews when they knew that they had no choice, that they only could persevere and adapt, than now, when they’ve got a choice of where to live and what to do. The first wave that fled from Russia at the end of the 1960s was motivated only by the goal of spending the rest of their lives in the only country without anti-Semitism, Israel. (This does not include those who emigrated for personal enrichment.)

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

And a part of Soviet Jewry would have happily repudiated their national identity, if they were allowed to do so – so scared they were. This section included those Jews who cursed “that Israel,” claiming that it is because of Israel that law-abiding Jews are often being prevented from career advancement: “Because of those leaving, we too will suffer.”

The Soviet government could not but be alarmed by this unexpected (for them as for the whole world) awakening of ethnic consciousness among Soviet Jews. It stepped up propaganda efforts against Israel and Zionism, to scare away the newly conscious. In March 1970 it made use of that well-worn Soviet trick, to get the denunciation from the mouths of the people themselves, in this case from the people of Jewish nationality.

So the authorities staged a denunciatory public press-conference and it was dutifully attended not only by the most hypocritical “official Jews” such as Vergelis, Dragunsky, Chakovsky, Bezymensky, Dolmatovsky, the film director Donsky, the propagandists Mitin and Mintz, but also by prominent people who could easily refuse to participate in the spectacle and in signing the Declaration without significant repercussions for themselves. Among the latter were: Byalik: the members of Academy, Frumkin and Kassirsky: the internationally renowned musicians, Fliyer and Zak; the actors, Plisetskaya, Bystritskaya, and Pluchek. But sign it they did. The Declaration heaped scorn on the aggression carried by the Israeli ruling circles which” resurrects the barbarism of the Hitlerites. Zionism has always been an expression of the chauvinist views of the Jewish bourgeois and its Jewish raving”; and the signatories intend “to open the eyes of the gullible victims of Zionist propaganda. Under the guidance of the Leninist party, working Jews have gained full freedom from the hated

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

Czarism.” Amazing! See who was the real oppressor? The one already dead for half a century?

But times had changed by this point. The “official Jews” were publicly rebuked by I. Zilberberg, a young engineer who had decided to irrevocably cut ties with this country and leave.

He circulated an open letter in response to the Declaration in samizdat, calling its signatories “lackey souls”, and repudiated his former faith in communism: “We naively placed our hopes in ‘our’ Jews – the Kaganovichs, the Ehenburgs, etc.” (So, after all, they had once indeed placed their hopes there?) At the same time he criticised Russians: after the 1950s, “Did Russians repent and were they contrite? And after spilling a meagre few tears about the past, did they swear love and commitment to their new-found brothers?”

In his mind there was no doubt that Russian guilt against Jews was entirely one-sided. Such events continued. Another samizdat open letter became famous a year later, this one by the hitherto successful film director Mikhail Kalik, who had now been expelled from the Union of Soviet filmmakers because he declared his intention to leave for Israel. Kalik unexpectedly addressed a letter about his loyalty to Jewish culture to the Russian intelligentsia. It looked as if he had spent his life in the USSR not among the successful, but had suffered for years among the oppressed, striving for freedom. And now, leaving, he lectured this sluggish Russian intelligentsia from the moral high ground of his victimhood. “So you will stay with your silence, with your obedient enthusiasm? Who then will take care for the moral health of the nation, the country, the society?”

Six months later there was another open letter, this time from the Soviet writer Grigory Svirsky. He was driven

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

to this by the fact that he hadn't been published for several years and even his name had been removed from the *Encyclopaedia of Literature* in punishment for speaking out against anti-Semitism at the Central Literary House in 1968. This punishment he termed "murder," with understandable fire, though he forgot to glance back and to see how many others suffered in this regard.

"I do not know how to live from now on," he wrote to the Union of Writers. (This was a sentiment common to all 6,000 members of the union: they all believed that the government was bound to feed them for their literary work). These were "the reasons which made me, a man of Russian culture, what is more a Russian writer and an expert on Russian literature, feel myself to be a Jew and to come to the irrevocable decision to leave with my family to Israel. I wish to become an Israeli writer." (But he achieved no such transformation of his profession from one nation to another. Svirsky, like many previous emigrants, had not realized how difficult he would find adjusting to Israel, and chose to leave there too.)

The hostile anti-Russian feelings and claims we find in so many voices of the awakened Jewish consciousness surprise and bewilder us, making our hearts bleed. Yet in these feelings of the mature ferocity we do not hear any apology proffered by our Jewish brothers for at least the events of 1920s. There isn't a shadow of appreciation that Russians too are a wronged people. However, we heard some other voices among the "ferocious" in the previous chapter. Looking back on those times when they were already in Israel, they sometimes gave a more sober account: "We spent too much time settling debts with Russia when we were Jews in the USSR" at the expense even of devoting "too

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

little to Israel and our life there, and thinking too little about the future.”

\* \* \*

For the ordinary mundane and unarmed living, the prospect of breaking the steel shell that had enveloped the USSR seemed an impossible and hopeless task. But then they despaired – they had to try – and something gave! The struggle for the right to emigrate to Israel was characterised throughout by both determination and inventiveness: issuing complaints to the Supreme Soviet, demonstrations and hunger strikes by the “refuseniks” (as Jews who had been refused exit to Israel called themselves); seminars by fired Jewish professors on the pretext of wanting to “maintain their professional qualifications”; the organization in Moscow of an international symposium of scientists (at the end of 1976); finally, refusal to undergo national service.

Of course, this struggle could only be successful with strong support from Jewish communities abroad. “For us the existence in the world of Jewish solidarity was a startling discovery and the only glimmer of hope in that dark time” remembers one of the first refuseniks.

There was also substantial material assistance: among refuseniks in Moscow there was born a particular sort of independence, founded on powerful economic support from Jews abroad. And so they attached even more hopes to assistance from the West, now expecting similarly powerful public and even political help.

This support had its first test in 1972. Somebody in the higher echelons of the Soviet government reasoned as follows: here we have the Jewish intelligentsia, educated for free in the Soviet system and then provided with

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

opportunities to pursue their academic careers, and now they just leave for abroad to work there with all these benefits subsidized by the Soviet state. Would it not be just to institute a tax on this? Why should the country prepare for free educated specialists, taking up the places loyal citizens might have had, only to have them use their skills in other countries? And so they started to prepare a law to institute this tax. This plan was no secret, and quickly became known and widely discussed in Jewish circles. It became law on August 3, 1972 in the Order of the Presidium of the Supreme Soviet of the USSR “On the compensation by citizens of the USSR, who are leaving to permanently live abroad, of the government expenditure on their education.” The amount proscribed was between 3,600 and 9,800 roubles, depending on the rank of the university (3,600 was in those days the yearly salary of an ordinary senior researcher without a doctorate).

A storm of international indignation erupted. During the 55 years of its existence, none of the monstrous list of the USSR’s crimes had caused as united an international protest as this tax on educated emigrants. American academics, 5,000 in number, signed a protest (Autumn 1972); and two thirds of American senators worked together to stop an expected favorable trade agreement with the USSR. European parliamentarians behaved similarly. For their part, 500 Soviet Jews sent an open letter to UN General Secretary Kurt Waldheim (nobody yet suspected that he too would soon be damned) describing “serfdom for those with a higher education.” (In reaching for a phrase they failed to realize how this would sound in a country which had genuine *kolkhoz* serfdom.) The Soviet government buckled, and consigned the order to the scrapheap.



## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

As to the agreement on trade? In April 1973, union leader George Meany argued that the agreement was neither in the interest of the USA nor would it ease international tensions, but the senators were concerned only about Soviet Jews and ignored these arguments. They passed the agreement but adding the “Jackson amendment,” which stated that it would only be agreed to once Jews were allowed to leave the USSR freely. And so the whole world heard the message coming from the American capital: we will help the Soviet government if they release from their country, not everyone, but specifically and only Jews.

Nobody declared loud and clear: gentlemen, for 55 years it has been but a dream to escape from under the hated Soviet regime, not for hundreds of thousands but for millions of our fellow citizens; but nobody, ever had the right to leave. And yet the political and social leaders of the West never showed surprise, never protested, never moved to punish the Soviet government with trade restrictions. (There was one unsuccessful attempt in 1931 to organise a campaign against Soviet dumping of lumber, a practise made possible only by the use of cheap convict labour, but even this campaign was apparently motivated by commercial competition).

15 million peasants were destroyed in the “dekulakisation,” 6 million peasants were starved to death in 1932, not even to mention the mass executions and millions who died in the camps; and at the same time it was fine to politely sign agreements with Soviet leaders, to lend them money, to shake their “honest hands”, to seek their support, and to boast of all this in front of your parliaments. But once it was specifically *Jews* that became the target, then a spark of sympathy ran through the West and it became clear just what sort of regime this was. In 1972 I made a note on a scrap of paper: “You’ve realized what’s going on, thank God.

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

But for how long will your realisation last? All it takes is for the problems Jews had with emigrating to be resolved, and you'll become deaf, blind and uncomprehending again to the entirety of what is going on, to the problems of Russia and of communism.”

You cannot imagine the enthusiasm with which it [the Jackson amendment] was met by Jews in Russia. Finally a lever strong enough to shift the powers in the USSR is discovered. Yet suddenly in 1975 the Jackson amendment became an irrelevance, as the Soviet government unexpectedly turned down the offer of the trade agreement with the U.S. (Or it rather calculated that it could get more advantages from other competing countries).

The Soviet refusal made an impression on Jewish activists in the USSR and abroad, but not for long. Both in America and Europe support for Jewish emigration out of the USSR became louder. “he National Conference in Defence of Soviet Jews. The Union on Solidarity with Soviet Jewry. The Student Committee of Struggle for Soviet Jewry. On the Day of National Solidarity with Soviet Jews more than 100,000 demonstrated in Manhattan, including Senators Jackson and Humphrey (both were running for the Democratic nomination for President.) Hundreds different protests took place. The largest of these were the yearly Solidarity Sundays – demonstrations and rallies in New York which were attended by up to 250,000 people (these ran from 1974-1987).

A three-day meeting of 18 Nobel laureates in support of the Corresponding Member of Academy Levich took place in Oxford. Another 650 academics from across the world gave their support – and Levich was allowed to emigrate. In January 1978 more than a hundred American academics sent a telegram to Brezhnev demanding that he

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

allow professor Meiman to go abroad. Another worldwide campaign ended in another success: the mathematician Chudnovsky received permission to leave for a medical procedure unavailable in the USSR. It was not just the famous: often a name until then unheard of would be trumpeted across the world and then returned to obscurity.

For example, we heard it especially loudly in May 1978, when the world press told us a heart-rending story: a seven year old Moscow girl Jessica Katz had an incurable illness, and her parents were not allowed to go to the States! A personal intervention from Senator Edward Kennedy followed, and presto! Success! The press rejoiced. The main news on every television channel broadcast the meeting at the airport, the tears of happiness, the girl held aloft. The Russian Voice of America devoted a whole broadcast to how Jessica Katz was saved (failing to notice that Russian families with sick children still faced the same impenetrable wall.) A medical examination later showed that Jessica wasn't ill at all, and that her cunning parents had fooled the whole world to ensure her leaving. (A fact acknowledged through gritted teeth on the radio, and then buried. Who else would be forgiven such a lie?)

Similarly, the hunger strike of V. Borisov (December 1976) who had already spent nine years in a mental asylum was reported by the Voice of America no differently from the 15 days of imprisonment of Ilya Levin, and if anything, more attention was given to the latter. All a few refuseniks had to do was sign a declaration about their inability to leave the USSR and it was immediately reported by the Freedom, Voice of America, the BBC and by the other most important sources of mass information, so much so that it is hard now to believe how loudly they were trumpeted.

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

Of course it has to be noted that all the pomp surrounding the appearance of a Soviet Jewish movement served to awaken among worldwide Jewry, including those in America, an exciting conception of themselves as a nation. Prophetic obsession of the first Zionists in the USSR induced exulting sympathy among the Western Jews. The Western Jews saw their own ideals in action. They began to believe in Russian Jews. That meant, for them, believing in their own best qualities. All that which Western Jews wanted to see around themselves and didn't see. The offered product, an insurrectionary Jewish spirit, found a delighted buyer in American Jews. Neither America nor American Jews are at all interested in Jews from the USSR in themselves. The product bought was precisely the spirit of Jewish revolt. The Jews of America (and with them the Jews of London, Amsterdam, Paris, etc.) whose sense of Jewishness had been excited by the Six-Day War triumph saw the chance to participate. It was a comfortable "struggle" that moreover did not involve any great exertion.

However, it cannot be denied that these inspirations both here and there merged, and worked together to destabilise the walls of the steel shell of the old Soviet Union.

\* \* \*

It is the general opinion that mass Jewish emigration from the USSR began in 1971, when 13,000 people left (98 percent to Israel). It was 32,000 in 1972, 35,000 in 1973, the proportion going to Israel varying from 85 percent to 100 percent. However these were for the most part not from the ethnically Russian areas, but from Georgia and the Baltic. (A Jewish delegate to an international congress declared that "Georgia is a country without anti-Semitism"; many

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

Georgian Jews later became disappointed with their move to Israel and wanted to go back.) There was no mass movement from the central part of the USSR. Later, when leaving was made more difficult, some expressed a serious regret R. Nudelman: “The tardy courage of future refuseniks might have, perhaps, been unnecessary if they had taken advantage of the breach made when they’d had the chance.” Someone disagrees: “But people need time to mature! ... See how long it took before we understood that we must not stay, that it is simply a crime against your own children.” “Ho, ho, come forth, and flee from the land of the north, saith the LORD.” (Zech 2:6)

Nonetheless, the excitement of Jewish emigration took root in Russian and Ukrainian towns too. By March 1973, 700,000 requests to emigrate had been registered. However, autumn 1973 saw the Yom Kippur War, and the desire of many to emigrate suddenly diminished. Israel’s image changed sharply after the Yom Kippur War. Instead of a secure and brave rich country, with confidence in tomorrow and a united leadership, Israel unexpectedly appeared before the world as confused, flabby, ripped apart by internal contradictions. The standard of living of the population fell sharply.

As a result only 20,000 Jews left the USSR in 1974. In 1975-76, up to 50 percent of emigrating Soviet Jews once in the stopover point of Vienna went past Israel. This period saw the birth of the term “directists” – that is to say those who went directly to the United States. After 1977, their numbers varied from 70 to 98 percent.

Frankly, this is understandable. The Jewish state had been conceived as a national refuge for Jews of the whole world, the refuge which, to begin with, guarantees them a

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

safe existence. But this did not transpire. The country was in the line of fire for many years.

What is more “it soon became clear that Israel needed not intellectual Soviet Jews, but a national Jewish intelligentsia. At this point thinking Jews realised with a horror that in the way they had defined themselves their whole life, they had no place in Israel, because as it turned out for Israel you had to be immersed in Jewish national culture – and so only then the arrivals realised their tragic mistake: there had been no point to leaving Russia (although this was also due to the loss of social position) – and letters back warned those who hadn’t left yet of this. Their tone and content at that time was almost universally negative. Israel was presented as a country where the government intervenes in and seeks to act paternally in all aspects of a citizen’s life.

A prejudice against emigration to Israel began to form among many as early as the mid 1970s. The firm opinion of Israel that the Moscow and Leningrad intelligentsia began to acquire was of a closed, spiritually impoverished society, buried in its own narrow national problems and letting today’s ideological demands have control over the culture. At best it is a cultural backwater, at worst yet another totalitarian government, lacking only a coercive apparatus. Many Soviet Jews gained the impression, not without reason, that in leaving the USSR for Israel they were exchanging one authoritarian regime for another.

When in 1972-73 more than 30,000 Soviet Jews had left for Israel per year, Golda Meir used to meet them personally at the airport and wept, and the Israeli press called their mass arrivals “the Miracle of the 20th century.” Back then everyone left for Israel. Those who took the road to Rome, that is to say not to Israel, were pointed out. But then the number of arrivals started to fall from year to year. It

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

decreased from tens of thousands to thousands, from thousands to hundreds, from hundreds to a few lone individuals. In Vienna, it was no longer those taking the road to Rome [the next stop on the road to the final desired destination, usually the U.S.] who were pointed out, it was those loners, those clowns, those nuts, who still left for Israel. Back then Israel used to be the norm and you had to explain why you were going “past” it, but it was the other way round now: it was those planning to leave for Israel that often had to explain their decision.

Only the first wave was idealistic; starting with 1974, so to speak the second echelon of Jews began to leave the USSR, and for those Israel might have been attractive, but mainly from a distance. Another’s consideration: perhaps the phenomenon of *neshira* [*neshira* – dispersal on the way to Israel; *noshrim* – the dispersed ones] is somehow connected to the fact that initial emigration used to be from the hinterlands of the USSR, where Jewish traditions were strong, and now it was more from the centre, where Jews have substantially sundered themselves from their traditions.

Anyway, the more open were the doors into Israel, the less Jewish was the efflux, the majority of activists barely knowing the Hebrew alphabet. Not to find their Jewishness, but to get rid of it was now the main reason for emigration. They joked in Israel that “the world has not been filled with the clatter of Jewish feet running to settle in their own home.” Subsequent waves quickly took into account the mistake of the vanguard, and instead enthusiastically leapt *en masse* to where others’ hands had already built their own life. *En masse*, it should be noted, for here finally was that much spoken of Jewish unity. But of course these people left the USSR in search of intellectual freedom, and so must live in Germany or England or more simply in the United States.

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

And a popular excuse was that the Diaspora is needed as somebody has to give money to resource-less Israel and to make noise when it is being bullied! But on the other hand, the Diaspora perpetuates anti-Semitism.

A. Voronel made a broader point here: “The situation of Russian Jews and the problem of their liberation is a reflection of the all-Jewish crisis.... The problems of Soviet Jews help us to see the disarray in our own ranks. The cynicism of Soviet Jews in using calls from made up relatives in Israel instead of accepting their fate, the Way of Honour, is nothing more than a reflection of the cynicism and the rot affecting the whole Jewish (and non-Jewish) world. Questions of conscience move further and further into background under the influence of the business, the competition and the unlimited possibilities of the Free World.”

So it's all quite simple – it was just a mass escape from the harsh Soviet life to the easy Western one, quite understandable on a human level. But then what about repatriation? And what is the spiritual superiority of those who dared to leave over those who stayed in the “country of slaves?” In fighting in those days for emigration Soviet Jews loudly demanded: “Let my people go!” But that was a truncated quote. The Bible said: “Let my people go, that they may hold a feast unto me in the wilderness.” (Ex. 5:1) Yet somehow too many of those released went not into the desert, but to the abundance of America.

\* \* \*

Can we nonetheless say that in the early years of sudden and successful emigration to Israel, it was the Zionists' beliefs and ambitions that acted as the prime



## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

stimulus for Jews to leave? The testimony of various Jewish writers would suggest not.

The Soviet situation of the end of the 1960s was one of *aliyah*, not of a Zionist movement. There were many people psychologically ready to flee the USSR. What can be called a Zionist movement was entirely subsidiary to this group of people. Those who joined makeshift centres dedicated to the actual study of Jewish history and culture were mostly characterised by a complete lack of the careerism so common among the Soviet-Jewish intelligentsia. This was why they dedicated the entirety of their free time to Jewish affairs. For them the era of the Hebrew teachers had started even as early as the end of the 1970s, and by the beginning of the 1980s these Torah teachers were the only ones who still influenced the minds.

The motives of many others who emigrated are explained as follows: “The Soviet government has placed obstacles in the way of achieving the most important things – professional advancement,” and so “Jewry is in danger of degradation.” They were driven into Jewishness, and then into Zionism by their faceless bureaucratic nemesis. Many had never encountered anti-Semitism or political persecution. What burdened them was the dead end that their lives as Soviet Jews had become as bearers of a contradiction from which they could free themselves neither by assimilation nor by their Jewishness. There was a growing sense of incompatibility and sorrow; dozens and dozens of dolts are dragging you into insignificance are pushing you to the bottom. So came the longing to escape the Soviet Union. This bright hope, when a man under the complete control of the Soviet government could in three months become free was genuinely exhilarating.

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

Of course, a complex emotional environment developed around the act of departure. A writer says: the majority of Soviet Jews are “using the same Zionist door. They sadly leave that familiar, that tolerant Russia” (a slip, but one that is closer to the truth, as the author had meant to say “tolerated by” Jews). Or said thusly: “The vast majority decided to emigrate with their heads, while their insides,” that is to say concern with being part of a country and its traditions, “were against.”

No one can judge to what extent this was a majority. But as we’ve seen the mood varied from the good poetry of Liya Vladimorova:

*But for  
you  
my  
belove  
d, for  
you  
the  
proud,  
I  
beque  
st the  
memo  
ries  
and  
the  
depart  
ure To  
the  
then-  
popul*

# THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

*ar  
joke:*

*“Could the last person to leave  
please turn off the light.”*

This growing desire to emigrate among Soviet Jews coincided with the beginning of the dissident movement in the USSR. These developments were not entirely independent: for some Jewish intellectuals Jewish, ethnic consciousness in the USSR was a new vector of intellectual development, a new form of heterodoxy, and they regarded their own impatient escape from the country as also a desperately important political cause.

In essence, the dilemma facing the Zionists at the start of the 20th century was repeated: if it is your aim to leave Russia, should you at the same time maintain a political struggle within it? Back then, most had answered “yes” to the struggle; now, most answered “no.” But an increasingly daredevil attitude to emigration could not but feed a similarly daredevil attitude to politics, and sometimes the daredevils were one and the same.

So, for example (in 1976) several activists in the Jewish movement — V. Rubin, A. Sharansky, V. Slepak — together made an independent decision to support the Helsinki Group of dissidents, but this was regarded in Jewish circles as an unjustifiable and unreasonable risk, as it would lead to the immediate and total escalation of the government’s repression of Jewish activism, and would moreover turn the Jewish movement into the property of dissidents.

On the other side, many dissidents took advantage of the synchronicity of the two movements, and used emigration as a means of escape from their political

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

battlefield for their own safety. They found theoretical justifications for this: “Any honest man in the USSR is an eternal debtor to Israel, and here is why.... The emigration breach was made in the Iron Curtain thanks to Israel. It protects the rear of those few people willing to oppose the tyranny of the Communist Party of the Soviet Union [CPSU] and to fight for human rights in the USSR. The absence of this

“emergency exit” would be deadly to the current democratic movement.”

It has to be admitted that this is a very cynical justification, and that it says little good of the dissident movement as a whole. A hostile critic then noted: “These opponents [of the CPSU] are playing an odd game: they become involved in the democratic movement, already sure of an emergency exit for themselves. But by this they demonstrate the temporary and inconsequential character of their activity. Do potential emigrants have the right to speak of changing Russia, or especially on behalf of Russia?”

One dissident science fiction author (and later, after emigration, a Russian Orthodox priest) suggested this formulation, that Jewish emigration creates a revolution in the mind of Soviet man; the Jews, in fighting for the right to leave, become transformed into fighters for freedom in general. The Jewish movement serves as a social gland that begins to secrete the hormones of rights awareness; it has become a sort of ferment perpetuating dissidence. Russia is becoming deserted.

That “abroad,” so mythical before, is becoming populated by our own people. The Jewish Exodus is gradually leading totalitarian Soviet Moscow to the plains of freedom.”

This view was readily accepted, and in the coming years came to be loudly trumpeted: “The right to emigrate is

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

the primary human right.” It was repeated often and in unison that this was an enforced escape, and talk about the privileged position Jews occupy with regards to emigration is slander.

Yes, taking a lifeboat from a sinking ship is indeed an act of necessity. But to own a lifeboat is a great privilege, and after the gruelling ordeals of half a century in the USSR Jews owned one, while the rest did not. Those more perceptive expressed a more conscientious feeling: “It is fine to fight for the repatriation of Jews, it is understandable, and it is fine to fight for the right to emigrate for everyone – that too is understandable; but you cannot fight for the right to emigrate but, for some reason, only for Jews.”

Contrary to the self-satisfied theoreticians of emigration, and their belief that it brought all Soviet people closer to emigrating abroad and so partly freed them, in reality those unable to emigrate came to feel more hopeless, to an even greater extent fooled and enslaved. There were emigrants who understood this: “What is cruellest about this situation is that it is Jews who are leaving. It has bizarrely become a question of something akin to a certificate of authenticity.” Precisely. But they chose to blind themselves to this.

What could the remaining residents of totalitarian Moscow think? There was a great variety of responses, from grievance (“You, Jews, are allowed to leave and we aren’t...”) to the despair of intellectuals. L.K Chukovksaya expressed it in conversation to me: “Dozens of valuable people are leaving, and as a result human bonds vital for the country are ripped apart.

The knots that hold together the  
fabric of culture are being  
undone.” To repeat the

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

lesson: “Russia is becoming deserted.”

We can read the thoughtful comments of an emigrant Jewish author about this Departure: “Russian Jewry were pathfinders in their experiment to merge with the Russian people and Russian culture, they became involved in Russia’s fate and history, and, repulsed away as if by a similarly charged body, left.” (What an accurate and penetrating comparison!) “What is most stunning about this Departure is how voluntary it was, at the moment of greatest assimilation. The pathetic character of the Russian Aliyah of the 1970s was that we were not exiled from the country on a king’s order or by the decision of party and parliament, and we were not fleeing to save ourselves from the whips of an enraged popular pogrom. This fact is not immediately obvious to the participants in this historical event.”

No doubt the Jewish emigration from the USSR ushered in a great historical shift. The beginning of the Exodus drew a line under an epoch lasting two centuries of coerced coexistence between Jews and Russians. From that point, every Soviet Jew was free to choose for himself — to live in Russia or outside it. By the second half of the 1980s each was entirely free to leave for Israel without struggle.

The events that took place over two centuries of Jewish life in Russia – the Pale of Settlement, the escape from its stultifying confines, the flowering, the ascension to the ruling circles of Russia, then the new constraints, and finally the Exodus – none of these are random streams on the outskirts of history. Jewry had completed its spread from its origin on the Mediterranean Sea to as far away as Eastern Europe, and it was now returning back to its point of origin.

We can see in both this spread and in its reversal a supra-human design. Perhaps those that come after us will

# THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

have the opportunity to see it more clearly and to solve its mystery.

## **Chapter XXVII: On Assimilation**

When and how did this extraordinary Jewish status of “guests everywhere” begin? The conventional wisdom suggests that the centuries-old Jewish diaspora should be dated from the destruction of Jerusalem by Titus in A.D. 70; and that after being thrown out of their native land, the Jews began wandering around the world. However, it is not true because the great majority of the Jews were already dispersed by that time; hardly more than one-eighth of the nation lived in Palestine. The Jewish Diaspora had begun much earlier: The Jews were mainly a dispersed nation by the time of the Babylonian captivity [6th century B.C.] and, possibly, even earlier; Palestine was only a religious and, to certain extent, a cultural center.

Scattering of the Jews was already foretold in the Pentateuch. “I will scatter you among the nations” (Leviticus 26:33). “Yahweh will scatter you among the peoples, and you shall be left few in number among the nations” (Deuteronomy 4:27).

Only a small part of the Jews had returned from the Babylonian captivity; many had remained in Babylon as they did not want to abandon their property. Large settlements were established outside of Palestine; large numbers of Jews concentrated in major trade and industrial centers of the ancient world. (For example, in Alexandria under Ptolemaic dynasty, Jews accounted for two-fifth of the population.) They were mainly traders and craftsmen. The JewishHellenistic philosopher Philo Judaeus (who died in the middle of the 1st century, 20 years before the destruction of the Temple) states: “The Jews regard the Holy City as their

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

metropolis because the Holy Temple of Almighty God is situated there, and they call homeland the countries where they live, and where their fathers, grandfathers, great-grandfathers and ancient forebears lived, and where they were born and brought up.”

Mikhail Gershenzon mused on the fates of the Jewish nation after the Babylonian captivity:

“The Jews took root in foreign lands and contrary to expectations, didn’t aspire to return to their old homeland. Just recall: The Kingdom of Judah was still there, yet most of the Jews were already scattered across the whole Middle East; the Second Temple still stood in all its splendor, but the Language of the Bible was no longer heard on the streets and in the houses of Jerusalem; everybody spoke either Syrian or Greek there. Even back then the Jews were inclined to think: “We should not hold dear our national independence, we should learn to live without it, under foreign rule; we should not become attached to a land or to a single language.”

Modern Jewish authors agree: “The Jews in the ancient world were scattered and established large centers in the Diaspora even before the collapse of Jewish nationhood.” The nation which was given the Law did not want to return to its native country. There is some very profound and still not understood meaning in it. It is much easier to chat about Jewish values and about the preservation of Jewry than to explain the true reasons for such a long Galut. (Even in the mid-20th century the Hebrew language still had no word for Diaspora as for the living in the voluntary scattering, there was only *Galut*, referring to the forced exile.)

From the historical evidence, we see that the scattering of the Jews was not solely their unfortunate fate, but also a voluntary quest. Indeed, it was a bemoaned disaster, but could it also be a method of making life easier?



## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

This is an important question in attempting to understand the Diaspora.

The Jews still do not have a generally accepted view on the Diaspora, whether it has been blessing for them or a bane.

Zionism, from the very moment of its birth, responded to this question firmly (and fully in line with its essence): “Our scattering is our biggest curse; it brings us no good, and no advantages and no peace to others as well. We are guests everywhere, and we are still unwanted, everybody wants to get rid of us.”

To be a homeless man, feeling as a guest everywhere — this is the true curse of exile, its real bitterness! Some say that having several homes improves chances to survive for the Jews. In my view, a nation staying in many other’s homes and not caring about its own cannot expect security. The availability of many homes corrupts.

Yet the opposite opinion is even more prevalent, and it seems to be more credible. Perhaps, the Jewish nation had survived and persevered not in spite of its exile, but because of it; the Jewish Diaspora is not an episode, but the organic ingredient of Jewish history.

Was the Jewish nation preserved in all its uniqueness in spite of the exile and scattering or because of it? The tragedy of Jerusalem in A.D. 70 destroyed the state, yet it was necessary to save the people; the extraordinarily intensified instinct of national self-preservation” prompted Jews toward salvation through Diaspora.

Jewry was never able to fully comprehend its situation and the causes for it. They saw exile as the punishment for their sins, yet time and time again it turned out to be the dispensation by which the Lord has distinguished his nation. Through the Diaspora, the Jew

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

worked out the mark of the Chosen he foresaw on his brow. The scattered state of the nation is not unnatural for him. Already in the periods of the most comfortable existence in their own state, Jewry was stationing garrisons on its route and spearheading vanguards in all directions, as if sensing its future dispersion and getting ready to retreat to the positions it had prepared in advance. Thus, the Diaspora is a special form of Jewish existence in space and time of this world. And look how awesomely mobile are the Jews in Diaspora. The Jewish people never strike root in one place, even after several generations.

But after they were so widely scattered and had become small minorities among other nations, the Jews had to develop a clear position toward those nations — how to behave among them and how to relate to them, to seek ultimate bonding and merging with those nations, or to reject them and separate from them? The Holy Scripture contains quite a few covenants of isolation. The Jews avoided even their closest kindred neighbors, the Samaritans and Israelites, so irreconcilably that it was not permitted to even take a piece of bread from them. Mixed marriages were very strictly forbidden. “We will not give our daughters to the peoples of the land or take their daughters for our sons.” (Nehemiah 10:30) And Ezra had ordered them to dissolve the existing marriages, even those with children.

Thus, living in Diaspora for thousands of years, the Jews did not mix with other nations, just as butter does not mix with water, but comes to the surface and floats. During all those long centuries, they perceived themselves as something distinct, and until the 18th century the Jews as a nation have never shown any inclination for assimilation. The pre-revolutionary *Jewish Encyclopedia*, while quoting Marx’s assertion that “the Jews had not assimilated, because

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

they represented the highest economic class, that is the class of capitalists amidst the agricultural and petty bourgeois nations,” objects, saying that the economy was secondary: “The Jews of the Diaspora have consciously established their own economy which protected them from assimilation. They did it because they were conscious of their cultural superiority,” which, for its part, was created by the spiritual meaning of Judaism in its most complete form. The latter protected them from imitation.

But from the mid-18th century the Jews started to believe in assimilation, and that becomes the ferment of decomposition of the Jewish nation in Western Europe of the 19th century. Assimilation begins when the surrounding culture reaches the height held by the Jewish culture, or when the Jewry ceases to create new values. The national will of the European Jews was weakened by the end of the 18th century; it had lost ground because of extremely long waiting. Other nations began creating brilliant cultures that eclipsed Jewish culture. And exactly then Napoleon launched the Pan-European emancipation; in one country after another, the roads to social equality were opening before the Jews, and that facilitated assimilation. There is an important caveat here: There is no unilateral assimilation, and the assimilating Jews supplemented the host cultures with Jewish national traits. Heine and Börne, Ricardo and Marx, Beaconsfield-Disraeli and Lassalle, Meyerbeer and Mendelssohn — during their assimilation into the host cultures, they added Jewish elements to them.)

In some cases, assimilation leads to a brighter creative personal self-fulfillment. But, overall, “assimilation was the price paid by the Jews for the benefit of having access to the European culture. Educated Jews convinced themselves that the Jews are not a nation, but only a religious group. The

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

Jewish nation, after it joined the realm of European nations, began to lose its national uniqueness. Only the Jew from the ghetto retained pronounced national traits, while the intelligent Jew tried with all his strength to look unlike a typical Jew. Thus spread the theory that there is no Jewish nation, but only the Poles, Frenchmen and Germans of Mosaic Law.

Marx, and then Lenin saw the solution of Jewish question in the full assimilation of the Jews in the countries of their residence.

In contrast to the clumsiness of those ideologues, the ideas of M.O. Gershenzon are much more interesting. He put them forward late in life, in 1920, and they are all the more interesting because the lofty thinker Gershenzon was a completely assimilated Russian Jew. Nevertheless, the Jewish question was alive and well in his mind. He explored it in his article *The Destinies of the Jewish Nation*.

Unlike the contemporary *Jewish Encyclopedia*, Gershenzon believes that Jewish assimilation is the ancient phenomenon, from time immemorial. One voice constantly “tempted the Jew to blend with the environment — hence comes this ineradicable and ancient Jewish aspiration to assimilate.” Yet another voice demanded “above all things to preserve his national uniqueness. The whole story of scattering is the never-ending struggle of two wills within Jewry: the human will against the superhuman one, the individual against the collective.... The requirements of the national will towards the individual were so ruthless and almost beyond human power, that without having a great hope common to all Jewry, the Jew would succumb to despair every now and then, and would be tempted to fall away from his brethren and desert that strange and painful common cause.”

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

Contrary to the view that it is not difficult to explain why assimilation began precisely at the end of the 18th century, Gershenzon is rather surprised: “Is it not strange that assimilation so unexpectedly accelerated exactly during the last one hundred years and it continues to intensify with each passing hour? Shouldn’t the temptation to fall apart be diminished greatly nowadays, when the Jews obtained equal rights everywhere?”

No, he replies: “It is not the external force that splits the Jews; Jewry disintegrates from the inside. The main pillar of Jewry, the religious unity of the Jewish nation, is decayed and rotten.” So, what about assimilation, where does it lead to? “At first sight, it appears that ... [the Jews] are imbued, to the marrow of their bones, with the cosmopolitan spirit or, at least, with the spirit of the local culture; they share beliefs and fixations of the people around them.”

Yet it is not exactly like that: “They love the same things, but not in the same way.... They indeed crave to embrace the alien gods... They strive to accept the way of life of modern culture.... They pretend that they already love all that — truly love, and they are even able to convince themselves of that. Alas! One can only love his own faith, the one born in the throes from the depths of the soul.”

Jewish authors genuinely express the spiritual torment experienced by the assimilating Jew. “If you decided to pretend that you are not a Jew, or to change your religion, you are doomed to unending internal struggle with your Jewish identity.... You live in terrible tension.... In a way, this is immoral, a sort of spiritual self-violation.” (This inner conflict was amazingly described by Chekhov in his essay *Tumbleweed*.) “This evil stepmother assimilation forced the individual to adapt to everything: to the meaning of life and human relations, to demands and needs, to the way

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

of life and habits. It crippled the psychology of the nation in general and that of the national intelligentsia in particular.” It compelled people “to renounce their own identity, and, ultimately, led to self-destruction. It is a painful and humiliating search of identity.” But even the most complete assimilation is ephemeral: it never becomes natural, it does not liberate from the need to be on guard all the time.

In addition to the lack of trust on the part of surrounding native people, assimilating Jews come under fire from their fellow Jews; they are accused of consumerism and conformism, of the desire to desert their people, to dispose of their Jewish identity, and of the national defection.

Nevertheless, during the 19th century everything indicated that assimilation was feasible and necessary, that it was predetermined and even inevitable. Yet the emergence of Zionism cast a completely new light on this problem. Before Zionism, every Jew suffered from painful duality, the dissonance between the religious tradition and the surrounding external world.

In the early 20th century Jabotinsky wrote: “When the Jew adopts a foreign culture one should not trust the depth and strength of such conversion. The assimilated Jew cannot withstand a single onslaught, he abandons the adopted culture without any resistance whatsoever, as soon as he sees that the power of that culture is over. He cannot be the pillar for such a culture.” He provided a shining example in the Germanized Austria-Hungary, when with the growth of Czech, Hungarian and Polish cultures, Germanized Jews actively conformed to new ways of life. “It is all about certain hard realities of the natural relationship between a man and his culture, the culture created by his ancestors.” This observation is true, of course, though “hard realities” sounds somewhat dry. (Jabotinsky not only objected to assimilation

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

fiercely, he also insistently warned the Jews to avoid Russian politics, literature and art, cautioning that after a while the Russians would inevitably turn down such service.)

Many individual and collective examples, both in Europe and Russia, in the past and nowadays, illustrate the fragility of Jewish assimilation.

Consider Benjamin Disraeli, the son of a non-religious father. He was baptized in adolescence and he did not just display the English way of life, he became no less than the symbol of the British Empire. So, what did he dream about at leisure, while riding his novelwriting hobbyhorse? He wrote about exceptional merits and messianism of the Jews, expressed his ardent love for Palestine, and dreamt of restoring the Israeli homeland!

And what's about Gershenzon? He was a prominent historian of Russian culture and an expert on Pushkin. He was even criticized for his Slavophilism. But, nevertheless, at the end of his life, he wrote: "Accustomed to European culture from a tender age, I deeply imbibed its spirit and I truly love many things in it. But deep in my mind I live differently. For many years a secret voice from within appeals to me persistently and incessantly: This is not yours! This is not yours! A strange will inside me sorrowfully turns away from Russian culture, from everything happening and spoken around me. I live like a stranger who has adapted to a foreign country; the natives love me, and I love them too; I zealously work for their benefit, yet I feel I am a stranger, and I secretly yearn for the fields of my homeland."

After this confession of Gershenzon, it is appropriate to formulate the key thesis of this chapter. There are different types of assimilation: civil and domestic assimilation, when

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

the assimilated individual is completely immersed in the surrounding life and accepts the interests of the native nation (in that sense, the overwhelming majority of Russian, European and American Jews would perhaps consider themselves assimilated); cultural assimilation; and, at the extreme, spiritual assimilation, which also happens, albeit rarely. The latter is more complex and does not result from the former two types of assimilation. (In the opinion of a critic, *The Correspondence Between Two Corners* by Vyacheslav Ivanov and M.O. Gershenzon, that small book of tremendous importance, serves as a proof of the inadequacy of Jewish assimilation, even in the case of apparently complete cultural assimilation.)

Or take another individual, M. Krol, a revolutionary in his youth and a “converted” émigré after the revolution. He marvels that the Russian Jews even in their new countries of emigration demonstrated “a huge amount of national energy” and were building an “original Jewish culture” there. Even in London the Jews had their own Yiddish schools, their own social organizations, and their own solid economics; they did not merge with the English way of life, but only accommodated to its demands and reinforced the original English Jewry. (The latter even had their own British Council of Jews, and called themselves the Jewish community of the Great Britain — note that all this was in England, where Jewish assimilation was considered all but complete.) He witnessed the same thing in France, and was particularly impressed by the similar feat in the United States.

And there is also that unfailing and reliable Jewish mutual support, that truly outstanding ability that preserves the Jewish people. Yet it further weakens the stability of assimilation.



## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

It was not only the rise of Zionism that prompted the Jews to reject assimilation. The very course of the 20th century was not conducive to assimilation.

On the eve of World War II in 1939, a true Zionist, Max Brod, wrote: “It was possible to argue in support of the theory of assimilation in the days of far less advanced statehood of the 19th century,” but “this theory lost any meaning in the era when the peoples increasingly consolidate. We, the Jews, will be inevitably crushed by bellicose nationalistic peoples, unless we take our fate into our hands and retreat in time.”

Martin Buber had a very stern opinion on this in 1941: “So far, our existence had served only to shake the thrones of idols, but not to erect the throne of God. This is exactly why our existence among other nations is so mysterious. We purport to teach others about the absolute, but in reality we just say no to other nations, or perhaps we are actually nothing more than just the embodiment of such negation. This is why we have turned into the nightmare of the nations.”

Then, two deep furrows, the Catastrophe and the emergence of Israel soon afterwards, crossed the course of Jewish history, shedding new and very bright light on the problem of assimilation.

Arthur Koestler clearly formulated and expressed his thoughts on the significance of the state of Israel for world Jewry in his book *Promise and Fulfillment: Palestine 1917-1949* and in an article, *Judah at the Crossroads*.

An ardent Zionist in his youth, Koestler left Vienna for a Palestinian kibbutz in 1926; he worked for a few years in Jerusalem as a Hebrew-writing columnist for Jabotinsky’s newspaper; he also reported for several German newspapers. And then he wrote: “If we exclude from the Jewish religion

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

the mystical craving for the Promised Land, then the very basis and essence of this religion would disappear.” And further, “after the restoration of the Jewish state, most of the Jewish prayers, rites and symbols lost their meaning. The God of Israel has abided by the treaty; he had returned the land of Canaan to Abraham’s seed. If, however, the religious Jew defies the order to return to the land of his ancestors and thus violates the treaty, he consequently anathematizes himself and loses his Jewishness.

“On the other hand, it may be difficult for not very religious Jews to understand why they should make sacrifices to preserve Jewish values, not included in the religious doctrine. The Jewish religion loses any sense if you continue to pray about the return to Zion even after you have grimly determined not to go there. A painful choice, yes, but the choice that must be made immediately, for the sake of the next generation.... Do I want to move to Israel? If I do not, then what right do I have to continue calling myself a Jew and thus to mark my children with the stigma of isolation? The whole world would sincerely welcome the assimilation of the Jews, and after three generations or so, the Jewish question would fade away.”

The London newspaper *Jewish Chronicle* objected to Koestler: perhaps, “it is much better, much more reasonable and proper for a Jew from the Diaspora to live as before, at the same time helping to build the State of Israel?” Yet Koestler remained adamant: “They want both to have their cake and eat it. This is the route to disaster.”

Yet all previous attempts at assimilation ended in failure; so why it should be different this time? argued the newspaper. Koestler replied: “Because all previous attempts of assimilation were based on the wrong assumption that the Jews could be adequate sons of the host nation, while at the

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

same time preserving their religion and remaining the Chosen People.” But “ethnic assimilation is impossible if Judaism is preserved; and conversely Judaism collapses in case of ethnic assimilation. Jewish religion perpetuates the national isolation — there is nothing you can do about this fact.” Therefore, “before the restoration of Israel, the renunciation of one’s Jewish identity was equivalent to refusal to support the persecuted and could be regarded as a cowardly surrender.”

But “now, we are talking not about surrender, but about a free choice.”

Thus, Koestler offered a tough choice to the Diaspora Jews: to become Israelis or to stop being Jews. He himself took the latter path. (Needless to say, Jews in the Diaspora met Koestler’s conclusions mainly with angry criticism.)

Yet those who had chosen the first option, the citizens of the State of Israel, obtained a new support and, from that, a new view at this eternal problem. For instance, a modern Israeli author writes sharply: “The Galut Jew is an immoral creature. He uses all the benefits of his host country but at the same time he does not fully identify with it. These people demand the status which no other nation in the world has — to be allowed to have two homelands: the one, where they currently live, and another one, where their heart lives. And after that they still wonder why they are hated!”

And they do wonder a lot: Why, why are the Jews so disliked? True, the Jews are disliked, this is fact; otherwise, why strive for liberation? And from what? Apparently, not from Jewishness. We know very well that we should liberate ourselves, it is absolutely necessary, though we still cannot tell exactly what from.

A natural question — what should we do to be loved? — is seldom asked. Jewish authors usually see the whole world as

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

hostile to them, and so they give way to grief: The world is now split into those who sympathize with the Jewish people, and those seeking to destroy the Jewish people. Sometimes, there is proud despair: “It is humiliating to rely on the authorities for the protection from the nation which dislikes you; it is humiliating to thank ingratiatingly the best and worthiest of this nation, who put in a good word for you.”

Another Israeli disagrees: “In reality, this world is not solely divided on the grounds of one’s attitude toward Jews, as we sometimes think owing to our excessive sensitivity.” A. Voronel agrees: “The Jews pay too much attention to anti-Semites, and too little — to themselves.”

Israel, the Jewish state, must become the center that secures the future of world Jewry. As early as in the 1920s no other than Albert Einstein wrote to no other than Pyotr Rutenberg, a former

Social Revolutionary and possibly the main author of the revolutionary demands of January 9,

1905 (he accompanied Orthodox Father Gapon during the workers’ procession on that date but was later one of his executioners; still later, Rutenberg left Russia to rebuild Palestine): “First of all, your [Palestinian settlers’] lives must be protected, because you sacrifice yourselves for the sake of the Spirit and in the name the entire Jewish nation. We must demonstrate that we are a nation with the will to live, and that we are strong enough for the great accomplishment that would consolidate our people and protect our future generations. For us and for our posterity, the State must become as precious as the Temple was for our ancestors.”

Jewish authors support this conviction in many ways: “The Jewish problem, apparently, has no reliable solution without the Jewish state.” “Israel is the center that guarantees

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

the future of the Jews of the whole world.” Israel is the only correct place for Jews, one where their

“historical activity does not result in historical fiasco.”

And only a rumble coming from that tiny and endlessly beleaguered country betrays the phantom of the Catastrophe, permanently imprinted in the collective unconscious of the Israelis.

\* \* \*

And what is the status of assimilation, the Diaspora, and Israel today?

By the 1990s, assimilation had advanced very far. For example, for 80-90 percent of the American Jews, the modern tendencies of Jewish life promise gradual assimilation. This holds true not only for the United States: Jewish life is gradually disappearing from most of the Diaspora communities. Most modern-day Jews do not have painful memories of the Catastrophe. They identify with Israel much less than their parents. Doubtlessly, the role of the Diaspora is shrinking disastrously, and this is fraught with inevitable loss of its essential characteristics.

“Will our grandchildren remain Jews...? Will the Diaspora survive the end of this millennium and, if so, for how long?” asked Rabbi Adin Steinsaltz, one of the greatest teachers of our time. He warns that the Jews of the Diaspora are no longer a group “whose survival is guaranteed by being in jeopardy.” And because of that, they paradoxically, are already on the road to extinction, participating in the “Catastrophe of self-destruction.” Moreover, anti-Semitism in Western countries cannot be anymore considered as the element that strengthens Jewish identity. Anti-Semitic

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

discrimination in politics, business, universities, private clubs, etc. is for all practical purposes eliminated.

In present-day Europe there are many Jews who do not identify as Jews and who react idiosyncratically to any attempt to connect them with that artificial community. The assimilated Jew does not want to feel like a Jew; he casts away the traits of his race (according to Sartre). The same author offers a scorching assessment: European Jews reject their Jewishness; they think it is anti-Semitism that compels them to be the Jews. Yet that is a contradiction: A Jew identifies as a Jew only when he is in danger. Then he escapes as a Jew. But when he himself becomes the source of danger, he is not a Jew.

Thus, the contours of the collapse of the Diaspora take shape exactly when the Western Jews enjoy freedom and wealth unprecedented in Jewish history, and when they are, or appear to be, stronger than ever. And if the current trends do not change, most of the Diaspora will simply disappear. We have to admit a real possibility of the humiliating, though voluntary, gradual degradation of the Diaspora. Arthur Koestler, the advocate of assimilation who in the 1950s predicted the death of the Diaspora, might prove to be right after all. Meanwhile, the Jews of the world, sometimes even to their own surprise, feel like they are personally involved in the destiny of Israel. "If, God forbid, Israel is destroyed, then the Jews in other countries will disappear too." I cannot explain why, but the Jews will not survive the second Catastrophe in this century.

Another author attributes the Jewish mythology of the imminent Catastrophe precisely to life in the Diaspora, and this is why American (and Soviet) Jews often express such opinions. They prepare for the Catastrophe: should Israel fall, it will be they who will carry on the Jewish nation. Thus,

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

almost all of many hypotheses attempting to explain the purpose of Jewish Diaspora recognize that it makes Jewry nearly indestructible; it guarantees Jewry eternal life within the limits of the existence of mankind.

We also encounter quite a bellicose defense of the principle of Diaspora. American professor Leonard Fayne said: "We oppose the historical demand to make aliyah. We do not feel like we are in exile." In June 1994 the President of the World Jewish Congress, Shoshana S. Cardin, aggressively announced to the Israelis: "We are not going to become the forage for aliyah to Israel, and we doubt you have any idea about the richness and harmony of American Jewish life."

Others state: "We are interesting for the peoples of the world not because of peculiarities of our statehood, but because of our Diaspora which is widely recognized as one of the greatest wonders of world history." Others are rather ironic: "One rogue came up with the elegant excuse that the 'chosenness' of the Jews is allegedly nothing else but to be eternally scattered. The miracle of the restoration of Israel *post factum* gave new meaning to the Diaspora; simultaneously, it had brilliantly concluded the story that could otherwise drag on. In short, it had crowned the miracle of the Diaspora. It crowned it, but did not abolish it." Yet "it is ironic too, as the goals for which we struggled so hard and which filled us with such pride and feeling of difference, are already achieved."

Understanding the fate of the Diaspora and any successful prediction of its future largely depends on the issue of mixed marriages. Inter-marriage is the most powerful and irreversible mechanism of assimilation. It is no accident that such unions are so absolutely forbidden in the Old Testament: "They have dealt faithlessly with the Lord; for

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

they have borne alien children.” (Hosea 5:7) When Arnold J. Toynbee proposed intermarriage as a means to fight anti-Semitism, hundreds of rabbis opposed him: “Mass mixed marriage means the end of Jewry.”

A dramatic growth of mixed marriages is observed in the Western countries: Data documenting the statistics of dissolution are chilling. In the 1960s mixed marriages accounted for approximately 6 percent of Jewish marriages in the United States, the home of the largest Jewish community in the world. Today in 1990s,, only one generation later, this number reached 60 percent — a ten-fold increase. The share of mixed marriages in Europe and Latin America is approximately the same. Moreover, apart from the orthodox Jews, almost all Jewish families in Western countries have an extremely low birth rate. In addition, only a small minority of children from mixed families are willing to adopt a distinctly Jewish way of life.

And what about Russia? The *Shorter Jewish Encyclopedia* provides the following statistics:

in 1988, still under the Soviet regime, in the RSFSR (Russian Soviet Federated Socialist Republic), 73 percent of married Jewish men, and 63 percent of married Jewish women had non-Jewish spouses. In 1978 these numbers were lower: 13 percent for men, and 20 percent for women. Actually, Jews in such marriages tend to lose their Jewish self-consciousness much faster; they more often identify themselves with other nationalities during census.

Thus, almost everywhere, to a greater or lesser degree, we have the erosion of Jewish life, dilution of racial, religious and ethnic borders that, until recently, served as the barriers for assimilation and intermarriage. Today, when common anti-Semitism declined so abruptly, the Jews have lost a



## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

many great principles that in past used to be strong pillars of self-identification.

The Jews of the Diaspora are often attacked by the Israelis. Thirty and forty years after the creation of the State of Israel, the Israelis ask Diaspora Jews mockingly and sometimes angrily: “So, what about modern Jews? Most likely, they will always remain in their true historical home, in the Galuth.” The Algerian Jews had preferred France to Israel, and then the majority of the Iranian Jews, who left Khomeini’s rule, gave a wide berth to Israel. By pulling up stakes, they search for countries with higher standards of living, and a higher level of civilization. The love of Zion is not sufficient in itself.

The eternal image of a classical imminent catastrophe does not attract the Jews to Israel anymore. The Jews are a nation corrupted by their stateless and ahistoric existence. The Jews did not pass the test. They still do not want to return to their homeland. They prefer to stay in Galut and complain about anti-Semitism every time they are criticized. And nobody may say a bad word about Israel, because to criticize Israel is anti-Semitism! If they are so concerned about Israel, why do they not move there to live? But no, this is exactly what they try to avoid!

Most of the Jews of the world have already decided that they do not want to be independent. Look at the Russian Jews. Some of them wanted independence, while others preferred to continue the life of a mite on the Russian dog. And when the Russian dog had become somewhat sick and angry, they turned to the American dog. After all, the Jews lived that way for two thousand years. And now, the the Diaspora Jew is often nervous when confronted by an Israeli; he would rather feel guilty than share his fate with Israel. This sense of inferiority is compensated by intensely

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

maintaining his Jewish identity through deliberate over-emphasizing of petty Jewish symbolism.

At the same time, the Jew from the Diaspora alone shoulders the specific risk of confronting surrounding anti-Semitism. Yet, no matter how the Israel behaves, the Diaspora has no choice: it will quietly stand behind the Israelis like an unloved but faithful wife. It was forecasted that by 2021, the Diaspora will probably shrink by another million souls. The interior workings of Jewish history indicate that most likely the size of world Jewry will further decrease with the gradual concentration of a Jewish majority in Zion and not in the Diaspora.

Yet couldn't it be the other way around? Maybe, after all, the Russian Jew Josef Bikerman was right when he confidently claimed that the Diaspora is indestructible? "I accept Galut, where we have lived for two thousand years, where we have developed strong cohesion, and where we must live henceforth, to live and prove ourselves." Could it be that those two voices which, according to Gershenzon, always sound in Jewish ears — one calling to mix with the surroundings, and another demanding to preserve Jewish national uniqueness, — will sound forever?

A reputable historian noted after World War II a paradox in the life of modern Jewry: "evergrowing immersion of Jews in the life of other nations does not diminish their national identity and sometimes even intensifies it."

Below are few testimonies made by Russian Jews during the Soviet ("internationalist") period. "I always had an acute perception of my Jewishness.... From the age of 17, when I left the cradle of high school, I mixed in circles where the Jewish question was central. My father had a very strong Jewish spirit; despite that, he never observed traditions,

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

Mitzvoth, did not know the language, and yet everything that he, a Jew, knew was somehow subordinated to his Jewish identity.”

A writer from Odessa, Arkady Lvov, remembers: “When I was a 10-year old boy, I searched for the Jews among scientists, writers, politicians, and first of all, as a Young Pioneer [a communist youth group in the former Soviet Union], I looked for them among the members of government.” Lazar Kaganovich was in third place, ahead of Voroshilov and Kalinin, “and I was proud of Stalin’s minister Kaganovich. I was proud of Sverdlov, I was proud of Uritsky. And I was proud of Trotsky — yes, yes, of Trotsky!” He thought that Ostermann (the adviser of Peter the Great) was a Jew, and when he found that Ostermann actually was German, he had “a feeling of disappointment, a feeling of loss,” but he “was openly proud that Shafirov was a Jew.”

Yet there were many Jews in Russia who were not afraid to merge with the bulk of the assimilating body, who devotedly espoused Russian culture.

In the old days, only a handful of Jews experienced this: Antokolsky, Levitan, Rubinstein, and a few others. Later there were more of them. Oh, they’ve fathomed Russia so deeply with their ancient and refined intuition of heart and mind! They’ve perceived her shimmering, her enigmatic play of light and darkness, her struggles and sufferings. Russia attracted their hearts with her dramatic fight between good and evil, with her thunderstorms and weaknesses, with her strengths and charms. But several decades ago, not a mere handful, but thousands of Jews entered Russian culture. And many of them began to identify sincerely as Russians in their souls, thoughts, tastes and habits. Yet there is still something in the Jewish soul, a sound, a dissonance, a small crack — something very small, but through it eventually distrust,

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

mockery and hostility leaks from the outside, while from the inside some ancient memory works away.

So, who am I? Who am I? Am I Russian?

No, no. I am a Russian Jew.

Indeed, assimilation apparently has some insurmountable limits. That explains the difference between full spiritual assimilation and cultural assimilation, and all the more so, between the former and widespread civic and social assimilation. Jews — fatefully for Jewry — preserve their identity despite all outward signs of successful assimilation, they preserve “the inner Jewish character” (Solomon Lurie).

The wish to fully merge with the rest of mankind, in spite of all strict barriers of the Law seems natural and vivid. But is it possible? Even in the 20th century some Jews believed that the unification of the mankind is the ideal of Judaic Messianism.

But is it really so? Did such an ideal ever exist?

Far more often, we hear vigorous objections to it: “Nobody will convince or compel me to renounce my Jewish point of view, or to sacrifice my Jewish interests for the sake of some universal idea, be it proletarian internationalism, (the one we idiots believed in the 1920s) or

Great Russia, or the triumph of Christianity, or the benefit of all mankind, and so on.”

Nearly assimilated non-Zionist and non-religious Jewish intellectuals often demonstrate a totally different attitude. For instance, one highly educated woman with broad political interests, T.M.L., imparted to me in Moscow in 1967 that “it would be horrible to live in an entirely Jewish milieu. The most precious trait of our nation is cosmopolitanism. It would be horrible if all Jews would gather in one militarist state. It is totally incomprehensible for assimilated Jews.”

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

I objected timidly: “But it cannot be a problem for the assimilated Jews as they are not Jews anymore.” She replied: “No, we still have some Jewish genes in us.”

Yet it is not about the fatality of origin, blood or genes, it is about which pain — Jewish pain or that of the host nation — is closer to one’s heart. Alas, nationality is more than just knowledge of language, or an introduction to the culture, or even an attachment to the nature and way of life of the country. There is another dimension in it — that of the commonality of historic destiny, determined for each individual by his involvement in the history and destiny of his own people. While for others this involvement is predetermined by birth, for the Jew it is largely a question of personal choice, that of a hard choice. So far, assimilation has not been very convincing. All those who proposed various ways for universal assimilation have failed. The difficult problem of assimilation persists. And though on a global scale the process of assimilation has advanced very far, it by no means foredooms the Diaspora.

Even Soviet life could not produce a fully assimilated Jew, the one who would be assimilated at the deepest, psychological level. And, as a Jewish author concludes, “Wherever you look, you will find insoluble Jewish residue in the assimilated liquid.”

Yet individual cases of deep assimilation with bright life histories do occur. And we in Russia welcome them wholeheartedly.

\* \* \*

“A Russian Jew ... A Jew, a Russian.... So much blood and tears have been shed around this boundary, so much unspeakable torment with no end in sight piled up. Yet, at

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

the same time, we have also witnessed much joy of spiritual and cultural growth.... There were and still are numerous Jews who decide to shoulder that heavy cross: to be a Russian Jew, and at the same time, a Russian. Two affections, two passions, two struggles.... Isn't it too much for one heart? Yes, it is too much. But this is exactly where the fatal tragedy of this dual identity is. Dual identity is not really an identity. The balance here is not an innate but rather an acquired entity.”

That reflection on the pre-revolutionary Russia was written in 1927 in the Paris emigration.

Some fifty years later, another Jew, who lived in Soviet Russia and later emigrated to Israel, looked back and wrote: “We, the Jews who grew up in Russia, are a weird cross — the Russian Jews.... Others say that we are Jews by nationality and Russians by culture. Yet is it possible to change your culture and nationality like a garment? When an enormous press drives one metal into another, they cannot be separated, not even by cutting. For decades, we were pressed together under a huge pressure. My national identity is expressed in my culture. My culture coalesced with my nationality. Please separate one from another. I am also curious which cells of my soul are of the Russian color and which are of the Jewish one. Yet there was not only pressure, not only a forced fusion. There was also an unexpected affinity between these intercrossing origins, at some deep spiritual layers. It was as if they supplemented each other to a new completeness: like space supplements time, the spiritual breadth supplements the spiritual depth, and the acceptance supplements the negation; and there was a mutual jealousy about chosenness. Therefore, I do not have two souls, which quarrel with each other, weaken each other, and split

## THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

me in two. I have one soul, and it is not two-faced, not divided in two, and not mixed. It is just one.”

And the response from Russia: “I believe that the contact of the Jewish and Slavic souls in Russia was not a coincidence; there was some purpose in it.”



# THE CRUCIFIXION OF RUSSIA

Books by Columbus Falco -

The Crucifixion of Russia; India Shattering the  
Illusion; 1937: Before the Chaos - also in Germany - 1937:  
Wahrheit vor dem Chaos - Fascism & Dharma - also in  
Italy Fascismo and Dharma - India 70 Years after Midnight  
- Kashmir - The Birth of a New Nation - European Union -  
The Rape of Europa in many EU languages - Crucible of  
Decline - Holocausts vs. Science: A Technical Complaint –  
and soon to be released CODE OF HONOR A Pamphlet for  
Right Conduct, Virtue, and Manliness

EHRE DEN CHRISTUS

